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ABSTRACT

We have attempted to date several samples from Site 642 using a combination of Sr isotope stratigraphy and Rb-Sr dating of glauconite. A carbonate shell fragment from Sample 104-642B-22H-6, 70-73 cm gives a Sr isotope stratigraphy date of 17.3 ± 1.0 Ma, which agrees well with available biostratigraphic and paleomagnetic data. Glauconites from a nearby sample (104-642B-23H-1, 66-69 cm) give a similar date.

One carbonate shell fragment and two fish teeth samples from Core 104-642D-12X give concordant Sr isotope stratigraphic ages of about 37 Ma (latest Eocene). Rb-Sr glauconite analyses from one of the samples, while showing some substrate contamination, also support an Eocene age. Our results are in conflict with Miocene palynomorph dates from Core 104-642D-12X. As specific reworking of fish teeth and carbonate macrofossils (and also glauconite) from 37 Ma-old sediments into three different samples in Core 104-642D-12X is most unlikely, we view the 37 Ma date as the depositional age of the core.

INTRODUCTION

Rb-Sr isotopic age analysis of glauconite in conjunction with Sr isotope stratigraphy is a powerful dating method if these two independent procedures yield internally consistent results. Sr isotope stratigraphy is based on the temporal variation of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr in ocean water (Burke et al., 1982). A curve reflecting $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr variations in ancient seawater may be constructed using Sr isotope analyses of paleontologically well-dated carbonate or phosphate fossils of different ages (Fig. 1). By reference to this curve $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr in a fossil of unknown age can be precisely assigned (±0.5 to 3.0 Ma in the Cenozoic) to a corresponding depositional age. The method is not influenced by biofacies or faunal provincialism, and can be performed on very small samples (0.1 mg). Rb-Sr glauconite studies can give more precise depositional ages (± <0.2 Ma, 2σ), but these age determinations are sometimes either anomalously old due to inheritance of Sr from detrital substrate material, or anomalously young due to diagenetic modification (e.g. Morton and Long, 1982; Smalley et al., 1986). Such problems should easily be recognized by discordant results from Sr isotope stratigraphy and Rb-Sr dating of glauconite. For an apparent age to be accepted as a true depositional age the following criteria must be fulfilled:

1. Data for different glauconite fractions separated on the basis of color, size or morphology from a single sample and coexisting marine carbonate should fall on an isochron, indicating that glauconite was formed in Sr isotope equilibrium with seawater.

2. Data for the marine carbonate fraction should plot on the $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr seawater curve at the date defined by the isochron.

This approach has previously yielded age information on samples from DSDP Site 341 on the Voring Plateau (Smalley et al., 1986) and for Cenozoic rocks in the northern North Sea (Y. Rundberg and P. C. Smalley, unpubl. data). In the present study these same methods are applied to samples from ODP Site 642.

SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

Five samples were selected for study (Fig. 2): Sample 104-642D-12X-2, 78-81 cm (288.8 meters below sea floor; mbsf) which contained abundant glauconite and phosphatized radiolarians; Sample 104-642B-22H-6, 70-73 cm (291.8 mbsf) which contained, respectively, carbonate macrofossil (bivalve) fragments and phosphatic fish teeth; Sample 104-642B-23H-1, 66-69 cm (295.7 mbsf) which contained glauconite but lacked carbonate or phosphate, and Sample 104-642D-12X-5, 70-73 cm (294.9 mbsf) which was the nearest sample that contained carbonate.

Disaggregated samples were passed through a Frantz isodynamic separator to obtain glauconite, phosphate, and carbonate concentrates. Individual grains were then hand picked to give fractions with a visual purity of 100%. Glauconite pellets were subdivided into different fractions based on color, correlating with crystallinity and K-content (Odin, 1982), and morphology.

Three fractions were separated from Sample 104-642D-12X-2, 78-81 cm: light green glauconite (lg), very light green glauconite (vlg), and fish teeth (ft). Three glauconite fractions were separated from Sample 104-642B-23H-1, 66-69 cm: medium green (mg), medium green spheres (mgs), and dark green (dg). Single fragments of carbonate macrofossil shell (s) were picked from Samples 104-642B-23H-6, 70-73 cm and 104-642D-12X-4, 81-84 cm. Approximately 20 fish teeth were picked from Sample 104-642D-12X-5, 145-149 cm. None of the glauconite samples was clearly replacing granular detrital precursors, but the presence of a fine-grained detrital clay component could not be discounted. The rounded or lobate shapes could indicate replacement of fecal pellets or oncoids. The Sample 104-642B-23H-1, 66-69 cm mgs fraction constituted glauconites clearly replacing spherical siliceous tests, probably from radiolarians, although only grains showing complete replacement were selected. Judging by color, the glauconites ranged from little-evolved to evolved using the terminology of Odin and Matter (1981). None of the fractions were large enough to permit XRD study. The fish teeth appeared totally pristine, and careful optical examination of the carbonate shells revealed no evidence of new (diagenetic) carbonate growth. Neither the fish teeth nor the carbonate shells showed any sign of abrasion, or any other evidence of transport during sedimentation.

The individual glauconite fractions weighed 2 to 20 mg and the carbonate/phosphate fractions weighed ca. 0.2 mg. Glauconite fractions were first cleaned of any adhering grains by ultrasonification, leached briefly in cold 0.1 N HCl to ensure that no carbonate was present, and then leached for 24 hr in 1 N ammonium acetate solution to remove exchangeable Rb and Sr ions (Morton and Long, 1982), followed by repeated washing in acetone and water. Fractions were then spiked with a mixed $^{87}$Rb/$^{86}$Sr tracer and dissolved in a HF-HNO$_3$ mixture. Rb and Sr were concentrated by standard ion exchange techniques using 1.5 ml mixed cation columns (5 mm diameter) filled with BioRad AG5 (×8, 200-400 mesh resin).
Figure 1. $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ variations in seawater during Cenozoic time. Data sources and method of construction are described in the text. Derivation of dates for three of the analyzed samples from Site 642 is also illustrated ($s =$ shell, $ft =$ fish teeth).

Figure 2. Simplified lithological section of Core 104-642B, 180-200 mbsf (siliceous mud) and Core 104-642D, 280-300 mbsf (glaucobite-rich pyroclastic sand and sandy mud). The arrows indicate where the samples were taken. The ages were obtained by Sr isotope stratigraphy from bivalve fragments and fish teeth. Glaucobites only were sampled from Section 104-642B-23H-1.
The carbonate and phosphate fractions were cleaned ultrasonically and also, for the phosphate, by brief leaching in 0.1 N HCl, prior to dissolution in 1.5 N HCl and subsequent ion exchange. Total chemical blanks, <200 pg for Sr, were negligible. Sr isotope measurements were made on a Finnigan MAT 261 mass spectrometer in the static multicollector mode. Rb measurements were performed on a VG MM30 mass spectrometer at the Mineralogical-Geological Museum, University of Oslo. Measured 87Sr/86Sr was normalized to 86Sr/88Sr = 0.1194. The NBS 987 standard yielded 0.71025 ± 0.00001 (2σ, n = 1). Age calculations utilize the method of York (1969), using in-run 87Sr/86Sr precisions and an assigned precision of 1% (2σ) for 87Rb/86Sr. All uncertainties are quoted at the 2σ level.

Our estimate of the seawater Sr isotope curve is based on a compilation of about 300 data points from Palmer and Elderfield (1985), DePaolo and Ingram (1985), DePaolo et al. (1986), Hess et al. (1986), Koepnick et al. (1985), and our own unpublished results. For the Koepnick et al. data, only the DSDP samples described as having <4% insoluble residue were included in the compilation. We used the time scale of Berggren et al. (1985). Values from each of the above-mentioned sources were normalized to a value of 0.70792 for Holocene marine carbonate to correct for interlaboratory bias. The individual data were enclosed by arbitrary limits (Fig. 1), excluding obvious outliers (ca. 10% of the points). Ages in the present study were calculated by taking the ± 2σ limits of uncertainty for each 87Sr/86Sr analysis and reading off the dates at the seawater curve (Fig. 1). The age of the sample is expressed as the median value with an associated uncertainty of plus or minus half the possible age range.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The isotopic results (Table 1) are plotted in Figure 3.

By reference to the marine 87Sr/86Sr curve (Fig. 1) the fish teeth (ft) from Sample 104-642D-12X-2, 78-81 cm give a date of 37.0 ± 1.2 Ma. Phosphatic remains such as fish teeth are known to be resistant to diagenetic modification of their 87Sr/86Sr ratios (Shaw and Wasserburg, 1985; Staudigel et al., 1986), and if, as we have done, care has been taken in cleaning the teeth, they should preserve the 87Sr/86Sr of the seawater in which the fish lived. We thus believe this date to record the depositional age of the sample accurately. The two glauconite fractions from this sample do not fulfill the criteria for an ideal Rb-Sr glauconite system recording a depositional age. A regression of the data for glauconite and fish teeth samples gives a poor correlation, with a high MSWD (mean square of weighted deviates) of 352 (in a true isochron this value should be <2.5), and a poorly defined apparent age of 42.7 ± 5.8 Ma. Rb-Sr model ages for the individual glauconite fractions (Table 1) are 39.6 Ma for the light green fraction and 45.4 Ma for the very light green fraction. Their light color suggests them to be little-evolved (Odin and Mutter, 1981). Such glauconites are susceptible to inheritance of Sr from the glauconite precursor material. In the present case this may have included detrital clays, thus leading to anomalously old Rb-Sr model ages. Even so, the model age of 39.6 Ma for the light green fraction is close to that recorded by the fish teeth. Unfortunately, more highly evolved glauconites, which constitute more reliable material for dating (Odin, 1982), are not present in this sample, and are, in fact, rare throughout the sequence.

The carbonate (macrofossil) and fish teeth fraction from Samples 104-642D-12X-4, 81-84 cm and 104-642D-12X-5, 145-149 cm yielded dates of 38.5 ± 1.7 Ma and 37.0 ± 1.2 Ma, respectively. These samples are situated 2.04 m and 5.18 m, respectively, below Sample 104-642D-12X-2, 78-81 cm and give indistinguishable dates. The three Sr isotope stratigraphy ages of about 37 Ma in Core 104-642D-12 are highly consistent. Both carbonate and phosphate material were analyzed, and thus it is unlikely that reworking of the mechanically resistant fish teeth or diagenetic alteration of carbonate has affected the samples.

87Sr/86Sr from the Sample 104-642B-22H-6, 70-73 cm carbonate shell fragment plots on the seawater curve at 17.3 ± 1.0 Ma (Fig. 1), which we interpret as the time of deposition. Data for the three glauconite fractions from Sample 104-642B-23H-1, 66-69 cm give a poorly correlated array on a Rb-Sr isochron diagram (Fig. 3). The apparent age is 16.7 ± 7.2 Ma, and the MSWD value (610) is high, denoting disequilibrium at the time of deposition. The carbonate shell fragment from the overlying sample could justifiably be included in the isochron calculations. The resulting four-point regression yields 17.3 ± 1.8 Ma, again with a very high MSWD (312). The main component of scatter is caused by the data point for the green spheres fraction which lies above the 16.3 ± 0.6 Ma (MSWD = 31) isochron defined by the three other fractions. This fraction has a higher Rb-Sr model age (19.0 Ma) than those of the medium green and dark green fractions (16.7 and 16.0 Ma), probably because of inherited radiogenic Sr. It is unlikely that inherited Sr came from the replaced siliceous tests, as these should themselves have been precipitated in Sr isotope equilibrium with seawater. Possibly, the tests had been infilled with detrital clays prior to glauconitization. Although the 16.3 ± 0.6 Ma isochron has a high MSWD value, indicating that the isochron incorporates real geological scatter due to precursor inheritance or postdepositional disturbance, the close similarity to the Sr isotope stratigraphy date of 17.3 ± 1.0 Ma supports the validity of the latter date.

Extensive biostratigraphic and paleomagnetic studies have been carried out on samples from Site 642 (e.g., Blei; Donnelly; Goll and Björklund; Speigler and Jansen, all this volume). The results from these studies are in good agreement with the dates reported here for the 642B samples, while there are discrepancies with the palynologically dating of the 642D cores. In Hole 642D (Core 11 and downwards) palynomorphs were the only fossils present in such abundances that they can be used as a stratigraphic tool. The palynological studies of 642D samples (Manum et al., this volume) have shown the presence of a hiatus between Sections 104-642D-13X-3 and 104-642D-13X-1. In Section 104-642D-13X-3 and downwards an assemblage indicating an early Eocene age was observed, while samples from Section 104-642D-13X-1 and shallower contain an assemblage which could be interpreted as indicating an age no greater than early Miocene (Manum et al., this volume). Our date of 37.0 ± 1.2 Ma (latest Eocene) for Core 104-642D-12X thus contradicts the palynomorphic age. This discrepancy could be explained by palynomorph contamination from the shallower part of the bore-
hole, or by the reworking of the fish teeth and macrofossil debris. It has been suggested that there may be some reworked sand in Core 104-642D-12X (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1987). The evidence for reworking is the presence of thin (5-10 cm) coarsening upward sand sequences separated by 20- to 40-cm thick beds of homogeneous mud in Sections 2, 5, and 6. The reworking hypothesis is favored by Manum et al. (this volume), but, while theoretically possible, we view this as highly unlikely. The two fish teeth Samples (104-642D-12X-2, 81-84 cm and 104-642D-12X-5, 145-149 cm) gave very precise Sr isotope results with identical values (0.707828 ± 6, 0.707825 ± 6). Each sample consisted of about 20 individual teeth. If the samples had been reworked, but if just one fish tooth from one sample was in situ (i.e., early Miocene, ca. 22 Ma, \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = \text{ca. 0.70850, see Fig. 1}) then the \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) of the 20 fish teeth would have been raised by about 0.0006, which is an order of magnitude greater than the analytical precision. The only way to get such consistent results would be for every single fish tooth analyzed in both samples to be derived from 37.0 ± 1.2 Ma-old sediments. Furthermore, the carbonate macrofossil debris, which would have very different hydrodynamic properties during deposition, would have to have been reworked in an exactly similar manner. Additionally, the glauconite fractions from Sample 104-642D-12X-2, 78-81 cm, while clearly displaying effects of reworking, would have to have been reworked in an exactly similar manner. Certainly, further dating using both biostratigraphic and isotopic methods would help to shed light on this problem.

**SUMMARY**

Four dates were obtained using Sr isotope stratigraphy. From Core 104-642D-12X the following dates were revealed: fish teeth in Sample 2, 78-81 cm, 37.0 ± 1.2 Ma; a bivalve fragment in Sample 4, 81-84 cm, 38.5 ± 1.7 Ma; fish teeth from Sample 5, 145-149 cm, 37.0 ± 1.2 Ma. These data contradict the dates based on polyomorph assemblages, giving a late Eocene age as opposed to the early Miocene date obtained from the polyomorphs.

A carbonate shell fragment from Sample 104-642B-22H-6, 70-73 cm gave 17.3 ± 1.0 Ma. This is in good agreement with the results from the biostratigraphic and paleomagnetic studies. Rb-Sr glauconite isochrons from two samples support these marine Sr dates, but they display scatter exceeding that which can be attributed to experimental error. The main cause of this is probably inheritance of Sr from detrital glauconite precursor phases.
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