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ABSTRACT

Detailed quantitative analyses of selected calcareous nannofossil species were used to determine the placement of zonal boundaries. In Hole 667A in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean, Zones CP19 through CN5 were recognized, whereas at Site 574 in the equatorial Pacific Ocean, only the CN4-CN5 boundary could be determined. Boundaries were identified by sharp rises and declines in abundance at the beginnings and ends, respectively, of index fossil ranges. The sharp rise in abundance at the beginning of the range of \textit{Cyclicargolithus floridanus} provided a good datum level in both regions; the same is true for the sharp decline in abundance at the end of the range of \textit{Cyclicargolithus floridanus}. The last occurrence of \textit{Helicosphaera ampliaperta} was used to mark the CN3/CN4 boundary in Hole 667A, while at Site 574, \textit{H. ampliaperta} was absent. The abundance pattern of \textit{Triquetrorhabdulus carinatus} obtained from Hole 667A makes it impossible to observe a distinct disappearance level. Age/depth plots reveal uniform sedimentation rates at both sites during early Miocene times. At Site 667 in the Atlantic the mean sedimentation rate was 13.80 m/m.y., and at Site 574 in the Pacific it was 16.17 m/m.y. during this same period. One new nannofossil species, \textit{Triquetrorhabdulus rioensis}, is described; and one species, \textit{Triquetrorhabdulus serratus}, is recombined.

INTRODUCTION

The most widely used Cenozoic calcareous nannofossil zonation schemes—Martini (1971) and Bukry (1973, 1975) and Okada and Bukry (1980)—are based mainly on the first (FO) and last (LO) occurrences (extinctions) of different nannofossil species.

By using quantitative methods combined with short sampling intervals, Backman (1986a, 1986b) showed that abundance patterns of species often reveal "tails" of strongly reduced presence below and above the consistent occurrence. These tails may represent either true, but reduced, productivity or postdepositional mixing of the sediment. Since these tails can vary in duration, the use of the absolute FO or LO of a species as a biostratigraphic marker can result in diachronity when different geographic areas are compared. On the other hand, sharp declines and rises in abundance as marker levels often gives more consistent information (see also Backman and Shackleton, 1983).

The aim of this study is to establish a quantitative nannofossil biostratigraphy for the lower Neogene of Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Hole 667A. The results are compared with data from Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) Site 574, partly gathered in this study and partly from Pujo (1985). Site 574 was chosen mainly because it is an equatorial site from a different ocean basin. This site should thus provide comparative information, mainly because it is an equatorial site from a different ocean basin. This site should thus provide comparative information, particularly about the important biostratigraphic relationships between \textit{Cyclicargolithus floridanus}, \textit{Sphenolithus heteromorphus}, and \textit{Triquetrorhabdulus rugosus}.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hole 667A is located in the eastern equatorial Atlantic at 4°34.15'N and 21°54.68'W in water depths of 3535 m on the western margin of the Sierra Leone Rise (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1989). Site 574 is located in the central equatorial Pacific high productivity area at 4°12.52'N and 139°19.81'W and in water depths of 4561 m (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1985). This study involves Cores 108-667A-18H down through -36X (154.0-333.5 mbsf), and Cores 85-574-13H down through -23H (108.0-167.0 mbsf). The cores from Hole 667A were sampled at 30-cm intervals, representing an average time interval of about 77,000 yr (17,000-149,000 yr), whereas a 20-cm sampling interval was used in Site 574, representing an average time interval of about 13,000 yr (10,000-16,000 yr). The placoliths showed good preservation throughout the intervals investigated in both sections except in the top part of Hole 667A, where some dissolution could be seen. The discoasters and triquetrorhabdulids exhibited calcite overgrowth in both sections.

To avoid sorting the nannofossils, smear slides were made directly from core samples according to methods described by Haq and Lohmann (1976). During preparation some size sorting of nannofossils may occur; therefore, counts were made only in those fields of view where all size ranges of the assemblage were represented. Only light microscope techniques were used (plane-polarized and cross-polarized light). The counting methods used in this study are described and discussed by Backman and Shackleton (1983), and the zonation scheme adopted is that of Bukry (1973) and Okada and Bukry (1980).

Cores 108-667A-20H, -23H, and -29X are reported as being longer than 9.8 m (Shipboard Scientific Party, 1988). In this study, the void intervals in these cores are deleted in order to make the penetrated interval agree with the recovered sediment thickness. The sub-bottom depths within these cores are changed accordingly.

RESULTS

Hole 667A: The Equatorial Atlantic Ocean

In the virtual absence of \textit{Helicosphaera recta} and \textit{Dictyococcales bisectus}, \textit{Sphenolithus ciperoensis} was counted in order to establish the top of Zone CP19. Figure 1 shows the abundance pattern of the upper part of the range of \textit{S. ciperoensis} together with the cumulative percentages of all sphenoliths, which are composed primarily of \textit{Sphenolithus moriformis}. In Core 108-667A-35X and in the lower part of Core 108-667A-34X, \textit{S. ciperoensis} makes up about 10% of the total sphenolith assemblage. In the upper part of Core 108-667A-34X and up to its LO in Sample 108-667A-32X-4,
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Figure 1. Plots of the abundance pattern and cumulative percentage of *S. ciperoensis* in Hole 667A.
The LO of *S. ciperoensis* marks the top of Zone CP19.

105–106 cm (291.85 mbsf), the abundance is less than 10% of the sphenolith assemblage. The abundance of *S. ciperoensis* varies considerably, but it is consistently present up to its LO. The top of Zone CP19 was placed at the decline in abundance between Samples 108-667A-32X-5, 105–106 cm, and 108-667A-32X-5, 75–66 cm (293.20 mbsf ± 0.15 m).

The abundance patterns of the ranges of *Sphenolithus belemnos* and *Sphenolithus heteromorphus* together with the cumulative percentages of all sphenoliths are shown in Figure 2. *Sphenolithus belemnos* occurs in relatively high abundance, making up between 30% and 50% of the total sphenolith assemblage. The absolutely first specimens of *S. belemnos* were observed in Sample 108-667A-26X-2, 15–16 cm (230.95 mbsf). Poor core recovery in Core 108-667A-25X, however, prevented determination of the first distinct rise in abundance. It is tentatively placed between Samples 108-667A-26X-1, 45–46 cm, and 25X-4, 47–48 cm (227.25 mbsf ± 2.50 m), between which the abundance rises from 5 to 48 specimens/mm² (from 3% to 15%). This rise in abundance was used to mark the top of Zone CN1c. The LO of *S. belemnos* is in Sample 108-667A-23H-7, 75–76 cm (208.0 mbsf). Just below its LO, between Samples 108-667A-23H-7, 15–16 cm, and 108-667A-24X-1, 105–106 cm (211.15 mbsf ± 0.15 m), a sharp decline in the abundance from 84 to 0 specimens/mm² (from 18% to 0%) can be seen. Further down, between Samples 108-667A-24X-2, 105–106 cm, and 109-667A-24X-3, 15–16 cm, (212.85 and 215.45 mbsf, respectively), a drop can be seen in the abundance and the cumulative percentage (from 177 to 15 specimens/mm², or from 30% to 5%). This is due to a reduction in the number of *S. belemnos* in these samples while the abundance of other sphenoliths stays somewhat constant.

*Sphenolithus heteromorphus* is the dominant sphenolith throughout its range, showing a high but varying abundance (Fig. 2). The FO of *S. heteromorphus* is in Sample 108-667A-24X-1, 105–106 cm (211.35 mbsf). In the first 3 m of its range (211.35 mbsf up to 208.0 mbsf), the abundance is low (less than 20% of the sphenoliths). At a depth of about 208 m, the abundance rises sharply to a level of about 50%. Generally, the abundance increases progressively throughout the range of *S. heteromorphus* up to about 80% prior to its LO in Sample 108-667A-18H-1, 135–136 cm (154.7 mbsf). The first distinct rise in abundance was used to mark the top of Zone CN2, which was placed between Samples 108-667A-23H-7, 75–76 cm, and 108-667A-23H-7, 45–46 cm (207.85 mbsf ± 0.15 m). Near the top of the range of *S. heteromorphus*, a distinct decline in abundance occurs. Sample 108-667A-18H-6, 45–46 cm (161.25 mbsf), contained 630 specimens/mm², whereas in Sample 108-667A-18H-5, 75–76 cm (160.05 mbsf), the number was 10 specimens/mm². This final decline in the abundance of *S. heteromorphus* was used to mark the top of Zone CN4, which was placed between Samples 108-667A-18H-6, 15–16 cm, and 108-667A-18H-5, 105–106 cm (160.65 mbsf ± 0.30 m). The isolated peak, which can be seen above the sharp decline in the cumulative percentage [Sample 108-667A-18H-3, 135–136 cm (157.65 mbsf)], is probably an artifact caused by selective dissolution, assuming that the robust *S. heteromorphus* is less prone to dissolution than *S. moriformis*. The entire nannofossil assemblage shows considerable signs of dissolution, and the number of sphenoliths found in 20 fields of view in this sample is 18, 6 of which are *S. heteromorphus*.

*Cyclicargolithus floridanus* was counted in order to determine its LO relative to the LO of *S. heteromorphus* and the
Figure 2. Plots of the abundance patterns and cumulative percentages of the ranges of *S. belemnos* and *S. heteromorphus* in Hole 667A. The FO of *S. belemnos* marks the top of Zone CNIc, and the FO of *S. heteromorphus* marks the top of Zone CN2. Note the scale difference (× 2) in the plot of the range of *S. belemnos*.

FO of *Triquetrorhabdulus rugosus*. Figure 3 shows the abundance pattern of the upper part of the range and the LO of *C. floridanus*. The abundance of *C. floridanus* is high, mostly over 100 specimens/mm², but it varies from 2 specimens/mm² in Sample 108-667A-19H-2, 105-106 cm (165.35 mbsf), to 350 specimens/mm² in Sample 108-667A-22H-2, 15-16 cm (192.95 mbsf). In the uppermost part of the range of *C. floridanus*, a sharp decline can be seen in abundance where it drops from 22 specimens/mm² in Sample 108-667A-18H-4, 45-46 cm (158.25 mbsf), to 1 specimen/mm² in Sample 108-667A-18H-4, 15-16 cm (157.95 mbsf). The last specimens of *C. floridanus* were observed in Sample 108-667A-18H-2, 15-16 cm (154.95 mbsf). The strongly variable abundance of *C. floridanus* seems to contain a high-frequency signal that possibly may be related to orbital periodicities, although the present sampling interval is too wide to reveal such a link.

The abundance pattern of the range of *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* is shown in Figure 4. The FO was observed in Sample 108-667A-23H-7, 75-76 cm (208.0 mbsf). *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* occurs inconsistently and in low and varying numbers throughout its range, never exceeding 40 specimens/mm². The LO was observed in Sample 108-667A-19H-3, 75-76 cm (166.55 mbsf). No sharp decline was observed at the end of the range of *H. ampliaperta*, so the LO was used to mark the top of Zone CN3, in accordance with Bukry’s (1973) original definition of the top of the *H. ampliaperta* Zone. This boundary was placed between Samples 108-667A-19H, 45-46 cm, and 108-667A-19H, 75-76 cm (166.40 mbsf ± 0.15 m). *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* was not observed in the equatorial Pacific Site 574 according to Pujos (1985).

The abundance pattern of the lower part of the range of *Triquetrorhabdulus carinatus* is shown in Figure 5. The lowermost specimen of *T. carinatus* was observed in Sample 108-667A-36X-3, 15-16 cm (327.2 mbsf). Poor core recovery in the lower parts of Cores 108-667A-36X up through 33X made it difficult to notice any distinct rise in abundance at the beginning of the range of *T. carinatus*. Instead, the abundance rises gradually from less than 5 specimens/mm² in Core 108-667A-36X up to about 60 specimens/mm² in Core 108-667A-33X. A sharp rise in abundance, from 60 to 220 specimens/mm², can be seen between Samples 108-667A-33X-1, 45-46 cm (296.25 mbsf), and 108-667A-32X-6, 72-73 cm (294.55 mbsf). From there, abundance declines gradually up to the top of Core 108-667A-30X, where a sharp rise from about 40 to over 160 specimens/mm² can be seen [Samples 108-667A-30X-1, 45-46 cm (267.65 mbsf), and 108-667A-30X-6, 130-131 cm (266.25 mbsf), respectively]. The abundance is high from the top of Core 108-667A-30X up through Core 108-667A-28X, where it declines sharply from 150 to 50 specimens/mm² between Samples 108-667A-28X-3, 45-46 cm, and 108-667A-28X-3, 15-16 cm (251.60 mbsf ± 0.15 m). Poor core recovery in Cores 108-667A-27X up through -24X, combined with low and sporadic occurrences throughout the
remaining part of the investigated interval, prevented detection of any final decline in abundance of *T. carinatus*. Between Cores 108-667A-28X and -23H the abundance is 0 to 40 specimens/mm². Throughout the remainder of the interval investigated, the abundance is less than 10 specimens/mm², showing abundance peaks at about 205, 192, and 177 mbsf. The uppermost specimens of *T. carinatus* were observed in Sample 108-667A-18H-2, 135-136 cm (156.15 mbsf).

The abundance patterns of *Triquetrorhabdulus milowii*, *Triquetrorhabdulus serratus*, and *T. carinatus* are shown in Figure 6. *Triquetrorhabdulus milowii* was not observed below Sample 108-667A-28X-3, 45–46 cm (251.8 mbsf), and it occurs sporadically in low abundances (never exceeding 40 specimens/mm²) throughout the interval investigated. The lowermost specimens of *T. serratus* were observed in Sample 108-667A-27X-3, 45–46 cm (242.25 mbsf). This rather distinct FO was used to mark the top of Zone CN1b (see Bukry, 1973), which was placed between Samples 108-667A-27X-3, 75–76 cm, and 108-667A-27X-3, 45–46 cm (242.40 mbsf ± 0.15 m). *Triquetrorhabdulus serratus* occurs sporadically throughout the investigated interval, with its highest abundance (about 80 specimens/mm²) in Sample 108-667A-18H-3, 15–16 cm (156.45 mbsf).

Figure 7 shows the abundance patterns of the lowermost part of the ranges of *Triquetrorhabdulus rugosus* and *T. rioensis*. The FO of *T. rugosus* is in Sample 108-667A-19H-3, 15–16 cm (167 mbsf), and the FO of *T. rioensis* is in Sample 108-667A-19H-2, 105–106 cm (165.35 mbsf). Both species show a sharp increase in abundance (from 10% to 40% of the triquetrorhabdulid assemblage) between Samples 108-667A-18H-4, 15–16 cm, and 108-667A-18H-3, 135–136 cm (157.80 mbsf ± 0.15 m).

The cumulative percentages of the triquetrorhabdulids in Cores 108-667A-18H and -19H are shown in Figure 8. In these cores, *T. milowii* and *T. serratus* dominate the triquetrorhabdulid assemblage. A peak interval of *T. milowii* at about the FO of *T. rugosus* was also observed in Hole 574. At the top of the investigated interval, *T. rugosus* and *T. rioensis* become dominant.

**Site 574: The Equatorial Pacific Ocean**

Figure 9 shows the abundance pattern of the upper part of the range of *S. heteromorphus* together with the cumulative
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**Figure 1.** Plots of the abundance pattern of the upper part of the range and LO of *C. floridanus* in Hole 667A.
percentages of all sphenoliths. *Sphenolithus heteromorphus* occurs consistently from the bottom of the investigated interval up through Core 85-574-20H, where its LO was observed in Sample 85-574-20H-2, 49–50 cm (149.6 mbsf). It shows a high and varying abundance in Site 574 in the equatorial Pacific, similar to the pattern obtained from Hole 667A in the equatorial Atlantic, comprising over 50% of the sphenolith assemblages. At the end of the consistent occurrence of *S. heteromorphus*, a sharp decline in abundance is observed, from about 50% in Sample 85-574-20H-3, 39–40 cm (151.0 mbsf), to 0% in Sample 85-574-20H-2, 29–30 cm (149.4 mbsf). This sharp decline is coincident with the LO of *S. heteromorphus* and was used to mark the CN4/CN5 boundary, which was placed between Samples 85-574-19H-1, 39–40 cm, and 85-574-19H-1, 19–20 cm (142.90 mbsf ± 0.10 m). The isolated reoccurrences in Cores 85-574-16H and -14H coincide exactly with those of *S. heteromorphus*, emphasizing the reworking aspect of the sediment.

The abundance patterns of *T. milowii*, *T. serratus*, *T. rugosus*, and *T. rioensis* are shown in Figure 11. Their abundances are low, although *T. serratus* is generally dominant. The first specimens of *T. rugosus* were observed in Sample 85-574-19H-3, 99–100 cm (146.6 mbsf), while the first specimens of *T. rioensis* were observed in Sample 85-574-18H-3, 119–121 cm (141.8 mbsf). At Site 574, these two species do not show the same sharp increase in abundance as in Hole 667A, although *T. rugosus* seems to have a slightly earlier appearance than *T. rioensis*, as in Hole 667A. The cumulative percentages (Fig. 12) of these species, together
with *T. milowii* and *T. serratus*, reveal a pattern similar to the one observed in Hole 667A. In Core 85-574-19H, *T. serratus* is the dominant species up to 141.9 mbsf, where an increase in the abundance of *T. rugosus* and *T. rioensis* is observed.

**AGE ESTIMATES AND SEDIMENTATION RATES**

The age/depth plots for ODP Hole 667A and DSDP Site 574 are shown in Figures 13 and 14, respectively. For Hole 667A, the plot is based on nannofossil events gathered in this study. The plot for Site 574 is partly based on nannofossil events gathered in this study and partly on the results of Pujos (1985). The nannofossil events used for constructing the age/depth plots are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 together with their age estimates while the resulting sedimentation rates are summarized in Table 3. The age estimates for events 3, 4, and 5 were obtained by correlating the nannofossil events at DSDP Site 608 (Takayama and Sato, 1987) to the magnetostratigraphy of the same site (Clement and Robinson, 1987); the age estimate for event 10, however, is from Berggren et al. (1985). The estimates should be regarded as preliminary, since they are based on events that have not been analyzed with the same methods as in this study.

The ages assigned to the rise in abundance of *T. rugosus* (event 1) and the final decline in abundance of *C. floridanus* (event 2) were found by extrapolation from events 3 and 5 at Site 574. The range chart of Takayama and Sato (1987) indicates that *S. belemnos* was observed only as "trace" in the early part of its range at Site 608. Since the true nature of this "trace" could not be revealed from the range charts alone and because of the uncertainty (± 2.50 m) in the determination of the first rise in abundance of *S. belemnos* in Hole 667A, the age of this event was estimated by interpolation between events 5 and 10. The resulting age is 19.96 ± 0.20 Ma in Hole 667A and 19.46 ± 0.04 Ma at Site 574. The difference in these estimates is probably caused by the different methods used for determining the datum plane in Hole 667A and at Site 574.
Figure 9. Plots of the abundance patterns of the upper part of the range of *S. heteromorphus* and the cumulative percentages of all sphenoliths at Site 574. The sharp decline in the abundance of *S. heteromorphus* marks the top of Zone CN4. The reappearance of *S. heteromorphus* in Cores 85-574-14H and -16H is probably due to reworking.

In Hole 667A the sedimentation rate is relatively low (3.74, 4.90, and 2.02 m/m.y.) from the rise in abundance of *T. rugosus* (event 1) down to the disappearance of *H. ampliaperta* (event 4). Below event 4, the sedimentation rate is higher (17.20 and 12.59 m/m.y.) down to the final decline in abundance of *S. ciperoensis* (event 10). The mean sedimentation rate for this lower interval, assigned to early Miocene, is 14.90 m/m.y. At Site 574, the sedimentation rate is relatively high (12.71 m/m.y.) from event 1 down to the FO (Pujos, 1985) of *S. heteromorphus* (event 5). For the rest of the interval the sedimentation rate is 19.62 m/m.y. The mean sedimentation rate for the whole interval is 16.17 m/m.y.

DISCUSSION

The zonal boundaries of Bukry (1973) and Okada and Bukry (1980) were identified from the uppermost Oligocene to the middle Miocene in Hole 667A and were determined with a precision of ± 0.15 m, with the exception of the CN1/CN2 boundary (± 2.50 m) and the CN4/CN5 boundary (± 0.30 m). At DSDP Site 574 only the CN4/CN5 boundary could be determined. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the zonal boundaries together with their age assignments.

The final decline in the abundance of *S. ciperoensis* was used to mark the CP19/CN1a boundary in Hole 667A. It is distinct and a good biostratigraphic marker for this region. The age assigned to this event is 25.2 Ma (Berggren et al., 1985).

Because of the poor core recovery in Cores 108-667A-27X up through -24X and the sporadic occurrence of *T. carinatus* up through the remaining part of the interval investigated, it is virtually impossible to determine a distinct disappearance level of this species. The sharp decline in the abundance of *T. carinatus* (event 9), which can be seen at 251 mbsf, is probably a better biostratigraphic indicator than the LO, which was observed at 156 mbsf. A similar drop in abundance, followed by a tail of low abundance, has been reported from the mid-latitude North Atlantic Ocean at DSDP Site 563 (Parker et al., 1985). By interpolation, the age of this decline in abundance was estimated to be 21.89 ± 0.01 Ma. It should be stressed that event 9 should not be regarded as either the final decline in abundance or the LO of *T. carinatus*, an event that must be considered to be of a younger age. Using the depth data of Pujos (1985) for the LO of *T. carinatus* at Site 574, and interpolating between the final decline in abundance of *S. ciperoensis* and the first rise in abundance of *S. heteromorphus* results in an age of 19.23 ± 0.3 Ma.

The CN1/CN2 boundary was marked by a rise in the abundance of *S. belemnos*. Poor core recovery in Hole 667A made it difficult to determine this level precisely, which is reflected by a large uncertainty (227.30 mbsf ± 2.50 m). By interpolation, the age of this event was estimated to be 19.96 (Pujos, 1985), and by the long interval between the control points used for interpolation.

In Hole 667A the sedimentation rate is relatively low (3.74, 4.90, and 2.02 m/m.y.) from the rise in abundance of *T. rugosus* (event 1) down to the disappearance of *H. ampliaperta* (event 4). Below event 4, the sedimentation rate is higher (17.20 and 12.59 m/m.y.) down to the final decline in abundance of *S. ciperoensis* (event 10). The mean sedimentation rate for this lower interval, assigned to early Miocene, is 14.90 m/m.y. At Site 574, the sedimentation rate is relatively high (12.71 m/m.y.) from event 1 down to the FO (Pujos, 1985) of *S. heteromorphus* (event 5). For the rest of the interval the sedimentation rate is 19.62 m/m.y. The mean sedimentation rate for the whole interval is 16.17 m/m.y.
Figure 10. Plots of the abundance pattern of the upper part of the range of *C. floridanus* at Site 574. The reappearance of *C. floridanus* in Cores 85-574-14H and -16H is probably due to reworking, as with *S. heteromorphus* (see Fig. 9).

± 0.20 Ma in Hole 667A, while at Site 574 the resulting age estimate is 19.46 ± 0.04 Ma, based on depth data from Pujos (1985).

Bukry (1973) used the LO of *S. belemnos* and the FO of *S. heteromorphus* to mark the CN2/CN3 boundary. Fukry (1972) reported a co-occurrence of these two species at DSDP Site 140 in the Atlantic Ocean, but preferred to use the cosmopolitan *S. heteromorphus* as a marker (Bukry 1972, 1973). Co-occurrence has also been reported from DSDP Site 610 in the North Atlantic Ocean (Takayama and Sato, 1987) and in the equatorial Pacific Ocean (Pujos, 1985). At DSDP Site 608 in the North Atlantic Ocean these two species do not occur together (Takayama and Sato, 1987). In ODP Hole 667A *S. heteromorphus* is present in much higher abundance than *S. belemnos*. In this study the sharp rise in the abundance of *S. heteromorphus* was used to mark the CN2/CN3 boundary. The age estimate for this event is 18.42 ± 0.15 Ma, as derived from DSDP Site 608 (Takayama and Sato, 1987; Clement and Robinson, 1987).

If Bukry’s (1973) original definition of the CN3/CN4 boundary is retained, as marked by the LO of *H. ampliaperta*, it is possible to recognize this boundary in Hole 667A. Using the FO of *Calcidiscus macintyrei* for the purpose of defining the CN3/CN4 boundary (Bukry, 1973, 1978) would give rise to problems. A careful scanning of samples from Core 108-667A-24X revealed the presence of *C. macintyrei* below the FO of *S. heteromorphus* (CN2/CN3 boundary). The same relationship has been reported from other sections (e.g., DSDP Site 608 [Takayama and Sato, 1987] and DSDP Site 588 [Lohman, 1986]). Thus, the FO of *C. macintyrei* cannot be used to define the CN3/CN4 boundary, while the original definition of Bukry (1973) seems valid, at least in Hole 667A. The age estimate obtained for this event is 16.01 ± 0.12 Ma as derived from DSDP Site 608 (Takayama and Sato, 1987; Clement and Robinson, 1987). Because of the absence of *H. ampliaperta* at Site 574 (Pujos, 1985), the CN3/CN4 boundary could not be determined in that section.

The CN4/CN5 boundary was marked by a sharp decline in the abundance of *S. heteromorphus*. This is probably a better stratigraphic marker than the absolute LO, in Hole 667A and at Site 574. In Hole 667A a tail of strongly reduced presence can be observed above the sharp decline in abundance having a duration of about 1.2 m.y. No such tail can be seen in Site 574 so in order to correlate these two sections, the sharp decline in abundance provides the best instrument. The age estimate for this boundary is 13.17 ± 0.03 Ma, as derived from DSDP Site 608 (Takayama and Sato, 1987; Clement and Robinson, 1987).

The final decline in the abundance of *C. floridanus* is quite distinct at Site 574 and in Hole 667A and provides a good datum level for both regions. The age assigned to this event 12.65 ± 0.01 Ma, was found by extrapolation from events 3 and 5 at Site 574. In Hole 667A, a tail of strongly reduced presence and a duration of about 0.85 m.y. can be seen above the final decline in abundance of *C. floridanus*. No such tail was observed at Site 574. According to Bukry (1973) and Parker et al. (1985), the LO of *C. floridanus* is near the top of Zone CN5a, but it has been reported to occur as high as Zone CN5b (Miller et al., 1985; Roth and Thierstein, 1972). Judging from the data gathered here, the final decline in the abundance of *C. floridanus* provides a better datum level than the LO for correlation between different sections. Used together with the mutual first rise in abundance of *T. rugosus* and *T. rioensis* and the final decline in the abundance of *S. heteromorphus*, the biostratigraphic resolution could be improved for the lower part of the middle Miocene.

The mutual sharp rise in the abundance of *T. rugosus* and *T. rioensis* could be a useful biostratigraphic marker in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean as well as in the equatorial Pacific Ocean. At Site 574 in the Pacific this event occurs in an interval where the sediment is disturbed by reworking and it is not as distinct as in Hole 667A in the Atlantic. Despite this, it can be determined with quite good precision with the aid of the cumulative percentages of the triquetrorhabdulids. The age for this mutual rise in abundance is estimated to be 12.57 ± 0.01 Ma, which was obtained by extrapolation from events 3 and 5 at Site 574.

CONCLUSION

The use of quantitative methods, combined with short sampling intervals, revealed that most of the species investigated show tails of very low abundances below and above their consistent presence. In ODP Hole 667A these tails vary in duration from about 0.1 m.y. (above the final decline in the abundance of *S. ciperoensis*) up to about 2 m.y. (below the first rise in the abundance of *T. rugosus*). If the abundance pattern of *S. heteromorphus* in Hole 667A and at Site 574 are compared, a tail with a duration of about 1.2 m.y. is observed in Hole 667A, but no such tail is seen at Site 574 if the reoccurrence is omitted. Thus, the final decline in abundance, which is a distinct biostratigraphic signal in both regions, provides a good instrument for correlation of the two sections and to mark the CN4/CN5 boundary.

The final decline in the abundance of *C. floridanus* provides a good datum in both sections and can be used for correlation.
The same applies to the mutual rise in the abundance of *T. rugosus* and *T. rioensis*. These examples show, when correlating two sections, the use of the first sharp rise or the final sharp decline in the abundance of index fossils is likely to give more consistent information than the use of absolute FOs and LOs.

In Hole 667A, it is preferable to use the sharp rise in the abundance of *S. heteromorphus* to mark the CN3/CN4 boundary rather than its absolute FO. Combined with the final decline in the abundance of *S. belemnos*, it could be possible to increase the biostratigraphic resolution, although this needs further investigation in other sections. The FO of *C. macintyreii* cannot be used to mark the CN3/CN4 boundary, as proposed by Bukry (1975, 1978). Instead, Bukry’s (1973) original definition of this boundary can be used, provided that *H. ampliaperta* is present.

The age estimates assigned to the different nannofossil events should be regarded as preliminary. In order to minimize the uncertainty of the age estimates, the nannofossil events need to be analyzed in sections with established magnetostratigraphy and with little or no postdepositional mixing of the sediment.
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Notes on taxonomy

Genus CYCLICARGOLITHUS Bukry, 1971

*Cyclicargolithus floridanus* (Roth and Hay), Bukry, 1971

(Plate 1, Fig. 1)

*Coccolithus floridanus* Roth and Hay in Hay et al., 1967, p. 445, pl. 6, figs. 1–4.

*Cyclcoccolithus neogammaton* Bramlette and Wilcoxon, 1967, p. 104, pl. 1, figs. 1–3, pl. 4, figs. 3–5.

*Cyclcoccolithus floridanus* (Roth and Hay), Müller, 1970a, p. 113, pl. 2, figs. 1–3.

*Cyclcoccolithus floridanus* (Roth and Hay), Roth, 1970, p. 854, pl. 5, fig. 6.

*Cyclcoccolithina floridana* (Roth and Hay), Bukry, 1971, p. 312.

*Cyclcoccolithina floridana* (Roth and Hay), Roth and Thiernest, 1972, p. 436.

*Reticulofenestra floridana* (Roth and Hay), Theodoridis, 1984, p. 85, pl. 5, fig. 8.
Figure 13. Age/depth plot of the uppermost Oligocene through middle Miocene in ODP Hole 667A in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean based on nannofossil events gathered in this study. The age estimates of the events are obtained by correlating the nannofossil events of Site 608 in the North Atlantic Ocean (Takayama and Sato, 1987) to the magnetostratigraphy of the same site (Clement and Robinson, 1987). Circled events refer to those with interpolated age estimates. The age estimates of events 1 and 2 are obtained by extrapolation using events 3 and 5 at DSDP Site 574. The nannofossil events together with their age assignments are summarized in Table 1.

Remarks. Cyclicargolithus floridanus is a nearly circular placolith ranging in size from 5 to 10 μm in diameter and with a small central opening. When viewed in cross-polarized light, it shows a distinct extinction pattern. It is virtually impossible to distinguish C. floridanus from Cyclicargolithus abisectus by the original descriptions of the two species. They both show the same optical behavior and their sizes overlap considerably. The original definition of Coccolithus floridanus describes an elliptic placolith that varies in size from 3.6 to 5 μm (Roth and Hay in Hay et al., 1967). Bramlette and Wilcoxon (1967) described Cyclococcolithus neogammaton as a circular placolith with a diameter varying from 6 to 12 μm. Both these forms were described from upper Oligocene strata. Müller (1970a) combined these two forms together with the Eocene form Coccolithus maris-montium (Black, 1964) into Cyclococcolithus floridanus referring to a circular form 5–10 μm in diameter.

The original definition of Coccolithus abisectus describes a circular to weakly elliptic placolith ranging in size from 8.5 to 11 μm (Müller, 1970b). Bukry and Percival (1971) placed this species in the genus Dictyococcites Black (1967), referring to a circular to subcircular placolith ranging in size from 12 to 16 μm. Bukry (1971) introduced the genus Cyclicargolithus as “circular to subcircular placoliths constructed of two shields connected by a central tube that may be closed or open” and assigned C. floridanus to this genus. Wise (1973) transferred C. abisectus to the genus Cyclicargolithus because in cross-polarized light it resembles C. floridanus. Theodoridis (1984) assigned these two forms to the genus Reticulofenestra Hay et al. (1966), arguing that Cyclicargolithus is a junior synonym of Reticulofenestra. In their original definition of the genus Reticulofenestra, Hay et al. (1966) described “placoliths with a large central opening spanned by a reticular or lacy net.” The central opening is commonly one-third or more of the diameter of the entire fossil, and the reticular net in the central opening is very seldom preserved (e.g., Backman, 1980). Considering the lack of a “large central opening,” it is not desirable to refer C. floridanus and C. abisectus to the genus Reticulofenestra.

Genus HELICOSPHAERA Kamptner, 1954
Helicosphaera ampliaperta Bramlette and Wilcoxon, 1967
(Plate 1, Fig. 2)

Helicosphaera ampliaperta Bramlette and Wilcoxon, 1967, p. 105, pl. 6, figs. 1–4.
a smaller (5–6 μm) and less robust variety of \( H. \) ampliaperta and referred to it as \( H. \) ampliaperta. No such morphotype was recorded with certainty in Hole 677A.

**Genus SPHENOLITHUS** Deflandre, 1952

**Remarks.** The species \( Sphenolithus \) belemnos Bramlette and Wilcoxon, 1967 (pl. 1, fig. 4), \( Sphenolithus \) ciperoensis, Bramlette and Wilcoxon, 1967 (Plate 1, Fig. 3), and \( Sphenolithus \) heteromorphus, Deflandre, 1953 (pl. 1, fig. 5) are all used as marker species. They are easily distinguished from other sphenoliths and from each other by their different optical pattern when viewed in cross-polarized light.

**Sphenolithus sp. 1**

(Plate 1, Fig. 6)

**Remarks.** \( Sphenolithus \) sp. 1 ranges in size from 6 to 9 μm in length and 4.5 to 6.5 μm at the widest part of the base. The apical spine of \( Sphenolithus \) sp. 1 is made of one single crystal, whereas the basal part is made of two circles of spines. The lower circle consists of 10–12 relatively large tabular-formed spines that are oriented obliquely relative to the length axis. The upper circle is made of much smaller nodelike spines. When viewed in plane-polarized light, the basal part of \( Sphenolithus \) sp. 1 is prominent and has the appearance of two large lobes. In cross-polarized light, when oriented parallel to the polarization plane, the appearance is dominated by the large basal part, which seems to be made of four lobes, the two lower being much larger than the two upper. This basal part also shows distinct extinction bands.

The apical spine is extinct when oriented parallel to the polarization plane. When oriented 45° to the polarization plane, the apical spine is bright, whereas the basal part becomes grayish and loses its distinct extinction bands. \( Sphenolithus \) sp. 1 is larger than the middle Eocene form \( Sphenolithus \) spiniger Bukry (1971; 5–6 μm), which it otherwise closely resembles. It is easily distinguished from \( Sphenolithus \) heteromorphus, with which it co-occurs, by its more prominent and larger basal part and different optical appearance of the same part when viewed in cross-polarized light. \( Sphenolithus \) sp. 1 was found only in Samples 108-667A-21H-6, 75–76 cm, and 108-667A-21H-6, 108–109 cm, which are assigned to the lower Miocene Zone CN3.

**Genus TRIQUETRORHABDULUS** Martini, 1965

**Triquetrorhabdulus carinatus** Martini, 1965

(Plate 1, Fig. 7)

**Remarks.** \( Triquetrorhabdulus \) carinatus is an elongated form with both ends tapering. When viewed in cross-polarized light, it shows maximum extinction parallel to the polarization plane. The median ridge is often obscured by diagenetic overgrowth of calcite. Thus, form, length, and optical behavior are used to distinguish it from other triquetrorhabdulids.

**Triquetrorhabdulus milowii** Bukry, 1971

(Plate 1, Fig. 8)

**Remarks.** \( Triquetrorhabdulus \) milowii is the shortest and broadest member of the genus \( Triquetrorhabdulus \). It is often slightly curved. When observed in plane-polarized light, oriented parallel to the polarization plane, the blade seen in edge view shows strong relief. This species is distinguished from other members of the genus by its shape and strong birefringence when viewed in cross-polarized light.

**Triquetrorhabdulus rioensis** n. sp.

(Plate 1, Figs. 9–10)

**Derivation of name.** In honor of Professor Domenico Rio, University of Parma.

**Diagnosis.** A blade-shaped species of the genus \( Triquetrorhabdulus \) with strong birefringence when viewed in cross-polarized light.

**Description.** \( Triquetrorhabdulus \) rioensis ranges in size from 13 to 22 μm. It is elongated and blade shaped, often with one end slightly more pointed than the other. When viewed in plane-polarized light, it shows its highest relief when oriented 90° to the polarization plane. When viewed in cross-polarized light, it shows strong birefringence with maximum extinction nearly parallel to the polarization plane.

**Remarks.** \( Triquetrorhabdulus \) rioensis has a morphology that is virtually identical to that of \( T. \) rugosus, making them impossible to separate in the scanning electron microscope. In the light microscope they are easily distinguished by the strong birefringence of \( T. \) rioensis.
**Table 2. Summary of lower to middle Miocene datums investigated at DSDP Site 574 and their age estimates.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biostratigraphic events</th>
<th>Zonal boundary</th>
<th>Depth (mbsf)</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) T. rugosus</td>
<td></td>
<td>141.90 ± 0.10</td>
<td>12.57 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) C. floridanus</td>
<td></td>
<td>142.90 ± 0.10</td>
<td>12.65 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) S. heteromorphus</td>
<td>CN4/CN5</td>
<td>149.50 ± 0.10</td>
<td>13.17 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) S. heteromorphus</td>
<td>CN2/CN3</td>
<td>216.25 ± 0.75</td>
<td>18.42 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) S. heteromorphus</td>
<td>CN1/CN1e</td>
<td>230.75 ± 0.75</td>
<td>25.96 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) T. serratus</td>
<td>CN1b/CN1c</td>
<td>281.25 ± 0.75</td>
<td>29.73 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) S. ceroerosis</td>
<td>CP19/CN1</td>
<td>349.25 ± 0.75</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ages obtained by extrapolation using events 3 and 5.
* Ages obtained by correlating the nannofossil events at DSDP Site 608 (Takayama and Sato, 1987) to the magnetostratigraphy (Clement and Robinson, 1987) of the same site.
* Age estimate from Berggren et al., 1985.

**Table 3. Comparison of mean sedimentation rates at Sites 667 and 574. The intervals marked are those between the species events in Table 1 and Table 2.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site 667 Interval</th>
<th>Sedimentation rate (m/m.y.)</th>
<th>Site 574 Interval</th>
<th>Sedimentation rate (m/m.y.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1—2</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1—5</td>
<td>12.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2—3</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>5—10</td>
<td>19.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3—4</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4—5</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5—10</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| (1) T. rugosus   | (4) H. ampliaperta          |
| (2) C. floridanus| (5) S. heteromorphus        |
| (3) S. heteromorphus | (10) S. ceroerosis |

**Remarks.** Triquetrorhabdulus rugosus is elongated in outline and often more pointed at one end. It is weakly birefringent when viewed in cross-polarized light and shows maximum extinction 10°–15° from the polarization plane. It is distinguished from other triquetrorhabdulids by its low birefringence and its rugose appearance and high relief when viewed in plane-polarized light. Triquetrorhabdulus rugosus has its FO in Core 108-667A-18H. In the equatorial Pacific it has its FO in Core 85-574-17H. The abundance of T. rugosus is much higher in the equatorial Atlantic than the equatorial Pacific, as is the case with T. rioensis.

**Triquetrorhabdulus serratus** (Bramlette and Wilcoxon) n.comb. (Plate 1, Fig. 12)

**Orthorhabdus serratus** sp. Martini, 1965, p. 408, pl. 36, figs. 4–6.

**Triquetrorhabdulus serratus** Bramlette and Wilcoxon, 1967, p. 114, pl. 9, figs. 5–10.

**Triquetrorhabdulus martini** Gartner, 1967, p. 6, pl. 10, figs. 1a–c, 2a–c, and 3a–b.

**Rhabdothorax serratus** (Bramlette and Wilcoxon), Roth, 1970, p. 807.

**Triquetrorhabdulus martini** (Gartner), Theodoridis, 1984, p. 90, pl. 10, figs. 11–16.

**Remarks.** The fine structure of the broader end of T. serratus is often obscured by diagenetic calcite overgrowth. When viewed in plane-polarized light, this species shows its highest relief at 90° to the polarization plane. It is strongly birefringent in cross-polarized light and shows maximum extinction almost parallel to the polarization plane. Triquetrorhabdulus serratus is distinguished from other members of the genus Triquetrorhabdulus not only by its form but also by its optical behavior in plane-polarized light. In its original definition of Triquetrorhabdulus sp., Martini (1965) described a 12-22-μm-long form consisting of three calcite rods and having one end slightly truncated, showing maximum extinction parallel to the polarization plane. Bramlette and Wilcoxon (1967) described a 10–20-μm-long, three-edged rod with one pointed end and the other larger and often concave. Gartner (1967) described a calcite rod, varying in size and shape, tapered at one end and the other as irregularly knobby.

Roth (1970) recombined the above-mentioned forms into Rhabdothorax serratus (Bramlette and Wilcoxon). Rhabdothorax is a genus introduced by Kampnir (1958) used to describe egg-shaped to spherical cocci in cocci with coccoliths that have a polygonal basal part and a stave-like central protrusion. The type species of this genus is Rhabdothorax erinaceus (ex. Rhabdosphera). The members of the genus Rhabdothorax are distinguished from the members of the genus Rhabdosphera by the round basal part of the cocci in the latter. Triquetrorhabdulus martini is considered to be a junior synonym of T. serratus. Triquetrorhabdulus serratus has its FO in Core 108-667A-27X, which marks the top of Zone CN1b. It occurs sporadically up to Core 108-667A-18H, which is the highest core investigated from the equatorial Atlantic. In the equatorial Pacific, T. serratus occurs consistently but in low abundance throughout the interval of Site 574 where the triquetrorhabdulids were investigated.

**REFERENCES**