# 15. NEOGENE CALCAREOUS NANNOFOSSIL BIOSTRATIGRAPHY, LEG 116 (CENTRAL INDIAN OCEAN)<sup>1</sup>

Stefan Gartner<sup>2</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

The three sites (717, 718, and 719) drilled on the distal Bengal Fan during ODP Leg 116 cored turbidite sediments almost exclusively. Calcareous nannofossils were recovered sporadically and, although all of them probably have been redeposited, it is possible to date the sediments at all three sites with reasonable confidence. Site 717 penetrated the uppermost middle Miocene *Catinaster coalitus* highest occurrence datum and represents the most nearly continuous succession of turbidites. Site 718 penetrated the lower Miocene, well below the *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* highest occurrence datum, but this site contains a major late Pliocene to mid-Pleistocene hiatus. Site 719, the shallowest hole, penetrated only into the upper Miocene. Identification of several critical lowest occurrence datums allows using the poorly constrained but more numerous highest occurrence datums for comparison with the model succession (zonal markers) and thereby to derive a reasonably accurate time framework for the sediments.

## INTRODUCTION

Three sites were drilled on the distal portion of the Bengal Fan during ODP Leg 116. The sites are located on the western side of the distal fan (Fig. 1) in an area where seismic profiles show conspicuous deformation of crust beneath the fan (Fig. 2). Deformation extends upward into the fan sediments but not to the fan surface. This deformation history of the older Bengal Fan sediments and the crust beneath was the principal objective of ODP Leg 116 drilling. From a paleontology-biostratigraphy standpoint all of the fan sediments, with the possible exception of a surface veneer of Holocene material, can be assumed to be turbidites. This assumption virtually requires that all fossils are redeposited. Actually, nearly all of the sediments recovered at the three sites have been identified unequivocally as turbidites; even the occasional thin laminae of calcareous ooze-type sediments often show graded bedding. Consequently it is probably safe to assume that all of the fossils are redeposited. Nevertheless, the calcareous nannofossils yielded an entirely usable, internally consistent-if sometimes rather crude-biostratigraphy for all three sites. The objective of this study is to present as much biostratigraphic information as could be gleaned from the nannofossils in these unpromising sediments. Unfortunately, the other planktonic fossil groups are so sparse and sporadic that they provide little ancillary biostratigraphic information. This contribution is partly a documentation of the nannofossils, which are the sole basis for the chronostratigraphy of these sediments. The age assignments presented here are not significantly different from those presented in Cochran, Stowe et al., 1989). Minor revisions resulted where fossiliferous samples were discovered in the cores and which permitted refinement of earlier determinations.

All three Leg 116 sites, 717, 718, and 719, are located in water depths close to the regional carbonate compensation depth (CCD) (Table 1). Consequently even the veneer of Holocene surface sediments yielded largely corroded microfossils. Moreover, the predominantly silty, sandy turbidites beneath this surface veneer do not seem to favor preservation of any microfossils, and the nannofossils that were recovered are solution-resistant species, corroded and often with fewer than a half-dozen species representing the residue of the original assemblage. Occasional thin, clayey layers, most of them probably turbidites also, yielded relatively "rich" assemblages of up to a dozen species or more (Table 2). Lithologies that yielded nannofossils most consistently are the white or buff calcareous ooze layers, which are normally not more than a millimeter or two thick, green clayey layers a few millimeters to a few decimeters thick, and black clayey layers usually millimeters to centimeters thick. Lithologies that proved least productive of nannofossils, indeed all fossils, are bluish gray clays and massive, silty, sandy turbidites, although the clayey portion at the top of individual turbidite beds did yield a few scattered specimens. Some promisinglooking thin, white layers consist entirely of minute, euhedral carbonate rhombs (probably authigenic) with no fossil material among them.

Obviously a setting such as the Bengal Fan sediments drilled at the Leg 116 sites is far from ideal for any sort of microfossil biostratigraphy. A cautious approach requires the assumption that all fossils have been redeposited. It follows that all biohorizons identified may be younger in these sections, even if only incrementally, than in a normal pelagic succession. This, combined with significant intervals of poor or no fossil recovery, signals that the error bar one would attach to a particular biohorizon could be alarmingly large, but such errors are ultimately unpredictable. This problem is particularly keen for highest occurrence datums, which are the backbone of most microfossil biostratigraphies. Sparse recovery may actually make highest occurrences appear older than they are, whereas redeposition may make them appear younger. Lowest occurrences would be distorted in the same direction (i.e., toward a younger-than-actual age), both by sparse recovery and by redeposition. Fortunately downhole contamination generally is not a problem in ODP coring, and well-documented lowest occurrences are helpful for checking the reliability of the entire biostratigraphy, including highest occurrences. It is remarkable, therefore, to what extent the succession of biohorizons in Bengal Fan sediments, highest and lowest occurrences, matches the succession of these same biohorizons in pelagic sediments. This, and the similarity of biostratigraphy at the three sites, inspires a small measure of confidence in the results obtained from the nannofossils.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cochran, J. R., Stow, D.A.V., et al., 1990. Proc. ODP, Sci. Results, 116: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Oceanography, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, 77843, U.S.A.



Figure 1. Location map, ODP Sites 717, 718, and 719. DSDP sites are also marked.



Figure 2. Seismic reflection profile through ODP Sites 717, 718, and 719.

Table 1. Sites and holes drilled during ODP Leg 116 on the Bengal Fan.

Hole	Latitude (S)	Longitude (E)	Water depth (m)	Penetration (mbsf)
717A	0°55.785'	81°23.408'	4734.7	9.5
717B	0°5.6'	81°24.0'	4734.7	13.5
717C	0°55.785'	81°23.408'	4734.7	533.2
718A	1°01.252'	81°24.065'	4741.3	9.5
718B	1°01.252'	81°24.065'	4741.3	18.8
718C	1°01.252'	81°24.065'	4741.3	935.0
718D	1°01.252'	81°24.065'	4741.3	19.0
718E	1°01.252'	81°24.065′	4741.3	961.6
719A	0°57.646'	81°23.967'	4747.3	460.2
719B	0°57.646'	81°23.967'	4747.3	465.6

Table 2. Nannofossil datum levels, their ages, and depths in the distal Bengal Fan, ODP Sites 717, 718, and 719.

	Age	1	Depth (mbsi	0
Nannofossil Datum	(m.y.)	Site 717	Site 718	Site 719
Pseudoemiliania lacunosa H.O.	0.465	74.50	47.30	32.70
small Gephyrocapsa Acme H.O.	0.93	203.42		151.60
Helicosphaera sellii H.O.	1.27	203.53		
Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l. L.O.	1.59	217.25	113.80	154.62
Discoater brouweri H.O.	1.88	219.08		163.10
Discoaster pentaradiatus H.O.	2.29	233.65		163.23
Discoaster surculus H.O.	2.42	245.50		166.39
Discoaster variabilis H.O.	2.90	278.76		
Sphenolithus abies H.O.	3.45	306.95		194.20
Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica H.O.	3.51	321.50		203.70
Pseudoemiliania lacunosa L.O.	4.00	350.00	126.06	231.91
Ceratolithus armatus L.O.	5.06	416.50		275.77
Discoaster quinqueramus H.O.	5.26	424.41	159.28	283.84
Discoaster berggrenii H.O.	5.80	464.00	169.00	352.99
Amaurolithus amplificus L.O.	5.90	480.57		393.86
Amaurolithus primus L.O.	6.74		183.63	
Discoaster quinqueramus L.O.	8.20	673.00	341.80	
Discoaster hamatus H.O.	8.85	819.41	360.80	
Catinaster coalitus H.O.	9.00	820.40	391.44	
Catinaster coalitus L.O.	10.80		561.31	
Cyclicargolithus floridanus H.O.	11.03		645.80	
Sphenolithus heteromorphus H.O.	13.17	678.74		
Helicosphaera ampliaperta H.O.	16.20		778.80	

H.O. = highest occurrence; L.O. = lowest occurrence.

#### PROCEDURE

The nannofossil biostratigraphy for this study (Fig. 3) follows essentially the compilation of Perch-Nielsen (1985), which is closely tied to the comprehensive zonations of Bukry (1971; see also Okada and Bukry, 1980) and Martini (1971). Additional biohorizons have been inserted that are not part of the above zonations but that have been variously documented (see caption for Fig. 3). Some of these (e.g., the lowest occurrence of *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* and the lowest occurrence of *Pseudoemiliania lacunosa*) proved particularly useful for assigning ages in the difficult turbidite sections of the Bengal Fan.

The chronostratigraphy follows closely the compilation of Berggren et al. (1985a; see Fig. 3). There are some minor discrepancies from ages assigned to some nannofossil biohorizons, based largely on data developed within the past few years and not available at the time of the earlier compilation. Particularly important are the results from DSDP Leg 94 (Clement and Robinson, 1987; Takayama and Sato, 1987; Baldauf et al., 1987).

The greatest departure from the age assignments of Berggren et al. (1985a) is in the middle Miocene and the lower Miocene (highest occurrence of *Cyclicargolithus floridanus*; lowest occurrence of *Triquetrorhabdulus rugosus*; and highest occurrences of *Sphenolithus heteromorphus* and *Helicosphaera ampliaperta*). Berggren et al. (1985a) based their age assignments on uncertain correlations to magnetic reversal stratigraphy in Miller et al. (1985). More recent age assignments are from DSDP Leg 94. Unfortunately these data, too, are imperfect. Both age assignments are presented, the alternative ages from Leg 94 being identified by the letter A in square brackets on Figure 3. A summary of age assignments for the three sites of Leg 116 is given also in Figure 3.

Sample spacing throughout the cores from the three sites is notably uneven, which is occasioned by the distribution of favorable fossiliferous lithologies within the turbidite sequences. Also, a large number of barren samples were not included in the checklist, although some barren samples have been included to convey the flavor of the sections recovered in the cores.

# SAMPLE PREPARATION AND DOCUMENTATION

All samples were prepared using the same technique to maintain uniformity. A small sample was spread onto a cover glass with a drop of water and filming agent. All samples disaggregated readily, and the grain sizes of all components of the sediment were sufficiently fine so that separation by size fraction was neither necessary nor practical. The cover glass was mounted according to standard procedures. All determinations were made using a light microscope. Relative abundances of individual species are recorded semi-quantitatively in six abundance categories at a nominal magnification of  $1250 \times :$  very abundant = >80% of a rich assemblage; abundant = >100 specimens encountered; common = 20 to 100 specimens encountered; few = 6 to 20 specimens encountered; rare = 3 to 5 specimens encountered; very rare = 1 to 2 specimens encountered. Scanning time varied from approximately 15 min for productive samples to as much as 30 min for relatively unproductive but critical samples.

## **BIOSTRATIGRAPHIC EVALUATION**

#### Site 717

Site 717 is located on flat seafloor above the axis of a structural depression, so that the post-deformation sedimentary deposit is thickest at this site (Fig. 2). The sediment section cored at this site was divided into several distinct lithologic units based on dominant lithology of the turbidites (Cochran, Stow, et al., 1989). Nannofossil recovery is closely related to this lithostratigraphy. A checklist of the calcareous nannofossils recovered at this site is given in Figures 4, 5, and 6. Holes 717A (Fig. 4) and 717B (Fig. 5) tested mainly the surface sediments. Good-to-fair nannofossil recovery was obtained from the clayey sediments (Unit I) at the top of these two holes, although dissolution is obvious, and at some levels the assemblage is residual. Sandy, silty layers are barren. Most abundant are Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l. and Florisphaera sp.; however, the significance of this abundance is unclear because of the residual nature of the assemblage. Emiliania huxleyi is present to about 5.3 m below the seafloor (mbsf) (Sample 116-717A-1H-4, 80 cm) but not at 9.5 mbsf (Sample 116-717A-1H, CC). Redeposited Neogene species (not tabulated) are present near the surface, attesting to the continued redeposition of nannofossils even today.

Hole 717C yielded 91 cores and penetrated to the top of the middle Miocene. *Catinaster coalitus* was recovered from Sample 116-91-2H, 20 cm (820.4 mbsf), a few meters from the bottom of the hole (Fig. 6). Nannofossil recovery throughout the cores from this hole is sporadic and preservation is poor. Redeposition is a constant factor. Only by disallowing nonconsecutive occurrences of common species and by requiring an internal consistency in the succession of biohorizons (conformity of the

ЕРОСН	AGE	ARITY	CHRON/	NA ZO	NNO	BIOHORIZON (Age) (Source)			ODP Leg	116		
	(my)	POL		Bakry (1980)	Martin (1971)		SITE 71	7	SITE 71	8	SITE 71	9
				CN15	NN21	Emiliania huxleul (0.275) (5)	2; cc	(1750m)			1-1;71	(0 71m)
ST	Ē		1	UN- a	NN20	- Pseudoemiliania lacunosa (0.465) (5, 9, 16)	8; cc	(74.50m)	4-1; 1 4; cc. (?)	(37.80m) (47.30m)	4,00	(32.70m)
E	-1 0.91	E		?	N b	≪∽ small Gephyrocepsa Acme (0.93) (9, 16) ≪→ small Gephyrocepsa Acme (1.1) (17)	24-4; 92	(203.42m)			17-4; 40	(151.60m)
д.				C N D	1	- Helicosphere sellii (1.27) (8, 9)	24-4; 103	(203.55m)	11.00	(117.80-1)	17-6: 42-43	(15467m)
	166		2	3 0	9.	Gephyrocepse oceanice s 1 (1.59) (17, 9)	27-1:24-26	(217.25m)	11,00	(113.00m)	17-0, 42 43	(1.94.62m)
	2 201-204	F		c d	NN 18		27-2; 58	(219.08m)			18-1; 40	(155.60m)
	2.47		1.000	N D	NN17	Discoster surclus     Discoster surclus     Discoster surclus     Discoster surclus     Discoster tamelis (2.60)     (5)	28-5, 114-116 29; cc	(233.65m) (245.50m)			19-5;103 19-2; 10-11	(163.23 m) (166.39m)
NE	- 3 292 2.99-3.08 3.18	Ε	3	2 8	NN16	← Disconster variabilis (2.9) (4)						
OCE	3.40	Г		C .		Sphenolithus ables (3.45) (5,9) Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica (3.51) (5,9)	36-4; 94-96 37; cc	(306.95m) (321.50m)			21, cc 22; cc	(194 20m) (203 70m)
LI .	4 3.88-3.97			N -	NN 15	← Discoaster asymmetricus (Acme) (3.88) (9) ← Pseudoemiliania lacunosa (4.0) (9)	40; cc	(350.00m)	13-2; 126	(126.06m)	24-1; 70-72	(213.91m)
-	4.24 4.40-4.47		4	C c	NN13	← Ameurolithus tricorniculatus (4.24) (18)						
	457 4.77			N	-	Ceretolithus armatus (4.60) (9) Ceretolithus rugosus (4.60-4.72) (9)			14-5, 140-142	(140.21m)		
	-5			0 =	NN12	Ceretolithus armatus (5 06) (9)	47, cc	(416,50m)			30-4; 106-108	(275,77m)
	5 53	-	5			Amourolithus amplificus (5.6) (5)	48-6; 41-42	(424.41m)	16-5, 1-8	(159.28m)	31-3,113-115	(283 84m)
	5 589		Ľ	C b	N	- Disconster berggrenit (-5.8) (9) Amaurolithus emplificus (5.9) (5)	52;cc 154-5;105-108	(464 D0m) (480 57m)	17-6; 20	(169.00m)	38-5; 78-80 43-1; 16	(352.99m)l (393.86m)f
	6 37	L	6		D							
	6.70-6.78			N	" -	Ameuralithus primus (6.74) (8, 14)			19-3, 33	(183.63m)	49; cc	(460.20m)
	6.85 6.85		7		1.				19-4, 120	(105.00m)	?	
	7.20	F		9 8								
	8 7.90		0		1							
ш	B 21 B 41		9	C þ	-	- Discoaster quinqueramus (8.2) (5) Discoaster bollii (8.3) (5)	74; cc	(673.00m)	35; cc	(341.80m)		
	8.50		10	N 7 8 0	NN 10	Catinaster caluculus (8.75) (5) S Discoaster exilis (8.8) (5)	01-1-21	(810 (im))	77.00	(100 00-1)		
z	-9 A.92		11/			Colinester hamatus (8.85) (5) Colinester coolitus (9.0) (5)	91-2; 20	(820.40m)	41-2; 64	(391.44m)		
				CN7	NN9		91; cc					
100	10		/			Discoaster hamatus	3					
ш	10 42		V	CN6	NNB	Coccolithus miopelegicus (10.22) (2)						
	10 54-10 59	F	C5			- Catinaster coalitus (10.8) (5)			59-1, 100-102	(561.31m)		
L	11 11.03	H	-	\ \	NN7	← Cyclicargolithus floridanus (11,03)(2, 18)[A]			67; cc	(645.80m)	-	
	11.55		С	¢	-7-	Discoaster kugleri(?)						
	11.73		5	N		Cyclicargolithus floridanus (11.6) (5)						
0	12.12		A	8	NN6	e Triquetrorhabdulus rugosus (123) (interpol.) [A]						
	12.45-12.49	F		5								
-	13 13 01		C5AA			Sphenolithus heteromorphus (13.17) (2.18) [A]						
	13.46		C5AB						71-3;144-145	(678.74m)		
	13.69		CSAC		NN5	, Triquetrorhebdulus rugosus (14.0) (5)						
Σ	14.09			CN4		-					1	
	14.66		C5AD	ALC: Y		Sphenolithus neteromorphus (14.47 (3)						
	14.87 15 14.96 15 13		6									
	15.27		C58									
	16.22	Ц				← <u>Helicospheere ampliaperte</u> (15.0) (2, 18) [A] ← Helicospheere ampliaperte (15.2) (5)			81, cc	(778.60m)	1	
	16.52 16.56	_							98, cc			
	16.80		C5C	-		<ul> <li>Sphenolithus beteromorphus (17.1) (5)</li> </ul>			38; cc			
				CN3	NN4	<						

Figure 3. Nannofossil datum succession and chronology. The best date for each datum is given in parentheses. The sources of the data are indicated in brackets: [1-Baldauf et al., 1987; 2-Berggren et al., 1985b; 3-Gartner et al., 1983; 4-Gartner, unpubl. data; 5-Poore et al., 1984; 6-Prell et al., 1986; 7-Rio et al., 1988; 8-Takayama and Sato, 1987]. [A] indicates an alternative age assignment for that particular biohorizon.

	Nannofossi	l Zone		iscus leptoporus	lithus cristatus	nia huxleyi	ohaera profunda	rocapsa caribbeanica	rocapsa oceanica	rocapsa spp. (small)	sphaera carteri	sphaera pulchra	cosphaera sp.	icosphaera sibogae
Age	Okada and Bukry (1980)	Martini (1971)	Core-section location (cm)	Calcid	Cerato	Emilia	Florist	Gephy	Gephy	Gephy	Helico	Syraco	Thora	Umbil
			1-1, 90											
Holocono/			1-2, 60	C		R	C	F	Α	С	F	R	R	C
Plaistoonna	CN15	NN21	1-4, 80	C	x	F			A		F			С
Fleistocene			1-5, 38											
			1, CC	R		•	×	×	F	R	х			
				_			_			_	_	-	-	

Figure 4. Checklist of calcareous nannofossils, Hole 717A.

	Nannofossi	l Zone		liscus leptoporus	olithus cristatus	lithella annula	mia huxleyi	phaera profunda	vrocapsa oceanica	osphaera carteri	osphaera wallichi	dosphaera claviger	olithus fossilis	osphaera pulchra	cosphaera sp.	licosphaera sibogae
Age	Okada and Bukry (1980)	Martini (1971)	Core-section location (cm)	Calcic	Cerat	Cyclo	Emilia	Floris	Gephy	Helico	Helico	Rhabe	Scaph	Syrac	Thora	Umbi
Iolocene/	CN15	NN21	1-1, 21 1-3, 85	F	R	R	•	ċ	À	ŕ	•	ż	ż	ż	:	F
leistocene		10.000	1, CC 2, CC	с	R ·	F ·	А •	А	A F	C ·	R ·	x ·	F ·	с	R ·	C X
							_		_		_			-		

Key to symbols: X = very rare; R = rare; F = few; C = common; A = abundant; W = very abundant; ? = questionably present; . = not present.

Figure 5. Checklist of calcareous nannofossils, Hole 717B.

succession to the reference succession) is it possible to establish a reasonable, although perhaps somewhat synthetic, chronological framework for this hole.

P

The youngest biohorizon recognized in Hole 717C is the highest occurrence of Pseudoemiliania lacunosa in Core 116-717C-8H, CC (74.5 mbsf), which is within lithologic Unit II, a sequence of massive silty turbidites. This datum is poorly constrained because of the poor fossil recovery from this unit. However, below this sample the marker species is recorded more or less consistently. The single, isolated higher occurrence in Sample 116-717C-5H, CC (46 mbsf), is assumed to be redeposited. Poor recovery of nannofossils continues throughout Unit II, which extends to Core 116-717C-20X (approximately 152 mbsf). Below this level nannofossil recovery improves in the more clayey and calcareous Unit III, although preservation remains poor. Stratigraphic resolution is not improved as much, perhaps all, of Unit III consists of turbidites as well, and the lower sedimentation rate associated with these finer sediments may further exaggerate the bias introduced by redeposition.

The next lower datum, the top of the interval of dominance of small *Gephyrocapsa* (with large *Gephyrocapsa* reduced or absent) is interpreted to be in Sample 116-717C-24X-4, 92 cm (203.42 mbsf), followed immediately by the *Helicosphaera sellii* highest occurrence in Sample 116-717C-24X-4, 103 cm (203.53 mbsf). The proximity of the two datums suggests either a hiatus or greatly attenuated sedimentation. However, either one or both of these datums may be incorrectly interpreted. Very low sediment accumulation persists to the next lower datum, the lowest occurrence of Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l. in Sample 116-717C-27X-1, 24-26 cm (217.25 mbsf).

Rio et al. (1988) noted that this datum is especially useful in sections where redeposition is a problem. This is important in Hole 717C because Discoaster brouweri and Discoaster pentaradiatus both occur in Sample 116-717C-26X, CC (217 mbsf), above the lowest occurrence of Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l. They are obviously redeposited. The highest occurrences of Discoaster brouweri and of Discoaster pentaradiatus are placed in Samples 116-717C-27X-2, 58 cm (219.08 mbsf), and 116-717C-28X-5, 114-116 cm (241.15 mbsf), respectively, where the two species are well represented, although there is some evidence for redeposition (e.g., the occurrence of Sphenolithus abies). These datums may be moved upward or downward without violating the data or the order of succession because the next lower datum, the highest occurrence of Discoaster surculus, is in Sample 116-717C-29X, CC (245.5 mbsf), in which there are no unequivocally redeposited older species. The highest occurrence of Discoaster variabilis, placed in Sample 116-717C-33X-4, 125-127 cm (278.76 mbsf), is very weakly manifested, partly perhaps because nannofossil recovery deteriorates from Core 116-717C-31X downward approximately to Core 116-717C-40X. Consequently the next three datums are best evaluated together. The guiding datum is the lowest occurrence of Pseudoemiliania lacunosa in Sample 116-717C-40X, CC (350 mbsf). Above this are the highest occurrences of Sphenolithus abies and of Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica in Sample 116-717C-36X-4; 94-96 cm (306.95 mbsf), and 116-717C-37X, CC (321.5 mbsf), respectively. From

																		_	_	_			_					
Lith. unit	Age	Nannofossi Okada and Bukry (1980)	l zone Martini (1971)	Core-section, interval (cm)	Amaurolithus amplificus	Amaurolithus delicatus	Amaurolithus primus	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Calcidiscus tropicus	Catinaster coalitus	Ceratolithus armatus	Ceratolithus cristatus	Ceratolithus rugosus	Ceratolithus sp.	Coccolithus pelagicus	Crenalithus sp.	Discoaster asymmetricus	Discoaster bellus	Discoaster berggrenii	Discoaster blackstockae	Discoaster bollii	Discoaster brouweri	Discoaster deflandrei	Discoaster hamatus	Discoaster intercalaris	Discoaster loeblichii	Discoaster neohamatus	Discoaster neorectus
		CN15	NN21	2, CC 3, CC 4-1, 3 4, CC	•••••	••••		x · c x	• • • •	* * * *	3 2 2 3	c	••••••			••••	•••••	• • • •	1			x	••••				•	
		CN14b	NN20	6, CC 7-1, 20 7, CC 8-2, 59-61	•	••••••	* * * * *	· F · C	• • • •	* * * * *	* * * *	F	•••••		· · · x		•	* * * *				x ·	· · ·			• • •	• • • •	• • • • •
п				8, CC 10-1, 71-72 10, CC 11, CC 12, CC	••••	• • • •		R R X R	• • • •	• • • •			•••••	• • • •		••••••	•••••	• • • •			• • • •	x ·	•		* * * * *	•	* * * * *	
				14, CC 15, CC 16-1, 64-66 16, CC 18, CC	• • •	••••	1. N. N. N. N.	x · x x	••••••			X	• • •	• • • •	· x	•	•	••••				••••	••••		•	• • • •	• • • •	•
				19-1, 29-31 19, CC 20-1, 58-60 20, CC	• • • •	•		··××CC	•	• • • •	*	· · ·		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	• • •		• • •		x x	•			*	* * *	•
		CN14a		21-3, 47-49 21, CC 22-5, 29 22-5, 37-39 22, CC	•	• • • •		F F · · ·	•••••	• • • • •		R R		• • • •	× · · ×	•						• • • •	•			•	• • • •	• • • •
		to CN13a	NN 196	23, CC 24-1, 70 24-3, 50 24-4, 24 24-4, 92		• • • •		C C F · C		* * * *		: x	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	X · · R	••••••	• • • •	• • • •			• • • •	·x ··x	• • • •					• • • • •
		e		24-4, 103 24-5, 18 24-5, 20-22 24, CC 25-1, 65		•	•	F F R C	• • • •	•	* * *		•••••	R	• • •	•	•	•		• • •		x ·	•		• • •	•	• • • •	• • • •
				25-1, 140 25-3, 45 25-4, 45 25-4, 112-114		• • • •		·FRC		• • • •	• • • •			· · · F		•	•	• • • •					•		•	•		•
ш	Pliocene/ Pleistocene			25-5, 125 25, CC 26-1, 10 26-1, 100 26-2, 130		••••••		F		• • • •	* * * *	• • • •		•••••	• • • • •	• • • •	• • • • •			• • • •			• • • •					• • • • • • • •
	$\frown$		NN19a	26, CC 27-1, 24-26	2		•	F F	ż	:	•		R	•	F F	C	x	•	•	:		F R			12			•
		CN12d	NN18	27-2, 58 27-5, 100 27, CC		•	•	F X	R • •	:	:	c ·	•	x	F C R	•	:	•	•	:	:	C R R	•		50 50 50	* * *	÷	•
		CN12c	NN17	28-5, 114-116 28-5, 141 28, CC 29-1, 15-16		••••		C · R	X C R	•	x	x · x			F R · X	••••••	x x ·	* * * *		* * * *		A C X C	•		• • •	•	*	• • • •
				29, CC 30-1, 38-40 30, CC 31-1, 129-131	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	• • •	• • •	F R ·	X X R		•	•	x	•••••	C · · F		• • •			• • • •	••••	C F F	••••	1. 1910-101				••••
		CN12b		31, CC 32-4, 104–106 32, CC	2 2 2		•	R	•			3 3 3	x			• • •	• • • •	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	• • •	• • •	1.1	F	•		4.61.51.63		S * * *	• • •
		to CN12a	NN16	33-4, 125-127 33, CC 34-1, 133-135 34, CC			••••	R		•	* * *	8 8 8 8 8	9 9 5 9	• • • •		•	x	* * * *	• • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	* * * *	к	•		5 2 2 2 2 2 2	•	• • •	•

Figure 6. Checklist of calcareous nannofossils, Hole 717C.

a       a       a       a       a       a       a       a       a       a       b	iscoaster pentaradiatus	iscoaster prepentaradiatus	hiscoaster quinqueramus	hiscoaster sp.	hiscoaster surculus	biscoaster triradiatus	hiscoaster tristellifer	hiscoaster variabilis	iscolithina attenuata	iscolithina japonica	iscolithina pachymorpha	iscolithina sp.	iscosphaera tubifer	ephyrocapsa oceanica s.l.	ephyrocapsa spp. (small)	lelicosphaera carteri	lelicosphaera neogranulata	lelicosphaera sellii	lelicosphaera wallichi	seudoemiliania lacunosa	eticulofenestra minuta	eticulofenestra minutula	eticulofenestra pseudoumbilica	habdosphaera claviger	capholithus fossilis	cyphosphaera pulcherrima	phenolithus abies	phenolithus neoabies	yracosphaera pulchra	horacosphaera sp.	riquetrorhabdus rugosus	Imbilicosphaera sibogae	Imbilicosphaera sp.
	Image: Arrow of the second sec	$a_{0} = x \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot x \cdot \cdot \cdot x \cdot \cdot \cdot x \cdot \cdot \cdot x $	ng	10 R	ng	$D_{1}$	. The statestic structure of the statestic statestic statestic statestic statestic statestic statestic $D_{\rm s}$	10 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{U}}$			$D_{ii}$	by FFAFRRA AFF .FFFRFFFFFFFAAAA .FAAC .R .R.FR .A.FC	39 · · R · · X · · · F · · RRFXXRFRFFCWC · · · · C · · AA · · C · · FR · C · · XRC · · CF · · · R · · · · · · · · ·	H · ·XR · ·FXFXX ·XR · ·XXR ·X ·FFFR ·RCCF ·CFR ·RC ·FX ·R · · ·RFFFFFF ·F ·FC · ·F · · · ·	$a_H$	<i>aH</i>	<i>H</i>	NG · · · · X · · · · XX · X · R · X · R CXXRRRC · RCCF · CCCARA · FFCF · R · RCCAFFAAXCFRR · · · XF ·	ayCF.AA.FF.XR.	av	ay	Rh	Sa X.	5g ······×××····×××	ds	dg R · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	μ(ς) · X · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	¥ <u>U</u> ····································	w	W) ·XF ··XF ·C ·X ··R ···R ···FF ····FFR ·FFFFXF ··RR ··········	η · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Lith. unit	Age	Nannofossil z Okada and Bukry (1980)	zone Martini (1971)	Core-section, interval (cm)	Amaurolithus amplificus	Amaurolithus delicatus	Amaurolithus primus	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Calcidiscus tropicus	Catinaster coalitus	Ceratolithus armatus	Ceratolithus cristatus	Ceratolithus rugosus	Ceratolithus sp.	Coccolithus pelagicus	Crenalithus sp.	Discoaster asymmetricus	Discoaster bellus	Discoaster berggrenii	Discoaster blackstockae	Discoaster bollii	Discoaster brouweri	Discoaster deflandrei	Discoaster hamatus	Discoaster intercalaris	Discoaster loeblichii	Discoaster neohamatus	Discoaster neorectus
Ш				35, CC				R					R									R		•				
				36-4, 94-96 36, CC	×	e o	÷	×	2			x	C	:	č	•	8	8	2	2	30	RX	2	<u>.</u>	1	2	2	
		?	_?	37-2, 140-143	ŝ.	÷	Ŷ.	÷	ŝ.	÷	•	•	ě	ŝ	Ŷ.	÷	2	X	3			15	£	*		с Ж	2	•
				37, CC 38-4, 40-44	10		÷	÷	8	:	•	•		:			•	94 02	8	•	•	x	÷	×	÷		3	
				38, CC 39-1, 9-12	•		•	•	•		•		25		2		а а	24 24	3 3			ż	÷	×			3	
	1			39, CC		ŝ		x	9	ં		•	12.0			x	•	1			120	R	•	\$	•	4	3	50
		CN11b		40-4, 78-80 40, CC	•	8 8		ż	2	:	•	•	1	Ř	*	;	:	:		•	:	Ŕ	÷	•				÷
		to CN10b	NN15	41, CC	×	*	•	x	•		÷		•	C	8	7			•	0	•	A	•		•		•	:
		CIVIOD	NN12	42, CC	•	×.		÷	а ж	•		•	: •		÷	÷					÷		1	3 2		0 18		•
		1 1		43-1, 105-107 43, CC	•		1	R	) j	:	•	•	•	2	•	:	20 18	22 14	1	•		R	:	*				3) (*)
				44, CC	8	8	•	X	8	5		•	•	X	•	*	8	•	•	×	٠	F	*	*	•	•	2	223
				45, CC		÷	:	x	÷.		÷			x	ŝ	÷	3		3			F	÷			÷	÷.	
		CN10a		46, CC 47, CC	•	•	•	R F	1	:	R R	•		:	х	2	3 2	2	342 0			FC	2	•	*	3 3	а 9	
				48-6, 41-43	50	•	•	F	•	2	•	•	•	•	•	•	3	1	8	241) 1733	Nait 1995	F	2	¥ . S	•	54 10	84 10	•
		1 1	7	49-2, 13	8	8 8	a X	3 35	2	:	•				* *	÷	÷			•		R		•		÷	4	•
IV		1 1	1	49-2, 48	*	*	*	*	2		•		1	2	÷.		2		•	•	•	R F	*	•	1	•	•	•
		1		49, CC					,		•	•	•	÷	•	•	8	3	æ		•	p	e.	8		ŝ		
				50-4, 95-97 50, CC			:	•	2	:	•		•		×	*	•	2	3			R		•	10	2	ा त	•
				51-1, 9	8	¥.	x	X	2		•	•	•	•	÷	•	3		30		•	8		*	1	2	8	•
				51, CC	2	x			÷.	÷		÷			÷			3	a.			R	÷	÷				•
				52-2, 74-76 52-3, 76	ż	•	Ŕ	•	8	:		•	2	•	-	÷			4	•		Ŕ		a a		3	а а	•
				52, CC	•	•	•	х			•	•	•	•	·	÷	3	1	R		6	R	ар По	•	R	3 	8	-
				54-5, 106-108	x		:	Ŕ	2		÷	•	:	:	÷		:					R					ŝ	4
				54, CC 55-2, 120-122	*	*		ż	8	1	•	0	5 -	ŝ	÷	•	•		F	•		R	•	:	:	:		:
				55, CC		÷	÷	R	2		•	2	8			ŝ	3	2	R		с 57	P	•	•		•	ł	•
				56-4, 47-48 56, CC	10 12	÷	*	х	2	•	•			:	•	*	е. Э		R		51 52	R	5 X	*		8 8	38 19	•
				57-2, 54-56		8	2	*	R	•	•	R.	÷		×	2	18	8			•	Ė	÷	÷	:	2	8	
				58, CC			:	ġ.	î,	:		3	R.			÷	a.	9	÷		8	÷	ŝ.	ŝ			28	
		CN9b	NN11b	59-5, 140-142 59, CC	:	:		х		•				•	÷	:	:	ः ्	х	•	•	R		÷	× 	÷	34 34	•
-		to	to	60-1, 9-11	ŝ.	÷.	•	÷	•		•	•	8	•	×	2 0	1	5 5		•	40 20	R		*	1	8	14 / 2	-
		CIV9a	ININITA	61-2, 100-102	1( 15	8 8		x	:	:	•								x		2	2	÷	਼ੇ	1	3		
		1 1		61, CC 62-1, 42-44	*		:	ż	8			5	8	:	1	:	:		•	•	•	x	Ċ	•	:	2	:	
				62, CC	*)	÷	÷.	:		•	•	51 51	т. К			8	*	2		•	•	P	٠	•	•	•		•
				63-1, 45-47 63, CC	81 10	÷		X		•	•		е К		Ŕ	÷	а Э		•	÷	x	R	•	•		;		
				64, CC	*) 22	*	•	F	8 	а. Ла	•		÷.	1	X F	•	2		•		*	X F	.≓ •	:		3	2 	(9) (*)
				65, CC		÷	÷	ŝ.	ŝ.			8	÷			×.	3			•	*	÷	÷	*			3	P
				66-4, 100-102 66, CC	1	•	•	:		:	•	а 21			÷	2	а а	19 54		:		г	÷	÷		a a	•	
	Miccane late			67-1, 82-84	1	с. 83	•	•	•	•	•	•	÷.	•	1			i.			1	20	÷	÷	ă J	9 22	3 	
	Milocelle			68-3, 91-93	8 8	е е	* *	а 2	10 20		•	5	10 80		÷		•		•				÷	8	4	4	<b>a</b> .	
				68, CC 69-2, 25-26	2	÷	:	x	2	:	•	9 52	25 10	:	:	:	•	•	•	•	•	к	•	:	:		•	•
				69, CC	•	÷	*	÷	æ	•	•	1			X	2		•			5	XF	•		•	Č.	•	•
	L	i f		/0-5, 101-103	•	•	•	Λ	×	029	•		5	•	Λ		2	12		•	<b>t</b>	×.	1		1.1	ं	•	•

Discoaster pentaradiatus	Discoaster prepentaradiatus	Discoaster quinqueramus	Discoaster sp.	Discoaster surculus	Discoaster triradiatus	Discoaster tristellifer	Discoaster variabilis	Discolithina attenuata	Discolithina japonica	Discolithina pachymorpha	Discolithina sp.	Discosphaera tubifer	Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l.	Gephyrocapsa spp. (small)	Helicosphaera carteri	Helicosphaera neogranulata	Helicosphaera sellii	Helicosphaera wallichi	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Reticulofenestra minuta	Reticulofenestra minutula	Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica	Rhabdosphaera claviger	Scapholithus fossilis	Scyphosphaera pulcherrima	Sphenolithus abies	Sphenolithus neoabies	Syracosphaera pulchra	Thoracosphaera sp.	Triquetrorhabdus rugosus	Umbilicosphaera sibogae	Umbilicosphaera sp.
RR RX F FR . XRFFFFFCC F . XR . R		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		X · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			XX	$\mathbf{X}$		$\cdot$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			R	X · · · X · · · · R · · · XXR · FXF · · · FR · · XX · X · · · · X · RX · X · · · ·				F R X X	· · · RXFR · · F · FAX · · FCFAC · C · RC · · R · CF · · · RR · · FFFRFC · · R · XRRR · RFF · · · · · X · · X ·	CR · · R · · · F · · · · · F · · · · CCA · AAC · RC · · R · · · · · FFRFFC · · X · · · X · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X · · · RR · XR · XCR · RFFFCFFRXXR · · · XCX · · XX · R · FFRRRF · · X · · · R · · RXF · · · · · · · · · · · ·				·F · ·F · ·FR ·RXFFCACC ·AAC · ·FAFRX ·RRF ·CCFFFC · ·R ·RRRR ·RRFA · · ·X ·XR ·XF	R	***************************************	······································	······································	····× ································	

																				_		_		_	_	_		
Lith. unit	Age	Nannofossi Okada and Bukry (1980)	il zone Martini (1971)	Core-section, interval (cm)	Amaurolithus amplificus	Amaurolithus delicatus	Amaurolithus primus	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Calcidiscus tropicus	Catinaster coalitus	Ceratolithus armatus	Ceratolithus cristatus	Ceratolithus rugosus	Ceratolithus sp.	Coccolithus pelagicus	Crenalithus sp.	Discoaster asymmetricus	Discoaster bellus	Discoaster berggrenii	Discoaster blackstockae	Discoaster bollii	Discoaster brouweri	Discoaster deflandrei	Discoaster hamatus	Discoaster intercalaris	Discoaster loeblichii	Discoaster neohamatus	Discoaster neorectus
v		CN8a/b	NN10	70, CC 71-1, 140-142 71-2, 41-43 71, CC 72, CC 73, CC 74, CC 75-4, 12-13 75, CC 76, CC 76, CC 77-3, 32-34 77-3, 130 77, CC 78-3, 47-49 78, CC 79-4, 134-136 79, CC 80-3, 92-94 80, CC 81, CC 82, CC 83, CC 84, CC 85-3, 54 85-3, 105 85, CC 86, CC 87-1, 52-54 87, CC 89, CC 90, CC 91-1, 47-49 91-1, 71	"这些是是是是是有些的。" 医生物学 化合金合金 化合金合金合金合金合金合金	化化学学校 化化化化化 化化合物 化化化合物 化化合物 化化合物 化化合物		F	医副前部 医鼻腔 医鼻腔 化化化的 医白发素 医皮肤 医皮 化间的 的复数医装饰 医外						R · · · · · · FCX · X · · · · X · · · · · · · X · X		× • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C R X . R C F R	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	x	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	middle	CN7	NN9	91-2, 20		÷		F		F	•	•	÷	•	R	÷	4	F	4	-	٠	•	÷	R	•	22	S <b>i</b> .	•

Figure 6 (continued).

these levels down, both species are present abundantly and persistently, and the pattern of the three datums is similar to the reference succession. Higher occurrences of the last two species are regarded as redeposited into significantly younger sediments.

The next two datums also need to be evaluated together. These are the lowest occurrence of Ceratolithus armatus in Sample 116-717C-47X, CC (416.5 mbsf), and the highest occurrence of Discoaster guingueramus in Sample 116-717C-48X-6, 41-43 cm (424.41 mbsf). Several meters of barren turbidite occur between these two samples; however, the order of the succession is as expected, and significant upward displacement of the Discoaster quinqueramus highest occurrence is not likely because these datums are in an interval of reasonably good recovery of nannofossils. Fair recovery continues downward for some distance, and the next lower datum, the lowest occurrence of Amaurolithus amplificus in Sample 116-717C-54X-5, 106-108 cm (480.57 mbsf), is considered reasonably reliable even though it is based on very rare occurrence of the marker species in only two samples. Discoster berggrenii has its highest occurrence in Sample 116-717C-52X, CC (464 mbsf), thus overlapping the range of Amaurolithus amplificus although it does not extend to the highest occurrence of Amaurolithus amplificus and terminates well below the highest occurrence of Discoaster quinqueramus. The same pattern is recognizable in a reference section on the northwest Florida continental shelf (Gartner et al., 1983, and Gartner, unpubl. data). This is not compatible with the age (5.6 m.y.) assigned to this datum by Berggren et al. (1985a), based on observations by Mazzei et al. (1979) at DSDP Site 397. The true age of the datum must be older, probably close to 5.8 m.y. Although the exact range of *Discoaster berggrenii* in relation to magnetic reversal stratigraphy, and hence, the precise age of its highest occurrence, remains unresolved, the age given in Figure 3 probably is a reasonable approximation.

The remaining datums from this hole, except perhaps those nearest the bottom, are weak in that they are based on very rare and sporadic occurrences. The next lower datum is marked by one rare occurrence of *Discoaster loeblichii* in Sample 116-717C-70X, CC (635 mbsf) (no magnetostratigraphic calibration available), followed a short distance below by the lowest occurrences of *Discoaster quinqueramus* and *Discoaster surculus* in Sample 116-717C-74X, CC (673 mbsf). Of the three, only *Discoaster quinqueramus* occurs with sufficient consistency to be considered at all reliable as a marker. Unfortunately the age of 8.2 m.y. assigned to this datum by Berggren et al. (1985a) is not as well constrained by magnetic stratigraphy as is desirable.

Poor nannofossil recovery continues to the bottom of the hole. Among the marker species encountered are very rare occurrences of *Discoaster neohamatus* in Samples 116-717C-77X-3,

-	_				_	_	-		-														_		-				_			
Discoaster pentaradiatus	Discoaster prepentaradiatus	Discoaster quinqueramus	Discoaster sp.	Discoaster surculus	Discoaster triradiatus	Discoaster tristellifer	Discoaster variabilis	Discolithina attenuata	Discolithina japonica	Discolithina pachymorpha	Discolithina sp.	Discosphaera tubifer	Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l.	Gephyrocapsa spp. (small)	Helicosphaera carteri	Helicosphaera neogranulata	Helicosphaera sellii	Helicosphaera wallichi	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Reticulofenestra minuta	Reticulofenestra minutula	Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica	Rhabdosphaera claviger	Scapholithus fossilis	Scyphosphaera pulcherrima	Sphenolithus abies	Sphenolithus neoabies	Syracosphaera pulchra	Thoracosphaera sp.	Triquetrorhabdus rugosus	Umbilicosphaera sibogae	Umbilicosphaera sp.
		F				1.	F								R	1.				С					1	C						
	10 •	*			4			•	- 0 - 1	2		÷.	2	2			- 20	-			ι.				-	X		÷.				
					ũ.		x						à.			•						х				X		÷.				
																						х				X						
		X			$\mathbb{R}^{2}$	243		$\mathbf{x}_{i}$		*		$\mathbf{x}$	$\otimes$	$\odot$	•	- 62	$\mathbf{x}$	*		$\sim$	X	R				X		$\mathbf{x}$			. •	,
$\sim$		3	$\cdot$	3.		<b></b> .)		•3	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	$\mathbf{x}$		16	36	$\mathbf{S}_{i}$	•	$\mathbf{x}$	$\mathbf{\hat{x}}$	$\left  \mathbf{s} \right $	э.		34		•				×	$\sim$		$\sim$	•	
٠	-82	X	×	х	$^{28}$	340	140	20	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	÷2	•	$\mathbf{x}$	$\mathbb{R}$	5	х	- 😜	$\hat{\mathbf{x}}$	$\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$	$\widehat{\ast}$	$\sim$	24	R	$(\mathbf{a})$	- 20	- 23	R				•		
	*			16	- 24	•		•	÷	1	1.4				•	12	÷			•	÷.	:				8	×	5			· • ·	•
÷			•			•	F	•	•	•	•		•		С	•		•	•	С	•	A	•	•	•	A			х		•	
^			*			•	•	•	*	•		•			•	1	•		•			r	•	•		r	1	3		•		•
1	1	1	1		1	1.20	•	•	•	•		٠	2	3	.*.	1	•	•	•	•		۰.	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•
R	<u> 1</u>	•	÷.	3	1	1.5		5	2	*		1	1	1	ż	1	8	*	٠	1		F	•	•	•	x	•	•	1	•	•	1
	<u> </u>	2	8		· ·	S. 5	10	8	*	•			12	•	^	- 22	1	.*	*	2			•	<u>*</u> ?	-	^		8	10			1
	<u>.</u>	÷.	÷.	а 		1	10		- 20		1	÷.	<u></u>	1		12	- * -			38 		R			- 21	R	÷.	<u></u>	<u>с</u>	<u></u>	1	
		2		~			1	- 2	-	÷.	10	÷.				10	-	÷.	ŝ	÷.				- 2	- 2	17	÷	3	аў.			
х							x	- 2				a.					-					X				x		2				
		*																	×	<b>.</b>		•										
	10	$(\mathbf{x})$	$(\mathbf{x})$		98	(in)			$\mathbf{\hat{\star}}$		÷.	÷.		$\mathbf{a}$		1.2	$\mathbf{z}$		្	•						×		×.	5			
- 60	X			$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$	÷.	140					÷.	$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$	$\mathcal{I}_{\bullet}$	$\mathbf{x}$	14	12	$\hat{v}$	4		R	1	•				12	$\mathbf{x}$	$\tilde{a}$	$\sim$			
*1		×		6	8	199	•	٠			•				•	1	٠	٠	٠		•	R	•		х	) ÷		2			•	•
*						•		•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	÷	•			÷.	3			•		
•	•		•			۲	X	•	•	•			•	٠	•	10	•	•	٠	•		R	•		•	•	*		•	•	•	
•	÷.	•	•	÷.		0.50	52	•	•	۰.	÷.	•	•	1	•	1	•		1	8		ĸ	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•
	•	*	•	÷.	1	••	2	83	•	*	•	ं	2	•	•	10	1	•	•	2	3		•	•	•	2	•	3		•		1
	1		2	1		S*3	*S	10	*	•			2		•	1	2		*		1	x	•	*	- 5	ं	1	8	1			
	Ľ.,	÷.	2	<u></u>							÷.		10	1.1	•	÷			Č		1	~			<u>.</u>	:	÷.	<u>.</u>	<u></u>		1	1
2		÷.	<u>_</u>	ः 	÷.					÷.	Č.,		2	5.*0 700		÷.					1			- 20			÷.	8	1			
			*	3			2	-	÷		÷.	÷.					2				5			- 2	-	÷						
							- 8		-	\$						- 20		- 2	-	ġ.		X		2								
		×	$\mathfrak{K}$	34	3				÷.			$\sim$	64	$\sim$	10	12		÷	$\mathbf{x}$	3				•		$\sim$		$\mathbf{x}$	6			
20			$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		1		2	$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$		4	$\sim$	$\overline{a}$	14	ŝ	$\overline{x}$	1	$\oplus$	$\mathbb{Q}$	i.		х					×.	$\sim$	2	•		
*			$\sim$	$\sim$								12				20		÷				•	•				•		54			
¥2	•	٠		÷.	•	•	R		•						F	•						С				R			÷.	•		
-	1		_			1					1					1			_						-	-			_	_	1	_

130 cm (696.3 mbsf), and 116-717C-78X-3, 47-49 cm (704.98 mbsf) (no magnetostratigraphic calibration available), and one very rare occurrence of *Discoaster hamatus* in Sample 116-717C-91X-1, 71 cm (819.41 mbsf). Although these occurrences are in the correct order, recovery is too sparse to assign great significance to them.

The lowermost datum identified at Site 717C is the highest occurrence of *Catinaster coalitus* in Sample 116-717C-91X-2, 20 cm (820.4 mbsf), only a few meters above the bottom of the hole. Coccolith recovery from this section is moderate and without obvious contamination, and the marker species is sufficiently abundant to inspire confidence in this datum. The age limits assignable to this level are not greater than 10.8 m.y. (lowest/oldest occurrence of *Catinaster coalitus*) to 9.0 m.y. (highest/youngest occurrence of *Catinaster coalitus*), possibly incrementally younger, assuming redeposition of the entire assemblage.

#### **Site 718**

Site 718 also is located on the flat surface of the Bengal Fan, south of Site 717 but on a different structural block (Fig. 2). The post-deformation sediment cover is much thinner at Site 718 and older sediments are closer to the surface. Holes 718A and 718B tested the surface sediments. A residual assemblage of nannofossils of mixed Pleistocene age was recovered from turbidite muds and silts, contaminated with rare Neogene species. Probably the principal mode of nannofossil emplacement in the sediment is by redeposition by turbidity flows. Pelagic sedimentation does not seem to be significant. Hole 718C penetrated to 935 mbsf; the oldest biohorizon recognized is the highest occurrence of *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* in Sample 116-718C-81X, CC (778.8 mbsf), which indicates an early Miocene age. A checklist of nannofossils for Hole 718C is given in Figure 7. Core 116-718C-1 at the top of the checklist is actually from Hole 718B because Core 1 from Hole 718C yielded virtually no recovery. The lowermost three cores (1R–3R) are from Hole 718E.

The succession of biohorizons at Site 718 is less distinctive, especially over the Pleistocene and Pliocene interval, than at Site 717. In addition to the generally unfavorable lithology of the Pleistocene, biostratigraphic evaluation is hampered further by unusually poor fossil recovery. Also, the Pliocene section is greatly attenuated or riddled with hiatuses.

The youngest recognizable biohorizon is the highest occurrence of *Pseodoemiliania lacunosa* in Sample 116-718C-4X, CC (47.30 mbsf). Two higher occurrences (Samples 116-718C-2X, CC (28.30 mbsf) and 116-718C-3X, CC (37.80 mbsf), are disregarded because intervening samples, that reasonably could be expected to, do not contain the species. In a sense, however, the occurrence of the marker species in Samples 116-718C-4X, CC, is

					<u> </u>												_							-
Lith. unit	Age	Nannofossil Okada and Bukry (1980)	zone Martini (1971)	Core-section, interval (cm)	Amaurolithus delicatus	Amaurolithus primus	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Calcidiscus tropicus	Catinaster coalitus	Ceratolithus cristatus	Coccolithus miopelagicus	Coccolithus pelagicus	Cyclicargolithus floridanus	Cyclolithella annula	Cyclolithella nitescens	Discoaster adamanteus	Discoaster asymmetricus	Discoaster bellus	Discoaster berggrenii	Discoaster bollii	Discoaster brouweri	Discoaster calculosus	Discoaster deflandrei	Discoaster druggii
<u>г</u> П	Pleist.	CN15 to CN14b	NN21 to NN20	1-1, 1 1-3, 97 1-3, 116 1CC 2CC 3-2, 59-61 3CC 4-1			FCCCXR · X	* * * * * * *		F R · ·	• • • • • • •	F · ·	· x x · · · · · x	· · X F · · · ·	* • • • • • • •				• • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	*******	******	*******	*******
		CN14a to CN12a	NN19 to NN16	4CC 9CC 10CC 11-1, 2-4 11CC 12-1, 40-42 13-2, 126		******	R F X F	R	* * * * * * *		• • • • • • •		x		• • • • • •	* * * * * * *			• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • •	F	******		****
III & IV	Plio.	CN11b to CN10a	NN15 to NN12	13CC 14-1, 103-104 14-2, 128-129 14-3, 32-33 14-4, 14-15 14-5, 140-142 14CC 15-1, 44-46 15-2, 103-105 15CC 16-2, 17 16-4, 68		<ul> <li>A A A MONTAN A A A</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	··RF·RXXR·XR			
		СN9b	NNIIb	16-5, 148 16-6, 27 16CC 17-6, 20 17CC 18CC 19-3, 33		· · X ·	R				• • • • • •	R X	* * * * * * *						F		·X·RRFF	******		
v	late	CN9a	NNIIa	19-4, 120-122 20-1, 20-22 21-1, 2-4 21CC 22CC 23CC 24CC 25CC 26-1, 7-8 26CC 28-1, 16-18 28CC 29CC 31CC 32-1, 118-120 33CC 34CC 35CC 37-1, 14-16			· · · · X · · F · · R · · X · · · · · ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					计计算机 化化化化化化化化化		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · R · · · CAR · · X · · R · RX ·			
		CN8a/b	NN10	37CC 38-1, 8-10 38CC 39CC 41-1, 16-18	•		• • • •	• • • •			•••••	: x			• • • •	• • • •	* * * * *	• • • •		x ·	· · ×	• • • • •	* * * * *	• • • •
				41-2, 64 41-3, 38 41CC 43CC 44-1, 71-73 44CC 45CC 45CC 47-1, 118-120 48-1, 3-5			x		F F · · · X R		• • • • • • • •	F F · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					с 		F	R			••••••

Figure 7. Checklist of calcareous nannofossils, Holes 717B (Core 1), 717C (Cores 2 to 98), and 717E (Cores 1 R/3R).

Discoaster exilis	Discoaster hamatus	Discoaster kugleri	Discoaster neohamatus	Discoaster pentaradiatus	Discoaster prepentaradiatus	Discoaster quinqueramus	Discoaster sp. cf. D. bellus	Discoaster surculus	Discoaster tristellifer	Discoaster variabilis	Discolithina pachymorpha	Discolithina sp.	Discosphaera tubifer	Emiliania huxleyi	Florisphaera sp.	Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l.	Gephyrocapsa spp. (small)	Helicosphaera ampliaperta	Helicosphaera carteri	Helicosphaera granulata	Helicosphaera intermedia	Helicosphaera obliqua	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Reticulofenestra minuta	Reticulofenestra minutula	Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica	Rhabdosphaera claviger	Scyphosphaera pulcherrima	Sphenolithus abies	Sphenolithus distentus	Sphenolithus heteromorphus	Sphenolithus moriformis	Sphenolithus predistentus	Syracosphaera pulchra	Thoracosphaera sp.	Umbilicosphaera sibogae
<b>7</b>	<b>D</b>	<i>p</i>	<i>a</i>	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>a</i>	g ·x · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>D</b>	q · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g	Q	D	g · · x · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>D</i> · · <b>x</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g C	¥	O CAAAXFFFFFCFR · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 .CACRRX .RR .FR	H	H FCRCXR · · · XR · · · F · · · · · · · · · ·	H	H	H	d · · · · X · X · X X F F R · F · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>R</i>	x	22 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	x · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		S · X · · · · · · X · · · · A · · · · · X · · · S	S				S X F	L Fr	F · · · X · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· X · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · R · · · · · · X · · · · · · · ·	·FR ·ARCC · ·R · ·RAAFF ·X ·R ·XR	1919)	CRX . FXFC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A · · · F R · · · · · · · X R C R R · · · · · X					计数据 化化合金 化合金 化化合金 化合金 化合金 化合金 化合金				·X · · C · R · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			医硫酸盐酸盐 机合金 化化合合 化合物合物合物 化合合体 化合合体 经收益		· · · · · C · · · · · · · · · · R · · · R · · · ·	·FX ·CX · · · · RR ·RC · · · · · · RX ·	· F · · C · F F · · · · · · · · · · · X X · R X · ·			·F··A·C···RR·RCFRFRXXRR··		*****************************					
	· · X · · · · · R R · · · · · · ·		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			x · · · x · · · · · · · ·	· · · x x · · · c · · · · · x ·		· · · X · · · X · · X · X R	X · · X · F F · · X · · · R					《西西南部省 化合物合物 化合金				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		《外生的》》的"有些是是是是是有些的。"	************	*************		· · X X X · R A C R A X X · · R							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	

									_	_														
Lith. unit	Age	Nannofossi Okada and Bukry (1980)	il zone Martini (1971)	Core-section, interval (cm)	Amaurolithus delicatus	Amaurolithus primus	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Calcidiscus tropicus	Catinaster coalitus	Ceratolithus cristatus	Coccolithus miopelagicus	Coccolithus pelagicus	<b>Cyclicargolithus</b> floridanus	Cyciolithella annula	Cyclolithella nitescens	Discoaster adamanteus	Discoaster asymmetricus	Discoaster bellus	Discoaster berggrenii	Discoaster bollii	Discoaster brouweri	Discoaster calculosus	Discoaster deflandrei	Discoaster druggii
		CN7 to CN6	NN9 to NN8	48CC 49-1, 10-12 50-1, 43-45 51-2, 15-17 51CC 53CC 54-1, 37-39 54CC 55-1, 0 55-C 56-4, 43-45 56CC 57-4, 32-34 57CC 58CC 58CC 58CC, 24-26 59-1, 100-102			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	化化合金 化化化合金 化化合金 化化合金	·x · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			x		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
v	Miocene middle	CN5a	NN7	59CC 60-1, 83-85 60CC 61-2, 124-126 61CC 62-1, 16 62-3, 5-7 62CC 63-5, 67-69 63-6, 53-55 63CC 64-2, 74-76 64CC 65CC 66CC 67-1, 46-48		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	计计算机 化化合金 化合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合	医医尿管管 化合物 化合金合金 医子子	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·X · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	**************			古大市北京 医异常体 化合物合成 医医分子	************			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
		CN5b	? NN6	67CC 68-1, 22-24 68CC 69-1, 15-17 69CC 70-1, 117-119 70CC 71-1, 133	• • • • • • •	••••••						R	x · x · · · · F		• • • • • • • • • • •					x · · x ·		• • • • • • •	x	x
		CN4	NN5	71-3, 144-145 71-5, 77-79 71CC 72-3, 110-112 72-4, 95 72CC 73CC 74-1, 13-15 74CC 75CC 76-1, 30-32 76CC 77-1, 12-14 77CC 78-1, 9-10 78-4, 70-71 78-C 79-2, 57-59 79CC 80-3, 11-13 80CC 81-1, 30-31 81-2, 30-31			$\cdots F \cdots F \cdots \cdots \cdots XF \cdots \cdots$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			$F \cdot \cdot RF \cdot X \cdot \cdot \cdot R \cdot \cdot \cdot FRFF \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot X$	· · · X · · · · · X · · · CAAF · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• F		*******************		R F		• F • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	X F · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	网络根本属 医子宫 计选择的 机合金属 化合金属 化合金
				81CC 82-1, 125-127 82CC		•	• •	200 E	•	•	•	() () ()	X X	••••	•	•	•	•	• • •	•		•	•	•

Figure 7 (continued).

Discontar avilia	Discousier exitis	Discoaster hamatus	Discoaster kugleri	Discoaster neohamatus	Discoaster pentaradiatus	Discoaster prepentaradiatus	Discoaster quinqueramus	Discoaster sp. cf. D. bellus	Discoaster surculus	Discoaster tristellifer	Discoaster variabilis	Discolithina pachymorpha	Discolithina sp.	Discosphaera tubifer	Emiliania huxleyi	Florisphaera sp.	Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l.	Gephyrocapsa spp. (small)	Helicosphaera ampliaperta	Helicosphaera carteri	Helicosphaera granulata	Helicosphaera intermedia	Helicosphaera obliqua	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Reticulofenestra minuta	Reticulofenestra minutula	Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica	Rhabdosphaera claviger	Scyphosphaera pulcherrima	Sphenolithus abies	Sphenolithus distentus	Sphenolithus heteromorphus	Sphenolithus moriformis	Sphenolithus predistentus	Syracosphaera pulchra	Thoracosphaera sp.	Umbilicosphaera sibogae
		•		•		•	•	0 <b>-</b> 20	•	•	X		••••		100		•	• •	•	••••	(.).(.).	•	100100	•	•	P	x ·	:	•	•	••••		• •		• •	•	•
	•		2	•	•	•	10 10	8 8	*		Î.	23 12			•	* *	5 8	•	2		•	•	•	5 2	•		Ŕ		÷	•	•		·	·			·
	1		2	•	•		92 10	*			:				•	10 10		•		x		•	е 1	•	*		x				10 10	•	÷	÷		12	•
	•	•	•	•	•		•	*	•	х	:		•	•	2	•		÷		x X		•		*	:	к	ĸ		•	•		•	х	•	;	1	•
		:	04 154	•		*	- 2	- 2		х	:	39 32	а) А			2	1	*	3	34 34	ाक) (क)	•			x	:		а а	•	х	*		÷		:	:	•
	÷.	2	ż	•	•	1	12	2 2	-	•	1	ः द	4		-	2	2	•	÷	2 2	-	x	2	2	х	:	X R	5		х	12	2	•	•	•	2	
	2	•	16		•	•		•	•	X	ż	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8	•	x		•		25	2		•	•	4	
	•	ì		·	÷		÷	x	÷		x	÷		•			·	ė				÷	•	:	·		X	÷		•		÷	·	•		4	•
	•	•	3	•	•		10 20	2 2	2	Ŕ	R	38 38	•	•	•	ा ह		ž	ж Эт	2		•	е е	2	*	:	к 	12	•			- 8	:		;	÷	·
		:	2		•	*) *)	•	*	•	ż	X	2		•	1	*		*	•	а Э	30) 30)	•	е 10	8 8	:	X 	x		•	35) 30		- 8	•	3. 3.	2		
			3. 14	•	•	1	•				:	2		•	2	× ×		*		а э		•		*	•	÷	:			•	:		*	•	:	:	•
		•			•	*			•	÷	•	14 24	•	•	8	100 100	2		3	34 34		•	•	- 2	÷	÷	x		:	•			•		÷	÷	•
	•	4	4	244 223	•		22 23	-	-		•	3 <b>4</b> 10	247) 1010		1	2	-		24	12 70	•	-	2	2	4	•	3	84 52				-	2	÷	4		
	•	•		٠	•		÷	÷	•	ě		į	•	٠				÷	ŝ	÷	•	•		8	1		3	N.		1.0	•		÷	•	•	•	3 <b>.</b> /.
	:	:		•	÷		•	÷	:		:	;	•	•				÷		•		•	·	÷	•	x	x				:	·	•	•	•		5
		•	:	;	•				÷	х	:	ः ः	•	•	•	2 2	$\frac{\alpha}{2}$	•	*	2. 2.		•		•	;	;	х	•	:	•		:	:	•	•	:	:
			37 24	•	:	*	*	÷	÷	ż	:	22 29	38) 140	•	1	:	:	*	*	2		•	*	•	:	x.	ż	:		•	:	÷	:	:	:	:	:
	×		ż		÷	•		×		ż			•	•	1	•	×	•	*		•	•	•	•	÷	•	XX	•	•	•	1	×		•	•	÷	:
	•	•			•			÷	÷	÷		5	•	÷			÷		÷	4			÷	÷	÷		D	•	•	•		×	÷		•		
							-	:	:	Ŷ	:	:	2 <b>.</b>				:	:			1046 1340		:		:	\$	X				1			÷		3	
		:	:	:	:	1	:	:		:	:		:	•	:	•	:	•	:	:	:	•	:	:	:	ż	:	:					:	-		:	
	:	•		•	:	·	•	•	•	X R	:		•	•	-	•		•	:	x	•	:	1	•	·	•	x	•	:	:	:	•	:	•	:	1	•
	*	•	•		•		1	8		x	R	•	х					*		С		•			•	1	A	•		С	•	F	С	•	•		•
			•	•	•	÷	÷	÷		÷				•	÷	÷	×	÷	æ	P	102			÷			ċ		18		1	÷	P	æ			199
	•			•		8			÷	F		R	•		÷	÷	÷	÷	a.	C	÷		÷	•		,	Ă		x	À	i k	x					•
		•	:		-	1	*	:			:	:	•	•	- 2	:	÷	à	ः ः	:		20 20	÷	2	÷	1:						*		÷	•		•
	•		:	04) 14)		2	- 2	-		9 9			243 241	10 17	÷			ः ्	54 54	1				•		i i	x	:		÷	1	•	х	1	4		
	1		•	•	1	•	•	÷	٠	R	x	•	•	•	•	•	•	ł	•	•	•	•	÷	•	•	÷	ŕ	•	•	ż	6		1	Ċ	•	•	
	ł	ŝ.	•	•	2	1	5	•	े ड	x			•	20	*	÷	ŝ	3	3			51 75	с. 5	•	ŝ		x	•		•		•	•		12.00	•	•
	* *	3 12	23) 91	•	•	1	*	*	•			•	•	•	*			2	23 15		2.02 (2.01)	•	*	A 8	÷		ż		•	5) 50	10				•		:
	2	2	÷		:	*	÷		×	FC			•	•	:	*	×	a x	а Э	ŕ	•			÷	:	F.	) (2 (2		•	1	3 8	F C	c	÷		:	•
	F	•	•	•	•	*) *)	÷	÷	а С	C ·	ċ	ż	•	•	•	*	×	ан Эл	59 19	F	•	•	÷		:	:	ċ	:			*	C F	C F	1	2	:	:
	8		•		*	8	•		1		•		14	- 42		8	*	34	04 102	1940		1	*		•	•	9 72	•	٠					18		•	•
			•	•	-		÷	÷		-		÷		·		÷	÷		6	÷			·	÷	÷		4	÷		÷		÷		ŝ			
	R		:			:	:	:	:	:	:	:	•	•	•	•	:	:	• •	:		:			:	:	3	•	•		:	x		3			
	:	•	•	•	:	1		•	3	R	x	•	•	•	•		•		R	R	•	x			:	:	ż	:	:	•	1:	x	:		:	:	
E																															1.						

					-	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	-	_	_	_	-	_			
Lith. unit	Age	Nannofossi Okada and Bukry (1980)	il zone Martini (1971)	Core-section, interval (cm)	Amaurolithus delicatus	Amaurolithus primus	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Calcidiscus tropicus	Catinaster coalitus	Ceratolithus cristatus	Coccolithus miopelagicus	Coccolithus pelagicus	Cyclicargolithus floridanus	Cyclolithella annula	Cyclolithella nitescens	Discoaster adamanteus	Discoaster asymmetricus	Discoaster bellus	Discoaster berggrenii	Discoaster bollii	Discoaster brouweri	Discoaster calculosus	Discoaster deflandrei	Discoaster druggii
v	early	CN3	NN4	83CC 84CC 85CC 85CC 86CC 87-2, 20-22 87CC 88-3, 91-93 88CC 90CC 90CC 91-1, 20-22 91CC 92CC, 31-33 92CC 93CC, 29-31 93CC 93CC, 29-31 93CC 94-6, 117-119 94-6, 124 94-7, 27 94CC 95CC 96CC 97CC 98CC 1RCC 2R-1, 64 2RCC 3RCC				*********************			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X · · · X · · · · · · · · XXCCRA · CXX · · XFXX		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						**************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · X · · · · · · · · · · · · XAC · · · · · X · · X	

Figure 7 (continued).

also an isolated occurrence because the next four coring attempts yielded no sediment recovery, and the next downhole occurrence of *Pseudoemiliania lacunosa* is recorded in Sample 116-718C-9X, CC (94.8 mbsf). A more precise determination of this datum cannot be made.

Next below this level is the lowest occurrence of Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l. in Sample 116-718C-11X, CC (113.80 mbsf), which constrains the upper limit of the age of this level. From this datum downward to the highest occurrence of Discoaster quinqueramus in Sample 116-718C-16X-5, 148 cm (159.28 mbsf), sparse recovery and redeposition allow only a general Pliocene age assignment. If the assemblage from Sample 116-718C-13X-2, 126 cm (126.06 mbsf), is assumed to be in place and does indeed mark the highest occurrences of Sphenolithus abies and Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica, then the entire late Pliocene and part of the early Pleistocene sequences are missing or represented by barren sediments. Alternatively, if the nannofossils found in Sample'116-718C-13X-2, 126 cm (126.06 mbsf), are considered redeposited and the Sphenolithus abies and Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica highest occurrences are taken at Sample 116-718C-15X-1, 44-46 cm (142.75 mbsf), then the succession of highest occurrences of Discoaster surculus, Discoaster pentaradiatus, and Discoaster brouweri follows more nearly the reference succession, although that pattern is incompatible with the lowest occurrence of Pseudoemiliania lacunosa in Sample 116-718C-13X-2, 126 cm (126.06 mbsf). The most reasonable interpretation seems to be that Sample 116-718C-13X-2, 126 cm (126.06 mbsf), does represent the lowest occurrence of Pseudoemiliania *lacunosa* and that the interval including the lowermost Pleistocene to mid-Pliocene sequences is greatly attenuated, riddled with hiatuses, or obscured by redeposition. Further support for this interpretation comes from the common occurrence of the early Pliocene *Discoaster tristellifer* in Sample 116-718C-14X-5, 140-142 cm (140.21 mbsf), but for which a magnetostratigraphic correlation has not been established. Beyond that, the biostratigraphy (and chronostratigraphy) of the Pliocene and earliest Pleistocene at Site 718 is unreadable.

The highest occurrence of Discoaster quinqueramus in Sample 116-718C-16X-5, 148 cm (159.28 mbsf), is a robust datum in that it marks the top of reasonably consistent presence of the species. The age assignment is strengthened by the occurrence of Amaurolithus primus in Samples 116-718C-17X-6, 20 cm (169.0 mbsf), and 116-718C-19X-3, 33 cm (186.63 mbsf), and by the highest occurrence of Discoaster berggrenii in Sample 116-718C-17X-6, 20 cm (169.0 mbsf). From approximately this level the quality of biostratigraphy deteriorates again as the sediment becomes more silty and sandy. The Discoaster quinqueramus lowest occurrence is uncertain because specimens become progressively rare, and poor preservation makes differentiation from the antecedent species, Discoaster bellus, nearly impossible. The datum is placed in Sample 116-718C-35X, CC (341.8 mbsf), the lowest unequivocal occurrence of Discoaster quinqueramus.

The highest occurrence of *Catinaster coalitus* in Sample 116-718C-41X-2, 64 cm (391.44 mbsf), is the next lower dependable datum. This datum is strengthened by the occurrence of *Disco*-

<b>1</b>	Discoasier exilis	Discoaster hamatus	Discoaster kugleri	Discoaster neohamatus	Discoaster pentaradiatus	Discoaster prepentaradiatus	Discoaster quinqueramus	Discoaster sp. cf. D. bellus	Discoaster surculus	Discoaster tristellifer	Discoaster variabilis	Discolithina pachymorpha	Discolithina sp.	Discosphaera tubifer	Emiliania huxleyi	Florisphaera sp.	Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l.	Gephyrocapsa spp. (small)	Helicosphaera ampliaperta	Helicosphaera carteri	Helicosphaera granulata	Helicosphaera intermedia	Helicosphaera obliqua	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Reticulofenestra minuta	Reticulofenestra minutula	Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica	Rhabdosphaera claviger	Scyphosphaera pulcherrima	Sphenolithus abies	Sphenolithus distentus	Sphenolithus heteromorphus	Sphenolithus moriformis	Sphenolithus predistentus	Syracosphaera pulchra	Thoracosphaera sp.	Umbilicosphaera sibogae
	•	•							- 540			$\mathbf{x}$		×	сų.	۰.	30		- 27		x	4	$\sim$		- 22		•	÷	*					÷	×		
ŀ	٠	•	*	•	•	•	-	•	•		1.4						•			÷	÷.		-	•	•				•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	
Ľ	•			•	•		- 14			•	1			•			•	•		х	•				•	•			•	1					1	1	
L		5	-			1	÷.	1	<u></u>			1	1	1	÷.		•	•	1	•	÷.	3					1	÷	8	÷.		1		1			÷
Ŀ		2	- 2	-	÷.		1	÷.				÷.	- 2	े	1						1	Ĩ					- 3	-	÷.		11				- 2		2
L			2							X	1.					1.						 				X								-	- 2		
Ľ										x																•											
ŀ	•	•	$\sim$									×			28				×								•2	$\mathbf{x}$			1.0						
		5	$\mathbf{x}_{i}$	*			$^{10}$	20				$\sim$	$\mathbf{x}$	$\mathbf{x}$	$\sim$							$\odot$	<b>1</b>	1.00	10		2		$\mathbf{x}$		10	x	1.00	15	- 50	$\sim$	21
ŀ	٠	•	•	٠					٠	•	•	$\mathbf{x}$	۲				٠	•	$\mathbf{x}$		•		$\odot$	٠	÷		х			÷	:		•	•	- 23	- 31	
	•	•/>			•		•	•	٠	*	÷	•	×				•	*		÷		÷	÷	•	x	~	8		×	÷	1	÷		*			
Ľ	•	•			•				•	•	^		•		÷.			•	1	~	1	~	^	•	•	ż		•		^	1.	Ŷ	•		•		
ľ		÷.	÷.	÷		1	- 10				1					1			- 6		1		10		•	^	R	÷				ĉ	Å	-	- 0	÷.	
ŀ		2	÷	÷	-							÷.			÷.													÷	਼	-		F	C	-	- 2	1	÷.
		2			2					X		2	ŝ		2				1			1							2			R	R		2		
ŀ																1															5	R			2	1	¥
L																																R	R				
L											•																					F	F				
					•		38			x				$\sim$				•				$\sim$	$\mathbf{x}$			1.5			$\cdot$	X						•	
ł	•	${}^{*}$	$(\cdot)$	$\geq$			25			- 63		÷			$^{\circ}$			÷			•	${\mathcal T}_{i}$	$\mathbb{R}^{2}$		•	1.5	х			x	1	x	•		. e	· •	12
ŀ	٠	:	•	•	•	×		•	٠	•	. 8			٠	$^{36}$	19		•					3	٠	•	. *:	$\mathbf{x}_{i}$	.8	1		10	150	•	5	12	18	
1	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		. *	•	٠		24	а. 1	: •	•	÷		۲	٠		٠		P		•	•		•	÷	p	•	8		
	•	•				1		•	•	•	1				14			•	A	÷				•		K		•			1	P	F		*		
	•			÷				•		•	1	- *			•			•	1	^	1		- 04 - 0			· ·	•					K	x			1	
		ž	÷	- 2	- 2					1	1.5	1	- 2			1			-			1	12	1.00		x	- 2	- 2	- 0	x				- 20			
		1	_								<u> </u>		÷			Ľ	•		<u></u>		1							-			1			-		Ĺ	

aster hamatus at this level, although the latter species also has a single, very rare occurrence higher in the section in Sample 116-718C-37X, CC (360.80 mbsf). The lowest occurrence of *Catinaster coalitus* in Sample 116-718C-59X-1, 100-102 cm (561.31 mbsf), also is an isolated, very rare occurrence; however, this datum is considered usable.

The next lower datum that is identified is the highest occurrence of *Cyclicargolithus floridanus* in Sample 116-718C-67X, CC (645.8 mbsf). This species is commonly redeposited, and the datum is identified in this section partly on its more or less consistent occurrence below this level, and partly on its inferred position relative to the next lower biohorizon, the highest occurrence of *Sphenolithus heteromorphus* in Sample 116-718C-71X-3, 143-145 cm (678.74 mbsf). The latter species is distinctive and can be considered a highly reliable marker in this hole.

The only remaining datum at this site is the highest occurrence of *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* in Sample 116-718C-81X, CC (778.80 mbsf). This same species was recorded from Sample 116-718E-1R, CC (942.60 mbsf); however, this lower occurrence probably does not represent the lower limit of the marker species as the next lower marker, the highest occurrence of *Spheno-lithus belemnos* (not penetrated), is well above the lowest occurrence of *Helicosphaera ampliaperta*.

The nannofossil biostratigraphy seems consistently less readable at Site 718 than at the nearby Site 717, which is difficult to explain. One possibility is that enhanced fluid circulation in the sediments at Site 718 (Cochran, Stowe, et al., 1989) has caused further destruction of calcareous nannofossils beyond that experienced at Site 717.

## Site 719

Site 719 is located between Sites 717 and 718, on the same fault block as Site 717, in an area where post deformation sediment thickness is greater than at Site 718 but less than at Site 717 (Fig. 2). The single hole drilled at Site 719 recovered sediment extending from the late Pleistocene-Holocene at the top into the late Miocene. The oldest nannofossil biomarker is the isolated occurrence of *Amaurolithus amplificus* in Sample 116-719-43X-1, 16 cm (393.86 mbsf), and the bottom of the core is interpreted to be older than the lowest occurrence of *Discoaster quinqueramus*. Following is an analysis, interpretation, and justification of the biostratigraphy of this site. The check-list for this site is given in Figure 8.

*Emiliania huxleyi* is present in Sample 116-719-1X-1, 71 cm (0.71 mbsf) but disappears in an unfossiliferous interval immediately below the sediment surface. The next lower datum, the highest occurrence of *Pseudoemiliania lacunosa*, is in Sample 116-719-4X, CC (32.7 mbsf), and the marker species occurs with reasonable consistency from this level downward.

As at the previous two sites the early Pleistocene and latest Pliocene are greatly reduced in thickness, partly missing, riddled with redeposited older marker species, and plagued by poor recovery. This entire interval is, therefore, difficult to interpret, and the interpretation is subjective. Sample 116-719-17X-4, 40 cm (151.60 mbsf), is assigned to the mid-Pleistocene interval of dominance of small *Gephyrocapsa*, and a short distance below this, in Sample 116-719-17X-6, 42-43 cm (154.62 m), is the low-

										_			_			_					-	_	_	
Lith. unit	Age	Nannofoss Okada and Bukry (1980)	sil zone Martini (1971)	Core-section, interval (cm)	Amaurolithus amplificus	Amaurolithus delicatus	Amaurolithus primus	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Calcidiscus tropicus	Ceratolithus acutus	Ceratolithus armatus	Ceratolithus cristatus	Ceratolithus rugosus	Ceratolithus sp.	Coccolithus pelagicus	Cyclolithella annula	Cyclolithella protoannula	Discoaster bellus	Discoaster berggrenii	Discoaster brouweri	Discoaster brouweri (3 rays)	Discoaster neohamatus	Discoaster neorectus	Discoaster pentaradiatus
Ī		CN15 to CN14b	NN21 to NN20	1-1, 71 1-1, 77 1-1, 100 1, CC 2-1, 59-61 2, CC 3, CC		• • • • •		F · · X	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(* * * * * ****)	F	• • • • •	the surface of the	• • • • •	R	• • • • •	• • • • •		• • • • •				• • • • •
п		CN14a to CN13a	NN19a/b	4, CC 5-1, 29-31 5, CC 6-1, 7-9 6, CC 7-1, 15-17 7, CC 8-1, 6-8 8, CC 9, CC 10, CC 11, CC 12, CC 13-1, 23-25 13, CC 14-2, 52-54 15, CC 16-1, 72-74 16, CC 17-2, 96-97 17-3, 11-12 17-3, 95-96 17-4, 40 17-5, 87-89 17-6, 42-43	医尿管 医2010年后的 医外外的 医外外的 化合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合	网络外侧外子 计指数系统 法法法法法 计选择性 化合成化 法计计	**********	·X · · ·X · ·X · · · · · ·XX · RFFRCC ·	人名法阿德 的复数医生物 医子宫 化合物 化合物 化合物 化合物	医外外的 计计算机分子 化化化化化化化合物 计算机分析	***********************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	医脊髓管管 计计算机 医外外的 计计算机 计算法 医外外的	医脊髓炎 医脊髓炎 医脊髓炎 医脊髓炎 医脊髓炎 医脊髓炎 医外外的	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	化合金合金合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合合	化化学学校 化化化学学 化化学学 化合金合金 化化合金	医脊髓炎 医有关的 医发生 医外外的 化合物的 医有利 医有利 医外外	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	医尿道的 机械制度 医脊髓炎 化化合物合物 化化合物 化化合物		计数据 化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化化	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ш	Pliocene/ Pleistocene	CN12d	NN18	18-1, 40 18-1, 95 18-1, 107 18-1, 148 18-3, 60-61 18-4, 38 18-4, 53-55 18-4, 59 18-4, 130 18-5, 8 18-5, 60				F				F		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						F		2.**************		
		CN12c	NN17	18-5, 90 18-5, 103 18-5, 107 18-5, 120 18-5, 149 18-6, 40 18-6, 99 18-6, 117 18-6, 140 18, CC	* * * * * * * * * *			X F · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· x x · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	******	*********	F			R F R · ·		R	*********	*********	A C F · · R · · · ·	x	*******	100日出来来来来来	R
		CN12a/b	NN16	19-1, 125-126 19-2, 10-11 19-2, 97-98 19, CC 20-1, 5-6 20-2, 106-107 20-3, 40-41 20-6, 100-101 20-7, 49-50 20, CC 21-2, 55-57 21, CC 22-4, 53-55 22-5, 7-8				· F · R · · · · · · ·	R			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1999年末年末年末年末年末1999年	C C · R · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				***********	CF · FF · · · · R · RF ·				CF · FX · · · · X · FF ·

Figure 8. Checklist of calcareous nannofossils, Hole 719.

<b>—</b>	_	_		-	-		_	_			_	_			-		_			_					-	_	_	
																				1	Da							
1 - E					1					I										1	li.							
									-											1	0				I			
1.00									5	1	1			1				$\sim$		1.00	12				1		50	
1 3									E	s	al		21	1			-	S	-	13	3		D		L		1	26
E	-				1.57				a	8	Ξ		11	2			22	22	2	12	20	0	0				8	00
a	ys		1	50	12				P.	1	(s	1	11	2		5	â	2	1	12	ä	100	4		1		00	20
e	13	S	S	1	i.				19	6		10	n i	00	12	1	0	ac	12	1.3	Se	9	da	\$	5	à	E	21 S
16	6	1		9	6		2		a	3	dd	5	5	ec	10	a	SC	4	2	2	0	5	21	ie.	12	5	S	-
Ē	=	5	te	ia	2	· ·	e,	à	G	0	S	2	00	2	1 S	*	P	ig.	2	0	0	0	~	9	A	D,	4	1
3	o.	1	-is	a	1.2	s	X	50	ä	ä	a	2	a	p	2	n	3	5	12	13	5	er	2	9	La la	16	A	a
9	S	S	5	2	0	a	1	2	D	B I	P	ia)	6	e	6	eı	20	lic	6	2	6	10	ae	115	ie.	40	10	h
1	5	5	5	1	1.5	5		Je le	D.	a	D.	10	20	24	12	an an	ä	22		6.	61	a	4	4	40	d	F	is
St	St	21	St	Ste	5	5	ii.	he	õ	õ	õ	P.	2	0	A	d	0	61	6	6	0	S	St	li.	d	8	2	8
l a	G	a	a	e	12	1	a	A	2	1	à	S	0	S	18	S	S	0	1	13	1	ž	0	2	8	2	2	11
18	2	8	2	8	18	2	12	-is	4	14	4	5	is	.0	1.2	.C	2	10	5	5	5	9	10	6	2	2	3	q
is	is	TS.	R.	isc	IS.	-Si	24	ō	Ga	6 D	e b	el	el	el	10	la	22	e	1	12	11	10	5	H	2	20	19	E
P	P	0	P	P	P	P	121	E	0	0	5	H	Ξ	T	E	H	A	d.	ž	12	R	R	S	SF	S	E	E	5
_				- 11		1	- 26		-32.5	1.2	223	12	1.22		- 36	1215	12		2.57	1.00		122	0.581	- 14	201	200		12.2
												0													n			17
- 6							ĸ	A		A	r	C			1.8										ĸ			г
1.2	- 62	1.2	11217	1.2	1.2	- 10	100	1	12	S2	2.0	100			12		- 53			1.1	128	2.2	12	1.5	- 12	1.2	12	102.0
					1.0			8	- C	v	1	v		- 51	18	- 2	- 23	- S	12	1.	182	1	- 33	- 23	÷.			v
					•		٠			Λ		$\mathbf{A}$		•	1.2	•	٠						•	•	•		•	^
	2								- C											· ·								
184					- 22				192	1.0					1.00					1.1				172	2.0			
1.1	1							1	2	1				•	15 I	÷.			22	2	. C.			- 21	12			
				1.0	1.1	10			10	X		100			1.0					- C. T.							1.5	1.1
1.									100	F																		
10					1.0			0	- 1	D				<u>.</u>	- SO	2		÷	- 22	1.2	121			- 22	12			
1		12	1.5	0.0	10 E	$\sim$	•			K	(t	$\langle \mathbf{e} \rangle$			10		$\times$	A	18	2.8			11	<b>.</b>		2	35	
$  _{\mathcal{H}}$	$\sim$	1.0			-0	12		*	- x	R	R				1.2			R	1.0	- ×			**		- 20	*	10	
10	12	1.22							P	F		x			<u> </u>			v		10 -								
1 °		1.5			12	51		۲	IV.	-	1	~	٠		•	٠		~		P			•			1		•
							$(\mathbf{x})$			R	х	1			10	$\mathbf{x}$	+		10					×.			$\mathbf{x}$	
1.	-2	- 2		100		20	25.	124	1.0	R	R	120	0.245	20		5	124	R		16	100	1.4	22	60		122	54	1.14
11	10					80			.*	P	P			•	L * 1		•	14		· *				~	~		10	
			ंग		100	80			9	R	ĸ			•	12			12					÷0	÷	- 62		32	1.
	1	32		222	12	22	22	2	- esh	X	X	120	1	23	2	2	12	12	12	12	640	1.2	20	2		32	2	S4
12		12								F					E .			P		10							÷.	
1.	1	. e			1.10			÷		Ľ	4	1		•	1.0			ĸ			•			÷.,	•		- 2	
•		1		. e (		23		2	21	R	12			- 24	1		2					1.0	23	- 20	2	2	12	12
	52 X	30					18	10	31	P	5	100	16	3	8	2	- 0	6	1	1.5	2				8	<b>9</b> 4	5	13
•					•			*	•	N		•		*	1.2		-			8		•	•	•	*			2.1
			-							C	F	R			- e -										X			R
		- 22	5						100	F	R				- 25			x		· · · ·					0.000			
1.				•		20	- C		1						1.2	20	12	~	1	12	1		50.	- C.	- A	<u>_</u>	1	
· ·					2.00	* 3		•			32			100	- 85	10	20		10	12.4	1.1	0.60					10	2.0
l .		÷.			1					F	F					- 0		R	÷	1. C								
1.2	- 22	22	-17	100	1.10	2.1	2.5	5	~	v	v	- AL	2023		- 0		0		- 02	10	31	0.00	<b>5</b> .0	- 1	101	0	<u>_</u>	2
•		<u>ر</u>		•						^	^				- X2		٠		3t -	18	. 4		*/	- 51				10 C
					0.00	•	•2			F	F				- 80			X				2.00	•	- 20				
								241		x										1.		10.000						
<u> </u>	~	· *			1000		•	•	· 1	~	10			•	· * 2	•		•	~	<u> </u>	•	1.00		~	•			
×.			•	•	•				•		×.,				×.	÷.		•					•	- 81	*			
10			2.		X	X	10		- S	Α	C	F	240		- 63			F		5× -	3			- 82				х
1.0	12	14	1.4	330	1.22	21	12.0	100	1.2	A	10	F	1022	51	10.	23	53	C	03	1. C	121	1000	÷1	- 62	12.1	12	12	x
1 ° .						•	•		•	1		-	•		•			č	•	· · ·			•	•	•	•		
× .										A		r						C		1.				- 12				A
- S		÷.			141					R	÷.	12		1.1		- 20		R	1	12	1			- 21	1			
- 55	x				12:33					x	Δ			123	1.2	v		C			x			R				F
1.1	~			1	•		•	÷.	·	-	~		S. 1	-	1	~	•	~	<u>.</u>		~	•	•	-	1		1	-
										F	C			R		2.1		С		•								F
1.2		- S.								F	F	R						R		L .						10		X
18				22.1	0.00			<u>_</u>	- 1	-	÷.	D	<u> </u>	n	1.20	÷.	- 21	12	- 2	D	÷.			v				
1				2			15	<b>5</b> 2	•	15		ĸ	1.5	ĸ	1.0	- 53	*	r	2	ĸ	2			-	•			
1.1				<ul> <li>•</li> </ul>																· •								
- S-				122	1000									0.701	1.22					10				- 24				
×.	•			S.	1.0	•	•	•		•		3 <b>*</b>			1.6	*2	•		×.	1		•	•	- 52		٠	•	
•		1.6		<ul> <li></li></ul>	100							29		200					10	× .			100	- 11				2011
																				L				- 01				
- × -			12	ал. Г			50	<i>.</i>	•	2	1		1	193	. • :	×0					1.0			- 1		*	10	
- Xi			2	3	1.4			¥2			1	Si .	8	3 <b>(4</b> )(		•		×.			09	<ul> <li>•</li> </ul>		- ÷0				
12		12		<u>.</u>	1040	121	23	22		4	12	12	84	220	1.4		$\sim$	12		12	32		121		- 20	2	(2)	<b>.</b>
12	100			<u> </u>			**** 5.5.4			÷.	2																	-
× .				्र		•	•		•				14	2412	•				•	<ul> <li>•</li> </ul>	2.4			- 61				
1				S4	1	141		2	20		÷.	÷.		4						14	12	4	141	12	12		2	
- 24	x	14.1	50	79	174.1	122	0.1	5		141	R	x	52	R				x	5	25		1221	029	1.1	63	121	65	52
Ľ.					C***			1	1		~	~		~	•		÷.	A	*	11	1	1		1				
•						۲	•	•		٠	٠																	
1				31					.					1.				C		Q				. 1				
18	10	-1	12	155	1920	2520	£1.	62	20	10	96	P	11	2224	1.52		30	C	6	A	22		10.24	10	X	8	19	R
1	1			S. 1				22	1		1	R		62 ()		51		C	÷.	4	12	1	•	11	A		1	R
					540	0.00	1.0	•			х	R	$\sim +$	3.50	142			F		F		2.41		X	•	R		
	2	~		<u> </u>	1				1						1000		÷.					2			C,		~	
<u> </u>	÷.	1	12	<u>е</u>		10	•	51	2	1	1	15	17	100	•	÷.,		•	× 1	194	10	1	200	1	5	2	15	
		100	1.0	3	24	110		10	$\mathbf{x}_{i}$			26	37	390		<b>1</b> 0	•	$\langle \mathbf{r} \rangle$		2	38	2	313		10	$ \mathbf{r} $	$(\mathbf{r})$	
		1.0		. 1								14			1141		-		. I	R			1201					
1 °	1	-	15	<u> </u>		1		-	<u> </u>	1	27	- 1	25	24		- 10 C			1	2.2	್		1.002	1	1	1	1	0.25
				28	.9			•	× 1				28	э.			×2	٠	•	196	14	3 <b>1</b>		•	*C	•	•	28
18				34 L	39	(40)	(F)	10	1	$\approx$		38	57	31	0.22	22				10	34	34	$(\bar{a})$	$(\infty)$	+2		14	
-																												
· ·				× .				*5		•	•			·* :		• 1	•		•	1.0	16					•	۲	
- 20	68		12	×.1	14				-	$\sim$			Si .	$\mathbf{a}_{i}$			2.5	. ÷	1	14	Si	÷	2412					÷
\$	22	125	12	R	22	12	0.20	21	1	49	12	F	61	F	R	1527	27	C	A	A	R	R	6401	F	12	20	3	
÷.		v		-	1.1		1	1	1			F	0	P			D	F	6	C	-			1				D
÷.	•	•		8		•				٠		L,		ĸ	•	•	ĸ	L.	C	C	1			•				R
÷.				8		•									R						÷.							
- 31 -	12		2	21	R	10		122	21	8	2	F	122	0	R		- 25	F	C	C	1	12	22	1	54	8	3	8
1			1	<u>8</u>	14	•		5	*	1		5	12	1	I.	•	<b>7</b> 0		~	~	<u>_</u>	1		12	1	5	•	÷.
		•	•	2		21	0.00	10	- 1				25	e				X		•			•					
														<u>,</u> 1														
25	- 22			÷.					<u></u>					<u></u>			- 24			1Ĉ	05	105		22	1.15		- 35	
12	23	2	1	<u>ت</u>	12	1		÷.	1	1	22		65	<u></u>	1	1212	52	1	- C	1	37	24		270	1	2	<i>*</i> 5	
- 50	22			$\sim$				10	1				88	$\sim$		197	÷.,	•	× 1		:*	35	•	100	55	5	$\sim$	2
		$\sim$		. a 1					1.1					5 I					. 1		$\sim$			100	•			
				21		1000			11	101	100			<u> </u>	1			x			1079 2010							
	•		۲	2		18			-	*				·* -	28	0.00		~	×.		25	÷.		80) 1		•		× .
10	•			34 L					10			٠		э.	24			. *		٠				•				
42	43	2	2	37 L	14	1			- 21	2	R	R	(2)	· 2	14	192		F	F		2	22		X		12		
				1	v	÷			1	÷.	Y	P		<u> </u>	Ľ.			F	1	C	÷.			F	÷.			
				- S2 - 1	A				12		Λ.	K	10	34 L	1.4			L,	- X.	0	14	24		1.				
×	$\hat{\mathbf{v}}$	Ψ.		· 1																								

																			_		_			
Lith. unit	Age	Nannofoss Okada and Bukry (1980)	sil zone Martini (1971)	Core-section, interval (cm)	Amaurolithus amplificus	Amaurolithus delicatus	Amaurolithus primus	Calcidiscus leptoporus	Calcidiscus tropicus	Ceratolithus acutus	Ceratolithus armatus	Ceratolithus cristatus	Ceratolithus rugosus	Ceratolithus sp.	Coccolithus pelagicus	Cyclolithella annula	Cyclolithella protoannula	Discoaster bellus	Discoaster berggrenii	Discoaster brouweri	Discoaster brouweri (3 rays)	Discoaster neohamatus	Discoaster neorectus	Discoaster pentaradiatus
		CN11 to CN10	NN15 to NN12	22, CC 23, CC 24-1, 70-72 24, CC 25-1, 49-51 25, CC 26,CC 27-2, 108-110 27, CC 28-6, 121-123 28-6, 127-128 28, CC 29-2, 99-102 29, CC 30-4, 106-108		机化化化化化化化化化化化		X · X · · · · · · F R X F X F	化化化化化化化化化化化化化		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	x .x   		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*******	****		医胃胃炎 医尿道胆管 化乙酰胺 医	···X····RFCXCXCX	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	· · X · X · X · X C A F C X C X
IV				30, CC 31-3, 113-115 31-3, 120 31, CC 32-3, 66-68 32, CC 33, CC 34-7, 24-26 34, CC 35-4, 38-40 35, CC 36-5, 111-113 36, CC 37-3, 132-134 37, CC 38-5, 22 38-5, 78-80 38, CC 39-1, 114-119 39, CC		法法法律保险的复数复数法法法法 法法律保险权利	化化学学校 化化化化化化化学 化化化化化	· · R · X X · · · · X · · R X R X · ·							· R X · · · · · · X · · · · X R · · ·		F			XR · · FRXR · X · X · XFRF · ·	*****************		· · · x	x F · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
v	late Miocene	CN9	NN11	39, CC         40-1, 7-9         40, CC         41, CC         42-1, 39-41         42, CC         43-1, 15-17         43-1, 15-17         43-1, 16         43, CC         44-1, 33-35         44, CC         45, CC         46-1, 24         46, CC         47, CC         48-1, 83-85         48-2, 5         48-2, 5         48-3, 139         48-5, 10         48-5, 72         48-5, 110         48, CC         49-1, 6-8         49, CC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · <b>X</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · × · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· C · R · · RF · · · XR · · · F · · · · · X · ·				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		· · · X X · R R · · · · C · · X F · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · XR · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{R} \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{X} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot $	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	· · · · · · X · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · X · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Figure 8 (continued).

Discoaster quinqueramus	Discoaster sp. (6 rays)	Discoaster surculus	Discoaster tristellifer	Discoaster variabilis	Discolithina japonica	Discolithina sp.	Emiliania huxleyi	Florisphaera sp.	Gephyrocapsa caribbeanica	Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l.	Gephyrocapsa spp. (small)	Helicosphaera carteri	Heliscophaera granulata	Helicosphaera neogranulata	Helicosphaera sellii	Helicosphaera wallichi	Pontosphaera discopora	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Reticulofenestra minuta	Reticulofenestra minutula	Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica	Rhabdosphaera claviger	Scyphosphaera amphora	Sphenolithus abies	Syracosphaera pulchra	Thoracosphaera sp.	Triquetrorhabdus rugosus	Umbilicosphaera sibogae
	•	*		•			,	œ		10	\$	•					•	•	R		x			R	•	•	•	
:	•		•	:	:	38 26	ः ः	(*) (*)		•	Ŕ		÷	*		27 27		Ŕ	Ŕ	8 8	x		2	ż		•	*: *3	* •
		8		÷		8	3	0 <b>0</b> 0		8	*	÷	÷	2	1		•	•	1	8	*	÷	ŝ	1	:	•		
à		÷		÷	ŝ.	â	3			÷	ŝ	÷	â	÷	â.	3			÷	ĸ	×	ŝ	à				÷	*
		÷	2		:		34 64	34 14		12	÷	х	÷	÷		24 24	•	•	х	× 4	÷	÷		*	:	•	•	÷
140	1	XR	-	÷	4	8 <b>4</b> 70	12	14) 		22 51	2	F	÷	8	Č.	84 10		1931 1922	Å	ċ	F	a S	3 <b>4</b> 12	ŕ	•	240	43 20	*
		A	x	÷		÷	÷			8			x	3			÷	•	C	·	F		i	F		•		2
•	•	X F	:	:	•	•	•	•	•		•	R	•	•	1	1		•	C C	F	C X		:	F C	÷	•	•	:
		÷	*	X	•	3	20 12	•			•	÷	0					121		C	F	•	•	F		•	•	•
1	Ŕ	г ,			•	2 3	37 18	•		: 10	8 6		* *				3 (*)	0.00 0.00	•	x		×	2			•	•	•
F		F R	8	Ŕ	÷		38 52		*	5	1	R F	Ŕ			38 - 2	•	•	C	CA	AF	÷	2	C A	:	•	•	5 20
÷	•	÷		×		*	3			e						3	a.		÷	•	÷			•	1.		•	Ř
F		R	•		*	1	3 3	4	•	10	* 8	* *		*	3 (4			•••	Ŕ	Ŕ	г	*		Ŕ	30) 24	•	•	*
F		R	80 20	1	•	3 <b>2</b> 20	84 22	à.		8	12 21	¥ 	2	9	2	84 03	3	2 <b>4</b> 37 10007	•	x	÷	×	34 55	x	8	3 <b>4</b> 3 2005		10
			÷			į				÷	÷	÷	•			÷				1	÷	÷	÷		1			2
F	•	:	•	•	:		•	1	•	1	•	x	ŝ	•	•	8	•	•	F	F	R	•	•	C X	1	•	:	•
R	100	R	1	х	•	ě	10	•	*	2 13	\$	8 8	•			3			х	20 70	8		3 8			•	•	
F	3.43 (*)	•	5 8	*	:		3 3			•	8	•	÷	;		2		2002 1000	F	1	ż	2	े र	F	:	•	•	2 2
C	(*) (*)	F	*	1	:	×	Ŭ.	20	-	5	*	X F	×	•	×	24	*	•	Å	A	F	2	÷	CA	31			2
C		R	10 80	x		ŝ	ŝ.	à			è	÷	÷		÷.	ŝ.	2		F	F		÷	ŝ	F	9	1.		8
		•	*		*	*	94 64	34 14		•	÷			*			•	•	ж 15	8 8	X X		•			•	•	*
P	243) 		10	*	-	ар С	84 10	4		E.	-	*	1	1	4	4	4		R	R	•	*	*	R	8		•	•
X	•					÷	÷					1				ġ.	1	141	R		÷	÷						
F X	•	•	•	•	•		1	•	•	1	•	•	•	2	:	8	•		R	F X	R	:		F R	:	:	:	:
·		·F	ŝ	÷		ւ,	10 32	59 I.		. 5	2	÷	*		8	22	•	t:	X	ċ	•	•		ċ	•	•	ż	•
A		C	10 10	F	x		3 3	े २		- 12 - 10		F	•		x	2			A	A	F	÷	x	A		•	R	*: *:
Ŕ	x	•	80 20	÷	*	×	8	•	30) - 20)	:	•		*	÷		*		•	x	8	÷			XX	e 	-		*
3	2 <b>4</b> )		÷			2	2	3	80	e	÷		÷		8			300	X		×			X	٠	0.00	٠	
1	2		40 22	$\dot{\mathbf{x}}$	÷ ÷	ж Э	2	54 54		1	2	2		:		а а	а Ц	•	A	1	÷	•	*	A		•	÷	-
2	141 121		12	1	9 0	2	3 	4		•	2	2		1	3	34 70	1		1	ż	2	*	*	ż				8 10
	•	•			÷		÷						÷	•		•		•	x		÷		÷		14			
F.	•	•	•	F	8	•	:		•	:	•	R	•	:	•	:		•	•	1	•	•	•		:	:	:	
		÷	5	×.	×	*	3		:*) :*)	•	10 10	*	3	ŝ	*	$\tilde{x}$	11. 12.	(*)		2	8	•	*	•		•	•	•
î	•		10 10	1		*	ж ж				10 10	2	×	:		25 33	е ж		•	2 5	2 2	8 8	а 2		1	•	•	5 5
	э. Э	•	•		×	÷	a a	8	*		0	× v	e v	•	*	18 14	18 14		2	8			*	2	2	:		к: •:
	•	5 <b>.</b> 83	e:	x	я.		*	5			£3	*	×	×		18				÷	x	*	*	X	æ		æ	
î	•	5343 5343	40 22		2	4	2	34 34	040) 140			÷	•			a a	3	•			x	•		î	8	•		•

est occurrence of *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* s.1., which is here considered a more reliable marker than the small *Gephyrocapsa* datum.

The highest occurrence of *Discoaster brouweri* can be placed with equal justification in Sample 116-719-18X-1, 40 cm (156.60 mbsf), or Sample 116-719-18X-5, 90 cm (163.10 mbsf). Although the latter might be preferred because that level contains no obviously redeposited species, the next lower datum, the highest occurrence of *Discoaster pentaradiatus*, is in Sample 116-719-18X-5, 103 (163.23 m), just 13 cm farther down, which suggests that the *Discoaster brouweri* highest occurrence may actually be higher, i.e., in Sample 116-719-18X-1, 40 cm.

The next lower datum, the highest occurrence of *Discoaster* surculus in Sample 16-719-19X-2, 10-11 cm (166.39 mbsf), is based on a single, isolated occurrence of that species and, thus, is not a very reliable marker. Next below, however, are three consecutive datums which, in combination, can be considered reliable markers. The highest occurrence of *Sphenolithus abies* is placed at Sample 116-719-21X, CC (194.20 mbsf); the highest occurrence of *Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica* at Sample 116-719-22X, CC (203.70 mbsf) and the lowest occurrence of *Pseudoemiliania lacunosa* is at Sample 116-719-24X-1, 70-72 cm (213.91 mbsf). The last of the three is the most reliable marker, whereas the first two could be considerably higher, as high as Sample 116-719-18X-5, 107 cm (163.27 mbsf), for *Sphenolithus abies*, and Sample 116-719-19X-1, 125-126 cm (176.45 mbsf), for *Reticulofenestra pseudoumbilica*.

Below the lowest occurrence of *Pseudoemiliania lacunosa* there follows first an interval of very poor recovery, then an interval of moderately good recovery. The latter extends from the early Pliocene into the late Miocene. The pertinent biohorizons are the lowest occurrence of *Ceratolithus acutus* and *Ceratolithus armatus* in Sample 116-719-30X-4, 106-108 cm (275.77 mbsf), followed a short distance below by the highest occurrence of *Discoaster quinqueramus* in Sample 116-719-31X-3, 113-115 cm (283.84 mbsf). The Miocene/Pliocene boundary is between the two biohorizons above in a very sparsely fossiliferous-to-barren turbidite sequence. The above combination of biohorizons is considered highly reliable, not subject to significant distortion or displacement.

Two additional markers were encountered below the *Discoas*ter quinqueramus highest occurrence. These are the highest occurrences of *Discoater berggrenii* in Sample 116-719-38X-5, 78-80 cm (352.99 mbsf) and a single occurrence of *Amaurolithus* amplificus in Sample 116-719-43X-1, 16 cm (393.86 mbsf). Comparing the order of these last two biohorizons with the reference succession of biohorizons indicates that the *Amaurolithus* amplificus occurrence at this site probably approaches most nearly the lowest occurrence of that species. Below this level no further markers were recovered from the sparse, residual assemblages.

#### DISCUSSION

A detailed analysis of the calcareous nannofossils from the three sites of Leg 116 yielded some additional biostratigraphic control and, for the most part, confirms earlier observations. Age vs. depth (sediment accumulation rates) are replotted with the revised data in Figure 9 and, while the shapes of the sediment accumulation rate curves differ somewhat in detail, the overall picture remains the same. The multiple kinks in the sediment accumulation rate curve for Site 718 may be real or they may reflect mostly the poor quality of biostratigraphic control. Nevertheless, the highest sediment accumulation rate is evident from about 11 m.y. BP to about 7 m.y. BP at this site. Prior to 11 m.y., ago sediment accumulated somewhat more slowly. Between about 6 and 7 m.y. BP sediment accumulation decreased sharply and remained low to about 0.7 to 1 m.y. BP, when it again increased sharply. At Site 717 sediment accumulation de-



## Depth (m)

Figure 9. Sediment accumulation rates (revised) from the distal Bengal Fan, Sites 717, 718, and 719 (data from Table 2).

creased much more gradually, starting at 6 to 7 m.y. BP, but a sharp reduction did not occur until about 2.5 m.y. BP. At Site 719 a more gradual decline in sediment accumulation commenced at about 5 m.y. BP and a sharp decline occurred at just over 2 m.y. BP. At all three sites high sediment accumulation resumed at about 1 m.y. BP or shortly thereafter, although at Site 718 core loss precludes precise biostratigraphic determination of critical biohorizons for this interval.

Owing to the sporadic recovery and poor preservation of fossil material, it is not possible to identify hiatuses clearly, although the episodic nature of deposition from turbidity currents probably ensures that only a fraction of the geological time spanned by these sediments is actually represented. It is virtually certain that there is a major hiatus in the early Pleistocene and late Pliocene at Site 718, and there are probably multiple lesser hiatuses over this same interval at Sites 717 and 718. Thus, it is perhaps expecting too much to extract better resolution from these sections than has been obtained. On reflection it is surprising that any biostratigraphy at all could be extracted from the sediments of the distal Bengal Fan. Preservation of direct pelagic influx of calcareous (and silicious) biogenic sediment cannot be confirmed (except for the surface veneer), which suggests that deposition has occurred at or below the regional CCD. The surface veneer of pelagic carbonate probably will not survive burial into the fan. The calcareous fossils that have been preserved probably owe their survival to rapid burial in and by

turbidites. The thin white laminae of biogenic carbonate, some of which clearly show graded bedding and almost certainly are of turbidite origin, probably survived dissolution because they were quickly buried by subsequent turbidity-flow deposits of fine-grained, impermeable, clayey sediments. It should be noted also that in a hostile (corrosive) environment it is generally the redeposited nannofossils that constitute the bulk of the residue after most indigenous species have been dissolved. It is not uncommon to recover well-preserved redeposited Cretaceous coccoliths from hemipelagic sediments in the Gulf of Mexico in which indigenous species are rare, severely corroded, often represented only by faint ghostlike shapes nearly devoid of calcium carbonate. Disquieting as it is, the conclusion that the entire biostratigraphy of the distal Bengal Fan is based on redeposited fossils seems inescapable. All interpretation must, therefore, allow for the possibility that any of the age assignments made from fossils might, in fact, be too old.

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The three sites drilled on ODP Leg 116 recovered mostly, possibly only, turbidite sediments from the distal Bengal Fan. Calcareous nannofossil assemblages were recovered sporadically at each site; however, it is safest to assume that all fossil material has been redeposited by turbidity currents. In spite of pervasive redeposition it is possible to devise a sensible, though somewhat uneven and uncertain, biostratigraphy for these three sites. Lowest occurrence datums proved most dependable, among them the lowest occurrences of *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* s.l.; the lowest occurrence of *Pseudoemiliania lacunosa*; the lowest occurrence of *Ceratolithus armatus*; the lowest occurrence of *Discoaster berggrenii*); and the lowest occurrence of *Discoaster quinqueramus*.

The oldest sediments were recovered at Site 718 where the lowest datum penetrated is the highest occurrence of *Helicosphaera ampliaperta* (16.0 m.y.) well above the bottom of the hole. Site 717 penetrated the highest occurrence of *Catinaster coalitus* (9.0 m.y.), and Site 719 was cored to below the lowest occurrence of *Amaurolithus amplificus*.

Site 718 proved to be the most intractable biostratigraphically, and the exceptionally poor recovery of nannofossils may be related to the peculiar fluid circulation in the sediments recognized at this site.

A major hiatus is recognized at Site 718, where much of the late Pliocene and early to mid-Pleistocene section may be missing. This hiatus probably resulted from local deformation. Sediment accumulation seems to have continued sporadically at Sites 717 and 719. Multiple shorter hiatuses are probably present at these sites, but occur with a frequency too high to be resolved using the available biostratigraphy.

Finally, all interpretation of the distal Bengal Fan sites must allow for the possibility that every age assignment made on highest occurrences may be too old. Age assignments based on lowest occurrences may be too old also because sparse and sporadic occurrences of marker species in the generally unfavorable lithology may prevent recognition of true lowest occurrences.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This study was supported by the National Science Foundation, U.S. Science Program. The manuscript has benefitted from reviews by Joanne Sblendorio-Levy and Wu-Cheng Wei.

### REFERENCES

- Baldauf, J. G., Thomas, E., Clement, B., Takayama, T., Weaver, P.P.E., Backman, J., Jenkins, G., Mudie, P. J., and Westberg-Smith, M. J., 1987. Magnetostratigraphic and biostratigraphic synthesis, Deep Sea Drilling Project Leg 94. In Ruddiman, W. F., Kidd, R. B., et al., Init. Repts. DSDP, 94 (Pt. 2): Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office), 1159-1205.
- Berggren, W. A., Kent, D. V., Flynn, J. J., and van Couvering, J. A., 1985a. Cenozoic geochronology. Geol Soc. Am. Bull., 96:1407–1418.
- Berggren, W. A., Kent, D. V., and Van Couvering, J. A., 1985b. The Neogene: Part 2. Neogene geochronology and chronostratigraphy. In Snelling, N. J. (Ed.), The Chronology of the Geological Record: Geol. Soc. London Mem., 10:211-260.
- Bukry, D., 1971. Cenozoic calcareous nannofossils from the Pacific Ocean. Trans. San Diego Soc. Nat. Hist., 6:303-327.
- Clement, B. M., and Robinson, F., 1987. The magnetostratigraphy of Leg 94 sediments. In Ruddiman, W. F., Kidd, R. B., et al., Init. Repts. DSDP, 94 (Pt. 2): Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office), 635-650.
- Cochran, J. R., Stow, D.A.V., et al., 1989. Proc. ODP, Init. Repts., 116: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).
- Gartner, S., Chen, M. P., and Stanton, R. J., 1983. Late Neogene nannofossil biostratigraphy and paleoceanography of the northeastern Gulf of Mexico and adjacent areas. *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 8:7-50.
- Martini, E., 1971. Standard Tertiary and Quaternary calcareous nannoplankton zonation. In Farinacci, A. (Ed.), Proc. Second Planktonic Conf. Roma, 1971: Rome (Ed. Tecnoscienza), 739-785.
- Mazzei, R., Raffi, I., Rio, D., Hamilton, N., and Cita, M. B., 1979. Calibration of late Neogene calcareous plankton datum planes with the paleomagnetic record of Site 397 and correlation with Moroccan and Mediterranean sections. *In* von Rad, U., Ryan, W.B.F., et al., *Init. Repts. DSDP*, 47 (Pt.1): Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office), 375-389.
- Miller, K. G., Kahn, M. J., Aubry, M-P., Berggren, W. A., Kent, D. V., and Melillo, A., 1985. Oligocene-Miocene biostratigraphy, magnetostratigraphy, and isotopic stratigraphy of the Western North Atlantic. *Geology*, 13:257–261.
- Okada, H. and Bukry, D., 1980. Supplementary modification and introduction of code numbers to the low latitude coccolith biostratigraphic zonation (Bukry, 1973; 1975). *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 5:321– 325.
- Perch-Nielsen, K., 1985. Cenozoic calcareous nannofossils. In Bolli, H. M., Saunders, J. B. and Perch-Nielsen, K. (Eds.), Plankton Stratigraphy: Cambridge, U.K. (Cambridge Univ. Press), 427-554.
- Poore, R. Z., Tauxe, L., Percival, S. F., LaBrecque, J. L., Wright, R., Petersen, N. P., Smith, C. C., Tucker, P., and Hsü, K. J., 1984. Late Cretaceous-Cenozoic magnetostratigraphic and biostratigraphic correlation for the South Atlantic Ocean, Deep Sea Drilling Project Leg 73. In Hsü, K. J., LaBrecque, J. L., et al., Init. Repts. DSDP, 73: Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office), 645–655.
- Prell, W. L., Imbrie, J., Martinson, D. G., Morley, J. J., Pisias, N. G., Shackleton, N. J., and Streeter, H. F., 1986. Graphic correlation of oxygen isotope stratigraphy application to the late Ouaternary. *Pale-oceanography*, 1:137-162.
- Rio, D., Backman, J., and Raffi, I., 1988. Calcareous Nannofossil Biochronology and the Pliocene/Pleistocene Boundary. Universita Degli Studidi Parma (Preprint).
- Takayama, T., and Sato, T., 1987. Coccolith biostratigraphy of the North Atlantic Ocean, Deep Sea Drilling Project Leg 94. In Ruddiman, W. F., Kidd, R. B., et al., Init. Repts. DSDP, 94 (Pt. 2): Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office), 651–702.

Date of initial receipt: 11 May 1989 Date of acceptance: 30 November 1989 Ms 116B-122