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INTRODUCTION

The Indian Ocean covers approximately $73.5 \times 10^6$ km$^2$ from 25°N to 67°S and from 20° to 120°E. Several legs of the Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) and the Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) have operated in its waters, many penetrating the Cretaceous (Table 1). Most of the scientific drill sites are DSDP related and thus pre-dated modern biostratigraphic conventions. Foraminifers and calcareous nannoplankton were by far the dominant fossil groups studied in the earlier work, supplemented occasionally by studies of other fossil groups. The results of the Ocean Drilling Project phase are yet too young to be fully integrated but have been based on a broader range of techniques and fossil groups.

During most of the Cretaceous, the proto-Indian Ocean basin lay in middle to high latitudes. Thus, it is unrealistic to expect successful routine application of low-latitude zonations.

No planktonic foraminifer zonal scheme has been developed for the Indian Ocean basin for several reasons. There are no sections with complete or even significant partial sections to allow development of such a zonation. Carbonate compensation depth (CCD) effects have been marked in most sections, and significant intervals are devoid of planktonic foraminifers. The Indian Ocean now covers a great latitudinal range from tropics to polar regions and, at first glance, no scheme can be expected to be applicable over that entire range. In the Cretaceous the area was much smaller, though expanding progressively, and the paleolatitude range was quite small.

Calcareous nannoplankton have proved valuable in dating Indian Ocean Cretaceous sediments and have, in contrast with the foraminifers, been consistently a more reliable means of applying zonal schemes developed elsewhere.

For the Albian-Aptian, zonations based on well-known benthic foraminifer lineages (Scheibnerova, 1974) have been useful when nothing else was available or effective.

Palyontology has been used little, but where used, has proved excellent. It has the added value of providing valuable information on nearby terrestrial vegetation as the fossils were resistant to dissolution.

Normally, when different fossil groups have been applied to a section, the results have been compatible or compatible to an acceptable degree. There are a few instances where incompatibility is noteworthy, and Site 263 is a classic example, as even two calcareous nannoplankton studies show irreconcilable differences here. All groups gave different results, but one benthic foraminifer analysis agreed with one calcareous nannoplankton study.

Evolution of the Indian Ocean during the Cretaceous

This is not an exhaustive review of the Indian Ocean, but it is presented because the environment changed with time and was important in biostratigraphy.

At the beginning of the Cretaceous the Indian Ocean did not exist, and the presently surrounding continents were united as part of Gondwana, with the ocean of Tethys to its north. The dismemberment of Gondwana, insofar as it affects the Indian Ocean, began in the Late Jurassic (Larson, 1975) with the northwesterly drift of a fragment from northwestern Australia to produce a new ocean floor, now the Argo Abyssal Plain.

Greater India began its northward journey in the middle Neocomian (Johnson et al., 1976), and the generation of seafloor by this movement can be said to be the beginning of the proto-Indian Ocean (Quilty, 1984).

The evolution of the Indian Ocean from that time on has been the subject of many analyses, including those of Veevers (1984) and Royer and Sandwell (1989). The evolution of the shape of the Indian Ocean is known and for seafloor of any given age, paleodepth can be calculated readily (Veevers, 1977). With these constraints, the main features to be documented then are variations in temperature with time, variations in CCD and circulation patterns, and variations in sediment distribution caused by the above oceanographic factors, by changes in meteorological patterns (e.g., wind), and by onshore events (e.g., desertification of Australia and glaciation of Antarctica).

Throughout its history, subduction has not been a factor of importance along the western, southern, eastern, or western part of the northern margins of the basin. However, it has been a continuing factor along the eastern part of the northern margin. Along this subduction complex, much of the early ocean history has been irretrievably lost.

Features of the Indian Ocean

The Indian Ocean floor has a complex array of features (Schlich, 1982), some of which are unique to it and others for which it provides the best examples. For the unique features, note the "tramtracks of India": Ninetyeast Ridge and the Osagis-Laccadive Ridge. One of the best developed features, Kerguelen Plateau, is without peer as a submarine plateau, but it is also part of an example of paired submarine plateaus separated by a spreading center because of its initial continuity with Broken Ridge.

The Indian Ocean has many islands and seamounts, but few are well enough known yet to be cited as examples of linear volcanic island seamount chains so typical of the Pacific Ocean basin (e.g., McDougall and Duncan, 1980). All the above physical features have had an effect, both during their evolution and once formed, on circulation patterns, patterns of sediment distribution, and erosion. Some events in the history of the Indian Ocean basin had effects that were essentially restricted to it, but others, such as the development of the circumpolar current, had global significance.
Table 1. DSDP and ODP cruises in the Indian Ocean that penetrated Cretaceous sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leg</th>
<th>Ports of call</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Darwin to Colombo</td>
<td>January–March 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Colombo to Djibouti</td>
<td>March–May 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Djibouti to Port Louis</td>
<td>May–June 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Port Louis to Durban</td>
<td>June–August 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Durban to Fremantle</td>
<td>September–October 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Fremantle to Fremantle</td>
<td>November–December 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Fremantle to Wellington</td>
<td>December 1972–February 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Port Louis to Colombo</td>
<td>May–June 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>Colombo to Port Louis</td>
<td>July–August 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Port Louis to Port Louis</td>
<td>August–October 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Port Louis to Port Louis</td>
<td>October–December 1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Port Louis to Fremantle</td>
<td>December 1987–February 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Fremantle to Fremantle</td>
<td>February–May 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Fremantle to Singapore</td>
<td>May–July 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Singapore to Singapore</td>
<td>June–August 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Singapore to Singapore</td>
<td>September–November 1988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: DSDP = Deep Sea Drilling Project and ODP = Ocean Drilling Program.

Scientific ocean drilling in the region is restricted to Legs 22–28 (Deep Sea Drilling Project) and Legs 115–123 (Ocean Drilling Program). In all of these efforts, Cretaceous sequences were drilled in only 22 legs (up to and including Leg 120), and even these legs penetrated either discontinuous sequences or sequences in which only a short time interval is represented.

Little is known of Indian Ocean Cretaceous foraminifers and calcareous nannofossils other than the sporadic records represented in DSDP Legs 22–28 and in such even more serendipitous records, as described by Burckle et al. (1967), Quilty (1973, 1979), and Bassias et al. (1987).

The section in Site 747 holes provides a, so far, unique opportunity to describe the high-latitude Campanian-Maastrichtian faunas of the Southern Hemisphere, to relate them to paleoenvironmental parameters, and to provide samples of sufficient quality to make oxygen isotope studies worthwhile. From all these approaches, there should come significant paleoceanographic synthesis.

RESULTS

Previous Results

In compiling the following data, I have accepted the age assignments used in the original papers and applied the zonal schemes employed there. When the Indian Ocean sections were drilled by the Deep Sea Drilling Project, a radiolarian zonation did not exist for the Cretaceous of that ocean basin, and the modern calcareous nannoplankton zonations (Sissi, 1977; Perch-Nielsen, 1985) had not evolved. Although the same name may exist for a zone (e.g., Effides sullivani, Sissi, 1977), it was used in the 1970s for a broader concept (Upper Albian by Thierstein, 1974; upper Albian-lower Cenomanian by Perch-Nielsen, 1985) or for a different part of the time scale.

As some species concepts have evolved, modern treatment requires a detailed reexamination, especially in the case of calcareous nannoplankton. In several cases this is warranted anyway because important questions of age remain unresolved.

Leg by Leg Results

Upper Maastrichtian (Abathomphalus mayaroensis Zone) faunas were encountered at Sites 216 and 217 and a lower Maastrichtian fauna (Rugosotruncana subcircummoderif Sub-zone) was encountered at Site 217 during Leg 22 (von der Borch, Sclater, et al., 1974; Gartner et al., 1974). Faunas from Sites 211 and 212 could not be integrated into any zonal scheme.

Site 235 (Leg 24) yielded an upper Maastrichtian calcareous nannofossil flora but no foraminifers.

During Leg 25, Site 239 yielded an Upper Cretaceous benthic foraminifer fauna only. The sequence was dated as probably Campanian on calcareous nannoplankton. Site 241 has an Upper Cretaceous section but lacks planktonic foraminifers. The Campanian–lower Senonian age is based on poor calcareous nannoplankton recovery. Cretaceous foraminifers were recovered at four sites on Leg 25: Sites 255, 256, 257, and 258 (Herb, 1974).

Site 255 is on Broken Ridge and is of great importance to Keruguen Plateau studies because the two plateaus are, in part at least, conjugate across the Southeast Indian Ocean Ridge, and they were part of one unit until it was split by seafloor spreading in the Eocene (Le Pichon and Heitzler, 1968; Houtz et al., 1977). Unfortunately, the Broken Ridge samples are lithified limestone, and the Santonian–Campanian age is based on calcareous nannoplankton and tentative thin section identifications of a few planktonic foraminifers.

Site 256 is in the Wharton Basin. An Albian (possibly Cenomanian) age was assigned to clays in this hole.

Site 257 in the Perth Abyssal Plain (Wharton Basin) also yielded Albian and possibly Campanian faunas based on the tentative identification of Globotruncana cf. elevata (Brotzen). Site 258 (Naturaliste Plateau) gave an Albian-Cenomanian fauna from an argillaceous sequence and a Turonian-Santonian fauna from a nannofossil-chalk sequence. Only a few samples yielded well-preserved faunas.

Herb (1974) referred to the faunas throughout these sites as being of low diversity and took them to be cool-water assemblages. Keeled globotruncanid content was highest (higher than 20%) in the Santonian.

Leg 27 produced a considerable body of Cretaceous palaeontological results, including those on benthic faunas from Sites 260 and 261 (Upper Cretaceous: Krasheninnikov, 1976a; Lower Cretaceous: Kuznetsova, 1974; Sites 259 and 261 (Lower Cretaceous: Bartenstein, 1974); and Sites 259, 260, and 263 (Albian–Cenomanian: Scheinbrenner, 1974). Planktonic foraminifers (Krasheninnikov, 1976b) were recovered from Sites 259 and 260 and are Albian and Albian, dominated by Hedbergella spp. in the planktonic foraminifers.

From Leg 28, Site 264 on the southern flank of the Naturaliste Plateau, was the only hole to encounter Cretaceous faunas. They are Cenomanian–Campanian (Hayes, Frakes, et al., 1975) and are dominated by Heterohelix and Hedbergella spp.

In addition to DSDP and ODP data, there are a few results from dredge and core samples from the region (Burckle et al., 1967; Quilty, 1973; Bassias et al., 1987).

Site by Site Results

Table 2 summarizes the interval and thickness data for the holes discussed below.

The section at Site 211 consists of 17.1 m of sediment (411.5–428.6 mbsf; water depth, 5535 m) identified as Maastrichtian and Campanian. The section consists of 'rapid alternations of red, cream, and grey nannofossil-rich clays, nannofossil clay, and nannofossil ooze' (Von der Borch, Sclater, et al., 1974) and incorporates a few altered volcanic glass/ash beds. It overlies basaltic basement and is overlain by a brown clay unit from which it is separated by a diabase sill.

The foraminifer faunas (McCowan, 1974) from the three cores (22-211-12, 22-211-13, and 22-211-14) are barren of
planktonic species, and contain a diverse fauna of small, early growth phases of both calcareous and agglutinated foraminifers. *Inoceramus* was present and the unit was correlated with the Korojon Calcarenite of Western Australia (Belford, 1960) on the basis of a few benthic foraminifers.

The age assignment is based on calcareous nannofossils, which show signs of dissolution, leading to a reduced diversity. Core 12 is upper Campanian-lower Maestrichtian on the basis of the presence of *Quadrula tridium* (Stradner), which is lacking from Core 22-211-13 and the top of Core 22-211-14. *Aspidolithus parcus parcus* (Stradner) also is present, indicating a lower-middle Campanian age, the *Eiffelithus angustus* Zone. The total nannoflora consists of 10 species (Gartner, 1974), about 20% of what could be expected. This reduced diversity was postulated to be caused by either low initial diversity at high latitudes or considerable postdepositional dissolution (the present location is well below the CCD). Initial deposition may have been at shallower than abyssal depth, with later deposition by current action, which can be expected to effect some sorting.

Site 212 recovered a 51.5 m (430.5–482 mbsf; water depth, 6243 m) section of nannofossil chalk. There is a gradation in diversity at high latitudes or considerable postdepositional dissolution (the present location is well below the CCD). Initial deposition may have been at shallower than abyssal depth, with later deposition by current action, which can be expected to effect some sorting.

Table 2. Location, water depth, interval, and thickness data for Cretaceous sections intercepted in the Indian Ocean by DSDP and ODP activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Water depth (m)</th>
<th>Interval (mbsf)</th>
<th>Thickness (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>9°46.5'S</td>
<td>102°41.9'E</td>
<td>5535</td>
<td>411.5–428.6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>19°11.3'S</td>
<td>99°17.8'E</td>
<td>6243</td>
<td>430.5–516.0</td>
<td>85.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>1°27.7'S</td>
<td>30°12.5'E</td>
<td>2247</td>
<td>332.0–457.0</td>
<td>125.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>8°55.6'S</td>
<td>90°22.3'E</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>420.0–664.0</td>
<td>254.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>3°14.6'S</td>
<td>52°41.6'E</td>
<td>5130</td>
<td>646.0–684.0</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>1°17.6'S</td>
<td>51°45.0'E</td>
<td>4971</td>
<td>319.3–320.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241</td>
<td>1°27.8'S</td>
<td>44°40.7'E</td>
<td>4054</td>
<td>626.0–1174.0</td>
<td>548.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
<td>2°56.9'S</td>
<td>36°46.8'E</td>
<td>2088</td>
<td>172.0–408.0</td>
<td>234.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>3°37.7'S</td>
<td>39°22.1'E</td>
<td>5119</td>
<td>690.0–720.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>3°10.8'S</td>
<td>93°43.7'E</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>75.0–108.5</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>2°37.3'S</td>
<td>109°46.4'E</td>
<td>5361</td>
<td>*150.0±(±2)–248.3</td>
<td>100.0±*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257</td>
<td>3°50.1'S</td>
<td>108°29.9'E</td>
<td>5279</td>
<td>*85.0–258.0</td>
<td>*150.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>3°47.6'S</td>
<td>112°28.4'E</td>
<td>2793</td>
<td>114.2(Hole 258A)–325.0(Hole 258)</td>
<td>411.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259</td>
<td>2°37.0'S</td>
<td>112°41.7'E</td>
<td>4696</td>
<td>60.0–304.0</td>
<td>244.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>1°08.6'S</td>
<td>110°17.9'E</td>
<td>5702</td>
<td>147.0±(±9)–323.0</td>
<td>176.0±*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>1°55.8'S</td>
<td>117°53.5'E</td>
<td>5667</td>
<td>142.0–508.7</td>
<td>367.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263</td>
<td>2°19.4'S</td>
<td>110°58.8'E</td>
<td>5058</td>
<td>123.0±(±5)–746.0</td>
<td>623.0±*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264</td>
<td>3°54.8'S</td>
<td>112°02.6'E</td>
<td>2876</td>
<td>169.0–215.5</td>
<td>*46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>738</td>
<td>62°42.5'S</td>
<td>82°47.2'E</td>
<td>2253</td>
<td>377.0–496.0</td>
<td>119.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
22-216-24 appears to present a similar shelf environment to the cores below, but Core 22-216-23 is of a significantly deeper facies reflecting a major subsidence within the upper Maestrichtian.

Calcareous nannofossils from Cores 22-216-27 to -34 (Bukry, 1974a) contain an upper Maestrichtian flora including *Nephrolepidus frequens* Gorka, *Archangelskia cymbiformis* Vekshina (the section at Site 216 is surprisingly like that at Site 747, even to the confusion over the situation prevailing at the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary), and *Kampnotherium magnificus* (Deflandre). Thus, all but Cores 22-216-35 and -36 are Maestrichtian, and all belong to the *N. frequens* Zone. Diversity is low (Gartner, 1974), but whether this is the result of the high latitude or the shallow site of deposition is unclear. Paleolatitude considerations (Von der Borch, Sclater, et al., 1974) would not support the concept of high latitude at the time of deposition as paleolatitude was only some 35°-40°S. The shallow-water scenario may be sufficient to explain the features of the nannoflora. Cores 22-216-23 to -32 also contain diatoms and silicoflagellates and Cores 22-216-30 and -31 contain Radiolaria of Maestrichtian age (Johnson, 1974).

The Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary is marked by a disconformity representing a 2-m.y. gap between the Danian and the Cretaceous. The level of the boundary is debatable. Von der Borch, Sclater, et al. (1974) state that it lies at 22-216-23-2, 105 cm, where there is a change in sediment structure. Gartner (1974) conducted a very detailed analysis and, although agreeing with the time interval represented by the disconformity, would probably place the boundary lower, as he recorded Danian down to 22-216-23-2, 117 cm. He ascribed the boundary not to erosion of the Maestrichtian but to nondeposition of the lower Danian.

At Site 217 the thickest Leg 22 Cretaceous section was recovered (244 m between 420 and 664 mbsf in water depth of 3020 m). It contains three lithologic subunits. Subunit 2C (420-480 mbsf, Cores 22-217-17 to -22) consists of 60 m of nannofossil ooze chalk, differentiated from the units above by being mottled and banded of grayish orange pink, very light gray, light brown, and yellowish gray color. Moderate to intense bioturbation, a marked drop in foraminifer concentration to 1%-3%, and the presence of predominantly fragmented nannofossils are characteristic. Subunit 2D (480-600 mbsf; Cores 22-217-23 to -35) consists of 120 m of light gray carbonate siltstone (480-500 mbsf), grading downhole to light gray and greenish gray shelly micarb chalk (510-555 mbsf) and siliceous micarb chalk below. The unit has a higher *Inoceramus* content in the shelly part. Recognizable microfossils are much less abundant and the rock is consolidated. Nodular chert is common below 550 m. Unit 3 (600-664 mbsf; Cores 22-217-36 and -37 and Cores 22-217A-12A-17A) contains 64 m of sediment and comprises a complex unit of dolomite sand, chert, and shelly micarb chalk. The shelly micarb chalk content decreases downsection, and this component is absent below 635 m. The unit consists of individual beds 10-30 cm thick, clearly differentiated and, in places, cross-laminated.

Planktonic foraminifer studies (McCowan, 1974) led to a threefold subdivision of the Upper Cretaceous sequence. Cores 22-217-27 to -23 belong to the upper Maestrichtian *Abathomphalus mayaroensis* Zone with a fauna changing through the interval. At the top (Cores 22-217-17 and -18), there are common robust calcareous and agglutinated benthic foraminifers, probably a result of the dissolution of some of the planktonic foraminifers. Preservation of planktonic foraminifers deteriorates upsection, but diversity increases; and in Cores 22-217-17 and -18 the fauna includes a full-diversity, low-latitude fauna of doubly and singly keeled globotruncanids, *Rugoglobigerina*, and large heterohelicids. This is an oceanic fauna affected by deepening to below the lysocline.

Below Core 22-217-23, *A. mayaroensis* is absent but *A. intermedium* is still present in Core 22-217-24. In the interval to 22-217-30, *Inoceramus* is common and is accompanied by *Rugoglobigerina rugosa* s.l. as the dominant planktonic species. Diversity is lower than above; singly keeled *Globotruncanella* is virtually absent, but doubly keeled forms (especially *G. arca*) are still present. The proportions of *Inoceramus* and benthic foraminifers decrease upward, preservation deteriorates upward, and planktonic components and evidence of corrosion increase upward. The assemblages are not fully tropical, and the environment of deposition was outer shelf or deeper. This unit belongs to the *A. mayaroensis* Zone in Core 22-217-23 and to the middle Maestrichtian below. Differentiation of an entire array of Maestrichtian Zones is not possible, but it is probable that this middle unit is entirely Maestrichtian.

From Core 22-217-31 to -36, there is evidence of a change from initially inner shelf to ultimately outer shelf conditions. Preservation is good without evidence of solution. Benthic foraminifers are more common than above, but their proportion decreases upsection. The benthic fauna is a diverse, mixed calcareous/agglutinated one accompanied by *Inoceramus*, echinoids, sponges, and ostracods. The planktonic association consists of *Archaeoglobigerina-Globotruncanella lini-Bobigerinelloides-Heterohelix. Globotruncanella arca* is prominent in the upper part of the sequence. This unit is Campanian. Below Core 22-217-36 no useful microfossils were recorded.

Pessagno and Michael (1974) accepted McGowan's (1974) allocation of cores above Core 22-217-23 to the *A. mayaroensis* Subzone of Pessagno (1967) but added 22-217-24-1, 80-82 cm, to the zone and attributed 22-217-24-2, 80-82 cm, to the lower Danian. Below Core 22-217-36, the *A. mayaroensis* Zone in Core 22-217-36 is the uppermost Maestrichtian unit of McGowan (22-217-17 to -22) can be allocated to Sliter's (1972, 1977) Tethyan planktonic foraminifer assemblage. The middle unit (Cores 22-217-23 to -30) probably is of Sliter's Transitional assemblage and the lower unit (Cores 22-217-31 to -36) may be Austral or grading upward to Transitional. This gradation reflects a trend toward increasing temperature with time, perhaps also reflecting the northward movement of the site of deposition with time.

There was no detailed analysis of calcareous nannoplanktonic foraminifers from this site, but Bukry (1974b) ascribed an upper Maestrichtian age (actually Upper Cretaceous; *Mucila mira* Zone) to floras from Cores 22-217-17 and -18. Radiolarians and other microfossils appear to be absent from this section.

Site 235 recovered no Cretaceous forms within the sediment column; however, the basal unit in Cores 24-17-17-23 and -30 contained layers and veins of metamorphosed fine-grained sediment (Fisher, Bunte, et al., 1974) (described by Roth, 1974, as "inclusions in the basalts"), which contained no foraminifers or Radiolarians but did yield the calcareous nannoplanktonic *Micula decussata* Vekshina, *M. mura* (Martini), etc.
Markallus inversus (Deflandre), and Prediscosphaera cretaecea (Archangelsky), indicating an upper Maestrichtian age.

Site 239 (Simpson, Schlich, et al., 1974) recovered approximately 0.7 m of Cretaceous sediment immediately overlying basalt. It is included in Subunit IIB, which is a brown clay, modified sporadically to a nannofossil ooze with varying amounts of clay. Its color is grayish green in places. It is separated from overlying Subunit IIA by its fineness, as it lacks a silt-size fraction.

Although there are no discernible volcanic ash horizons, the volcanic contribution is important. All the Cretaceous sediments occur in Core 25-239-19, below Section 2, 74 cm. Sedimentation began above the CCD, but the area soon sank below it. The contact between the Cretaceous and Paleocene parts of this unit must mark an unconformity of ~7-11 m.y. duration.

Foraminifers are all benthic; faunas are diverse, including both calcareous and agglutinated forms, but lacking nodosariids. Calcareous nannoplankton are not common nor diverse, and floras are consistent with an upper Campanian age. Müller (1974) conceives a possible lower Maestrichtian age. Deposition was probably on the lower to middle part of the continental slope, and the foraminifer fauna may be of shallower origin, brought in as turbidites. Very few paleoceanographic data for the Cretaceous were generated by this hole.

Site 241 was drilled at the foot of the continental slope of Africa (Simpson, Schlich, et al., 1974) and penetrated a total sediment thickness of 1174 m without reaching basement, which may be 2000 mbsf. The Cretaceous was encountered in Cores 25-241-22 to -29, representing a cored interval of 72 m (with 55% recovery) over the 548 m. The Cretaceous sequence is all encompassed in Unit II, a greenish and brown claystone with minor nannofossil-rich claystone, silty clay, and calcareous sandstone. Deposition appears always to have been below the CCD.

Foraminifer faunas were disappointing: there were virtually no planktonic foraminifers preserved; many samples were barren; many had only a few dissolution-resistant, nondiagnostic, agglutinated faunas; and even the best samples have only calcareous benthic foraminifers, of which few have any stratigraphic significance. Sigal (1974) identified Turonian or lower Senonian, Santonian to Campanian, and upper Senonian species.

Calcareous nannoplankton were more productive as some horizons were rich in these fossils. Cores 25-241-22 to -27 (626-986 m) are Campanian and 25-241-28 is “early Senonian.” Core 25-241-29 is barren. Based on the species listed, Watkins (pers. comm., 1988) suggests that the flora would now be regarded as uppermost Campanian in age. Bukry (1974b) referred Cores 25-241-22 to -25 to the Tetralithus (now Quadrum) trifidum Zone. Radiolaria are virtually absent. The Cretaceous sequence is overlain by the Eocene, with an unconformity representing 15-20 m.y.

Site 249 contained a thick (236 m) section of Cretaceous sediment (Cores 25-249-16-2, 150 cm, to -32-CC) assigned to lithologic Units II and III. Unit III is divided into lower Subunit IIB and upper Subunit IIIA.

Unit II is a brown and gray, foraminifer, clay-rich, nannofossil chalk, extending from 25-249-16-2, 150 cm, to -23-2, 130 cm, a thickness of 115 m (172-287 mbsf). The upper boundary is an unconformity of approximately 40-50 m.y. where the Cretaceous is overlain by the middle Miocene. Sediments in Cores 25-249-16 and -17 contain upper Maestrichtian foraminifer faunas with Abathomphalus mayaroensis, Trinitella scotti, and Contusotruncana contusa subsp. Below 25-249-21 sediments are upper Campanian, suggesting that Cores 25-249-18 to -21 (part), or just above, are lower Maestrichtian.

Diversity seems high, with commonglobotruncanids and diverse heterohelicids, probably equating with Sliter’s Tethyan faunal province (Sliter, 1977) of warm-water aspect.

Calcareous nannoplankton, which are abundant and well preserved, support the foraminifer results in this interval almost exactly. Bukry (1974b) provides a more detailed discussion than Müller (1974) that recognizes the following subdivisions:

1. ?Nephrilithus frequens Zone down to Core 25-249-17-3, 100-102 cm;
2. Tetrathiis (now Quadrum) trifidum Zone, from Cores 25-249-17-4, 130-131 cm, to -21-3, 60-61 cm; and
3. Eiffellithus angustus Zone, from Cores 25-249-21-5, 60-61 cm, to -23.

Radiolaria are present but very rare.

Subunit IIIA consists of gray and olive silty claystone (Simpson, Schlich, et al., 1974) and volcanic siltstone. It extends from 25-249-23-3, 130 cm, to -29-1, 100 cm (approximately), a thickness of 65 m, represented in seven cores. Lithology varies quite widely but grain size normally contains a significant component in the silt-size range. Subunit IIIA is separated from Unit II by an unconformity (Campanian/Cenomanian) of some 12-15 m.y. Simpson, Schlich, et al. (1974) refer part of this unit between Samples 25-249-23-3, 3-6 cm, and -24-1, 136-139 cm, to the “middle” Cretaceous, and between Samples 25-249-25-25-2, 100-102 cm, and -29-1, 100 cm, to the Lower Cretaceous.

The mid-Cretaceous interval has a rich radiolarian fauna and few good foraminifer faunas, but variations in fossil content are very erratic and few generalizations can be made. Three samples within Core 25-249-23-4 contained a planktonic fauna of “Globigerinidae” and numerous Gavelinella (benthic). A sample at 25-249-23-3, 3-6 cm, very close to the top of this unit, yielded a fauna of several species of Hedbergella, Planomalina, and Ticinella, taken to be of Vracionica (upper Albian) or possibly lower Cenomanian age. Although it contains a notably planktonic foraminifer fauna, there is no evidence that this mid-Cretaceous section contains calcareous nannoplankton.

The lower part of this subunit (included in the Lower Cretaceous) is difficult to disaggregate and has not produced satisfactory foraminifer faunas. Bukry (1974b) reported on the calcareous nannofossils that occur in Cores 25-249-28 and -29 of this interval and extend downhole into Subunit IIIIB. Bukry suggested a lower Aptian age, based partly on the absence of Albian and younger forms. Simpson, Schlich, et al. (1974) supported this age (perhaps a little older; e.g., Barremian) for the upper part of Core 25-249-26 on the basis of “globigerinids” and ostracods.

Subunit IIIIB is finer grained than Subunit IIIA, lacking silt-size particles. It is harder and more difficult to disaggregate. As noted above, it contains a few Neocomian ostracods and foraminifers, but details are difficult to obtain from the site report. There is a conflict in dating because the site report (foraminifers) records 25-249-26 to -31 as being Neocomian (Valanginian or Hauterivian). This age assignment has recently been confirmed after a thorough study of the benthic foraminifers from these cores (Rieggraf, 1989) and comparison of the benthic faunas with age-equivalent faunas from many DSDP sites (Rieggraf and Luterbacher, 1989). Bukry (1974b), however, on the basis of calcareous nannoplankton, regards the interval in Cores 25-249-28 to -31 as lower Aptian. This conflict is not resolved, but the recent benthic foraminifer studies (Rieggraf, 1989; Rieggraf and Luterbacher, 1989) have helped considerably in clarification.
This section is an important one, the few in the Indian Ocean recording more than just the Campanian-Maastrichtian; and it assumes extra importance because of its Lower Cretaceous component. It needs detailed study, particularly of Radiolaria and nannoplankton in the "middle" and Lower Cretaceous, taking account of great advances in microfossil biostratigraphy that have occurred in recent years.

The Cretaceous at Site 250 is very poorly preserved, and Herb (1974) did not even bother to incorporate the site in his review of DSDP Leg 26 Cretaceous planktonic foraminifers. The Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary is not identified in the core, but occurs between 26-255-5-2, 35–39 cm (125.5 mbsf), and 26-256-7-CC (218.5 mbsf). This is an unconformable contact with no lithological expression. The lower boundary of the Cretaceous sediments is placed at 248.3 mbsf in Sample 26-256-9-1, 130 cm.

Cretaceous foraminifers are mainly unilocular and bilocular agglutinated forms of little stratigraphic significance. The few planktonic species (Hedbergella only), low in the interval, are also of little significance other than to indicate a Cretaceous age.

Calcareaux nannoplankton are more useful for stratigraphic purposes in this hole. All nannoplankton recovered, although moderately to strongly affected by dissolution, are from the upper Albian Eiffellithus turrisseifelli Zone (Thierstein, 1974; Bukry, 1974), consistent with the foraminifer results (Herb, 1974).

The foraminifer fauna, discussed in Davies, Luyendyk, et al. (1974), is consistent with cool-water conditions of deposition, the first time this important observation was made in the Indian Ocean drilling program.

Site 257 was drilled in the Perth Abyssal Plain in 5278 m (Davies, Luyendyk, et al., 1974). The top of the Cretaceous section is not defined but is above Core 26-257-4-CC, which contains Cretaceous Radiolaria. Cores 26-257-1 to -3 are barren of diagnostic fossils. The base of the Cretaceous section is at 257.8 mbsf where it overlies basalt. The sedimentary section is included in one unit, which is divided into four subunits.

Subunit 1A is taken as 185 m thick (the base was not cored, and therefore the boundary is somewhat arbitrary) and consists of soft, dark brown zeolitic clay. It is essentially carbonate free (but see below). Cores 26-257-1 to -6 were taken in this subunit. Subunit 1B is of red and grey coccolith detrital clay. Carbonate occurs throughout the unit, and there is a coccolith chalk at 240 mbsf and minor coccolith ooze horizons elsewhere. The base of the subunit is at 249 mbsf within Core 26-257-9. Subunit 1C is the lowermost 13 cm of the Cretaceous section and consists of red and reddish brown clay. Subunit 1D contains occasional foraminifer faunas. Sample 26-257-5-1, 140–142 cm, included Globotruncana cf. elevata (Brotzen), taken as an index of Campanian age. Cores 26-257-5-1 to -4 contain a few poorly preserved agglutinated species, apparently of no diagnostic value.

Cores 26-257-7 to -9 contain calcareous foraminifers, those in Core 26-257-8 being moderately abundant and well preserved. Those from adjacent cores show effects of dissolution, suggesting that Core 26-257-8 was deposited at the time of deepest CCD. The planktonic foraminifer fauna in Cores 26-257-8 and -9 includes primitive forms of Ticinella (T. aff. raynaudi raynaudi and T. cf. roberti) (Gandolfi) Herb, 1974) in addition to Globigerinelloides caseyi (Boll, Loeblich and Tappan) and Hedbergella spp. These are consistent with a middle Albian age. Deeper sections cannot be dated on the few "primitive" agglutinated forms contained in the core samples studied.
The calcareous nannoflora is best developed in Samples 26-257-7-CC and -8,-1, 139 cm, where dissolution effects are minimal. Below Core 26-257-9, all calcareous nannoplankton have been dissolved. The age of the florals studied from the calcareous material is middle Albian (Presidiscosphaera cretacea Zone; Thierstein, 1974). It is a diverse flora, in which Watznaueria barnesae (Black) is dominant. The nominate taxon is present throughout the interval from Samples 26-257-7-1, 120 cm, to -9,-2, 37 cm. Bukry (1974c) agrees with the determinations of Thierstein (1974).

Radiolaria (Riedel and Sanfilippo, 1974) occur sporadically throughout Cores 26-257-1 and -4 to -7, but they are absent below that. They provide the only evidence of post-Cretaceous deposition at Site 257: Oligocene-Eocene in Core 26-257-1. Sample 26-257-4-4, 84-88 cm, contains the most diverse fauna, suggesting an approximately Turonian-Coniacian age (Dictyomitra veneta Zone). This age is in conflict with the foraminifer-based Campanian age for Core 26-257-5, but that was based on a tentative thin-section identification. The radiolarian age seems better based.

Site 257 contains many horizons with calcisphaerulid Pithonella spp. Davies, Luyendyk, et al. (1974) state that Bolli (1974) studied these forms. In fact, Bolli (1974) reported only on those from Leg 27 sites. From Bolli's report they reach maximum diversity and abundance in the Albian, consistent with the estimated age of Cores 26-257-7,-8, and the upper part of -9, where they are preserved. The foraminifer fauna was tentatively identified as middle Albian (Davies, Luyendyk, et al., 1974) to indicate cold conditions of deposition because of its low diversity. The difference in age between Core 26-257-5 and Cores 26-257-7 and deeper suggests the presence of a significant hiatus somewhere between those cores.

Site 258 (Davies, Luyendyk, et al., 1974) was drilled in Holes 258 and 258A, in 2793 m water depth on the northern flank of the Naturaliste Plateau. It penetrated one of the best Cretaceous sequences so far encountered in the Indian Ocean, covering the interval from the middle Albian to the Santonian. The total thickness penetrated was 411 m between Samples 26-258A-8-6, 120 cm (114.2 mbsf), and 26-258-25-CC (525 mbsf). Basement was not reached, and the Cretaceous is overlain with marked unconformity by upper Mioocene sediments. The Cretaceous is included in Units 2-5 inclusive.

Unit 2 was divided into two subunits. Subunit 2A (Cores 26-258-5 to -10 and Core 26-258A-9; (114-203 mbsf) consists of gray miccarb or foraminifer chalk. Microcrystalline calcite (micarb) makes up 10% of this subunit, and chert and silicified limestone are characteristic. Subunit 2B (Cores 26-258-11 to -13; 203-263 mbsf) has a higher (25%-70%) content of micarb and is described as a micarb coccolith chalk and coccolith micarb chalk. Foraminifers are much less abundant than in Subunit 2A.

Unit 3 (Cores 26-258-14 and -15; 263-285 mbsf) consists of interstratified green, gray or darker detrital clay, coccolith clay, and coccolith-rich micarb chalk. It grades downhole into Unit 4 (Cores 26-258-25 to -24; 285-314 mbsf), which consists of brownish and olive black ferruginous clay. Unit 5 (Core 26-258-25; 514-525 mbsf), the lowest unit encountered, consists of fine- to medium-grained glauconitic sandstone overlying brown silty mudstone.

Subunit 2A contains a low-diversity Coniacian-Turonian foraminifer fauna (Herb, 1974) dominated by globigerinellid, heddbergellid, and heterohelicid species. Archaeoglobigerina and Whiteinella are important index forms. Globotruncanids and benthic foraminifers are rare, and Inoceramus prisms are a conspicuous feature, especially in the Santonian. The Santonian/Coniacian boundary was placed tentatively between Cores 26-258-5 and -6 (between 133 and 142.5 mbsf) in an interval that was not cored (Herb, 1974). The fauna was said to be of "extropical transitional" character, probably equivalent to Sliter's (1977) Transitional faunal province. Calcareous nannoplankton from Subunit 2A (Thierstein, 1974) belong to the Marginopora furcatus Zone, which bridges the Santonian/Coniacian boundary and extends to the top part of Core 26-258-11, in Subunit 2B. Specimens are etched to slightly overgrown.

Turonian foraminifer faunas were recovered from Subunit 2B in Cores 26-258-12 and -13 (as low as Sample 26-258-13-4, 109 cm) in bulk samples, but they are rare and of low diversity. Dissolution effects are obvious, reflecting a CCD higher than in Unit 3 and Subunit 2A. Praeglobotruncana predominates (four species) and large Hedbergella are present. The faunas are of middle to upper Turonian age. No lower Turonian was identified and a stratigraphic break was suggested. The Turonian/Coniacian boundary was identified (Herb, 1974) in Core 26-258-13, between 109 cm and the core catcher.

Calcareous nannoplankton from Cores 26-258-11 (lower part) to -13 (upper part of Sample 26-258-13-2, 88 cm) belong to the Kampernieri magnificus Zone, which bridges the Turonian/Coniacian boundary. This zone spans a slightly shorter time span than is represented by Subunit 2B, the deposition of which began before and ceased after the calcareous nannoplankton defining the zone. Lower but still within the Turonian, the Micula decussata Zone was recorded in Samples 26-258-13-2 (lower part at 128 cm) and 26-258-13-4, 9 cm.

Cenomanian foraminifer faunas were reported in Sample 26-258-13-CC and throughout Core 26-258-14, but the identification must be seen as tentative because it is based on the presence of Schackoinea cenomana (Schacko) (recorded in sediments older and younger) and a single specimen of Rotalipora reicheli Mormord. The noteworthy characteristics of this fauna are its low diversity and lack of keeled forms, all suggesting cool-water conditions.

There is a conflict between foraminifer and calcareous nannoplankton dates. Both systems agree that Core 26-258-14 is Cenomanian, but Core 26-258-13-CC is regarded as Turonian on the basis of calcareous nannoplankton (Thierstein, 1974) and on foraminifers (Herb, 1974). Some later calcareous nannoplankton zonations (e.g., Roth, 1978) regard the Gartnerago obliquum Zone as entirely within the Cenomanian (and thus consistent with the foraminifer results), whereas Thierstein (1974) took it to extend into the Turonian. Sample 26-258-14-CC was the only sample attributed to the Cenomanian Lithophridites alatus Zone.

Below Core 26-258-14, all foraminifer faunas were taken (Herb, 1974) to be Albian in age, with marked cool-water characteristics such as domination by small Hedbergella spp. and the lack of key keeled species. Calcareous nannoplankton provided the basis for a more refined stratigraphic zonation, and Cores 26-258-15 to -19 inclusive are referred to the upper Albian Eiffellithus turrieseiffelii Zone; those from Core 26-258-20 to Sample 26-258-23-CC are in the middle Albian Presidiscosphaera cretacea Zone. Deeper Cores 26-258-24 and -25 yielded no microfossils. Radiolaria are present in almost all Cretaceous cores, but in many instances are fragmentary or nondiagnostic (Riedel and Sanfilippo, 1974). Most of the section could be included in the Dictyomitra veneta Zone that spans the Albian-Coniacian. The section at Site 258 records a general warming and shallowing trend from the middle Albian to the Santonian. Initial conditions were quite cool.

Site 259 drilled the third hole in the Perth Abyssal Plain in 706 m water depth. Cretaceous sediments are included between Samples 27-259-7-4 (60 mbsf) and -33-2, 30 cm (304.3
ulids from the section, including the erection of many new species and one new genus. They occurred in Cores 27-259-12 to -33. They suggested a lower to upper Aptian age on the basis of planktonic foraminifers (Krasheninnikov, 1974b). They recorded fragments of globotruncanids as high as Core 27-260-13 to Sample 27-260-18-2, 125 cm; 272-322.2 m) is a greenish gray nannofossil ooze and clay except for the lower 2 cm, which is brown. Radiolaria form a minor but conspicuous component of this unit. It directly overlies basalt, which probably is a sill rather than basalt.

Cretaceous calcareous nannoplankton are restricted to Samples 27-259-11-CC to -17A. All identified species (Proto Decima, 1974) belong to the middle Albian Prediscosphaera cretacea Zone. In the lower three cores, preservation falls off. As with foraminifers, the greatest diversity and best preservation were in Sample 27-259-11-CC. Bukry (1974d) recorded the faunas as belonging to the lower Albian (P. cretacea Zone). He also recorded two species (not age diagnostic in themselves) from Sample 27-259-28, 74 to 76 cm, the deepest calcareous microfossils recorded from this site. Renz (1974) reported very poor Cretaceous Radiolaria from Cores 27-259-8 to -30, and they provide the basis for placing Cores 27-259-8 to -30 in the Cretaceous. Wiseman and Williams (1974) examined palynomorphs from Cores 27-259-18 to -33. They suggested a lower to upper Aptian age on the basis of a diverse dinoflagellate flora. The accompanying spores and pollen are consistent with a Neocomian age for Cores 27-259-31 to -33, somewhat in conflict with the dinoflagellates. Overall, Wiseman and Williams proposed an Aptian age.

Boilli (1974) conducted a detailed study of the calccabeplids from the section, including the erection of many new species and one new genus. They occurred in Cores 27-259-12 to -17 and were used to define a middle Albian age. Cores 27-259-8 to -11 contain no Cretaceous fossils other than Radiolaria and their exact position remains a mystery. Vevers, Heirtzler, et al. (1974) placed the boundary between lower and upper Aptian between Cores 27-259-23 and -25, about 220 mbsf.

Site 260 was another hole in deep water (5702 m) that penetrated a significant Cretaceous sequence with a great deal of palaeontological control. Its three lithologic units (2, 3, and 4) were placed in the Cretaceous. Unit 2 (Cores 27-260-5 to -8; 158-224.5 mbsf) is a yellowish brown zeolitic clay. It is overlain unconformably by a Cenozoic sequence that is not older than middle Oligocene (a stratigraphic break of at least 30 m.y., although it could be much greater). Carbonate is a minor component of this unit, which was deposited at or below the CCD. Unit 3 (Cores 27-260-9 to -12; 224.5 to 272 mbsf) is a brown to pink nannofossil ooze with minor dark clay. There is no evidence of stratigraphic gaps between this unit and the units above or below it. Unit 4 (Cores 27-260-13 to Sample 27-260-18-2, 125 cm; 272-322.2 m) is a greenish gray nannofossil ooze and clay except for the lower 2 cm, which is brown. Radiolaria form a minor but conspicuous component of this unit. It directly overlies basalt, which probably is a sill rather than basalt.

Planktonic foraminifers (Krasheninnikov, 1974b) occur in Samples 27-260-9-1 to -11-CC. The faunas consist completely of Hedbergella and Globigerinelloides, with elements suggesting a late Albian age. The same interval yielded a diverse, stratigraphically significant mid-upper Albian fauna of calcareous and agglutinated benthic foraminifers (Scheibnerova, 1974). Nodosariids are of minor importance, and the faunas have strong links with coeval Australian and Indian faunas. Vevers, Heirtzler, et al. (1974) and Krasheninnikov (1974b) recorded fragments of globotruncanids as high as Core 27-260-5 to -8, and this is the basis for the Cretaceous age assignment that high in the hole. Krasheninnikov regarded them as reworked and representing faunas of three ages, the youngest of which is Turonian-Coniacian. They are taken as an indication of rapid sedimentation below the CCD of material brought in by turbidity currents.

Cores 27-260-12 to -18 contain dominantly agglutinated forms, but a few calcareous species are present. Diversity is much lower than above, and faunas are lower Albian or upper Aptian. Scheibnerova regarded these as representing deposition in less than 100 m of water, well above the CCD and much shallower than the succeeding faunas, which were deposited at continental slope depths.

Cores 27-260-8 to -11 are barren of calcareous nannoplankton, and deeper cores to the base of the sediment section can be assigned to the Prediscosphaera cretacea Zone, taken by Proto Decima (1974) to be middle Albian. There is a conflict between the age assignments based on calcareous nannoplankton and on benthic foraminifers, which place the deeper cores (27-260-12 to -18) in the lower Albian or upper Aptian. Cores 27-260-13 to -18 have a much lower diversity and quality of preservation than in cores above, a feature that Proto Decima ascribes to dissolution rather than climate influence. She refers to reworked Aptian, which may, in fact, be in place in an Aptian sequence. This would make the apparently conflicting results more consistent.

Bukry (1974d) has a rather different interpretation of the calcareous nannoplankton, placing Samples 27-260-10-1, 111 cm, to -11A, 85 cm, in the Albian/Aptian Parahabdolithus angustatus Zone, a full zone older than Proto Decima identified. Sample 27-260-12-2, 54 cm, was assigned to the upper Neocomian Tubodiscus jurapalicus Zone, and below this sample, to an older Neocomian age (Valangian or Berria-
been taken to give a definitive placement of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in this hole. This is in conflict with the report (Veevers, Heirtzler, et al., 1974) into three lithologic units. This situation shows the value of using many fossil groups, particularly where carbonates are rare or lacking. Traditional planktonic groups are of minor use stratigraphically at this site. Deposition at Site 261 seems always to have been below the CCD with the possible exception of the lowest parts, which may have been formed in fairly shallow waters. Little information is available for making judgments on water temperature or CCD history.

Site 261 in the Argo Abyssal Plain penetrated the oldest sediment known from the Indian Ocean basin, Upper Jurassic red claystone. It was also in very deep water, 5667 m. Most of the section drilled is mainly Lower Cretaceous. The Cretaceous/Tertiary contact is between 104.5 (Core 27-261-4) and 161.5 mbsf (Core 27-261-5), but it is not possible to be more precise than that. It probably is unconformable. The site report (Veevers, Heirtzler, et al., 1974) takes the Cretaceous/Tertiary contact and that between Unit 2 and Subunit 3A to be at 142 mbsf, based on the presence of a distinct seismic reflector at that depth. Lithologic Units 3 and 4 are Cretaceous, but Unit 4 also extends into the Jurassic.

Unit 3 was divided into Subunits 3A and 3B. Subunit 3A (Cores 27-261-5 to -9; 161.5-209 mbsf) is a soft brown zeolitic clay, almost devoid of stratigraphically significant fossils. Subunit 3B (Cores 27-261-10 to -28; 209-427.5 mbsf) is dark gray, semilithified, uniformly layered claystone with a wide diversity of minor components. These intervals contain carbonate but again calcareous fossils are rare. Unit 4 (Cores 27-261-27 to -33; 427.5-532.5 mbsf) is a brown semilithified claystone, normally devoid of calcareous fossils but with intermittent calcareous beds. The Cretaceous part occurs above 27-261-31-4.

No planktonic foraminifers were recorded from the Cretaceous section at Site 261, and calcareous benthic foraminifers are restricted to a few specimens at the base of the section. Agglutinated forms can be divided into a series of assemblages. Faunas from Cores 27-261-5 and -6 are characterized by Prooecystamina globigerinaeformis Krasheninnikov accompanied by other multilocular agglutinated forms. Cores 27-261-7 and -8 have Haplophragmium lueckei (Cushman and Hedberg) and other agglutinated multilocular forms. Diversity is high (40 species in the upper assemblages, and 20 in the lower), but abundance low. Krasheninnikov (1974a) provided reasons for regarding these as deep-sea facies, as they are very different from anything known on neighboring continents because of the depth of accumulation, and he assigned an age of Turonian–lower Campanian to these faunas. The age of the lower assemblage is not as clearly defined as that of the upper assemblage.

Cores 27-261-9 to -28 contain very poor agglutinated foraminifer faunas that have not been studied. Cores 27-261-29 to -31 (and deeper but not summarized here) contained a diverse fauna of agglutinated forms, dominated by unilocular and bilocular species (Kuznetsova, 1974; Bartenstein, 1974). Very rare calcareous foraminifers were recorded by Kuznetsova (1974), who used the fauna in Cores 27-261-30 and -31 to diagnose a Valanginian age.

Calcareous nannoplankton (Proto Decima, 1974; Bukry, 1974d) are lacking or contain no age-diagnostic forms above Core 27-261-28. From there to Sample 27-261-31-3, 10 cm, floras are Neocomian (probably including Berriasian, Valanginian, and Hauterivian). Calcareous nannoplankton have been taken to give a definitive placement of the Jurassic/Cretaceous boundary in this hole. This is in conflict with the view of Kuznetsova (1974), who suggested that Cretaceous foraminifers continue downhole to Core 27-261-35 and that Jurassic elements had been reworked into the Cretaceous.

Radiolarians are present in most Cretaceous samples, but only in Cores 27-261-12 to -23 are there faunas that can be diagnosed as Aptian-Senonian (Renz, 1974). Palynology at Site 261 (Wiseman and Williams, 1974) has been restricted to the interval covered by Cores 27-261-14 to -26. Cores 27-261-14 to -16 are taken, on the basis of dinoflagellates, to be upper Aptian or lower Albian in age. The deeper cores, to Core 27-261-26, are Aptian. The age diagnosis of the Upper Cretaceous section (Cores 27-261-5 to -8) is based on agglutinated foraminifers, the Aptian–lower Albian (Cores 27-261-14 to -26) on dinoflagellates, and the Neocomian (Cores 27-261-28 to -31) on calcareous nannoplankton and agglutinated foraminifers.

Thus, age controls throughout the Cretaceous at Site 261 are based on different fossil groups at different levels, and this situation shows the value of using many fossil groups, particularly where carbonates are rare or lacking. Traditional planktonic groups are of minor use stratigraphically at this site. Deposition at Site 261 seems always to have been below the CCD with the possible exception of the lowest parts, which may have been formed in fairly shallow waters. Little information is available for making judgments on water temperature or CCD history.
evolved late in the Early Cretaceous and reached their maximum development in the Late Cretaceous.

Lower Cretaceous calcareous nannoplankton were re-worked into Core 27-263-4 but are otherwise occasional and non-age-diagnostic in Cores 27-263-5 to -21. An Albionian age (but not attributable to any zone) is indicated for this interval. Cores 27-263-22 to -29 belong to the Eifellithus turrisiselliferi Zone, and Proto Decima (1974) argued that the flora is not known from the pre-Albian. She suggested that the flora indicates a middle to upper Albian age. Section 27-263-28-2 contains a flora not older than the middle Albian. Deeper cores do not contain age-diagnostic forms.

Bukry (1974d) has a markedly different interpretation of the Lower Cretaceous sequence at this site. Proto Decima regarded Section 27-263-5-28 as belonging to the E. turrisiselliferi Zone of middle to upper Albian age, but Bukry placed the floras from Samples 27-263-4-2, 128 cm, to -24-4, 48 cm, in the Aptian; that part down to Sample 27-263-13-2, 45 cm, was placed in the Parhabdolithus angustatus Zone, two zones older than the E. turrisiselliferi Zone. He tentatively placed Sample 27-263-26-3, 75 cm, in an even older zone, the Barremian Micrantholithus noschultzci Zone. Bukry’s results are arrived at by keeping with the foraminifer-based interpretation by Scheibenerova. Radiolalia occur occasionally in Cores 27-263-4 to -18, but preservation is poor and no interpretation beyond “Cretaceous” is possible.

Boll (1974) studied the calcisphaerulids from this site and identified four species that he took as Aptian or older (at the base of Cores 27-263-12 and -17) to lower Albian at the top (Cores 27-263-3 to -6). Wiseman and Williams (1974) examined palynomorphs and regarded the section in Cores 27-263-5 to -18 as Aptian, and the lower section down to Core 27-263-29 as Barremian. A range of the upper section into the lower Albian was noted as possible. Some reworking of Jurassic material occurred.

Thus, Proto Decima, Bukry, Scheibenerova, and Wiseman and Williams presented views of progressively older age assignment. This conflict in views of experienced specialists working in with different fossil groups that have been studied thoroughly in different parts of the world, illustrates the need for more detailed comparative studies. Even basic age assignments are not readily achievable in the Lower Cretaceous of the Indian Ocean basin.

Oertli (1974) recorded two species of ostracods from the Cretaceous section, and Stevens (1974) recorded the belemnite cf. Parahibolites sp. indet. from Sample 27-263-26-2, 108 cm, taking it to be upper Albian, again in conflict with earlier views. He also noted an indeterminate ammonite. Authors studying Site 263 made little attempt to interpret the paleotemperature and CCD history.

Site 264 is on the southern flank of the Naturaliste Plateau and is credited on Table 2 with 46.5 m of Cretaceous. In fact, only 1.7 m of Cretaceous sediment (Core 28-264-11-1, 100 cm, to -2, 120 cm; 169-170.7 mbsf) was cored. The additional ~45 m takes into account the section below the sediment, which is a basaltic conglomerate. There may have been other soft sediments not accounted for with the section below the sediment, which is a basaltic conglomerate. There may have been other soft sediments not accounted for.
overlying Maestrichtian, possibly related to diagenesis during the microfracturing, which is characteristic of the limestone. Below 119-738C-28R-CC nothing is identified to species level because of poor preservation. Heterohelicids and hedbergelids are common, and the age assignment to the Santonian/Campanian is, therefore, tentative.

Calcareaous nanoplankton provide the best means of biostratigraphic subdivision of the section (Thierstein, in press). The detailed breakdown is as follows:

1. Samples 119-738C-20R-5, 93 cm, to -24R-1, 24 cm, upper Maestrichtian, *Niphonolithus frequens* Zone (NC23 of Roth, 1978);
2. Samples 119-738C-24R-2, 64 cm, to -24R-3, 89 cm, Campanian/Maestrichtian, *Ceratolithoides aculeus* (upper) to *Lithaphyrus quadratus* zones (NC19–NC22 of Roth, 1978);
3. Samples 119-738C-24R-CC to -26R-CC, Campanian, *Aspidolithus parca-Ceratolithoides aculeus* (lower) Zone (NC18–19A);
4. Samples 119-738C-27R-2, 22 cm, to -28R-4, 25 cm, upper Santonian, *Micula concava* Zone (NC17);
5. Samples 119-738C-28R-CC to -29R-CC, lower Santonian, *Braunsonia lucanosa* Zone (NC 16);
6. Samples 119-738C-30R-1, 103 cm, to -30R-CC, upper Turonian/Coniacian, *Micula staurophora-Marthasterites furcatus* Zone (NC13–15); and
7. Samples 119-738C-31R-1, 77 cm, to -31R-CC, lower Turonian, *Gartnerago obliquum* Zone (NC12).

Cretaceous Radiolaria of upper Campanian–Maestrichtian age (*Amphipyndita tylotus* Zone) were identified in Samples 119-738C-23R-CC to -26R-CC. Below that depth, samples were barren of Radiolaria. The Cretaceous section seems to be barren of polyplacophorans.

There has been significant Ocean Drilling Program in the Indian Ocean since the drilling of Leg 120, but the results are not yet available in any final form and have not been included here.

**CONCLUSIONS**

There has been considerable drilling activity into the Cretaceous of the Indian Ocean, but the total effort for the area is still low compared with equivalently large regions of the world’s oceans. No comprehensive sections of the Cretaceous have been recovered as a result of this activity, and not enough data are yet available for thorough paleoenvironmental reconstruction of the region during this important interval, which marks the main period for the origin and growth of this ocean basin. There is still not enough information to develop a Cretaceous biostatigraphic zonal scheme. Only for the Campanian-Maestrichtian can the record be used to provide any regional synthesis. There needs to be a search for other sections representing earlier intervals within the Cretaceous. Much more activity is needed, including integration of what is known from offshore and onshore sections.
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