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ABSTRACT

Hydrogen isotope compositions have been measured on pore waters from sediments of Leg 129 sites in the Pigafetta and East Mariana basins (central western Pacific). Total water (pore + sorbed waters) contents and their δD values have been analyzed for three samples that contain smectite but no zeolite so that sorbed water can be attributed to interlayer water. The H budget for pore and total waters implies that interlayer water is 20‰ to 30‰ depleted in D compared to pore water. Because the interlayer total water molar ratio (0.25 to 0.5) in smectitic sediments is very high, interlayer water represents an important reservoir of D-depleted water in sediments.

δD depth profiles for pore water at Sites 800 and 801 show breaks related to chert and radiolarite layers and are relatively vertical below. Above these chert units, pore waters are similar to modern seawater but below, they are between -10‰ and -5.5‰. These values could represent little modified pre-Miocene seawater values, which were D-depleted because of the absence of polar caps, and were preserved from diffusive exchange with modern seawater by the relatively impermeable overlying chert layers. At Site 802, δD values of the pore waters show a decrease in the Miocene tuffs from 0‰ values at the top to -8‰ at 250 mbsf. Below, δD values are relatively uniform at about -8‰. Miocene tuffs are undergoing low water/rock alteration. A positive covariation of δD and Cl content of pore water in the tuffs suggests that the increase of δD values could result from secondary smectite formation. Low diffusive exchange coupled with D enrichment due to alteration of preglacial waters could explain the observed profile.

INTRODUCTION

Hydrogen is a major element in deep-sea sediments. It is present as (1) pore water, (2) absorbed water as interlayer (or interlamellar) water in swelling clays (e.g., smectites and saponite), zeolitic or channel water in zeolites, etc., (3) adsorbed water on mineral surfaces, and (4) structurally bound hydroxyl. Absorbed and adsorbed water, which are referred to here as sorbed water (e.g., Newman, 1987), are not readily physically separated when they are present together. Thus, hydrogen is considered here to be distributed among three sites: pore water, sorbed water, and hydrogen bound in clay "membranes," mantle H2, and CH4 degassing, and organic matter reactions are all parameters and processes that may influence the δD value of pore water between its entrapment in the sediments and the time it is squeezed out as a water sample. Because hydrogen from interlayer water is twice as abundant as hydroxyl-hydrogen in smectite, the effect of diagentic reactions on the pore-water isotopic composition cannot be modeled correctly without precise knowledge of the amount and isotopic composition of sorbed water.

In this paper a new sampling technique is applied for the first time so that the distribution and isotopic composition of H in the three principal reservoirs could be measured or calculated from three sites drilled during Leg 129 (western Pacific, Fig. 1). For three samples the H isotope fractionation between sorbed water and pore water is estimated and then applied to indicate the effects of secondary smectite formation on the isotopic composition of pore water. At these Leg 129 sites, volcaniclastic sediments rich in smectites and zeolites are abundant; the effects of diagentic reactions on the chemical and Sr isotopic compositions of pore water are dominant, and even exceptional at Site 802 (France-Lanord et al., this volume; Alt and Burdett, this volume; Karpoff et al., this volume).

ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES

Pore-water samples were extracted by squeezing 5- to 10-cm-long whole-round core sections at room temperature in a titanium and stainless steel piston modified after Manheim and Sayles (1974). Samples were stored in sealed glass bottles or polyethylene tubes. Microcores of sediments were sampled on whole-round core sections before squeezing by using a 6-mm-diameter stainless steel piston. Immediately after coring the microcore was ejected from the piston into a weighed quartz tube, reweighed, and sealed with a torch. Bulk sediment weight (solid plus waters) was about 0.8 g. This corresponds to 0.3 to 0.6 g of "total" water, defined as the water in the pores and sorbed. It does not include the hydroxyl hydrogen which at the low temperatures of the sediments is taken to be nonexchangeable. Extraction of total water was done after breaking the ampoule under vacuum and heating to 140°C for 20 hr. In detail this extraction
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procedure may not remove all of the interlayer water because in smectites a small fraction of the interlayer water remains to much higher temperatures at which hydroxyl “water” begins to be liberated. For experimental reasons, therefore, the total water content of the sample neglects this residual interlayer water. The released water was trapped in a sample tube cooled by liquid nitrogen. The liberated water was precisely weighed to measure the total water content of the sediment. The residual sediment, which is termed dry sediment, was then heated to 1000°C in the same tube to release hydroxyl hydrogen and to determine its content, expressed as H_2O wt%.

Water samples of 100 to 300 µmol were converted to H_2 over uranium metal at 800°C (Bigeleisen et al., 1952). H_2 gas was analyzed on a VG 602D mass spectrometer. Overall reproducibility is ±1‰.

SITE DESCRIPTIONS AND RESULTS

Sites 800, 801, and 802 were drilled in the Pigafetta and East Mariana basins (Fig. 1) to recover Jurassic sediments and oceanic crust (Lancelot, Larson, et al., 1990). Only Site 801 achieved this goal. These materials are the first direct record of this part of the Jurassic superocean.

Hydrogen isotopic profiles of pore waters are presented in Figure 2 and the data are listed in Table 1. For the same set of samples, mineralogy, pore-water chemistry, and Sr isotopic compositions are given and discussed in France-Lanord et al. (this volume) and sulfur isotope compositions in Alt and Burdett (this volume). Total water analyses have been done on three samples from Site 800 selected because they contained smectite and no zeolites; hence, sorbed water in these samples can only be attributed to smectite.

**Site 800—Pigafetta Basin**

Site 800 is located at a depth of 5686 m in northern Pigafetta Basin, 40 miles northeast of Himu Seamount (Fig. 1). The sediments (498 m) range in age from Cenozoic to Berriasian and have been divided into five lithologic units (“Site 800” chapter, Lancelot, Larson, et al., 1990) (Fig. 2):

- **Unit I (0–38 mbsf):** Tertiary to upper Campanian zeolitic pelagic brown clay.
- **Unit II (38–78 mbsf):** upper Campanian to Turonian brown clay and porcellanite.
- **Unit III (78–229 mbsf):** Cenomanian to lower Albian gray chert and limestones.
- **Unit IV (229–450 mbsf):** Aptian volcanoclastic turbidites possibly from Himu Seamount. The altered volcanic material is dominated by smectite minerals. Castorite, clinoptilolite, and phyllosilicate are present in the upper half of the unit.
- **Unit V (450–498 mbsf):** Haueteria to Berriasian claystone and radiolarite.

The sediment sequence overlies massive dolerite sills.

**Pore Water**

D/H analyses of pore waters are listed in Table 1 and the δD depth profile is shown in Figure 2. Eight pore-water samples were squeezed; one in Unit I and seven in Units IV and V. Because of the nature of the lithology and scarce recovery no samples were taken in Units II and III. In Unit I, at 22 mbsf, δD value of pore water is indistinguishable from that of seawater. Below the chert and radiolarite Units II and III, pore waters are depleted in D relative to modern seawater. The δD profile shows a slight decrease with depth from values at about −7‰ at the top of Unit IV to −9‰ at the bottom of the hole. δD of smectite hydroxyl water from Samples 129-800A-37R-2, 0–10 cm, and 129-800A-49R-2, 140–150 cm, are −48.9‰ and −53.6‰ respectively (Table 2), and OH-pore-water fractionations are −43.0 and −46.1. These values correspond to isotopic temperatures of 15°C and 3°C ± 15°, respectively, if one applies the empirical smectite-water fractionation curve of Yeh (1980). If the errors are taken into account, these temperatures are consistent with estimated present temperatures of 13°C and 17°C, respectively (Fisher, pers. comm., 1991). However, it is probable that much of the smectite was produced earlier at a lower temperature.

**Total Water**

Analyses of the total water (the water released at 140°C, i.e., pore + sorbed water) from sealed microcores were made on three samples in which smectite is the only phase containing significant sorbed water. The clay mineralogy of these samples is described in Karpoff (this volume). In Sample 129-800A-37R-2, 0–10 cm, X-ray diffraction (XRD) determination indicates that the sample is dominated by a di-trioctahedral Mg-rich smectite with traces of volcanic minerals. The amount of smectite cannot be determined precisely because the chemical composition of the different phases in not known. Based on the XRD, the chemical composition (France-Lanord et al., this volume) and the hydroxyl content (Table 2) the smectite represents about 90 wt% of the sample with a lower limit at 70 wt% based only on the hydroxyl content. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) observations on the <2-µm fraction show that, in addition to large flocy smectite particles, there are small laths (<0.4 µm) that are probably celadonite. In Sample 129-800A-49R-2, 140–150 cm, two types of smectite (di-trioctahedral and dioctahedral) are the only minerals determined by XRD. Both are large, flocy particles on SEM observations. As for the previous sample the smectite content is about 90 wt% with a lower limit at 60 wt%. Sample 129-800A-54R-2, 0–12 cm, is a clayey radiolarite containing quartz, di-octahedral authigenic smectite, and hematite. Smectite content is about 35 wt% with a lower limit at 25 wt% and quartz represents about 60 wt% of the sample.

Analytical results are reported in Table 2 for pore water and total water. Total waters are always depleted in D compared to pore water. The difference is 3.9‰ for Sample 129-800A-37R-2, 0–10 cm, 7.2‰ for Sample 129-800A-49R-2, 140–150 cm, and 6.7‰ for Sample 129-800A-54R-2, 0–12 cm.
Hydrogen Isotope Composition

Figure 2. $\delta^D$ depth profiles of pore water and lithostratigraphy of Leg 129 Sites 800, 801, and 802.

Site 801—Pigafetta Basin

This site is situated within the the "Jurassic magnetic quiet zone" in the central part of the Pigafetta Basin to the southeast of Site 800 (Fig. 1) at a water depth of 5674 m. The 462 m of cored sediment ranges in age from Cenozoic to Callovian and has been divided into five lithologic units ("Site 801" chapter, Lancelot, Larson, et al., 1990) (Fig. 2):

Unit I (0–64 mbsf): Tertiary to Campanian pelagic brown clay.

Unit II (64–126 mbsf): Campanian to Turonian brown chert and porcellanite.

Unit III (126–318 mbsf): Cenomanian and Albian volcaniclastic turbidites. Volcanic material is altered to smectite and celadonite.

Unit IV (318–436 mbsf): Valanginian to Oxfordian brown radiolarite with dark brown chert.

Unit V (443–462 mbsf): Callovian-Bathonian umber radiolarite and claystone.

Basement consists of an upper alkali olivine basalt sequence (462–591 mbsf) and a lower tholeitic pillow basalt sequence (462–591 mbsf). These are separated by a silified hydrothermal deposit of hematite (Alt et al., this volume). This is the first site to recover Jurassic basement in the Pacific.

Seven interstitial water samples were squeezed; two above the chert Unit II and four below (Table 1, Fig. 2). As at Site 800, the depth profile of $\delta^D$ values of pore water is also characterized by a break between modern seawater values above chert Unit II and the D-depleted waters below. In volcaniclastic Unit III, $\delta^D$ values range from $-9.3‰$ at 175 mbsf to $-6.8‰$ at 265 mbsf. In the lower unit of the hole, $\delta^D$ of pore water from the Jurassic sediments is about $-8.5‰$.

Site 802—East Mariana Basin

Located in the center of the East Mariana Basin, Site 802 is more than 300 km away from any known seamount arc or island (Fig. 1). The water depth is 5674 m. The sediment sequence is 500 m thick and consists mainly of redeposited volcanic and carbonaceous material of Miocene and Cretaceous age. It has been divided into nine lithologic units ("Site 802" chapter, Lancelot, Larson, et al., 1990) (Fig. 2):

Unit I (0–15 mbsf): Neogene brown clay.

Unit II (15–254 mbsf): Miocene to Eocene tuffs with indurated and well-preserved hyaloclastites and volcaniclastic turbidites. The upper half of the unit is particularly well cemented. There are few layers of pelagic clay, and in the lower half the volcaniclastic material is mixed with chalk. This unit is undergoing active diagenesis and the pore waters are strongly enriched in Ca and Cl (France-Lanord et al., this volume).

Unit III (254–330 mbsf): Upper Paleocene nannofossil chalk probably redeposited as gravity flow.

Unit IV (330–460 mbsf): Maastrichtian zeolitic pelagic claystone.

Unit V (460–509 mbsf): Campanian volcaniclastic turbidites with claystone, porcellanite, and debris flow.

Unit VI to IX (509–591 mbsf): Cenomanian to Upper Albian brown claystone, radiolarian limestone, and volcaniclastic turbidites. Basement consists of remarkably fresh extrusive basalt.

Interstitial-water samples were squeezed from sediment cores at 16 levels in Hole 802A (Table 1, Fig. 2). The samples are evenly distributed between 26 and 500 mbsf. $\delta^D$ values of pore waters decrease rapidly in the upper 80 m from seawater values at 26 and 44
mbsf to -4‰ at 83 mbsf. In the tufts of Unit II, δD values decrease erratically to -6.2‰ at 225 mbsf. In the redeposited chalk of Unit III, δD values increase to -4.2‰ at 322 mbsf. In the underlying units, δD values are lower, between -7‰ and -9.2‰.

**DISCUSSION**

**Factors Influencing δD of Pore Waters**

As in most deep-sea holes, δD values of pore water of Leg 129 sites decrease with depth. These isotopic composition depth profiles are the result of the superimposed effects of several factors:

1. The δD of seawater is not constant with time because of variations of the volume of the D-depleted polar caps. Based on the estimated δD of about -1‰ for pre-14-M.y. ocean water (Shackleton and Kennett, 1975), Sheppard (1977) estimated that δD of the same water was about -7‰. Here the major problem is that diffusive sediment-seawater exchange with ocean water at 0‰ since about 14 M.y. tends to erase the original composition. The debate turns around the diffusion constant, generally taken to be between 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻⁵ cm²/s. With such values, Lawrence (1988) has shown that the pore-water signal is almost lost at 400 mbsf after 10 m.y. and Shackleton and Shackleton (1981) and Friedman and Hardcastle (1988), based on the agreement of diffusion models with observed profiles, proposed that the δD of pore water reflects the paleo-water composition modified by diffusion with a diffusion constant of 5 x 10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁷ cm²/s.

2. Diffusional exchange between altered upper basaltic crust and/or seawater through the overlying sediments controls both the major element content (McDuff, 1981) and δD⁰ (Lawrence and Gieskes, 1981) of pore water in unreacting sediments. With time, its effect on δD should tend to smooth the isotopic depth profiles and to reduce the differences between paleo-seawater and actual seawater. In reacting sediments or basalts the situation is probably very different because hydrous minerals are generally depleted in D relative to pore water; the alteration of the basaltic basement should tend to enrich the pore water in D. This is in the opposite sense of that observed in the profiles. The upper basaltic crust may also constitute a reservoir of pre-Miocene D-depleted seawater.

3. Ultrafiltration in compacted clays acting as a semipermeable membrane has been experimentally demonstrated to retain D (Coplen and Hanshaw, 1973). This process requires cross-formational flow and generates both enriched and depleted waters. It is more likely to generate D enrichment in the residual reservoir rather than significant D depletion in the filtered waters, because of the reservoir effect.

4. Direct or indirect transformation of organic matter can produce extremely low D (δD < -90‰) waters (Shedd and Charlebois, 1986; Sheppard, 1986). In our samples the organic C content is typically less than 0.1 wt% (Lancelot, Larson, et al., 1990) and cannot be a significant source of hydrogen.

5. Mantle degassing has been proposed by Lawrence and Taviani (1988) based on the coexistence of low-δ¹³C carbonates (-30‰) with low-δD pore water (δD > -14‰). They argued that low D water could be produced by oxidation, in the basaltic crust, of H₂ and CH₄ from the mantle.

6. Alteration of basaltic material into clays and zeolites can occur either in the sediment or in the underlying upper basaltic crust. This
has been demonstrated to deplete the pore waters in $^{18}$O (Lawrence, 1973; Gieskes and Lawrence, 1981). As is observed for Samples 129-800A-37R-2, 0–10 cm, and 129-800A-49R-2, 140–150 cm (Table 2), hydroxyl of newly formed clays is depleted in D relative to water by about 40% to 80% (Savin and Epstein, 1970). Clay formation should therefore generate D enrichment in the pore water. However, this effect is limited because in a pure smectitic sediment, octahedral hydroxyl represents only about 5 mol% of the total hydrogen (hydroxyl plus sorbed and pore water). Lawrence and Gieskes (1981) have proposed that the fractionation between pore water and smectite is essentially zero if interlayer water is included with hydroxyl in the smectite. Therefore, it is essential to take into account the interlayer water of smectites and the channel water in zeolites.

### $\delta^D$ Values of Interlayer Water

Based on the analyses of pore water and total water (i.e., sorbed + pore) of bulk sediments (Table 2) the isotopic composition of sorbed water can be estimated by the difference. The mass balance equation for hydrogen in the bulk sediment is

$$B\delta^D_{bulk} = P\delta^D_{pore} + S\delta^D_{sorbed} + H\delta^D_{hydroxyl}$$

(1)

where $B$, $P$, and $S$ are the hydrogen contents in the bulk sediment, pore water, and sorbed water, respectively, and $H$ is the hydroxyl in the bulk sediment. $B$, $P$, $S$, and $H$ may be expressed in weight percentage of either H$_2$O or H$_2$O relative to the bulk sample. Assuming that the hydrogen hydrogen is nonexchangeable and not extracted at temperatures lower than 140°C (e.g., Sheppard et al., 1969; Savin and Epstein, 1970), this equation can be simplified to a mass balance equation for total water,

$$T\delta^D_{total} = P\delta^D_{pore} + S\delta^D_{sorbed}$$

(2)

where $T$ is the total water content in the bulk sediment. For our samples, sorbed waters are dominated by interlayer water because zeolites are essentially absent and absorbed water is probably very minor. Thus,

$$\delta^D_{interlayer} = \frac{T \times \delta^D_{total} - P \times \delta^D_{pore}}{I}$$

(3)

where $I$ is the interlayer water content of the bulk sediment. As

$$T = P + I$$

(4)

the pore-water content and the interlayer water content are interdependent. $T$ is measured during the extraction of total water (Table 2) but $P$ and $I$ are not known. To estimate the $\delta^D$ value of interlayer water it is therefore necessary to determine either $P$ or $I$. Shipboard measurements of physical properties include data that are related to porewater contents. These data, which are for levels adjacent to our samples (Table 2), were not used for $P$ because (1) measurements were not made on our exact samples, and (2) they represent values after partial dehydration of interlayer water (see also Eggeberg et al., 1990). $I$, the weight ratio of interlayer water to bulk sediment, is a function of $T$, of the interlayer water content of the smectite $i$ (the weight ratio of interlayer water/dry smectite in wt%), and of $S_m$, the smectite content of the dry sediment (in wt%):

$$I = \frac{i}{100} \times \left[ \frac{S_m}{100} (100 - T) \right]$$

(5)

Combining equations 3, 4, and 5, $\delta^D_{interlayer}$ can be calculated from the measurements of $\delta^D_{total}$, $\delta^D_{pore}$, and $T$ (Table 2) and estimates of $\delta^D_{sorbed}$, $\delta^D_{pore}$, and $T$ (Table 2) and from estimates of $i$ and $S_m$. Figure 3 presents the pore-water content and the H isotopic fractionation between pore and interlayer waters (1000ln$\delta^D_{pore-interlayer}$) as a function of the interlayer water content of smectite $i$. The agreement among the three independent pore-interlayer fractionation curves is quite good if we consider all the uncertainties on the different variables. Uncertainty of the smectite content is important and can be estimated. Changing $S_m$ from 90 wt% to 70 wt% will reduce $\delta^D_{interlayer}$ by ~7% and hence increase the fractionation factor. The interlayer water content of the smectite $i$ is mainly dependent on the temperature and the pressure (Bird, 1984), whereas the chemical composition of the smectite is a less important factor (e.g., Newman, 1987). Following Bird (1984), the interlayer water content of smectite can be estimated to be between 15% and 20% of the dry weight (dry wt%) under the P-T conditions of Units IV and V at Site 800. For these two values, the estimated pore-water contents and pore-interlayer fractionations are given in Table 2. The pore-interlayer water fractionation is thus estimated to be about 20 to 30. These fractionations are in the same sense and of the same order of magnitude as those determined from preliminary experiments using pure montmorillonite (Talib, 1986). A single value of the fractionation factor is not to be expected as the factor is probably a function of temperature, fluid pressure, interlayer cation, number of interlayers, and other parameters. Lawrence and Taviani (1988) however have suggested that interlayer water is enriched by about
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The diffusion coefficient in sediments qualitatively decreases with porosity and maturation, and is lower in fine-grained than in coarse-grained sediments. This may have implications for the retention of volatiles in the Earth's crust.

The δD increases shown on Figure 4 are, however, extreme estimates because natural systems are rarely closed. Additionally, the formation of zeolites will increase the shift because channel water is even more D depleted than interlayer water. D enrichment of pore waters due to mineral formation should be more favorable in those particular formations where diffusion effects are at a minimum, such as it is in Unit II of Site 802.

**Sites 800 and 801**

At Sites 800 and 801 the concentrations below the chert and radiolarite units are almost constant. These values probably reflect the absence or the very low rate of diffusion due to the overlying chert. The diffusion coefficient in sediments qualitatively decreases with porosity and maturation, and is lower in fine-grained than in coarse-grained sediments. This may have implications for the retention of volatiles in the Earth's crust.

The δD increases shown on Figure 4 are, however, extreme estimates because natural systems are rarely closed. Additionally, the formation of zeolites will increase the shift because channel water is even more D depleted than interlayer water. D enrichment of pore waters due to mineral formation should be more favorable in those particular formations where diffusion effects are at a minimum, such as it is in Unit II of Site 802.

**Site 802**

At Site 802 the δD depth profile shows a relatively regular D depletion with depth. Such a profile corresponds to the type commonly observed at most DSDP-ODP sites (e.g., Friedman and Hardcastle, 1988). However, the lithology is not usual and the upper tuff Unit II is undergoing active diagenesis characterized by significant increase of Cl and Ca in the pore waters. High Cl concentration (630 mmol) results from the uptake of water by the formation of secondary hydrous minerals. A closed-system model of alteration shows that, depending on the porosity, about 25 to 50 wt% of the initial volcanic material must be altered into smectites and zeolites to explain this Cl rise (France-Lanord et al., this volume). Comparable pore-water concentrations have been reported only at ODP Sites 792 and 793 (Egeberg et al., 1990), where a similar lithology is observed. More recently, comparable pore waters were sampled in volcaniclastic sediments during Legs 134 and 135 (Colt, Greene, Stokking, et al., 1992; Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al., 1992). Pore-water profiles of major elements show very high gradients in the upper 80 m.

The preservation of such extreme concentrations at 80 mbsf implies very low rates of diffusion combined with high sedimentation rates. Unfortunately, no downhole measurements are available for the upper 100 m, but between 100 and 140 mbsf, measured sonic velocities and resistivities are highly variable (2 to 4 km/s and 2 to 200 ohm-m, respectively; “Site 802” chapter, Lancelot, Larson, et al., 1990). These high sonic velocity and resistivity values correspond to intervals of coarse sandy tuff strongly cemented by silica (Karpoff et al., this volume) and their high degree of cementation is likely to be responsible for low diffusion. Similar intervals are present in some of the samples of the uppermost unit because there are no significant changes in δD and Cl contents. Site 801 pore waters are poorly depleted in Mg and enriched in Ca at depth compared with those at Site 800, indicating a lower degree of alteration of basement or a lower degree of exchange with the formation factors in a similar lithology at Sites 792 and 793 (Taylor, Fujisaka, et al., 1990). No differences are observed between δD pore water from the bottom of holes 800A and 801B despite the differences in Mg and Ca contents. Site 801 pore waters are poorly depleted in Mg and enriched in Ca at depth compared with those at Site 800, indicating a lower degree of alteration of basement or a lower degree of exchange with the formation factors in a similar lithology at Sites 792 and 793 (Taylor, Fujisaka, et al., 1990).
modified by diffusion and alteration reactions. The lower part of the positions of H of pore water in Unit II correspond to paleo-seawater. It is reasonable to propose that isotopic com-
diffusion constant, \( D \), where the diffusion coefficient is proportional to \( \sqrt{DF} \), \( P \), and \( I_0 \) are the sub-bottom depth, the time span since an instantaneous \( \delta D \) change in the ocean from \(-9\%\) to 0, taken to be 14 m.y. and \( \text{erfc} \) is the complementary error function. The two profiles are for (1) \( D_{\text{tot}} \), the diffusion coefficient of deuterium in 35\% NaCl solution at 1.3 \( \times \) 10\(^{-5}\) cm\(^2\)/s (Tanaka, 1975), which is an upper limit, and (2) \( D_{\text{tot}}(4 \times 10^{-7}\) cm\(^2\)/s) which is consistent with the Site 802 profile. If, as proposed by Manheim and Waterman (1974), the diffusion coefficient is proportional to \( I/\text{PF} \), where \( P \) is the fractional porosity and \( F \) the formation factor, \( D_{\text{tot}} \) is not unreasonable. In the tuffs, \( F \) is likely to be ten times higher than it is in less cemented sediments (i.e., with lower resistivity) by analogy with similar formation at Site 793 (Taylor, Fujioka, et al., 1990), and \( P \) in the tuff reaches values as low as 10\% (“Site 800” chapter, Lancelot, Larson, et al., 1990). Assuming that \( D_{\text{tot}} \) is correct, slight enrichments in deuterium of pore water compared to the pure diffusion model could result from alteration reactions. Although there is a very large uncertainty with the diffusion constant \( D_{\text{tot}} \), it is reasonable to propose that isotopic compositions of H of pore water in Unit II correspond to paleo-seawater modified by diffusion and alteration reactions. The lower part of the \( \delta D \) profile (below 250 mbsf) is almost vertical at about \(-8\%\) except at 322 mbsf (Fig. 2). Here, as at Site 800, the vertical gradient suggests the absence of significant diffusion from basement.

tuffs, if the system is closed, the model probably underestimates the \( \delta D \) increase because zeolites are abundant (Karpoff et al., this volume) and they take up D depleted H\(_2\)O (Karlsson and Clayton, 1990). The high \( \delta D \) and Cl contents of the Miocene tuff samples could correspond to an enrichment coming from alteration reactions with pore waters of the underlying levels, representing the initial paleo-seawater composition \((-9\% \delta D, 545 \text{ mmol} \text{ Cl})\). The effect of diffusion with seawater is difficult to estimate because the diffusion coefficients are unknown. However, the fact that the percentage of alteration observed in the tuffs (“Site 802” chapter, Lancelot, Larson, et al., 1990) is similar to that calculated from the Cl rise due to alteration of volcanic ash in a closed-system model implies very low diffusion rates in the tuffs (France-Lanord et al., this volume). Figure 6 presents a \( \delta D \) depth profile for pore waters calculated for a simple diffusion model (e.g., Turcotte and Schubert, 1982).

\[
\frac{\delta D_{\text{pore}} - \delta D_{\text{initial}}}{\delta D_{\text{seawater}} - \delta D_{\text{initial}}} = \text{erfc} \left( \frac{Z}{2\sqrt{DF}} \right),
\]

where \( D \) is the diffusion constant, \( \delta D_{\text{initial}} \) corresponds to pre-Miocene seawater assumed to be \(-9\%\), \( z \) is the sub-bottom depth, \( t \) is the time span since an instantaneous \( \delta D \) change in the ocean from \(-9\%\) to 0, taken to be 14 m.y. and \( \text{erfc} \) is the complementary error function. The curves illustrate the effect of diffusion with ocean water with initial pore-water \( \delta D = -9\%\) and a rapid change of \( \delta D \) of seawater from \(-9\%\) to 0\% that occurred 14 m.y. ago.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. \( \delta D \) of total water from sediment containing smectite indicates that sorbed (interlayer plus absorbed) waters are depleted in D compared with pore water. At plausible porosity values, the per mil fractionation between pore water and interlayer water is estimated to be 20\% to 30\%. In a smectite-rich sediment, interlayer water may represent 15 to 50 mol\% of the total hydrogen. Therefore, the formation of smectite during diagenesis may induce a D enrichment of the pore water.

2. Alteration of volcanic ash into secondary hydrous minerals may produce a D enrichment of pore water. However, significant shifts will be observed only in essentially closed systems, where alteration is greater than 20\% to 30\%. Such conditions are present in the Miocene volcaniclastic tuff at Site 802. Sites with similarly high Cl and Ca pore waters are characterized by very high sedimentation rates, fresh volcanic sediments, and efficient cementation.

3. Diffusional exchange either with the ocean or the upper igneous crust does not necessarily erase the \( \delta D \) value of paleo-seawater. At Sites 800 and 801, the presence of chert intervals reduces diffusional
exchange with seawater and, therefore, -10‰ to -8‰ pore waters probably represent little modified paleo-seawater compositions. Diffusion from basement is an alternative possibility if basement represents a reservoir at -10‰. However, diffusion from basement seems to be minor from the major cation profiles at Site 801. At Site 802 diffusion is probably limited to the upper tuff unit.
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