# 28. ABUNDANCE PATTERNS OF TUNICATE SPICULES AT THE GREAT BARRIER REEF— QUEENSLAND PLATEAU TRANSECT SITES: IMPLICATIONS FOR DOWNSLOPE TRANSPORT AND EARLY PLEISTOCENE INITIATION OF THE CENTRAL GREAT BARRIER REEF<sup>1</sup>

Wuchang Wei<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

The abundance patterns of tunicate spicules are documented for the Pliocene-Pleistocene sediments at seven sites along the Great Barrier Reef–Queensland Plateau transect. The spatial distribution pattern indicates that tunicate spicules were limited to waters shallower than 900 m. The occurrences of tunicate spicules at Sites 822 and 823 that are deeper than 900 m are ascribed to downslope transport, and their distribution patterns can be used to monitor downslope transport processes. The first common occurrence of tunicate spicules at Sites 822 and 823 around 1.6 Ma may suggest the initiation of the central Great Barrier Reef at this time. The morphology of tunicate spicules varies greatly and appears to be gradational among different forms. Older tunicate assemblages are less diverse than those in younger sediments, presumably because of diagenesis. Tunicate spicules do not appear to be a promising biostratigraphic tool for the Pliocene-Pleistocene.

# INTRODUCTION

Tunicates (Phylum Chordata, Class Assidacea, Genus *Didemnum*) are benthic organisms occurring in solitary and colonial forms and are common throughout the world's oceans. Tunicates containing large amounts of calcium carbonate spicules are found mainly in the Didemnidae and Pyuridae families. Tunicate spicules are aragonitic and are limited to waters shallower than 200 to 500 m (Heckel, 1973; Milliman, 1974). They usually prefer a hard substratum on which they build up colonies. Abundant tunicate spicules (a few percent of the sediments) have been reported only in tropical waters, such as the Bahamas (Newell et al., 1959; Purdy, 1963) and the Great Barrier Reef area (Heckel, 1973). The latter author provided information about the distribution of tunicate spicules on the seafloor and in Miocene-Pliocene sediments recovered from two industrial wells in the Great Barrier Reef region.

During Leg 133, abundant tunicate spicules were recovered at Sites 819 through 823 on the Great Barrier Reef–Queensland Plateau transect (Fig. 1). The objectives of this study are (1) to provide a detailed documentation of the distribution of tunicate spicules through time and space (along the transect); (2) to infer downslope transport of sediments and initiation of reef growth in the central Great Barrier Reef; and (3) to report observations about the taxonomy of tunicate spicules and to investigate their possible biostratigraphic utility. The second objective is based on the assumption that tunicate spicules found in waters much deeper than 500 m were derived from downslope transport and that abundant tunicate spicules are derived from the reef areas.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

Generally, two samples per core were analyzed for this study. Smear slides were made directly from unprocessed samples and were examined with a light microscope. Specimens of tunicate spicules were counted at a magnification of  $190 \times in 10$  fields of view along random traverses of a slide. Most slides are fairly uniform in thickness, because they were prepared by the same person. For some slides that are obviously thicker or thinner than the average, the total number of specimens counted has been corrected for the average. One hundred specimens in 10 fields of view were estimated as equivalent to 4% of the sediment. The abundance data of tunicate spicules from ODP Sites 820 through 823 are provided in Table 1. Data from ODP Sites 811 and 824 and DSDP Site 209 are not listed in Table 1, because these sites do not yield tunicate spicules in the Pleistocene.

Tunicate spicules were photographed in both the light microscope and the scanning electron microscope (SEM). Preparation of samples for SEM microscopy followed standard procedures. Photomicrographs are shown in Plates 1 and 2. Age information was taken from Wei and Gartner (this volume). Detailed site descriptions can be found in Davies, McKenzie, Palmer-Julson, et al. (1991).

# RESULTS

The distribution of tunicate spicules along the Great Barrier Reef-Queensland Plateau transect is shown in Figure 2. Site 821, which is shallower and closer to the Great Barrier Reef than other sites, contained the most abundant tunicate spicules (up to 8% of the sediment). Sites 820, 822, and 823 yielded similar abundances; Sites 209, 811, and 824 on the Queensland Plateau contained no tunicate spicules. The abundance patterns at Sites 820 through 823 have some similarities and show a general decrease in abundance downhole. The fluctuations in abundance at these sites are also somewhat similar, although the details cannot be matched from site to site. Figure 2 also indicates that tunicate spicules became common only after about 1.6 Ma; before this time, tunicate spicules were virtually absent (see Sites 822 and 823).

## DISCUSSION

As stated above, the Queensland Plateau sites, which are located in water depths greater than 900 m, did not yield tunicate spicules. This result supports the notion that tunicate spicules are limited to waters shallower than 200 to 500 m (Heckel, 1973; Milliman, 1974). Interestingly, Sites 822 (water depth = 955 m) and 823 (water depth = 1649 m) yielded abundant tunicate spicules. These tunicate spicules are ascribed to downslope transport because they could not live at these water depths. The abundance patterns of tunicate spicules at Sites 822 and 823, therefore, offer a useful means to monitor the process of downslope transport. Figure 2 suggests that there has been continuous downslope transport for at least the last 1.6 m.y. This is also suggested by benthic foraminiferal data and sedimentological data, particularly at Site 823, where virtually the entire sediment sequence is ridden with debris flows (Davies, McKenzie, Palmer-Julson, et al.,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> McKenzie, J.A., Davies, P.J., Palmer-Julson, A., et al., 1993. Proc. ODP. Sci. Results, 133: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0215, U.S.A.



Figure 1. Map of northeastern Australian margin showing Leg 133 drill sites. Bathymetry in meters.

1991). On the other hand, calcareous nannofossil assemblages in these sediments do not show a mixture of species of different ages (Wei and Gartner, this volume). This means that downslope transport has virtually been a penecontemporaneous redeposition process, which did not involve sediments of appreciably older ages.

Downslope transport apparently was also the cause for the abundant tunicate spicules found in the Miocene–Pliocene turbidites at Site 210 (Burns, Andrew, et al., 1973). This site lies in the Coral Sea Basin at a water depth of 4643 m and shows a similar assemblage of tunicate spicules (Edwards, 1973) as found in this study, whereas Site 209, at a water depth of 1428 m on the north margin of the Queensland Plateau, yielded no tunicate spicules (Fig. 2). This suggests that the shelf north of the Coral Sea supplied the tunicate spicules found in the turbidites at Site 210 and that the Queensland Plateau did not supply appreciable amounts of tunicate spicules for downslope transport.

Dissolution of tunicate spicules can affect distribution patterns and may be partially responsible for a general decrease in abundance downhole. However, the first common occurrence of tunicate spicules at about 1.6 Ma at Sites 822 and 823 (Fig. 2) cannot be ascribed to diagenesis because it is virtually synchronous, whereas the depths of common occurrence are different (~320 mbsf at Site 822 vs. ~160 mbsf at Site 823). Data in Figure 2 suggest that by about 1.6 Ma, large quantities of tunicates had been produced in the shelf areas, presumably as a result of the initiation of the central Great Barrier Reef, which provided large areas of hard substrate favoring the growth of tunicates. More abundant tunicate spicules at Site 821, which is closer to the Great Barrier Reef than other sites, also suggests that tunicate spicules came mostly from the direction of the Great Barrier Reef. The inferred age for the initiation of the central Great Barrier Reef is consistent with the data of Montaggioni and Venec-Peyre (this volume), who found tropical, reef-derived foraminifers throughout the sequence at Site 821. The maximum age for the initiation of reef growth, however, cannot be determined at Site 821, which reached sediment younger than 1.48 Ma.

The tunicate spicules observed in this study are generally 40 to 100  $\mu$ m in size. The number of rays in each specimen varies greatly, ranging from 3 to more than 50 (Pls. 1 and 2). These tunicate spicules also appear to grade from one form to another and are difficult to separate into different species. Tunicate spicule assemblages are diverse in the youngest sediment, as can be seen in Plates 1 and 2. Diversity decreases downhole. This is ascribed to diagenesis. No noticeably different tunicate spicules were observed deeper in the hole than those observed in the younger sediments. This indicates that tunicate spicules generally are not useful for biostratigraphy for the Pliocene–Pleistocene.

Table 1. Abundance of tunicate spicules along the Great Barrier Reef–Queensland Plateau transect.

Hole 821A	Depth (mbsf)	Num.	Hole 820B	Depth (mbsf)	Num.	Hole 822A	Depth (mbsf)	Num.	Hole 823A	Depth (mbsf)	Num.
1H-1, 111	1.12	105	1H-1, 112	1.12	56	1H-CC	0.90	112	1H-1, 115	1.15	61
1H-3, 112	4.12	141	1H-3, 112	4.12	71	2H-2, 112	3.52	47	1H-4, 115	5.65	26
2H-1, 111	5.51	164	2H-1, 112	8.32	63	2H-5, 112	8.02	105	2H-2, 115	8.45	12
3H-1, 111	15.01	77	2H-4, 112 3H-1, 112	12.82	41	3H-1, 112 3H-4, 112	16.02	63	2H-0, 115 3H-4, 115	20.95	20
3H-4, 111	19.51	97	3H-4, 112	22.32	44	4H-1, 113	21.03	32	4H-1, 115	25.95	13
4H-1, 111	24.51	140	4H-1, 112	27.32	76	4H-4, 113	22.53	51	4H-4, 115	30.45	31
4H-4, 111	29.01	205	4H-4, 112	31.82	66	5H-1, 112	30.52	56	5H-1, 115	35.45	0
5H-1, 111 5H-4, 111	34.01	89	5H-1, 112	36.82	58	5H-4, 112	35.02	01	5H-5, 115	41,45	13
6H-1, 111	43.51	105	6H-1, 112	46.32	61	6H-4, 113	40.03	55	6H-4, 115	49.45	17
6H-4, 111	48.01	76	6H-4, 112	50.82	90	7H-1, 112	49.52	70	7H-1, 115	54.45	21
7H-5, 111	59.01	95	7H-1, 110	55.80	38	7H-4, 112	54.02	31	7H-4, 115	58.95	22
8H-1, 112	62.52	135	7H-4, 110	60.30	38	8H-1, 111	59.01	48	8H-1, 115	63.95	13
9H-1, 112	72.02	129	8H-4 112	69.82	25	8H-4, 111 9H-2 113	69.12	28	9H-1 115	73.45	43
9H-4, 112	76.52	127	9H-1, 112	74.82	49	9H-5, 113	73.62	41	9H-4, 115	77.95	27
10H-1, 112	81.51	110	9H-4, 112	79.32	55	10H-2, 114	78.74	22	10H-2, 115	84.45	25
11H-1, 112	91.02	78	10H-1, 112	84.32	37	10H-4, 124	81.74	51	10H-5, 115	88.95	5
11H-4, 112 12H-1 112	95.52	50	10H-4, 112	88.82	45	11H-1, 111	87.51	60	11H-1, 116	92.46	4
12H-4, 112	105.02	60	11H-4, 111	98.31	37	12X-1, 111	97.01	31	12H-1, 116	101.96	20
13H-1, 112	111.52	49	12H-1, 110	103.30	29	12X-4, 111	101.51	41	12H-4, 116	106.46	6
13H-4, 112	114.52	46	12H-4, 110	107.80	36	13X-1, 111	106.81	89	13H-1, 113	111.46	21
14H-1, 112	119.52	81	13H-1, 110	112.80	22	13X-4, 106	111.26	43	14H-CC13	2.10	11
14H-4, 112 15H-1 112	124.02	41	13H-4, 110	122.30	58	14X-1, 105	120.95	47	15H-CC14	144 36	23
15H-4, 112	133.52	70	1H-1, 110	131.80	42	15X-1, 112	126.12	25	17X-CC	161.10	0
16H-1, 112	138.52	38	15H-4, 110	136.30	39	15X-4, 112	130.62	40	18X-2, 115	163.75	0
16H-4, 112	143.02	77	16V-1, 110	141.30	30	16X-1, 119	135.79	41	18X-5, 115	168.25	0
17X-1, 112	147.02	104	17V-1, 112	151.82	14	17X-1, 112	145.42	42	19X-CC18	0.50	1
18X-1, 112	151.52	47	17V-4, 112 18X-1 112	150.52	24	18X-2, 112 19X-1 112	150.02	6	20X-CC19	9.80	1
18X-5, 112	162.72	21	18X-4, 112	165.82	28	20X-1, 112	174.42	13	22X-CC20	9.10	ò
19X-1, 112	166.32	12	19X-1, 112	166.32	14	21X-1, 112	183.72	8	23X-CC21	8.70	0
19X-4, 112	170.82	20	19X-4, 112	170.82	12	22X-1, 112	193.92	33	24X-3, 137	223.07	1
20X-2, 112	177.52	32	20X-1, 112	175.92	64	23X-1, 112	202.63	20	24X-5, 137	225.89	0
20X-3, 112 21X-2, 104	182.02	20	20X-4, 112 21X-1, 112	180.42	50	24X-1, 37	212.52	39	25X-4, 140	233.90	1
21X-5, 105	191.65	1	21X-4, 112	189.72	42	26X-1, 112	231.62	25	26X-1, 136	239.06	1
22X-1, 110	195.00	14	22X-1, 112	194.82	46	27X-2, 112	242.36	10	26X-4, 136	243.56	0
22X-5, 110	201.00	12	22X-4, 112	199.32	20	28X-1, 112	250.92	3	27X-CC25	7.00	1
23X-1, 111	204.61	28	23X-1, 111 23X-4 114	204.51	40	29X-2, 112 30X-1, 112	260.96	3	28X-CC26	6.70	0
24X-1, 111	213.91	29	24X-1, 112	213.92	37	31X-2, 112	280.48	12	30X-CC28	6.00	2
24X-5, 111	219.91	32	24X-4, 112	218.42	24	32X-2, 112	291.02	10	31X-CC29	5.60	0
25X-1, 111	223.61	29	25X-1, 121	223.71	46	33X-1, 112	299.22	41	32X-CC30	5.30	3
25X-5, 111 26X-1, 111	229.61	31	25X-3, 121	226.71	3	34X-1, 112	308.92	34	33X-CC31	4.90	1
26X-4, 111	237.81	12	27X-4, 112	242.92	6	36X-1, 112	328.12	1	547-0052	4.00	0
27X-1, 122	243.02	29	28X-1, 112	252.22	3	37X-2, 112	338.58	3			
27X-4, 116	247.46	16	28X-4, 112	256.72	16	38X-1, 111	347.41	1			
28X-1, 112	252.52	22	29X-1, 115	261.95	2	39X-CC	365.50	0			
28X-4, 112 29X-2, 116	257.02	3	29X-4, 112 30X-1, 113	200.42	2	40X-2, 112 41X-2, 112	308.12	0			
30X-2, 112	273.42	9	32X-1, 112	290.82	õ	42X-2, 112	387.42	1			
31X-2, 112	283.02	0	33X-1, 112	300.52	1	43X-1, 112	395.62	3			
31X-5, 112	287.52	0	33X-4, 112	305.02	20	44X-1, 112	401.12	11			
33X-1, 112	300.82	3	35X-1, 112	319.92	7	45X-1, 112	406.02	2			
34X-4, 112	315.02	7	36X-4, 112	334 12	41	40X-1, 112 47X-1, 112	415.71	0			
35X-1, 116	320.16	0	37X-2, 112	340.82	27	47X-4, 111	429.91	1			
35X-4, 116	324.66	1	37X-4, 112	343.82	14	47X-CC	433.90	3			
36X-2, 112	330.38	2	38X-1, 112	349.02	41						
30X-3, 112	334.88	5	38X-3, 112 39X-1 112	352.02	27						
37X-5, 112	345.42	3	40X-1, 112	363.82	9						
38X-2, 112	350.52	ĩ	41X-1, 112	368.22	1						
38X-5, 112	355.02	2	41X-3, 112	371.22	0						
39X-2, 112	360.12	3	42X-1, 112	377.82	0						
40X-2 112	369.82	2	42X-4, 112 44X-1 112	307 12	1						
40X-5, 112	374.32	0	4474-1, 112	091.14							
41X-2, 112	379.42	6									
41X-5, 112	383.92	7									
42X-2, 112 42X-5, 112	389.03	5									
43X-2, 112	398.72	3									



Figure 2. Schematic cross section showing sites investigated for abundance patterns of tunicate spicules (upper panel); abundances of tunicate spicules for Sites 821 through 824 and 209 are shown in the lower panel.

#### CONCLUSIONS

1. The distribution of tunicate spicules along the Great Barrier Reef–Queensland Plateau transect indicates that these organisms lived in waters shallower than 900 m. This agrees with previous reports that tunicate spicules are generally limited to waters shallower than 200 to 500 m.

2. The occurrences of tunicate spicules at Sites 822 and 823, which are deeper than 900 m, are ascribed to downslope transport from the shelf of the Great Barrier Reef. Their distribution patterns thus offer a useful means of monitoring downslope transport processes.

3. The first common occurrence of tunicate spicules at Sites 822 and 823 around 1.6 Ma may suggest the initiation of the central Great Barrier Reef at this time.

4. The morphology of tunicate spicules varies greatly and appears to be gradational among different forms. Tunicate assemblages are more diverse in younger sediments than in older sediments, presumably because of diagenesis. Tunicate spicules do not appear to be a promising biostratigraphic tool for the Pliocene–Pleistocene.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank the crew of the *JOIDES Resolution*, the ODP technical staff, and the Leg 133 Scientific Party, particularly P. Davies, J. McKenzie (Co-Chief Scientists), and A. Palmer-Julson (Staff Scientist) for an enjoyable and very successful cruise. I also thank K. von Salis Perch-Nielsen and S.W. Wise for critical reviews and helpful suggestions. Financial support was provided by NSF Grant DPP91-18480 (to S.W. Wise), USSAC grants, and NSF Grant OCE91-15786 (to W. Wei).

#### **REFERENCES**\*

Burns, R.E., Andrew, J.E., et al., 1973. Init. Repts. DSDP, 21: Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office).

Abbreviations for names of organizations and publications in ODP reference lists follow the style given in *Chemical Abstracts Service Source Index* (published by American Chemical Society).

- Davies, P.J., McKenzie, J.A., Palmer-Julson, A., et al., 1991. Proc. ODP, Init. Repts., 133: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).
- Edwards, A., 1973. Calcareous nannofossils. In Burns, R.E., Andrew, J.E., et al., Init. Repts. DSDP, 21: Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office), 641–691.
- Heckel, H., 1973. Late Oligocene to Recent nannoplankton from the Capricorn Basin (Great Barrier Reef area). Geol. Surv. Queensland, Palaeontol. Pap., 33:1–24.
- Milliam, J.D., 1974. Recent Sedimentary Carbonates. I. Marine Carbonates: New York (Springer Verlag).
- Newell, N.D., Imbrie, J., Purdy, E.G., and Thurber, D.L., 1959. Organism communities and bottom facies, Great Bahama Bank. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist., 117:177–228.
- Purdy, E.G., 1963. Recent calcium carbonate facies of the Great Bahama Bank. J. Geol., 71:334–355.

Date of initial receipt: 2 March 1992 Date of acceptance: 10 December 1992 Ms 133SR-278



Plate 1. Tunicate spicules, Sample 133-821A-1H-1, 112 cm. 1-3. SEM micrographs, scale bar indicates 10 µm. 4-7. Light micrographs, same magnification, scale bar indicates 50 µm.



Plate 2. 1-16. Tunicate spicules, Sample 133-821A-1H-1, 112 cm, light micrographs, same magnification, scale bar indicates 50 µm. Note variations in the number of rays.