54. DATA REPORT: PALEOGENE CALCAREOUS NANNOFOSSIL BIOSTRATIGRAPHY OF DSDP SITE 210 OFFSHORE NORTHEASTERN AUSTRALIA¹

Giuliana Villa² and Wuchang Wei³

INTRODUCTION

The major objectives of Leg 133 were (1) to define the evolution of the carbonate platforms on the northeastern Australian margin, including their relationship to adjoining basins; and (2) to understand the effects of climate and sea level on their development in space and time (Davies, McKenzie, Palmer-Julson, et al., 1991). Sixteen sites were drilled (Fig. 1), and more than 5.5 km of Neogene core was recovered during Leg 133. However, recovery of Paleogene sediments was unexpectedly poor (a total of a few meters), and the sediments were poorly dated because of strong diagenesis. On the other hand, Site 210 drilled in this region during Leg 21 yielded an expanded Paleogene section, which contains abundant calcareous microfossils. Biostratigraphic information for this section given in Burns, Andrews, et al. (1973) was based primarily on shipboard results. Detailed calcareous nannofossil and planktonic foraminifer biostratigraphies have not been published. Here we provide a detailed documentation of the calcareous nannofossil distribution in the section, biostratigraphically date the section using the modern nannofossil zonation of Okada and Bukry (1980), and construct an age-depth curve based on current knowledge of nannofossil magnetobiochronology. This should provide a useful Paleogene biostratigraphic reference in the northeastern Australian sea, as Site 210 has apparently yielded the most complete Paleogene record in the region. The detailed biostratigraphy should provide a better age constraint for the regional Eocene-Oligocene hiatus recognized previously (e.g., Jenkins and Srinivasan, 1986) and should be useful for future studies on various aspects of Paleogene history of the northeastern Australian sea.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Site 210 is located at 13°45.99'S, 152°53.78'E, roughly in the center of the Coral Sea Basin (Fig. 1), and at a water depth of 4643 m. About 711 m of sediment was penetrated at this site. The cored sequence consists of five lithologic units:

1. Unit 1 (0-470 m) is Pleistocene to Miocene graded cycles of silt and clay with interbeds of nannofossil ooze.

2. Unit 2 (470-521.6 m) is Miocene clay.

3. Unit 3 (521.6–540 m) is lower Oligocene clay-bearing to clayrich nannofossil chalk.

4. Unit 4 (540–554.4 m) is upper Eocene–middle Eocene claybearing to clay-rich nannofossil chalk with minor chert nodules.

 Unit 5 (554.4–711 m) is middle Eocene–lower Eocene clay nannofossil chalk.

The interval examined in this study ranges from 516 to 710 m (lower part of Unit 2 through Unit 5). One sample per core section was taken from the sequence. Smear slides were made directly from

unprocessed samples and examined with a light microscope at a magnification of about 1250×. The abundance of calcareous nannofossils on each slide was estimated using the following criteria: A = abundant, 1–10 specimens per field of view; C = common, 1 specimen per 2–10 fields of view; F = few, 1 specimen per 11–50 fields of view; R = rare, 1 specimen per 51–200 fields of view; B = barren, no specimen was found in 200 fields of view. Abundances of reworked specimens have been recorded in lowercase letters. For preservation of nannofossil assemblages: M = moderate, etching or overgrowth is apparent; P = poor, there is significant etching or overgrowth; VP = very poor, strong etching or overgrowth is apparent on most specimens and identification of a large number of specimens is impaired.

Selected nannofossil species are illustrated in Plate 1. Bibliographic references for the species used here can be found in Perch-Nielsen (1985). Biostratigraphic zones are given in the zonation of Okada and Bukry (1980). Numerical ages for the nannofossil datums used to construct age-depth curves were taken from Berggren et al. (1985), with a few updated ages from Backman (1986), Wei and Wise (1989), and Backman et al. (1990).

BIOSTRATIGRAPHY

The highest sample examined is Sample 21-210-33-1, 100 cm. This sample contains *Sphenolithus heteromorphus* and a few other lower Miocene taxa, but no *Calcidiscus macintyrei* (Fig. 2). Rare specimens of *Reticulofenestra bisecta* in the sample are considered as reworked. Because the first occurrence (FO) of *S. heteromorphus* defines the lower boundary of Zone CN3 and the FO of *C. macintyrei* is widely used to mark the upper boundary of Zone CN3, the sample has thus been dated as Zone CN3 (18.0–18.4 Ma). The next three samples (21-210-33-2, 100 cm, through -33-4, 100 cm) are barren of calcareous nannofossils.

Abundant Sphenolithus predistentus are present in Sample 21-210-33-5, 120 cm. Upper Oligocene index fossils, such as Sphenolithus distentus, S. ciperoensis, and Helicosphaera recta, are absent. This suggests that the sample is in the lower Oligocene, with an age older than 34.2 Ma (the age for the FO of S. distentus). Common Reticulofenestra umbilicus were first encountered in Sample 21-210-34-2, 100 cm. The CP16/CP17 zonal boundary is thus located between Samples 21-210-34-2, 100 cm, and -34-3, 100 cm.

The last occurrences (LOs) of both *Coccolithus formosus* and *Discoaster saipanensis* are found in Sample 21-210-35-1, 100 cm, where the two species are common. This means that Subzones CP16a and CP16b are missing as a result of a major hiatus. The next lower datum identified is the LO of *Chiasmolithus grandis* in Sample 21-210-35-2, 100 cm. This datum defines the CP14/CP15 zonal boundary and coincides with the middle Eocene/upper Eocene boundary. The upper Eocene-lower Oligocene index fossil, *Isthmolithus recurvus*, is not present at Site 210. The exclusion of this cool-water species is indicative of a warm-water condition at the site by the late Eocene.

The FO of *Reticulofenestra umbilicus*, which defines the CP13/ CP14 zonal boundary, is located between Samples 21-210-36-1, 100 cm, and -36-2, 100 cm. Only questionable specimens of *Discoaster bifax* were recorded in Sample 21-210-36-1, 100 cm, and the range of this species could not be used here to subdivide Zone CP14. On

¹ McKenzie, J.A., Davies, P.J., Palmer-Julson, A., et al., 1993. Proc. ODP, Sci. Results, 133: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).

² Istituto di Geologia, Università di Parma, Viale delle Scienze, 43100 Parma, Italy. ³ Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0215, U.S.A.



Figure 1. Locations of Site 210 and other DSDP/ODP sites offshore northeastern Australia.

the other hand, *Chiasmolithus gigas* occurs consistently from Samples 21-210-36-3, 100 cm, through -37-1, 100 cm, and its range can be used to subdivide Zone CP13. The lower boundary of Zone CP13 has been placed between Samples 21-210-38-2, 100 cm, and -38-3, 100 cm, based on the FO of *Nannotetrina fulgens* in Sample 21-210-38-2, 100 cm. *Rhabdosphaera inflata*, the FO of which subdivides Zone CP12, is absent at Site 210, as in most deep-sea sites. The lower boundary of Zone CP12 is defined by the FO of *Discoaster sublodoensis*, which is located between Samples 21-210-46-3, 100 cm, and -46-4, 100 cm. As the occurrence of *D. sublodoensis* in its lower range is rare and sporadic, the placement of this datum is less reliable.

No in-situ *Tribrachiatus orthostylus* was found at Site 210. This indicates that the oldest sediment is in Zone CP11 (lower Eocene). This age is also suggested by the consistent presence of *Coccolithus crassus* to the bottom of the hole. The expanded lower Eocene sequence at Site 210 is in contrast to a major lower Eocene disconformity (with three nannofossil zones missing; Bukry, 1975) at Site 287 in the Coral Sea.

AGE-DEPTH PLOT

Biostratigraphic datum levels from Figure 2 are summarized in Table 1. The sources of the age estimates for the datums also are given. The age-depth plot (Fig. 3) shows that the sedimentation rate was high during the early Eocene (>50 m/m.y.) and that it decreased through time as the basin subsided, which may have been accompanied by a rise of carbonate compensation depth in the Coral Sea.

A hiatus has been identified around the Eocene/Oligocene boundary. This is a regional hiatus that was recognized in previous studies, such as Jenkins and Srinivasan (1986), who indicated an age span of 43.0 to 35.0 Ma. However, here we show that the hiatus is about 36.4 to 35.1 Ma old, and is certainly younger than 40.0 Ma (the LO of *Chiasmolithus grandis*) and older than 34.6 Ma (the LO of *Reticulofenestra umbilicus*). Consequently, the age of the regional Eocene/ Oligocene hiatus has been best constrained at Site 210 because more than several million years of sediments are missing at other sites offshore eastern Australia (see, for example, Jenkins and Srinivasan, 1986).

Although the FOs of *Sphenolithus heteromorphus* and *S. distentus* cannot be precisely located because of a barren interval from 517 to 521 mbsf (Fig. 3), one can see from Figure 3 that much of the lower Oligocene through middle Miocene sediment is missing. A major disconformity here is also suggested by an abrupt change from clay-rich nannofossil chalk below 521 mbsf to clay above 521 mbsf (see "Material and Methods" section, this chapter).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor D. Rio for a helpful review. G.V. was supported by 40% MURST grant (to S. Iaccarino) and W.W. was supported by USSAC grants, NSF Grant DPP91-18480 (to S.W. Wise), and NSF Grant OCE91-15786 (to W. Wei).

REFERENCES*

- Backman, J., 1986. Accumulation patterns of Tertiary calcareous nannofossils around extinctions. *Geol. Rundsch.*, 75:185–196.
- Backman, J., Schneider, D.A., Rio, D., and Okada, H., 1990. Neogene lowlatitude magnetostratigraphy from Site 710 and revised age estimates of Miocene nannofossil datum events. *In Duncan*, R.A., Backman, J., Peterson, L.C., et al., *Proc. ODP, Sci. Results*, 115: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 271–276.
- Berggren, W.A., Kent, D.V., and Flynn, J.J., 1985. Jurassic to Paleogene: Part 2. Paleogene geochronology and chronostratigraphy. In Snelling, N.J. (Ed.), The Chronology of the Geological Record. Geol. Soc. London Mem., 10:141–195.
- Bukry, D., 1975. Phytoplankton stratigraphy, southwest Pacific, Deep Sea Drilling Project, Leg 30. In Andrews, J.E., Packham, G., et al., Init. Repts. DSDP, 30: Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office), 539–547.
- Burns, R.E., Andrews, J.E., et al., 1973. Init. Repts. DSDP, 21: Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office).
- Davies, P.J., McKenzie, J.A., Palmer-Julson, A., et al., 1991. Proc. ODP, Init. Repts., 133: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).
- Jenkins, D.G., and Srinivasan, M.S., 1986. Cenozoic planktonic foraminifers from the equator to the subantarctic of the southwest Pacific. *In* Kennett, J.P., von der Borch, C.C., et al., *Init. Repts. DSDP*, 90: Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office), 795–834.
- Okada, H., and Bukry, D., 1980. Supplementary modification and introduction of code numbers to the low-latitude coccolith biostratigraphic zonation (Bukry, 1973; 1975). *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 5:321–325.
- Perch-Nielsen, K., 1985. Cenozoic calcareous nannofossils. In Bolli, H.M., Saunders, J.B., and Perch-Nielsen, K. (Eds.), Plankton Stratigraphy: Cambridge (Cambridge Univ. Press), 427–554.
- Wei, W., and Wise, S.W., Jr., 1989. Paleogene calcareous nannofossil magnetobiochronology: results from South Atlantic DSDP Site 516. Mar. Micropaleontol., 14:119–152.

Date of initial receipt: 2 March 1992 Date of acceptance: 2 November 1992 Ms 133SR-217

Abbreviations for names of organizations and publications in ODP reference lists follow the style given in *Chemical Abstracts Service Source Index* (published by American Chemical Society).

Table 1. Age vs. depth data, Site 210.

Nannofossil datum	Depth (mbsf)	Age (Ma)	Age reference					
FO Sphenolithus heteromorphus	>516.0	18.4	Backman et al. (1990)					
FO Sphenolithus distentus	<520.5	34.2	Berggren et al. (1985)					
LO Reticulofenestra umbilicus	535.5/537.0	34.6	Berggren et al. (1985)					
LO Coccolithus formosus	538.5/543.0	35.1	Berggren et al. (1985)					
LO Discoaster saipanensis	538.5/543.0	36.4	Wei and Wise (1989)					
LO Chiasmolithus grandis	543.0/544.5	40.0	Berggren et al. (1985)					
FO Reticulofenestra umbilicus	552.0/553.5	44.6	Wei and Wise (1989)					
LO Chiasmolithus gigas	553.5/555.0	47.0	Berggren et al. (1985)					
FO Chiasmolithus gigas	561.0/562.5	47.4	Wei and Wise (1989)					
FO Nannotetrina fulgens	571.5/573.0	49.8	Backman (1986)					
FO Discoaster sublodoensis	652.0/653.5	52.6	Berggren et al. (1985)					
LO Tribrachiatus orthostylus	>710.5	53.7	Berggren et al. (1985)					

Notes: FO = first occurrence. LO = last occurrence.

Age	Nannofossil zones of Okada and Bukry (1980)	Sample (Core- section, depth)	Depth (mbsf)	Abundance	Preservation	Bicolomnus ovatus Blackites spp.	Braarudosphaera bigelomii	Bramletteius serraculoides	Campylosphaera dela	Chiasmolithus eograndis	Chiasmolithus expansus	Chiasmolithus gigas	Chiasmolithus grandis	Chiasmolithus solitus	Chiasmolithus spp.	Chiphragmalithus calathus	Coccolithus crassus	Clausicoccus fenestratus	Coccolithus eopelagicus	Coccolithus formosus	Coccolithus pelagicus	Coccolithus staurion	Cyclicargolithus abisectus	Cyclicargolithus floridanus	Discoaster barbadiensis	Discoaster bifax	Discoaster cruciformis	Discoaster deflandrei	Discoaster elegans	Discoaster keupperi	Discoaster lodoensis	Discoaster mirus	Discoaster saipanensis	Discoaster sublodoensis
e. Miocene	CN3	33-1, 100	516.00	B	VP				-	-		-	-	-			-				F		C	F				r		-				1
(?)	(?)	33-3, 100 33-4, 100	519.00 520.50	B					_				_														_							
early Oligocene	CP17	33-5, 120 33-6, 130 34-1, 100 34-2, 100 34-3, 100	522.20 523.80 534.00 535.50 537.00	A A A A A	P P P P	F F F												R	FC	r	CCCCC		C C C	C ~ ~ ~ ~				A F C						
late Roome	CP16C	34-4,100	538.50	4	P	-	_	R	-	-	_	_	~	-	-	~		R	F	C	A	~	-	4	F	-	-	F	-	-	-	~	C	-
Inte Locene	CP13 CP14	35-2, 100 35-3, 100 35-4, 100 36-1, 100 36-2, 100	544.50 546.00 547.50 552.00	A A C A A	P VP VP VP								FRRFC	R					A C C	CCCCCC		F		C	C C F F	?		F					? F	
	СР13ь	36-3, 100 36-4, 100 36-5, 100 37-1, 100	555.00 556.50 558.00 561.00	A A A A	P P P	F	F					FFFR	C C C F							CCCC	C A A A	?			FFFC								с	
middle Eocene	CP13a	37-2, 100 37-3, 100 37-4, 100 38-1, 100 38-2, 100	562.50 564.00 565.50 570.00 571.50	A A A C C	VP VP VP VP						F		RCCC	F C F		R			с	F C C	00 ~ 00	?			FCFCC			с	F		R		R C F	RFRCF
	CP12	38-3, 100 39-1, 120 39-2, 120 39-3, 120 40-1, 100 40-2, 100 40-2, 100 40-3, 100 40-4, 100 41-1, 70 41-2, 30 41-1, 70 41-2, 30 42-2, 80 43-2, 100 43-3, 100 43-4, 100 44-1, 40 44-3, 70 45-2, 70 46-1, 100 46-2, 100 46-2, 100 46-2, 100 46-3, 100 46-4, 100 46-4, 100 46-5, 100 46-1, 100 46-1, 100 46-2, 100 46-2, 100 46-2, 100 46-3, 100 46-2, 100 46-4, 100 46-4, 100 46-1, 100 46-1, 100 46-1, 100 46-2, 100 46-1, 100 46-2, 100 46-1, 100 46-2, 100 46-1, 100 46-1, 100 46-2, 100 46-1, 100 46-1, 100 46-1, 100 46-2, 100 46-1, 100 46-2, 100 46-1, 100 46-2, 100 46-2, 100 46-3, 100 46-3, 100 46-3, 100 46-3, 100 47-1, 100 47-2, 10	573.00 579.20 580.70 582.20 588.00 589.50 591.00 592.50 594.00 595.70 594.00 595.70 605.30 605.30 616.50 616.50 616.50 616.50 616.50 616.50 623.40 624.90 624.90 624.20 635.70 635.70 635.70 649.00 655.20 655.20	< < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < < <	? ???????????????????????????????????	F C F F			R F F F F F		F F C F		CFFFF CFCFCC CC FCCFCFCC	FFFF CC F CCC C FCCC	C F C C	R	RRR RRFFRFRR RR RRR		C C	F C CC CCFCCCC FCFCCCCC	C ~ ~ ~ C ~ A C ~ C ~ C ~ A C ~ A A A A	R 7 R			CFFCCCCCCFFCCCCFCFCFCFC				FFFF F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	R F F F	FFCCCCCCFFFCACCCCCFCCCC		F FC FF F CFCCCC	FFRF R? R ?R ?RR
early Eccene	CP11	46-4, 100 46-5, 100 47-1, 100 47-1, 100 47-3, 100 48-2, 100 48-2, 100 48-4, 70 49-1, 100 49-2, 100 49-3, 100 50-1, 100 50-2, 100 50-3, 100 50-5, 100 50-6, 100	653.50 655.00 656.00 669.00 679.00 680.50 682.00 683.20 693.00 694.50 694.50 694.50 703.00 704.50 705.00 707.50		P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P		F		FFF	F	F		CCCFCCCFFCRCCCCCCC	FOCOCOCOCOROCOFFO	CCC C C		F F R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R		c cc	CCCFCAACCCCCCCCFCC	~~~~~~				CFCFC CCCCCC FFFCC		F		F	R F R R F F F R F R F R C	00000000000400004	F F F	CCCFCFF FCFF F	

Figure 2. Distribution of calcareous nannofossils in the lower Eocene through lower Miocene at Site 210. For abundance of nannofossils, A = abundant, C = common, F = few, R = rare, r = rare specimens that are considered reworked, B = barren, and ? = questionable presence. For preservation, M = moderate, P = poor, and VP = very poor. Wavy line indicates hiatus.

Age	Vannofossil zones of Mada and Bukry (1980)	Sample (Core- section,	Depth	iscoaster spp.	elicosphaera lophota	elicosphaera seminulum	larkalius inversus	annoletrina cristata	annotetrina fulgens	eococcolithes dubius	ontosphaera plana	seudotriquetrorhabdulus inversus	eticulofenestra bisecta	eticulofenestra daviesii	eticulofenestra dictyoda	eticulofenestra samodurovii	eticulofenestra umbilicus	eticulofenestra spp.	phenolithus furcatulithoides	phenolithus heteromorphus	phenolithus moriformis	phenolithus predistentus	phenolithus pseudoradians	phenolithus radians	phenolithus spiniger	oweius gammation	oweius magnicrassus	ribrachiatus orthostylus	riquetrorhabdulus carinatus	horacosphaera spp.	igrablithus bijugatus
e. Miocene	CN3	33-1, 100	516.00	9	H	H	ž	2	2	2	٩.	4	8	~	~	~	24	×	S	SF	R	S	S	S	S	F	L	I	H	L	2
	0110	33-2, 100	517.50										ŕ		_				_	-											_
	(?)	33-3, 100	519.00 520.50										E.																		
		33-5, 120	522.20										F	F				С											A		
carly	CP17	33-6, 130	523.80	c									C					с			Â	F	F		с				ĸ		F
Oligocene		34-2, 100	535.50	С		_	_		_	_	_	_	c		_	_	_	с	_	_		F	_	_	С	_	_	_	?		_
	CP16c	34-3, 100	537.00 538.50										c				C F				^	F							_	_	
late Eccene	CP15	35-1, 100	543.00	C	_	\sim				\sim			A	_	_		C			_	C		_	F	C	_	_		_		_
		35-2, 100	544.50 546.00	c									CA			C C	c				A C			C	F					F	
	CP14	35-4, 100	547.50	F									C			C	c				C										
	CP13c	36-1,100	552.00	c	_	_	-	-	-	_	_		-	_	_	C	С	_	F	_	С	_		C	_		_	-			-
	CI 150	36-3, 100	555.00	С					F	-		A		-	F	C		-	F		С			F	F				_		
	CP13b	36-4, 100	556.50	F					F			C				C			c		C			F	F						
		37-1, 100	561.00	C					R			Â			С	c			c		c			C	C		_				_
middle		37-2, 100	562.50	С					R			F				F					F			~	F						R
Eocene	CP13a	37-3, 100	565.50					R	ĸ	R		c			C	C					C			C						F	
	0.000.000	38-1, 100	570.00					_	_				С		С	С								F							
		38-2, 100	571.50	С		F	-	R	R	C	-		-			С	-	-		-	-	-		с	-		-	-			-
		39-1, 120	579.20	1.57		18				0.72						170					С			С		F					
		39-2, 120	580.70				R								c	c							F	С		F				F	c
		40-1, 100	588.00	с											c						С			c			F				
		40-2,100	589.50		F					F					C	C					С			C	С	F	F			F	
k		40-4, 100	592.50												c	c								c		F	с	r			
		40-5, 100	594.00		F					F					C	~					С			c		F	F				F
$ \rangle$	CP12	41-1, 70	596.70	C						FC					C	C								C		c	ĸ				c
	0.0000.000	42-1, 30	605.30		C					R					near mar	С								C		R		r			\sim
$ \rangle$		42-2,80	607.30 616.50		F					R					С	С					С			С		F					
		43-3, 100	618.00							F					С									С		F		r			
		43-4, 100	619.50	С	F	F				F					F	P								c	c	F					C
		44-2,40	624.90		F										C						^			c	c						
		44-3,70	626.70							~					C						۸			С	F	P		r			С
		45-3, 70	635.70		R					F					c						F			A		F					
		46-1, 100	649.00		R	F				F					~									C	F		F				F
		46-2, 100	652.00	С	r	R	r			F	ĸ				c						ĉ			c		r					с
		46-4, 100	653.50	С		F									C						C			C			C				
		46-5, 100	656.50							F					ĉ						ĉ			A			F			F	c
		47-1, 100	666.00	12	F					~	3										С			С	С	R					C
		47-3, 100 48-1, 100	669.00 679.00	۸	F	F	F			F	F				C						C			C	F		F			с	F
		48-2, 100	680.50	С	F	F	2			F					C						۸			С	С		С	r		F	1
carly	(1911	48-3, 100 48-4, 70	682.00 683.20	C		F				F	R				C						A			C		R	F			F	
Eocene	Grif	49-1, 100	693.00	A		F				c					c						٨			٨	?		ँ			10). (
		49-2, 100	694.50 696.00	A		F				F					С												F				
		50-1, 100	703.00	A		F				F											F			c				r			
		50-2, 100	704.50	٨		F	F								F									A		F	F				
		50-3, 100	707.50	A		r				C					F						F			c			F	r			
		50-5, 100	709.00	C		R				F											F			A			2			-	
		30-6, 100	/10.50	۸																	٨			۸						F	

Figure 2 (continued).





784



Plate 1. Paleogene calcareous nannofossils from Site 210 (magnification is 2400× for all specimens). 1, 2. Toweius magnicrassus (Bukry) Romein, Sample 210-41-1, 70–71 cm. 3, 4, 8. Discoaster keupperi Stradner, (3) Sample 210-50-4, 100–101 cm, (4, 8) Sample 210-50-2, 100–101 cm. 5. Nannotetrina fulgens (Stradner) Achuthan and Stradner, Sample 210-37-1, 100–101 cm. 6. Discoaster lodoensis Bramlette and Riedel, Sample 210-50-2, 100–101 cm. 7. Chiphragmalithus calathus Bramlette and Sullivan, Sample 210-38-3, 100–101 cm. 9. Toweius gamation (Bramlette and Sullivan) Perch-Nielsen, Sample 210-47-1, 100–101 cm. 10. Neococcolithes dubius (Deflandre) Black, Sample 210-50-2, 100–101 cm. 11. Chiasmolithus solitus (Bramlette and Sullivan) Locker, Sample 210-50-1, 100–101 cm. 12, 13. Discoaster sublodoensis Bramlette and Sullivan, (12) Sample 210-46-2, 100–101 cm, (13) Sample 210-39-2, 100–101 cm. 14. Discoaster bardadiensis Tan, Sample 210-47-1, 100–101 cm. 15. Cruciplacolithus cribellus (Bramlette and Sullivan) Romein, Sample 210-49-2, 100–101 cm. 16. Campylosphaera dela (Bramlette and Sullivan) Hay and Mohler, Sample 210-48-1, 100–101 cm.