# 46. COBB MOUNTAIN GEOMAGNETIC POLARITY EVENT AND TRANSITIONS IN THREE DEEP-SEA SEDIMENT CORES FROM THE LAU BASIN<sup>1</sup>

Niels Abrahamsen<sup>2</sup> and William W. Sager<sup>3</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Detailed paleomagnetic investigations are reported for 283 specimens, sampled from three closely spaced Ocean Drilling Program Leg 135 cores from the Lau Basin. These specimens cover three rather similar records of the reversed Cobb Mountain short polarity event, having an age of about 1.12 m.y.

On the basis of a very detailed subsampling every 0.6 cm, we found that the transition times for the Cobb Mountain geomagnetic polarity event, as seen in the three Lau Basin sediment records, appear to have been as short as 0.6-1.0 k.y., although the duration of the normal-polarity event itself lasted only about  $17 \pm 4$  k.y. The older (R to N) transition as well as the younger (N to R) transition show virtual geomagnetic paths roughly along the Americas, but shifted some  $30^{\circ} \pm 10^{\circ}$  to the east. These paths conflict with Cobb Mountain transition paths recorded in sediments from the Labrador Sea and the North Atlantic, but they are in fair accordance with sediment records from the Celebes and Sulu seas when corrected for differences in site longitude, suggesting that the transitional fields are dominated by nonaxial, high-order spherical harmonics.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Since the documentation in the early 1960s of the reality of geomagnetic polarity reversals (Cox et al., 1963), details in records of the transitional behavior of the magnetic field between two polarities have been interesting subjects for research, as such records offer the experimental background for a possible understanding of the geomagnetic core dynamo processes during reversals.

Because of the discrete nature of volcanic eruptions and the relatively short duration of the polarity transition time of only a few thousand years, few volcanic records investigated (Mankinen et al., 1985; Prevot et al., 1985) have had sufficient numbers of eruptive flow units during the transition to yield a high-resolution record of transitional field behavior.

Owing to the more continuous nature of deep-sea sedimentation, numerous transition records have been examined in sediment cores. Because the process by which sediments acquire remanent magnetization is poorly understood, some question exists as to the fidelity of such records. However, detailed comparisons of reversals suggest that sediments can be accurate recorders of transition directions (e.g., Verosub, 1982; Tric et al., 1991; Clement, 1992; Clement and Martinson, 1992). Perhaps the two most important factors affecting the quality of transition records in sediments are (1) sedimentation rate and (2) homogeneity and suitability of the magnetic grains as magnetic field recorders.

In recent years, several studies have been published that focus on the details of reversals as recorded at different sites on the globe in the search for systematic patterns of either dipolar or nondipolar behavior of the transitional field (e.g., Hoffman, 1991, 1992; Clement, 1992). One important question has been the symmetry of the transition field: is it dipolar or nondipolar? Another has been whether or not preferred paths are present for the virtual geomagnetic poles (VGPs) at different sites and during different transitions (Laj et al., 1991; Tric et al., 1991; Valet et al., 1992). Because of the scarcity of detailed transition records, noise in the geological records, and statistical problems, much work still has to be done in this field before reliable conclusions may be drawn.

The purpose of this paper is to present and discuss detailed data from the Cobb Mountain normal geomagnetic event as it has been recorded in three deep-sea sediment cores from the Lau Basin. This reversal is notable because it appears to be a short event, not generally recognized in the otherwise well-documented Pliocene-Pleistocene polarity reversal time scale. Moreover, detailed records of this event have now been published from deep-sea sediments from the North Atlantic nearly antipodal to the sites in the Lau Basin (Clement and Kent, 1987; Clement and Martinson, 1992), from the Celebes and Sulu seas (Hsu et al., 1990) some 60°W of the Lau Basin, as well as from volcanics from Tahiti (Chauvin et al., 1990) about 30°E of the Lau Basin.

The geographical position of Sites 837, 838, and 839 is shown in Figure 1. The Lau Basin is a young (< ca. 5 Ma) backarc basin (Leg 135 Shipboard Scientific Party, 1991; Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al., 1992) situated in the western south central Pacific, between the Lau Ridge and the Tonga Arc between 15° to 24°S and 175° to 178°W.

#### THE COBB MOUNTAIN EVENT

The Cobb Mountain (CM) event is a short normal-polarity event within the reversed Matuyama Chron, which was noted as a short-wavelength seafloor anomaly by Rea and Blakely (1975). Based on a study of volcanics in northern California, the event was later named the Cobb Mountain Event by Mankinen et al. (1978), who also dated the event by K-Ar to  $1.12 \pm 0.02$  m.y., differentiating it from the slightly younger Jaramillo Event from 0.98 to 0.91 Ma (Harland et al., 1989).

Two detailed studies of the Cobb Mountain Event, from the North Atlantic at Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) Site 609 (Clement and Kent, 1987) and from the southern Labrador Sea at Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Site 647 (Clement and Martinson, 1992), separated by 12°, showed very similar dipolar transitional field behavior of the Cobb Mountain Event at the two sites. Clement and Martinson (1992) used a quantitative correlation technique to establish statistically the degree of similarity between the two records and found that the records showed large-scale changes in the field that could not be distinguished from dipolar changes, given the proximity of the two sites. Their results also showed that deep-sea sediments are capable of providing high-resolution records of the geomagnetic field behavior. Reexamining the record from the North Atlantic (Clement and Kent, 1987), Clement and Martinson (1992) further suggested the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hawkins, J., Parson, L., Allan, J., et al., 1994. Proc. ODP, Sci. Results, 135: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, Aarhus University, Finlandsgade 8, DK-8200 Arhus N, Denmark.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Departments of Oceanography and Geophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, U.S.A.



Figure 1. Index map of the Lau Ridge Basin region, indicating the Leg 135 drill sites (834 through 841). The Central Lau and Eastern Lau spreading centers (CLSC and ELSC), Valu Fa Ridge (VF), Zephyr Shoal (Z), and Mangatolu Triple Junction (MTJ) are also shown. Islands include Niuafo'ou (NF), Vava'u (V), Tongatapu (T), 'Eua (E), 'Ata (A), and Upolu (U), Western Samoa. Water depth contours in kilometers. From Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al. (1992).

presence of two preferred transitional field configurations, as the field appears to change from one configuration to the other for several reversals and then back to the original configuration.

Hsu et al. (1990) have reported detailed transition studies of the Cobb Mountain Event as recorded in two ODP cores from the Celebes and Sulu seas. Although the two sites in their study are situated fairly close to each other (only 3.9° apart), these records of the transitional fields were found to be quite different, and their VGPs were also different from that of the North Atlantic. Hsu et al. (1990) also found a brief rebound after the onset reversal at the Celebes Sea site, and they concluded that the transitional fields for the Cobb Mountain Event were nonaxisymmetrical. In contrast, comparing the results from the North Atlantic, the Celebes and Sulu seas, and Tahiti, Clement (1992) concluded that sequences of VGP positions from the North Atlantic sediments and volcanics from Tahiti (Chauvin et al., 1990) are very similar and provide evidence of dipolar transitional fields during the Cobb Mountain reversals.

### SAMPLING AND MAGNETIC TECHNIQUES

Based on shipboard pass-through cryogenic magnetic measurements on archive halves of the sediment cores (for more details, see Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al., 1992), which were measured and stepwise partially demagnetized in alternating magnetic fields up to 15 mT (Fig. 2), three sections were selected for further, onshore studies of the Cobb Mountain magnetic event. The criteria for selecting the chosen sections for transitional magnetic studies were (1) that the section had to be characterized by relatively high rates of sedimentation, (2) it had to be stratigraphically undisturbed, and (3) it had to have a normal ("well-behaved") pass-through cryogenic magnetic record. The pass-through measurements clearly showed the presence of the Cobb Mountain Event in all studied sections, but the 15-mT limit on alternating field (AF) demagnetization allowed by ODP was not always sufficient to remove overprints completely.

Detailed sampling of the three Cobb Mountain sections was made at the ODP repository at College Station. Cube holders of polystyrene with a 1-cm<sup>3</sup> volume and 1.2-cm external width were used for sampling. Lining up the holders side by side in two rows, cubes of the sediment were carefully cut with a spatula and then encapsulated in the holder. Most of the samples were juxtaposed in two parallel rows, the stratigraphic steps between neighboring samples thus typically being 0.6 cm. The open end of the cube was sealed by stretching a thin piece of laboratory parafilm across it, which adhered well enough to the sides of the cube to allow general laboratory treatment of the samples.

After measuring the magnetic susceptibility in a Bartington MS2 magnetic susceptibility meter, the natural remanent magnetization (NRM) was measured in a three-axis cryogenic magnetometer (CTF Model DRM-453). Subsequently, the samples were stepwise partially AF-demagnetized by a Schonstedt Model GSD-1 demagnetizer, and the remaining remanent magnetization (RM) was remeasured; this procedure was repeated in steps of 10 mT up to AF values of between 60 and 100 mT. After this, the saturated induced remanent magnetization (SIRM) was produced in a peak field of 1.2 T by an impulse magnetizer (ASC Scientific Model IM-10); the sample was again measured on the cryogenic magnetometer to give the SIRM, and from this state it was finally again partially AF-demagnetized in 10 mT and remeasured. The more high-coercive part of the SIRM (SIRM-10) was thus obtained. A few representative samples from each transition were also subjected to stepwise increasing DC magnetic fields up to 1 T in order to obtain SIRM acquisition curves.

## LITHOSTRATIGRAPHY OF SECTIONS C1, C2, AND C3

The three selected sections we studied all display clear Cobb Mountain Events in the pass-through data. They are (1) Cobb Mountain Section C1, Section 135-837A-3H-5; (2) Cobb Mountain Section C2, Section 135-836A-3H-6; and (3) Cobb Mountain Section C3, Section 135-839A-5H-6. The three sites are situated within 60 km of one another (Fig. 1).

The sedimentary sequence cored at Site 837 consists of 82 m of clayey nannofossil ooze and vitric volcaniclastics ranging in age from the Holocene to the latest Pliocene (Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al., 1992). Turbidites, up to 17 m in thickness, make up about 60% of the sedimentary sequence. The sedimentary sequence at Site 837 was divided into two lithologic units, based mainly on differences in the abundance of volcaniclastic deposits. Unit I (0–13.5 mbsf) is dominated by clayey nannofossil ooze, whereas Unit II (13.5–82.0 mbsf) consists of nannofossil ooze punctuated by thick, graded, volcaniclastic sands and silts interpreted as turbidites. Unit II is divided into five subunits, each of which defines upward-fining depositional cycles. The Cobb Mountain Section C1 (24.3–24.7 mbsf) is found in Subunit IIB (21.0–35.2 mbsf), which consists of 5.9 m of dark brown clayey nannofossil ooze, overlying 8.3 m of pale brown to gray vitric silt.

The dark brown clayey nannofossil oozes consist of about 30% clay and up to 60% calcareous nannofossils. Foraminifers (about 8 vol%) and volcanic glass (up to 2 vol%) occur in much smaller quantities. Trace amounts of accessory minerals such as opaques and mafics are also generally present. The clayey nannofossil ooze is stained dark brown by iron and manganese oxyhydroxides, which occur as small aggregates or grain coatings within the sediments. The sediment, which is firm and slightly mottled throughout, contains rare weathered pumice lapilli and mud clasts up to 1 cm in diameter. Mud clasts are particularly common in the upper part of the unit between 21 and 22 mbsf, but they are rare in the Cobb Mountain part of the unit.

The sedimentary sequence cored at Site 838 (Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al., 1992) consists of 103 m of vitric volcaniclastic sediments and clayey nannofossil oozes ranging in age from the middle Pleistocene to the upper Pliocene. The sedimentary sequence was divided into lithologic units based on differences in texture, structure, and composition and on the varying abundance of volcaniclastic deposits. The Cobb Mountain Event is within the uppermost unit (21.7-22.0 mbsf), which is dominated by clayey nannofossil ooze with occasional interbeds of vitric sand and vitric fine ash with foraminifers. The clayey nannofossil ooze is composed mainly of calcareous nannofossils (47-52 vol%), clay (40-45 vol%), and planktonic foraminifer tests and test debris (up to 8 vol%). The ooze is generally homogeneous, although clasts of altered pumice (up to 2 cm across) are present and bioturbation mottling occurs. The ooze contains an average of 55%-60% CaCO3. The clayey nannofossil ooze is stained dark brown to very dark grayish brown because of the presence of iron oxyhydroxides.

The sedimentary sequence recovered at Site 839 (Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al., 1992) consists of 215 m of clayey nannofossil ooze, turbiditic vitric sands and silts, volcanic gravels, and rare pyroclastic fallout ashes. The age of the sedimentary sequence ranges from the middle Pleistocene to the upper Pliocene. Once again, the sedimentary sequence was divided into lithologic units based primarily on the presence or absence of volcaniclastic sands and silts.

The Cobb Mountain Event is within lithologic Unit II (41.0–41.3 mbsf), which is distinguished from Unit I by its very high content of volcaniclastic material. The unit comprises a sequence of generally thick vitric sand and silts, with some clayey nannofossil ooze interbeds. Clayey nannofossil ooze constitutes about 25%–30% of the sequence.

The clayey nannofossil ooze is dark brown and appears to be homogeneous over long intervals, although a few short intervals show faint mottling, one of which includes the Cobb Mountain Event of Section C3. The ooze also contains a few isolated commonly altered pumice clasts. The clayey nannofossil ooze contains 50% calcareous nannofossils, and up to 10% planktonic foraminifers and test debris. In total, this makes up the 55%–60% CaCO<sub>3</sub> of the sediment. The noncarbonate fraction consists of clay (35–40 vol%) and accessory minerals, mainly pyroxenes (up to 5 vol%).



45 Figure 2. Magnetostratigraphic plots of sediments from Holes 837A, 838A, and 839A. Magnetic declination and inclination are plotted vs. depth in the wide columns on left and right, respectively. These measurements were made with a 2G pass-through cryogenic magnetometer with a 5-cm spacing after AF demagnetization at 15 mT. Column in middle shows core boundaries; fine stipple denotes ash turbidites and coarse stipple represents pumiceous ash turbidites. Declination values for Cores 1H through 3H in each hole are arbitrary and have been reoriented to place normal-polarity declinations at zero. Cores deeper than 3H have been azimuthally oriented with a magnetic compass tool (see Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al., 1992). Dots to the right of center column show where drilling disturbance of the cores is severe. Column at right shows interpreted magnetic polarity, white is reversed polarity, light stipple shows core of probably reversed polarity, and hachures denote indeterminate polarity. (Figures modified from Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al., 1992).

# MAGNETIC RESULTS

The magnetic properties of the Lau Basin sediments, as investigated in the Leg 135 holes in general, is described in detail elsewhere (Abrahamsen and Sager, this volume; Sager and Abrahamsen, this volume; Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al., 1992). The description below relates to the clayey nannofossil ooze only, in which the Cobb Mountain Event was investigated in more detail by the subsampling.

# **Duration of the Cobb Mountain Event**

The apparent average deep-sea sedimentation rates fluctuate drastically in the area owing to short-time irregular contributions of volcaniclastics. In addition, cycles in magnetic susceptibility (Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al., 1992) suggest that longer term, climatically driven depositional variations may be present. To obtain an average deposition rate, age vs. depth curves were constructed using reversals



Figure 2 (continued).

recorded by the pass-through cryogenic magnetometer (Fig. 2; see also the paleomagnetic chapters in Parson, Hawkins, Allan, et al., 1992).

In Table 1, values and details of local depths for the Cobb Mountain Event in the three records (based on the well-defined change in declination, see below) are summarized, as well as estimates of uncertainties based on assumed accuracies in the age ( $\pm 0.01$  Ma) and depth determinations from the pass-through magnetometer ( $\pm 0.05$  m).

Applying the Cobb Mountain Event age of 1.12 Ma, and the termination of the Jaramillo Chron (CR1R-1[y]) of 0.91 Ma, three estimates are found for the duration of the Cobb Mountain Event between 12.9 and 21.3 k.y., the average being  $17 \pm 4$  k.y.

Thus, the Cobb Mountain Event is one of the shortest events recorded. Many short polarity events have proven difficult to document over large areas (Champion et al., 1988; Harland et al., 1989; Thouveny and Creer, 1992). However, the Cobb Mountain Event has now been found in the Atlantic, as well as in the North and South Pacific, so its global nature cannot be in doubt.

### Magnetic Results from Section C1

Rock magnetic results from Cobb Mountain Section C1 are plotted vs. depth in Figure 3. The modified Q-ratio is the ratio between the

NRM intensity and the magnetic susceptibility, Q = J/susceptibility; the ratio  $Q_{10} = J_{10}$ /susceptibility gives the corresponding ratio after AF demagnetization at 10 mT.

The susceptibility, *Q*-ratio, and *J*/SIRM ratio do not show strong systematic variations with depth, the scatter probably being caused by small fluctuations in magnetic properties such as magnetic miner-

Table 1. Estimates of the duration of the Cobb Mountain Event, determined from deposition rates for Sections C1, C2, and C3.

	Hole, core, section	Depth of CM Event in section (cm)	Deposition rate (mm/k.y.) between Jy = 0.91 Ma and $CM_0 = 1.12$ Ma	Duration of CM Event (k.y.)
C1	135-837A-3H-5	84-117	$15.5 \pm 0.5$	21.3
C2	135-838A-3H-6	99-130	$18.5 \pm 0.5$	16.8
C3	135-839A-5H-6	51-79	25 ± 2 Mean	$12.9 \\ 17.0 \pm 4.2$

Notes: Data are based upon the reversal stratigraphy between Jaramillo (young) and Cobb Mountain. For Section C3 the deposition rate is corrected for the presence of ca. 1 m of volcaniclastic sediments between 39 and 40 mbsf. CM = Cobb Mountain, Jy = end of Jaramillo Subchron (0.91 Ma). CM0 = age of CM (1.12 Ma).



Hole 839A

Figure 2 (continued).

alogy, concentration, and grain size as well as experimental noise; hence, we see only minor systematic magneto-mineralogical variations with depth. In contrast, the normalized ratio after AF demagnetization at 10 mT,  $J_{10}$ /SIRM (and also the ratio  $Q_{10}$ ), shows lower values at 117–107 and 84–79 cm, which correlates very well with the two polarity transitions (see below).

The ratio  $J_{10}$ /SIRM (heavy line, Fig. 3) is likely to reduce possible influence from such variations as mineralogy, concentration, and grain

size in the sediment, leaving the influence of fluctuations in the ambient field only (cf. Tric et al. [1992], who showed  $J_{20}$ /SIRM to be a useful indicator of paleointensity in deep-sea sediments). Hence, the normalized ratio  $J_{10}$ /SIRM may be taken as an estimate of the relative paleointensity of the geomagnetic field. The very close correspondence between the  $J_{10}$ /SIRM and  $Q_{10}$  ratios further suggests that the latter ratio also may be a useful (and easily obtained) indicator of paleointensity variations of the geomagnetic field. At the levels mentioned,

**Cobb Mountain (Section C1)** 



Figure 3. Rock-magnetic properties vs. depth of samples from Section C1 (CM Event at 84–117 cm; cf. Table 1).  $Q = J(NRM)/susceptibility; Q_{10} = J_{10}/susceptibility; J_{10} is the remanent magnetization intensity after AF demagnetization at 10 mT; the normalized <math>J_{10}/SIRM$  ratio is taken as a measure of the relative paleointensity of the geomagnetic field (heavy line is a 3-point moving average). Units are given in Table 2.

therefore, the geomagnetic field appears to have been reduced to about 20% (at 116 cm) and 30% (at 81 cm), respectively, of the normal geomagnetic field intensity.

Figure 4 illustrates characteristic examples of individual sample behavior during AF demagnetization. Typically, after 10 mT, the direction of the remanence remains fairly stable and the polarity is well defined; during further demagnetization, a unidirectional reduction toward the origin is generally observed. The rapid decay of intensity during AF demagnetization with median destructive fields (MDF) of typically 6–7 mT, together with the SIRM acquisition curves in Figure 5, shows that saturation occurs between 0.2 and 0.3 T, and that the dominant carrier of the remanence is fine-grained magnetite.

The directional results after AF demagnetization at 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT are shown in Figure 6 for all specimens from Section C1. To average out errors originating from experimental noise caused by the small sample volume, the low intensity after AF demagnetization (the average of  $J_{10}$  being 4 mA/m), and statistical errors, the mean of the four demagnetization levels mentioned is adopted as the best estimate of the primary magnetization; this mean for each specimen is shown by the full heavy line. As the true azimuthal orientation of the core is not known, the declination has been rotated by  $-160^{\circ}$  to match the mean of the nontransitional (dipolar) parts of the record to  $0^{\circ}$  and  $180^{\circ}$ , respectively. Details for all samples are listed in Table 2.

The declination shows a rather clearcut polarity behavior with the older R-N polarity transition (as the site is situated on the Southern Hemisphere) at 116 cm, and the younger N-R polarity transition at 84

Table 2. Detailed magnetic information for each specimen in Section C1.

Sample no.	Depth +23.5 m (cm)	J <sub>0</sub> (mA/m)	J <sub>10</sub> (mA/m)	Susceptibility (cgs)×10 <sup>-5</sup>	SIRM (A/m)	D1234 (-160°)	I1234	Sample no.	Depth +23.5 m (cm)	J <sub>0</sub> (mA/m)	J <sub>10</sub> (mA/m)	Susceptibility (cgs)×10 <sup>-5</sup>	SIRM (A/m)	D1234 (-160°)	I1234	
1	68.3	16.64	4.59	2.7	5.96	175	34	46	101.1	14.81	2.00	2.5	5.53	-24	12	
2	69.0	14.00	4.43	3.0	6.45	161	35	47	102.2	14.35	2.57	2.4	5.47	16	24	
3	69.6	15.75	3.95	2.8	5.82	183	32	48	103.5	15.21	2.63	2.4	5.16	-18	-6	
4	70.2	14.63	5.54	2.9	6.03	188	35	49	104.1	19.21	2.85	2.8	5.67	9	2	
5	70.8	17.41	3.61	2.9	6.20	180	38	50	104.7	15.86	3.85	2.2	5.03	3	-31	
6	71.4	12.12	6.35	2.8	6.04	162	42	51	105.3	15.21	4.10	2.2	5.12	-7	-35	
7	72.0	16.32	3.84	2.7	6.03	194	42	52	105.9	19.58	3.07	2.5	5.41	-40	-33	
8	72.6	16.69	5.05	3.1	7.14	186	26	53	106.5	11.16	1.77	2.4	5.40	37	22	2
9	73.2	19.95	3.28	2.8	6.33	146	30	54	107.1	15.39	1.57	2.6	5.65	13	17	
10	73.8	18.44	2.50	3.0	6.96	164	49	55	107.7	14.38	2.31	2.8	5.75	-19	24	
11	74.4	20.11	3.94	3.0	6.56	174	49	56	108.3	17.84	1.48	2.9	5.91	-52	18	
12	75.0	16.91	3.14	3.0	6.61	157	54	57	108.9	12.00	1.19	2.6	5.81	-91	56	
13	75.6	18.78	4.34	2.8	6.03	200	35	58	109.5	17.47	1.24	2.9	6.02	-46	19	
14	76.2	13.28	6.03	2.7	6.13	180	34	59	110.1	12.55	3.16	3.0	5.90	-9	23	
15	76.8	17.19	3.84	2.6	6.01	165	31	60	110.7	14.25	0.76	2.6	5.62	37	33	
16	77.4	15.90	5.16	2.9	6.38	173	24	61	111.3	13.06	1.93	3.0	5.82	23	3	
17	78.0	25.30	2.62	3.0	6.35	218	30	62	111.9	17.49	1.50	3.0	6.09	-25	54	
18	78.6	17.47	3.57	2.8	6.64	214	26	63	112.5	13.48	1.95	2.6	5.85	-2	-3	
19	79.2	20.13	3.10	2.8	6.19	172	34	64	113.1	16.26	1.77	2.7	5.44	-59	11	
20	79.8	38.51	2.38	4.2	6.82	237	-36	65	113.7	13.05	2.69	2.6	5.41	-50	-2	
21	80.4	20.93	1.25	2.9	6.68	205	21	66	114.3	15.99	1.51	2.9	5.91	-112	10	
22	81.0	23.92	2.71	3.0	7.08	303	-33	67	114.9	15.14	1.59	2.8	5.92	-34	-19	
23	81.6	25.58	1.47	2.9	6 79	179	_49	68	115.5	19.60	2.16	3.3	7.07	-96	51	
24	82.2	23.13	2.15	29	7.07	128	-60	69	116.1	17.99	1.03	3.1	6.52	81	5	
25	82.8	22.76	2.63	2.6	6.92	186	-25	70	116.7	17.54	0.87	3.0	6.51	232	24	
26	83.4	20.12	3.22	2.8	6.77	233	-6	71	117.3	11.75	3.58	3.2	7.27	217	69	
27	84.0	28.30	4.06	2.8	6.65	120	-59	72	117.9	13.63	3.58	2.8	6.98	190	59	
28	84.6	26.12	5.20	3.0	7.17	-17	-43	73	118.5	10.61	4.71	3.3	8.04	178	53	
29	85.2	19.62	5.85	23	5.96	-23	_47	74	119.1	14.72	4.06	3.1	7.02	181	46	
30	85.8	17.85	3.29	2.5	619	_2	-36	75	119.7	9.42	5.09	3.0	7.27	175	53	
31	86.4	18.75	3.80	2.5	5 94	-24	_48	76	120.3	11.19	5.55	2.8	6.41	208	52	
32	87.0	17.39	3.89	23	6.03	-24	-32	77	120.9	22.70	6.44	4.0	6.60	236	57	
33	87.6	21.86	2.35	2.6	6.51	-42	_33	78	121.5	14.64	4.76	3.3	7.68	140	47	
34	88.2	13.49	4.01	3.0	6.41	-5	-48	79	122.1	7.54	6.28	2.9	6.68	157	62	
35	88.8	15.98	3.40	20	5.26	-11	-32	80	122.7	14.51	5.68	3.2	7.04	219	75	
36	89.6	16.50	5.05	22	5 71	-35	-50	81	123.3	8.19	5.73	2.8	6.30	164	61	
37	90.2	16.69	3.95	22	5.61	_10	_33	82	123.9	13.94	4.81	2.8	6.40	164	42	
38	91.4	18.09	3.91	20	6.26	11	_62	83	124.5	11.12	3.61	33	7.09		12	
39	92.6	19.12	4 95	23	6 29	-16	-30	0.5	12110		5.01	010	1105			
40	93.8	18.65	4 32	22	6 35	0	-25	22220000000000	CON-0-0228 - 1231-024			ander anderen				
41	95.0	17.59	3.67	24	6.00	25	_42	Notes: Se	ection $C1 = Section$	on 135-837	A-3H-5; la	atitude = $20.22^{\circ}$	S; longit	ude = 176.	82°W; wa	ater
42	96.2	14.52	3.98	2.0	6.95	-19	_0	dept	h=2752 m. Dark	brown clay	yey nanno	fossil ooze. Jo is	s NRM in	ntensity, J <sub>1</sub>	0 is intens	sity
43	97.4	15.00	2.42	23	5.82	17	-1	after	AF-cleaning at	10 mT; SII	RM = satu	rated isotherma	al reman	ent magne	tization a	fter
44	98.6	13.22	2.31	2.2	5.16	-18	21	puls	e magnetization a	at 1.2 T; D	1234 and I	1234 are the de	clination	and inclin	nation me	ans
45	99.8	14.19	2.66	24	5 76	3	7	of 10	0, 20, 30, and 40	mT AF de	magnetiz	ations, D1234	is rotated	1-160° wi	th respec	t to
			2.00	2.7	5.10	2	1	the s	urface of the spli	it core.	Buetto					



Figure 4. Orthogonal plots on the left, stereograms at center (solid/open symbols indicate positive/negative inclinations), and intensity decay curves on the right (scale in mT) for AF demagnetization of three characteristic nannofossil ooze samples from Section C1. NRM intensities are given in Table 2.





Figure 5. Saturation isothermal remanent magnetization (SIRM) acquisition curves for typical nannofossil ooze samples from Section C1.

cm. The inclination record is more complicated. From a high level (~50° to 60°) at 118 cm, it fluctuates while gradually decreasing to  $-45^{\circ}$  at 90 cm; between 90 and 83 cm it is close to the geocentric axial dipole value of  $-36^{\circ}$ ; then, between 83 and 75 cm, the reversed level is rapidly restored by means of (noisy?) oscillations. Although declination at both transitions changes rapidly, inclination and intensity ( $J_{10}$ /SIRM and  $Q_{10}$  in Fig. 3) seem to be more reluctant in recovering to nontransitional levels.

To smooth the apparent pole position curve without losing too much short-wave information, a five-point moving average (weights  $\frac{1}{2} + 1 + 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2}$ ) was applied to both declination and inclination separately, as shown in Figure 7. Noise has been significantly suppressed, but short undulations over three or more samples are still retained.

#### Magnetic Results from Section C2

Rock magnetic results vs. depth from Cobb Mountain Section C2 are compiled in Figure 8. The susceptibility and J/SIRM ratio do not show strong trends with depth, but rather the fluctuations appear to indicate fluctuations in magnetic mineralogy, concentration, and grain size as well as experimental noise; hence, we see only minor magnetomineralogical variations with depth. In contrast to this, the normalized ratio  $J_{10}$ /SIRM (and also  $Q_{10}$ ) shows strongly reduced values around 131 and 96 cm, which correlates well with the two polarity transitions at 129 and 98–99 cm (see below). At the two low levels of the  $J_{10}$ /SIRM ratio (heavy line), the geomagnetic field was thus reduced to about 20% of its normal strength (supposed to be the high level of the  $J_{10}$ /SIRM ratio outside the transition parts).

Figure 9 illustrates characteristic examples of individual sample behavior during AF demagnetization. Typically, after 10 mT the direction of the remanence is stabilized, and with further demagnetization a unidirectional reduction of intensity can be observed. The rapid decay of AF-demagnetized intensity (with MDF = 5-6 mT), as well as saturation occurring around 0.3 T in the SIRM acquisition curves (Fig. 10), indicates that the dominant carrier of the remanence is fine-grained magnetite.

The directional results of the AF demagnetization at 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT are shown in Figure 11 for all specimens in Section C2.

Again, adopting the mean for the four demagnetization levels mentioned as the best estimate of the primary magnetization, this mean for each specimen is shown by the bold line. The declination has been rotated  $+60^{\circ}$  according to the mean of the nontransitional (dipolar) part of the section. Sample measurements are listed in Table 3.

The declination shows a noisier and also more gradual older R-N polarity transition at the base (128 cm) than in Section C1, and also a more gradual young N-R transition at the top (98 cm). Short-wave variations between 125–118 and 109–100 cm are also observed. Inclination shows a similar trend as for Section C1. From a high level (~30° to 40°) at 130 cm, inclination fluctuates while gradually decreasing to  $-45^{\circ}$  at 107 cm; between 107 and 102 cm, it is stable around  $-40^{\circ}$ ; then, between 102 and 92 cm, the reversed level is gradually reached in a series of swings. Inclination and intensity ( $J_{10}$ /SIRM and  $Q_{10}$  in Fig. 8) seem to be more reluctant in recovering to nontransitional levels than does declination.

In Figure 12, five-point moving averages of declination and inclination are shown as the slightly smoothed, heavy curves. Although noise has been significantly suppressed, short undulations over three or more samples are retained.

### Magnetic Results from Section C3

Rock magnetic results vs. depth from Cobb Mountain Section C3 are compiled in Figure 13. The susceptibility shows a decrease of about 30% between 79 and 70 cm, whereas the levels of the *Q*-ratio and the *J*/SIRM ratio appear stable. In contrast, the  $J_{10}$ /SIRM ratio (and also the  $Q_{10}$  ratio) shows strongly reduced values between 91–73 cm and 51–45 cm, which correlates with the two polarity transitions at 80 and 51 cm (see later). Judging from the two low levels of the  $J_{10}$ /SIRM ratio (heavy line), the geomagnetic field appears to have been reduced to between 20% and 30% of its normal values.

Figure 14 illustrates characteristic examples of individual sample behavior during the AF demagnetization. After 10 mT the direction of the remanence is stabilized, and by further demagnetization a unidirectional reduction toward the origin can be observed. Again, the rapid decay of intensity during AF demagnetization (with MDF = 5-6 mT), as well as the saturation occurring in the SIRM acquisition curves between 0.3 and 0.4 T (Fig. 15), indicates that the dominant carrier of the remanence is fine-grained magnetite. Details for each sample are listed in Table 4.

The directional results of the AF demagnetization in 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT are shown in Figure 16 for all specimens in Section C3. As before, the average of the four levels for each specimen is again adopted as the best estimate of the primary remanence, which is shown by the bold line. The declination has been rotated +125° according to the mean of the nontransitional (dipolar) part of the section.

The declination of Section C3 shows a sharp older (R-N) polarity transition at the base (80 cm), as in Section C1, and also a more gradual young (N-R) change at the top (52–50 cm), with an "overshoot" between 50 and 45 cm. In the normal-polarity interval, between 79 and 51 cm, there is little variation in declination. The inclination values display a trend similar to those of Sections C1 and C2. From a positive level (~15° to 30°) at 80 cm, inclination fluctuates while gradually decreasing to  $-50^{\circ}$  at 62 cm; between 62 and 47 cm, it varies between -20 and  $-60^{\circ}$ ; and at 46 cm the inclination changes sharply to positive values. Again, inclination and intensity ( $J_{10}$ /SIRM and  $Q_{10}$  in Fig. 13) seem to be more reluctant than declination in the recovering phase before reaching nontransitional levels. In Figure 17, the directional parameters are again shown with five-point moving averages.

# TRANSITIONAL VIRTUAL GEOMAGNETIC POLES: COMPARISONS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the smoothed values given in Figure 7, the VGP positions may be calculated for Section C1 (Fig. 18), if one assumes that the

Table 3. Detailed magnetic information for each specimen in Section C2.

	Depth			22 Sag 100/00			
Sample	+20.7m	$J_0$	$J_{10}$	Susceptibility	SIRM	D1234	
no.	(cm)	(mA/m)	(mA/m)	$(cgs) \times 10^{-5}$	(A/m)	(+60°)	I123
	80.0	26.52	0.20	2.7	6.00	102	20
1	80.0	30.53	8.38	3.5	6.20	183	39
2	80.6	37.12	9.74	3.3	6.04	177	21
3	81.2	24.11	8.17	3.1	6.03	191	37
4	81.8	35.61	7.79	3.3	6.33	173	33
5	82.4	28.13	8.88	3.4	6.96	173	34
6	83.0	36.78	7.06	3.2	6.56	182	35
7	83.6	24.95	6.47	3.2	6.61	176	30
8	84.2	40.50	5.96	3.3	6.03	181	37
9	84.8	23.13	7.22	3.3	6.13	177	29
10	85.4	34.85	5.39	3.4	6.01	170	21
11	86.0	28.96	7.36	3.4	6.38	160	30
12	86.6	37.15	7.43	3.1		0.000	
13	87.2	37.56	10.00	3.8	6.35	183	18
14	87.8	78 46	649	4.5	6.64	89	26
15	88.4	26.08	4 30	33	6 10	103	20
16	80.0	37.20	5 45	3.5	6.82	170	29
17	80.61	20 47	4.01	3.6	6.69	105	29
10	00.0	28.47	4.01	3.0	0.08	185	30
10	90.2	35.00	3.40	3.5	1.08	185	32
19	90.8	30.65	3.61	3.0	6.79	186	15
20	91.4	30.62	3.35	3.3	7.07	198	30
21	92.0	33.06	4.70	3.6	6.92	186	31
22	92.6	31.85	3.21	3.3	6.77	177	27
23	93.2	23.66	3.78	3.3	6.65	192	18
24	.93.8	25.87	2.17	3.2	5.64	196	4
25	94.4	19.77	2.10	2.9	6.33	132	47
26	95.0	30.62	1.43	3.0	5.91	158	-10
27	95.6	28.00	2.45	3.1	6.23	187	34
28	96.2	34 50	1.43	33	6.39	155	8
29	96.8	31.79	2.67	3.2	6 32	199	230
30	97.4	40.75	2.64	3.2	634	153	5
31	08.0	27.05	2.04	3.0	6.28	177	13
32	08.6	26.12	2.54	3.0	6.42	02	10
32	90.0	44.27	4.66	2.5	6.45	92	47
33	99.2	44.27	4.00	3.5	6.40	40	-4/
34	99.8	46.93	4.20	3.5	0.33	-2	-39
35	100.4	34.02	3.02	3.1	5.93	42	-30
36	101.0	35.76	9.33	3.2	6.52	-43	33
37	101.6	33.85	3.09	3.2	7.01	-106	-44
38	102.2	30.35	4.85	2.9	6.14	-1	-28
39	102.8	25.08	4.27	2.8	7.23	23	-36
40	103.4	30.99	6.93	2.7	7.23	23	-36
41	104.0	26.31	5.68	2.8	5.56	-53	-40
42	104.6	44.81	7.23	3.5	6.98	-44	-39
43	105.2	26.31	4.08	2.9	5.47	-43	-45
44	105.8	27.85	4.60	2.7	5.35	-52	-42
45	106.4	21.15	3.83	2.5	5.00	-64	-40
46	107.0	31 44	515	27	5 44	-58	-40
47	107.6	22.80	3.27	27	5.45	157	-10
18	108.2	27.09	2.00	2.7	4.00	-157	-10
40	100.2	25.20	4.79	2.7	4.99	-07	-30
49	100.0	25.29	4.73	2.8	5.27	21	-10
50	109.4	25.10	0.81	2.8	5.02	21	-35
51	110.0	22.04	4.36	2.6	5.15	27	-44
52	110.6	34.12	4.97	3.2	5.17	0	-38
53	111.2	23.94	5.21	2.8	5.10	16	-22
54	111.8	26.54	4.99	2.6	5.08	-4	-23
55	112.4	23.38	4.59	2.7	5.36	-25	-24
56	113.0	27.56	3.87	2.8	5.19	-17	-13

Sample	Depth +20.7m	$J_0$	J <sub>10</sub>	Susceptibility	SIRM	D1234	1123
1107	(em)	(intronti)	(in yin)	(063) ×10	(//////)	(100)	1125
57	113.6	23.20	2.99	2.5	5.20	19	-20
58	114.2	23.00	3.08	2.4	5.19	7	-12
59	114.8	21.82	3.88	2.6	5.13	1	-33
60	115.4	40.63	2.83	3.2	5.45	4	-18
61	116.0	24.20	4.59	3.0	5.38	41	-17
62	116.6	29.59	2.54	3.0	5.28	34	-32
63	117.2	34.51	5.48	3.0	5.41	5	-17
64	117.8	26.64	1.33	3.3	5.23	-56	8
65	118.4	21.87	2.04	2.8	5.53	35	-13
66	119.0	25.88	2.91	3.0	5.44	13	-12
67	119.6	29.20	2.16	3.2	5.85	19	28
68	120.2	23.52	2.53	2.8	5.20	-2	1
69	120.8	22 70	2.26	2.0	5.41	28	-17
70	121.4	25 30	2.53	29	5 34	68	-15
71	122.0	22.94	3.65	3.0	5.82	84	30
72	122.0	33.81	1.51	3.6	614	-131	5
73	123.2	25.04	2 30	3.2	5.08	-13	25
74	123.8	20.04	1.57	33	6.22	-74	-5
75	123.0	29.20	2.02	3.5	6.12	-/4	11
75	124.4	29.49	0.04	3.5	6 20	83	58
70	125.6	24.19	4.10	3.5	6.64	-05	11
70	125.0	26.92	4.10	2.1	6.22	21	17
70	120.2	10.03	1.05	2.0	5.40	47	-17
20	120.0	10.05	1.97	2.9	6.71	154	-5
81	127.4	41.51	2.17	3.9	6.02	1.54	47
01	128.0	32.40	5.17	3.7	7.16	146	20
82	128.6	35.89	1.03	5.7	7.10	140	20
83	129.8	29.59	1.75	3.1	7.41	117	50
84	129.8	46.53	1.01	3.0	7.18	37	45
85	130.4	32.70	1.84	3.0	7.17	120	45
86	131.0	35.45	1.47	3.9	7.53	216	20
87	131.6	26.10	0.70	3.6	7.47	233	21
88	132.2	30.64	1.00	3.5	7.07	234	0
89	132.8	27.85	2.45	5.8	7.41	223	10
90	133.4	35.33	1.69	4.3	8.46	186	25
91	134.0	33.52	4.18	4.4	8.80	182	64
92	134.6	32.47	1.68	4.0	8.62	174	41
93	135.2	23.65	4.60	3.9	8.73	203	35
94	135.8	27.69	3.35	4.2	8.42	232	35
95	136.4	28.37	2.33	3.8	7.62	189	31
96	137.0	29.27	2.55	4.0	9.06	189	14
97	137.6	24.98	6.27	4.2	8.65	198	27
98	138.2	28.79	5.66	4.3	8.53	201	27
99	138.8	26.39	5.75	3.8	8.18	178	55
100	139.4	19.83	7.73	3.4	7.67	205	25
101	140.0	17.84	6.47	3.4	8.37	207	32
102	140.6	18.86	5.83	3.4	7.41	126	47
103	141.2	14.62	5.24	3.1	6.93	178	44
104	141.8	23.54	3.01	4.0	10.20	-165	21

Notes: Section C2 = 135-838A-3H-6; latitutde =  $0.83^{\circ}$ S; longitude =  $176.89^{\circ}$ W; water depth = 2323 m. Dark brown clayey nannofossil ooze. J<sub>0</sub> is NRM intensity, J<sub>10</sub> is intensity after AF-cleaning at 10 mT; SIRM = saturated isothermal remanent magnetization after pulse magnetization at 1.2 T; D1234 and 11234 are the declination and inclination means of 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT AF demagnetizations. D1234 is rotated +160° with respect to the surface of the split core.

geomagnetic field is equivalent to a central dipole field (e.g., Merrill and McElhinny, 1983). Following the first (old) rapid polarity transition (R to N), two short-lived loops are seen in the Northern Hemisphere, one of them having the character of a geomagnetic excursion, the latitude going below  $60^{\circ}$  (cf. Fig. 7, the two oscillations in declination between 116 and 108 cm). The younger transition (N to R) is more gradual and after the (N to R) polarity change, the youngest part of the (N to R) transition also has the character of an excursion because of the "overshoot" in declination (cf. Fig. 7, 82–78 cm). For the old transition (R to N), the pole-clusters in both hemispheres are "near-sided" (i.e., closer to the sampling site than the north pole of the central axial dipole; Wilson, 1970; Merrill and McElhinny, 1983). The pole clustering after the young polarity transition (N to R) is "farsided" first and finally ends up as being "near-sided."

In the same manner as above, based on the smoothed values of Figure 12, the virtual pole positions are calculated for Section C2 and are shown in Figure 19. Following the first (old) rapid polarity transition (R to N), as in Section C1, a loop can be seen in the Northern

Hemisphere for Section C2 that has the character of a geomagnetic excursion, as the latitude goes below 60° N. In this record both the older (R to N) and younger (N to R) transitions are rather gradual, the transitions being recorded by 10 and 5 data points between  $\pm 70^{\circ}$ , respectively. Before the young (N to R) transition begins, still another excursion can be seen in the Northern Hemisphere as an "overshoot" in declination (Fig. 12, 110–104 cm). After the young (N to R) transition, again the same loop in longitude up to 60°E can be seen as in Section C1; however, because of the higher negative latitude (it does not go north of 60°S), it is not formally a transition. Again, as for Section C1, the Section C2 poles in both hemispheres of the older transition (R to N) are dominated by "near-sided" poles, whereas the pole-cluster is "far-sided" after the young polarity transition (N to R).

Based on the smoothed values of Figure 17, the virtual pole positions are calculated for Section C3 and shown in Figure 20. In this record also, the older and younger transitions are relatively gradual, as they are shown by 8 and 5 data points between latitude  $\pm 70^{\circ}$ , respectively. The young part shows the same kind of loop in longitude up to

Table 4. Detailed magnetic information for each specimen in Section C3.

Donth

no.   (cm)   (mA/m)   (mA/m)   (cgs) $\times 10^{-5}$ (A/m)   (+125°)   11234     1   30.0   13.05   7.07   2.7   5.75   173   37     2   30.6   9.56   6.74   2.8   6.22   186   40     3   31.2   12.97   5.30   2.6   5.59   160   34     4   31.8   10.81   5.01   2.6   5.63   170   20     5   32.4   13.63   6.55   2.7   6.13   170   27     6   33.0   22.65   4.29   3.2   6.15   172   39     10   35.4   10.18   4.68   2.9   5.91   180   31     12   36.6   11.24   4.22   2.9   6.17   176   39     13   37.2   18.89   3.86   3.0   6.53   158   9     14   37.8   10.23   4.98   2.86	Sample	+40.5 m	$J_0$	$J_{10}$	Susceptibility	SIRM	D1234	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	no.	(cm)	(mA/m)	(mA/m)	(cgs) ×10 <sup>-5</sup>	(A/m)	(+125°)	I1234
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	30.0	13.05	7.07	2.7	5.75	173	37
3 31.2 12.97 5.30 2.6 5.59 160 34   4 31.8 10.81 5.01 2.6 5.63 170 20   5 32.4 13.63 6.55 2.7 6.13 179 27   6 33.0 22.65 4.29 3.2 6.13 100 14   7 33.6 17.38 6.23 3.0 6.16 194 34   8 34.2 10.38 5.86 2.7 5.95 178 31   9 34.8 12.43 5.56 2.9 6.15 172 39   10 35.4 10.18 4.62 2.9 6.17 176 39   13 37.2 18.89 3.86 3.0 6.53 158 9   16 39.0 12.80 3.22 3.0 6.53 158 9   16 39.0 12.80 3.22 3.0 6.53 172 -17   18 40.2 25.72 4.91 3.6 6.53	2	30.6	9.56	6.74	2.8	6.22	186	40
4 31.8 10.81 5.01 2.6 5.63 170 20   5 32.4 13.63 6.55 2.7 6.13 100 14   7 33.6 17.38 6.23 3.0 6.16 194 34   8 34.2 10.38 5.86 2.7 5.95 178 31   9 34.8 12.43 5.56 2.9 6.15 172 39   10 35.4 10.18 4.68 2.9 5.91 180 29   11 36.0 15.39 4.41 2.9 6.25 165 33   12 36.6 11.24 4.22 2.9 6.17 176 39   13 37.2 18.89 3.86 3.0 6.53 178 91   16 39.0 12.80 3.22 3.0 6.48 171 26   17 39.6 20.28 3.36 3.2 6.97 176 7   18 40.2 25.72 4.91 3.6 6.53 <td>3</td> <td>31.2</td> <td>12.97</td> <td>5 30</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>5 59</td> <td>160</td> <td>34</td>	3	31.2	12.97	5 30	2.6	5 59	160	34
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	31.8	10.81	5.01	2.6	5.63	170	20
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	32.4	13 63	6.55	2.0	6.13	170	27
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	32.4	22.65	4.20	2.7	6.13	100	14
7 35.8 17.36 0.2.5 3.0 0.10 194 344   9 34.8 12.43 5.56 2.9 6.15 172 39   10 35.4 10.18 4.68 2.9 5.91 180 29   11 36.0 15.39 4.41 2.9 6.25 165 33   12 36.6 11.24 4.22 2.9 6.17 176 39   13 37.2 18.89 3.86 3.0 6.53 158 9   16 39.0 12.80 3.22 3.0 6.48 171 26   17 39.6 20.28 3.36 3.2 6.97 176 7   18 40.2 25.72 4.91 3.6 6.53 172 -17   19 40.8 16.97 4.24 3.2 6.78 184 26   20 41.4 15.90 2.40 3.0 6.28 200 142   21 42.0 16.45 5.37 3.0 6.	7	33.6	17.38	6.23	3.2	6.16	100	24
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	24.2	10.30	5.96	3.0	5.05	1794	34
954.812.435.362.96.15112391035.410.184.682.95.91180291136.015.394.412.96.25165331236.611.244.222.96.17176391337.218.893.863.06.57179331437.810.234.982.86.20182371538.418.262.733.06.48171261739.620.283.363.26.9717671840.225.724.913.66.53172-171940.816.974.243.26.78184262041.415.902.403.06.28200142142.016.455.37-3.06.86185292343.219.044.523.26.90168352443.816.563.583.06.38196292546.018.801.832.86.14160192646.618.161.073.16.68302-443149.62.42.01.713.26.95216-383250.216.562.033.27.0116113350.82.1011.903.06.54166 <td>0</td> <td>24.2</td> <td>10.56</td> <td>5.80</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>5.95</td> <td>178</td> <td>31</td>	0	24.2	10.56	5.80	2.7	5.95	178	31
	10	34.8	12.45	3.30	2.9	0.15	172	39
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	35.4	10.18	4.08	2.9	5.91	180	29
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	30.0	15.39	4.41	2.9	6.25	165	33
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	36.6	11.24	4.22	2.9	6.17	176	39
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	37.2	18.89	3.86	3.0	6.57	179	33
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14	37.8	10.23	4.98	2.8	6.20	182	37
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15	38.4	18.26	2.73	3.0	6.53	158	9
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	39.0	12.80	3.22	3.0	6.48	171	26
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	17	39.6	20.28	3.36	3.2	6.97	176	7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	40.2	25.72	4.91	3.6	6.53	172	-17
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19	40.8	16.97	4.24	3.2	6.78	184	26
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	41.4	15.90	2.40	3.0	6.28	200	14
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	42.0	16.45	5.37	· 3.0	6.86	185	29
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	42.6	13.17	4.95	2.9	6.30	170	25
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	43.2	19.04	4.52	3.2	6.90	168	35
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	43.8	16.56	3.58	3.0	6.38	196	29
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	46.0	18.80	1.83	2.8	6.14	160	19
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	46.6	18.16	1.07	3.1	6.68	253	14
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27	47.2	27.03	4 30	32	676	347	-45
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28	47.8	20.26	2.24	33	6.84	153	-35
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29	48 4	23.42	1 44	3.0	6.56	207	-54
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30	40.0	16.14	1.04	3.0	6.56	202	-,14
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	49.0	24.20	1.71	3.1	6.05	216	20
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	50.2	16.56	2.02	3.2	7.01	161	-30
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32	50.2	10.50	2.05	3.2	7.01	101	10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33	50.8	21.01	1.90	3.0	0.54	100	-42
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	34	51.4	24.38	4.09	3.4	7.41	51	-39
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	35	52.0	25.80	5.71	3.1	0.07	45	-39
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30	52.6	19.30	5./4	3.0	6.28	-0	-29
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	53.2	24.27	5.38	3.0	6.43	20	-31
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38	53.8	17.72	5.68	2.8	5.69	1	-22
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39	54.4	21.12	5.14	2.9	5.73	1	3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40	55.0	15.57	5.11	2.7	5.74	1	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41	55.6	19.13	4.15	2.8	5.55	-16	-37
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	42	56.2	17.76	5.41	2.8	5.91	1	8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	43	56.8	24.17	4.47	3.0	6.17	83	-47
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	44	57.4	15.56	3.98	2.6	5.53	25	-31
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	45	58.0	23.94	5.38	2.9	5.94	5	-29
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	46	58.6	17.40	4.57	2.8	5.81	9	-52
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	47	59.2	25.01	6.61	3.0	6.25	5	-26
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	48	59.8	16.75	5.23	2.7	5.52	27	-32
50   62.2   14.99   3.09   2.7   5.84   11   -39     51   63.4   23.47   4.57   2.9   5.70   18   -36     52   64.6   13.32   2.86   2.6   5.52   21   -25     53   66.0   13.02   2.26   2.7   5.83   30   -11     54   67.2   13.99   353   2.7   5.81   30   -11	49	61.0	14.15	3.19	2.6	5.54	-6	-41
51 63.4 23.47 4.57 2.9 5.70 18 -36 52 64.6 13.32 2.86 2.6 5.52 21 -25 53 66.0 13.02 2.26 2.7 5.83 30 -11 54 67 2 13.09 353 27 581 27 11	50	62.2	14.99	3.09	2.7	5.84	11	-39
52 64.6 13.32 2.86 2.6 5.52 21 -25 53 66.0 13.02 2.26 2.7 5.83 30 -11 54 67.2 13.09 353 27 581 20 11	51	63.4	23.47	4.57	2.9	5.70	18	-36
53 66.0 13.02 2.26 2.7 5.83 30 -11 54 67.2 13.09 3.53 2.7 5.81 22	52	64.6	13 32	2.86	26	5 52	21	-25
54 672 13.00 3.53 2.7 5.01 22 11	53	66.0	13.02	2.26	27	5 83	30	-11
	54	67.2	13.90	3 53	27	5.81	22	-11

 $80^{\circ}$  E, and has the character of an excursion. Again, as for Sections C1 and C2, for Section C3 the pole clusters in both hemispheres before and after the old transition (R to N) are "near-sided," whereas the poles are "far-sided" after the young polarity transition (N to R).

The transition times required for the field to switch from one polarity to the other may be estimated either from the number of intermediate virtual pole positions (in Figs. 18–20), or from the drop in apparent geomagnetic field intensity as estimated from the  $J_{10}$ /SIRM and  $Q_{10}$ ratios (in Figs. 3, 8, and 13). Counting the number of VGPs situated between latitude  $\pm 70^{\circ}$ , the transition times thus appear to have been between 1.0 and 1.5 k.y., whereas the average transition time, estimated from the drop in intensity to below 33% of the normal value, was only about 0.6 k.y., applying the deposition rates from Table 1. It should also be noted that the initial decay times for both transitions, (Figs. 3, 8, and 13) appear to be shorter (about 2–4 times) than the duration of the recovery phase.

Because of the smoothing of the VGPs, the transition time estimates based on the VGPs may be slightly high. We conclude, therefore, that the Cobb Mountain transition times for effectively switching over the field appears to have been very short, indeed, on the order of some 0.6 and 1.0 k.y. only.

Sample no.	Depth +40.5 m (cm)	J <sub>0</sub> (mA/m)	J <sub>10</sub> (mA/m)	Susceptibility (cgs)×10 <sup>-5</sup>	SIRM (A/m)	D1234 (+125°)	11234
		- accessed	2012121		12/020	122	10
55	68.4	13.56	4.00	2.6	5.62	5	-36
56	69.6	12.56	2.91	2.6	5.79	1	-10
57	70.2	15.94	3.64	2.4	5.34	2	-29
58	70.8	14.06	2.81	2.7	5.91	15	-26
59	71.4	14.94	2.76	3.0	6.35	29	5
60	72.0	25.70	2.03	2.9	5.81	-12	18
61	72.6	16.92	2.18	3.3	6.57	33	6
62	73.2	26.58	1.21	3.2	6.55	15	2
63	74.4	28.26	1.07	3.2	6.61	57	-15
64	75.0	16.85	1.56	3.3	6.59	1	10
65	75.6	28.13	3.31	3.3	6.90	13	-7
66	76.2	16.29	1.14	3.3	6.90	19	21
67	76.8	26.93	2.50	3.3	7.00	-16	-21
68	77.4	10.92	2.25	2.3	5.24	-7	5
69	78.0	18.80	1.30	2.8	6.17	49	14
70	78.6	13.66	1.09	3.0	6.39	-10	14
71	79.2	24.97	1.83	3.4	6.87	45	16
72	79.8	18.80	2.90	4.1	7.75	108	45
73	80.4	32.70	1.14	4.0	8.17	210	10
74	81.0	20.66	2.00	4.0	8.05	182	19
75	82.2	20.09	2.65	4.1	7.99	175	6
76	83.4	32 73	2.25	4.1	8.03	171	18
77	84.0	19.69	3.52	4.0	7.74	188	18
78	84.6	32.28	1.58	43	8.16	159	21
79	85.2	22.38	1.31	43	8.32	151	13
80	85.8	24 61	1.27	42	8.45	164	28
81	86.4	20.25	2 49	41	8.21	161	18
82	87.0	31.06	2 37	47	9 14	190	11
83	87.6	25.00	2.20	5.1	9.46	176	17
84	88.2	42.46	1.55	5.5	9.50	259	15
95	00.2	18.00	3.82	3.6	8.06	247	34
86	80.0	26.73	1.82	3.0	8 17	171	_23
00	00.0	20.75	2.02	3.5	7.00	165	3
0/	90.0	10.57	2.95	3.5	0.21	172	17
00	90.6	31.45	2.52	4.0	9.51	204	17
89	91.2	22.30	2.00	4.9	0.94	204	16
90	91.8	30.08	5.04	4.7	0.41	174	-10
91	92.4	19.73	6.95	4.5	0.02	174	10
92	93.0	25.44	5.51	4.5	8.02	102	10
93	93.6	25.76	5.25	4.5	8.34	185	11
94	94.2	22.69	5.19	4.2	8.52	202	32
95	94.8	13.29	4.38	3.8	8.29	191	36
96	95.4	18.48	3.98	3.9	8.80	213	20

Notes: Section C3 = 135-839A-5H-6; latitude = 20.71°S; longitude = 176.78°W; water depth = 2617 m. Dark brown clayey nannofossil ooze. J<sub>0</sub> is NRM intensity, J<sub>10</sub> is intensity after AF-cleaning at 10 mT; SIRM = saturated isothermal remanent magnetization after pulse magnetization at 1.2 T; D1234 and I1234 are the declination and inclination means of 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT AF demagnetizations. D1234 is rotated +125° with respect to the surface of the split core.

All three records of the Cobb Mountain Event, measured from cores in close proximity to one another (intersite distances were less than 0.5°), show the same major trends with respect to near-sidedand far-sidedness, suggesting that these features are real and caused by an axial geomagnetic shift (Wilson, 1970) rather than by sediment compaction or refraction effects (Arason and Levi, 1990a, 1990b; Gordon, 1990; Abrahamsen, 1992). Furthermore, the transitional VGPs of our records are situated in approximately the same girdles. The old (R to N) transition poles follow an azimuthally "oblique" path, crossing meridians from longitude  $90^{\circ}W \pm 10^{\circ}$  to longitude  $160^{\circ}W \pm 10^{\circ}$ , whereas the young (N to R) transition poles follow an azimuthally oblique, subparallel path shifted in longitude some  $30^{\circ} \pm 10^{\circ}$  to the east, as compared with the old (R to N) transition.

This approximate trend along the Americas has recently been ascribed as a common feature also for the Matuyama-Brunhes, the Olduvai, and the Blake transitional VGPs (Tric et al., 1991), which may indicate a persistent transitional field behavior perhaps related to core-mantle boundary topography and/or to lithospheric structures (Laj et al., 1991; Tric et al., 1991).

In contrast, the two Cobb Mountain records of Clement (1992) and Clement and Martinson (1992) from the Labrador and North



Figure 6. Declination and inclination records of Section C1 after AF demagnetization at 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT. Bold line is the average of the four levels. The end of Section C1 occurs at 84 cm in the declination plot, but at 80 cm in inclination plot (dashed line).

Atlantic do not follow this girdle, whereas our data show roughly the same VGPs as records from the Celebes and Sulu seas (Hsu et al., 1990), provided that these data are translated longitudinally 60° to the east, equivalent to the latitudinal difference between the sites. Also, the "inclination-rebound" feature at the end of the Cobb Mountain Event is not as clearly seen in our records as it is in the North Atlantic cores, suggesting that some of the features are not global.

The simplest explanation of these features is that the Cobb Mountain reversal transition records (and other transitions, too) taking place at strongly reduced geomagnetic field strengths are highly sensitive to nonaxial field components of local rather than regional or global extent (i.e., equivalent to high-order spherical harmonics). Therefore, they may appear different when recorded at different sites on the globe, even though all the sections basically have recorded the same, truly global geomagnetic event.

## CONCLUSIONS

We may conclude that (1) the transition times for the Cobb Mountain geomagnetic polarity event, as seen in the three Lau Basin sediment records, appear to have been as short as 0.6–1.0 k.y.; (2) the duration of the normal-polarity event itself lasted only about  $17 \pm 4$ k.y.; (3) both the older (R to N) and younger (N to R) transitions show VGP paths roughly along the Americas, but the latter have been shifted



Figure 7. Smoothed declination and inclination of Section C1. Open symbols = average (at 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT; cf. Table 2) for each sample (the full line in Fig. 6). Solid curve = running mean through a window with weights  $\frac{1}{2} + 1 + 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2}$ .

 $30^{\circ} \pm 10^{\circ}$  to the east; and, (4) although these VGP paths are different from those from the Labrador Sea and the North Atlantic, they are in fair agreement with those from the Celebes and Sulu seas and, when corrected for differences in site longitude, suggest that the transitional fields are dominated by nonaxial, high-order spherical harmonics.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Part of the work was supported by a grant to N. Abrahamsen from the Danish Natural Science Foundation and to W.W. Sager by JOI/ USSAC. We thank Evelyn Polgreen Myers for assistance in making measurements.

#### **REFERENCES**\*

- Abrahamsen, N., 1992. On farsidedness of palaeomagnetic poles: magnetic refraction, sediment compaction and dipole off-set. *Stud. Geophys. Geod.*, 36:26–41.
- Arason, P., and Levi, S., 1990a. Compaction and inclination shallowing in deep-sea sediments from the Pacific Ocean. J. Geophys. Res., 95:4501– 4510.

<sup>\*</sup> Abbreviations for names of organizations and publication titles in ODP reference lists follow the style given in *Chemical Abstracts Service Source Index* (published by American Chemical Society).

—, 1990b. Models of inclination shallowing during sediment compaction. J. Geophys. Res., 95:4481–4500.

- Champion, D.E., Lamphere, M.A., and Kuntz, M.A., 1988. Evidence for a new geomagnetic reversal from lava flows in Idaho: discussion of short polarity reversals in the Brunhes and Late Matuyama polarity chrons. J. Geophys. Res., 93:11667–11681.
- Chauvin, A., Roperch, P., and Duncan, R.A., 1990. Records of geomagnetic reversals from volcanic islands of French Polynesia. 2. Paleomagnetic study of a flow sequence (1.2–0.6 Ma) from the island of Tahiti and discussion of reversal models. J. Geophys. Res., 95:2727–2752.
- Clement, B.M., 1992. Evidence for dipolar fields during the Cobb Mountain geomagnetic polarity reversals. *Nature*, 358:405–407.
- Clement, B.M., and Kent, D.V., 1987. Short polarity intervals within the Matuyama: transitional field records from hydraulic piston cored sediments from the North Atlantic. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 81:253–264.
- Clement, B.M., and Martinson, D.G., 1992. A quantitative comparison of two paleomagnetic records of the Cobb Mountain Subchron from North Atlantic deep-sea sediments. J. Geophys. Res., 97:1735–1752.
- Cox, A., Doell, R.R., and Dalrymple, G.B., 1963. Geomagnetic polarity epoch and Pleistocene geochronometry. *Nature*, 198:1049–1051.
- Gordon, R.G., 1990. Test for bias in paleomagnetically determined paleolatitudes from Pacific Plate Deep Sea Drilling Project sediments. J. Geophys. Res., 95:8397–8404.
- Harland, W.B., Armstrong, R.L., Cox, A.V., Craig, L.E., Smith, A.G., and Smith, D.G., 1989. A Geologic Time Scale 1989: Cambridge (Cambridge Univ. Press).
- Hoffman, K.A., 1991. Long-lived transitional states of the geomagnetic field and the two dynamo families. *Nature*, 354:273–277.
- —, 1992. Dipolar reversal states of the geomagnetic field and coremantle dynamics. *Nature*, 359:789–794.
- Hsu, V., Merrill, D.L., and Shibuya, H., 1990. Paleomagnetic transition records of the Cobb Mountain Event from sediments of the Celebes and Sulu seas. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 17:2069–2072.
- Laj, C., Mazaud, A., Fuller, M., Weeks, R., and Herrero-Bervera, E., 1991. Lateral variations at the core-mantle boundary revealed by geomagnetic reversal paths? *Nature*, 351:447.
- Leg 135 Shipboard Scientific Party, 1991. A new view of ARC/BACKARC systems. Geotimes, 36:19–20.

- Mankinen, E.A., Donnelly, J.M., and Grommé, C.S., 1978. Geomagnetic polarity event recorded at 1.1. m.y. B.P. on Cobb Mountain, Clear Lake volcanic field, California. *Geology*, 6:653–656.
- Mankinen, E.A., Prevot, M., Grommé, C.S., and Coe, R.S., 1985. The Steens Mountain geomagnetic polarity transition. I. Directional history, duration of episodes, and rock magnetism. J. Geophys. Res., 90:10379–10417.
- Merrill, R.T., and McElhinny, M.W., 1983. The Earth's Magnetic Field: Its History, Origin, and Planetary Perspective: London (Academic Press).
- Parson, L., Hawkins, J., Allan, J., et al., 1992. Proc. ODP, Init. Repts., 135: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).
- Prevot, M., Mankinen, E.A., Coe, R.S., and Grommé, C.S., 1985. The Steens Mountain (Oregon) geomagnetic polarity transition. 2. Field intensity variations and discussion of reversal models. J. Geophys. Res., 90:10417– 10448.
- Rea, D.K., and Blakely, R.J., 1975. Short-wavelength magnetic anomalies in a region of rapid seafloor spreading. *Nature*, 255:125–128.
- Thouveny, N., and Creer, K.M., 1992. Geomagnetic excursions in the past 60 ka: ephemeral secular variation features. *Geology*, 20:399–402.
- Tric, E., Laj, C., Valet, J.-P., Tucholka, P., Paterne, M., and Guichard, F., 1991. The Blake geomagnetic event: transition geometry, dynamical characteristics and geomagnetic significance. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 102:1–13.
- Tric, E., Valet, J.-P., Tucholka, P., Paterne, M., Labeyrie, L., Guichard, F., Tauxe, L., and Fontugne, M., 1992. Paleointensity of the geomagnetic field during the last 80,000 years. J. Geophys. Res., 97:9337–9351.
- Valet, J.-P., Tucholka, P., Courtillot, V., and Meynadier, L., 1992. Palaeomagnetic constraints on the geometry of the geomagnetic field during reversals. *Nature*, 356:400–407.
- Verosub, K.L., 1982. Geomagnetic excursions: a critical assessment of the evidence as recorded in sediment of the Brunhes epoch. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London A*, 306:161–168.
- Wilson, R.L., 1970. Permanent aspects of the Earth's non-dipole magnetic field over Upper Tertiary times. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 19:417–437.

Date of initial receipt: 13 July 1992 Date of acceptance: 25 March 1993 Ms 135SR-122

#### Cobb Mountain (Section C2)



Figure 8. Rock-magnetic properties vs. depth of samples from Section C2 (CM Event at 99–130 cm; cf. Table 1). Q = J(NRM)/susceptibility;  $Q_{10} = J_{10}$ /susceptibility;  $J_{10}$  is the remanent magnetization intensity after AF demagnetization at 10 mT; the normalized  $J_{10}$ /SIRM ratio is taken as a measure of the relative paleointensity of the geomagnetic field (bold line is a 3-point moving average). Units are given in Table 3.



Figure 9. Orthogonal plots on the left, stereograms at center (solid/open symbols indicate positive/negative inclinations), and intensity decay curves on the right (scale in mT) for AF demagnetization of three characteristic nannofossil ooze samples from Section C2. NRM intensities are given in Table 3.



Figure 10. Saturation isothermal remanent magnetization (SIRM) acquisition curves for typical nannofossil ooze samples from Section C2.



Figure 11. Declination and inclination records of Section C2 after AF demagnetization at 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT. Bold line is the average of the four levels. The end of Section C2 occurs at 99 cm in the declination plot, but at 98 cm in inclination plot (dashed line).



Figure 12. Smoothed declination and inclination of Section C2. Open symbols = average (at 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT; cf. Table 3) for each sample (the bold line in Fig. 11). Solid curve = running mean through a window with weights  $\frac{1}{2} + 1 + 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2}$ .



Cobb Mountain (Section C3)

Figure 13. Rock-magnetic properties vs. depth of samples from Section C3 (CM Event at 51–79 cm; cf. Table 1). Q = J(NRM)/susceptibility;  $Q_{10} = J_{10}$ /susceptibility;  $J_{10}$  is the remanent magnetization intensity after AF demagnetization in 10 mT; the normalized  $J_{10}$ /SIRM ratio is taken as a measure of the paleointensity of the geomagnetic field (bold line is a 3-point moving average). Units are quoted in Table 4.



Figure 14. Orthogonal plots on the left, stereograms at center (solid/open symbol indicates positive/negative inclination), and intensity decay curves on the right (scale in mT) for AF demagnetization of three characteristic nannofossil ooze samples from Section C3. NRM intensities are given in Table 4.



Figure 15. Saturation isothermal remanent magnetization (SIRM) acquisition curves for typical nannofossil ooze samples from Section C3.



Figure 16. Declination and inclination records of Section C3 after AF demagnetization at 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT. Bold line is the average of the four levels. The end of Section C3 occurs at 51 cm in the declination plot, but at 47 cm in the inclination plot (dashed line).



Figure 17. Smoothed declination and inclination of Section C3. Open symbols = average (at 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT; cf. Table 4) for each sample (bold line in Figure 16). Solid curve = running mean through a window with weights  $\frac{1}{2} + 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2}$ .



Figure 18. Apparent pole positions during the Cobb Mountain Event, Section C1 (sinusoidal equal-area projection). The poles shown are calculated from the running mean values in Figure 7. The site position is shown with a solid circle, and the two transitions are labeled old (R to N) and young (N to R), respectively.

COBB MOUNTAIN GEOMAGNETIC POLARITY EVENT



Figure 19. Apparent pole positions during the Cobb Mountain Event, Section C2 (sinusoidal equal-area projection). The poles shown are calculated from the running mean values in Figure 12. The site position is shown with a solid circle, and the two transitions are labeled old (R to N) and young (N to R), respectively.



Figure 20. Apparent pole positions during the Cobb Mountain Event, Section C3 (sinusoidal equal-area projection). The poles shown are calculated from the running mean values in Figure 17. The site position is shown with a solid circle, and the two transitions are labeled old (R to N) and young (N to R), respectively.