46. DATA REPORT: ROCK MAGNETIC PROPERTIES OF HYDROTHERMALLY ALTERED SEDIMENTS FROM MIDDLE VALLEY¹

H. Oda² and U. Körner³

ABSTRACT

Rock magnetic studies on sediment samples from Ocean Drilling Program Sites 855 and 857, Middle Valley, Juan de Fuca Ridge, were carried out during Leg 139. Results of natural remanent magnetization intensity and susceptibility show that the concentration of magnetic minerals is high. The thermomagnetic analyses and the thermal demagnetization of composite isothermal remanent magnetizations (Lowrie, 1990) were also conducted.

INTRODUCTION

Magnetic minerals in sediments change their magnetic properties through diagenesis and alteration after sedimentation (King and Channell, 1991). In anoxic conditions, magnetic minerals such as titanomagnetite or magnetite tend to be dissolved with increasing depth. There have been several studies of dissolution of magnetic minerals in anoxic sediments from continental margins (Karlin, 1990; Channell and Hawthorne, 1990; Torii et al., 1992).

Leg 139 operated in Middle Valley, northern Juan de Fuca Ridge, to investigate hydrothermal processes and products at a sedimentcovered seafloor spreading axis. Middle Valley is presently an active hydrothermal area providing a good opportunity to investigate the effects of pervasive hydrothermal alteration on magnetic minerals in sediments.

Rock magnetic studies were conducted on paleomagnetic samples collected during the cruise. We measured natural remanent magnetizations, susceptibilities, and hysteresis parameters. We also conducted thermomagnetic analyses and thermal demagnetizations of composite isothermal remanent magnetizations (IRMs) (Lowrie, 1990).

SAMPLES

During Leg 139, holes were drilled at four sites to characterize distinct hydrologic environments within the sedimented rift valley (Fig. 1). Sediments were mainly terrigenous or hemipelagic turbidites. Oriented paleomagnetic cube samples $(2.1 \times 2.1 \times 1.3 \text{ cm}^3)$ were taken from silty to clayey parts of the sediment cores at Sites 855 and 857.

Samples from Site 857 were used exclusively for this rock magnetic study, because this site was drilled over a hydrothermal reservoir (Davis, Mottl, Fisher, et al., 1992) and recovered a continuous sedimentary section of about 470 m. Site 857 is located 5.2 km west of the normal fault scarp that forms the eastern boundary of Middle Valley, and about 1.5 km east of the sediment-buried fault that forms the current structural boundary of the central part of the rift (Fig. 1). Four holes were drilled at Site 857 and the sediment samples for paleomagnetic measurements were collected from Holes 857A and 857C. Hole 857A was drilled by advanced piston core (APC) coring down to 85 mbsf, and continued with extended core barrel (XCB) coring to 111 mbsf, Hole 857C was drilled by rotary core barrel (RCB) coring to 561 mbsf, and at 471 mbsf an altered basaltic sill was encountered. Estimated temperatures in the hole gradually increased



Figure 1. Location map for sites drilled in Middle Valley (Davis, Mottl, Fisher, et al., 1992).

with depth to 200°C at 450 mbsf. Sediment samples show an increase in the degree of alteration and silicification with increasing depth (Davis, Mottl, Fisher, et al., 1992).

Because Site 855 revealed less alteration than the others, it was a reference site for Leg 139. It was drilled just inside the scarp of the normal fault bounding the sedimented rift valley. All samples collected for paleomagnetic studies from Site 855 were slightly altered clay to silt, with a dark greenish grey color. Due to RCB coring, the

¹ Mottl, M.J., Davis, E.E., Fisher, A.T., and Slack, J.F. (Eds.), 1994. Proc. ODP, Sci. Results, 139: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).

² Department of Geology and Mineralogy, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-1, Japan. ³ Institut für Allgemeine und Angewandte Geophysik, Theresienstrasse 41/IV D-80333, München, Germany.



Figure 2. Zijderveld plot of progressive AF demagnetization results for two samples from Site 857. A. 139-857A-2H3, 115–117 cm. B. 139-857C-32R2, 32–34 cm. Open circles represent the vertical component of magnetization of the samples; solid circles represent the horizontal component.

unconsolidated sedimentary samples drilled at Site 855 were thoroughly disturbed; thus no reliable primary component of remanent magnetization could be obtained from these samples.

METHODS

Progressive alternating field demagnetization (PAFD) was conducted on the sediment samples to determine primary components of the remanent magnetization. Natural remanent magnetization (NRM) of the sediments was measured using a MOLSPIN portable rock magnetometer on board the *Resolution*, a cryogenic magnetometer at Kyoto University (SCT C112), and a LETI cryogenic magnetometer at Universität München. Alternating field demagnetization (AFD) was conducted using a Schonstedt AF demagnetizer (model 2G600) aboard the *Resolution*, a homemade demagnetizer with a 3-axis tumbling device at Kyoto University, and a 2G demagnetizer at Universität München. Initial susceptibility was measured with a Bartington's susceptibility meter (model M.S. 2) in the low frequency mode (0.46 k Hz).

Isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) was produced using a homemade solenoid coil and electromagnet at Kyoto University, and was measured with a spinner magnetometer (Schonstedt SSM-1A). Progressive thermal demagnetization of composite IRMs was conducted on 12 selected samples, using the method of Lowrie (1990). We produced IRMs by applying a field of 1.3 T, 0.4 T, and 0.12 T in the Z, Y, and X axes, successively. After acquisition of IRMs, the samples were subjected to progressive thermal demagnetization, and the remanent magnetization was measured with a spinner magnetometer. This procedure reveals an unblocking spectrum of three different ranges of coercivity (i.e., 0–0.12 T, 0.12–0.4 T, and 0.4–1.3 T) and was helpful in identifying magnetic minerals in the samples. Thermomagnetic measurements were made with a horizontal Curie balance at Kyoto University by heating magnetic extracts from the sediment samples in Ar gas or in air with an applied magnetic field of 0.85 T.

Hysteresis loops were obtained using a Princeton vibrating sample magnetometer at National Institute of Polar Research in Tokyo. Hysteresis parameters (Js, Jrs/Js, Hc, and Hcr/Hc) were calculated from the hysteresis loops obtained for each samples.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

NRM and Susceptibility of Sediments

NRM intensities, stable inclinations, volume magnetic susceptibilities and hysteresis parameters (Js, Jrs/Js, Hc, and Hcr/Hc) of the samples from Sites 855 and 857 are listed in Table 1. As Site 855 was drilled by RCB coring and the sediment was thoroughly disturbed, paleomagnetic directions of this site might be a drilling-induced remanence. Thus, NRMs from Site 855 are not reliable in spite of stable and strong remanences.

Figure 2 shows results of progressive AFD experiments on the samples from Site 857. Stable directions were calculated using a least-squares linear fit (Kirschvink, 1980). The secondary component of the samples, which is possibly of drilling-induced origin, increases its coercivity as depth increases (Fig. 2B). Mean stable inclination for Site 857 was calculated as $56 \pm 12^{\circ}$ by averaging stable inclinations from Holes 857A and 857C. This average inclination value is consistent with inclination expected for the axial dipole field (66°) at the site latitude (48.5°N). However, we cannot conclude that these stable components of magnetization were recorded at the time of deposition.

Stable inclinations, NRM intensities, and volume magnetic susceptibilities measured for the samples from Site 857 are plotted vs. depth in Figure 3. Downcore variation of NRM intensity shows an abrupt drop at around 200–250 mbsf from 100 mA/m to 1 mA/m. Volume magnetic susceptibility also shows an abrupt drop at this depth from 2×10^{-3} SI to 3×10^{-4} SI.

Table 1. Magnetic	properties,	Sites 855 and 85	7.
-------------------	-------------	------------------	----

Core, section.	NRM Depth intensity		Stable Volume		Js		Нс		Type of composite IRM
interval (cm) (mb	(mbsf)	(mbsf) (A/m)	(degrees)	(SI)	(Am²/kg)	Jrs/Js	(mT)	Hcr/Hc	experiment
139-855C-									
1R-3, 60-62	3.60	5.52E-02		2.045.02					٨
1R-4, 20–28 1R-4, 117–119	5.67	4.50E-02		2.04E-03	2.41E-01	0.17	14.7	2.7	Λ
2R-3, 62-64	12.32	4.83E-02			5 005 01	0.00	7.0	2.0	
2R-4, 120–122 3R-5, 34–36	14.40				5.03E-01 2.14E-01	0.08	7.8	3.9	
4R-1, 62-64	27.72	6.37E-02			2.1.42.01	0.12		210	
4R-1, 117–119 4R-1, 25, 27	28.27	3.79E-02		7 855 04	0 275 02	0.15	12.2	3.0	Α
6R-1, 105–107	47.55	6.19E-02		1.76E-03	9.27E-02	0.15	12.2	5.0	
6R-2, 138-140	49.38				2.28E-01	0.11	10.3	3.1	
7R-6, 140–142	65.00				1.83E-01	0.09	10.0	3.3	
10R-3, 30-32	88.20	3.14E-02		1.52E-03	1.25E-01	0.14	13.5	3.0	A
11R-1, 80–82	95.40				5.34E-01	0.07	8.1	3.1	
139-857A- 1H-1 128-130	3.16	3 35E-02	53	2 33E-03					
1H-4, 26–28	6.66	7.00E-02	70	1.60E-03	1.93E-01	0.13	11.5	3.1	A
1H-5, 110-112	8.86	4.27E-02	59	1.45E-03					
2H-3, 35-37 2H-3, 115-117	14.75	9.10E-02 1.27E-01	63	2.09E-03	2.29E-01	0.10	10.1	3.5	
4H-1, 95-97	22.85	2.90E-03	66	2.60E-04					
4H-2, 42-44 4H-2, 102-104	23.82	1.50E-02 3.69E-02	54	6.96E-04 1.34E-03	8.05E-02	0.09	7.5	4.0	
4H-7, 4-6	30.23	1.97E-02	46	8.13E-04					
5H-3, 100-102	35.15	1.60E-02	81	7.72E-04	7 24E 02	0.13	07	33	
5H-6, 111–113	39.50	9.86E-02	74	1.33E-03	7.24E-02	0.15	9.7	5.0	
6H-3, 20-22	44.10	9.20E-02	60	1.31E-03	1.23E-01	0.10	9.5	3.4	
7H-2, 77–79 7H-3, 58–60	52.80	4.55E-01 2.01E-01	50 47	2.43E-03	2.18E-01	0.12	11.5	5.1	
8H-4, 127-129	65.67	1.17E-01	65	1.81E-03					
8H-4, 130–132 8H-5 8–10	65.70	6.10E-02 1.38E-01	60 57	2.00E-03	1 59F-01	0.12	10.9	3.0	
9H-4, 56-58	74.46	9.80E-02	62	4.86E-03	4.05E-01	0.09	8.9	3.4	A
10H-3, 31-33	82.21	4.00E-02	54	3.14E-03	2.46E-01	0.11	9.8	3.2	
13X-3, 130-132	102.77	7.30E-01	65	1.71E-03	1.00E-01	0.09	2.0	5.4	
13X-5, 81-83	108.31	5.06E-02	67	1.98E-03	1.72E-01	0.08	8.2	3.5	
139-857C-	200								
6R-2, 11–13 10R-1 45–47	87.81	5.20E-02 3.66E-02	26 83	1.96E-03 7.45E-04	2.16E-01 5.68E-02	0.10	9.7	3.4	
12R-2, 80-82	145.80	1.67E-01	29	2.09E-03	2.00E-01	0.08	8.2	3.7	
14R-2, 125-127	165.55	7.50E-01	47	6.04E-03	3.29E-01	0.06	6.4	4.0	
19R-1, 126–128	212.56	2.00E-02	64	6.15E-04	3.28E-02	0.04	3.6	3.4	A
21R-1, 23-25	230.83	1.55E-01	55	2.12E-03	1.61E-01	0.05	5.2	4.4	A
21R-2, 35-37 24R-1, 12-14	232.45	2.00E-02 5.00E-04	40	1.66E-03 3.22E-04					
24R-1, 103-105	260.23	7.15E-04	51	2.92E-04	1.32E-02	0.21	10.7	2.9	
25R-1, 55-57	269.35	4.10E-04	30	3.13E-04	1.005.02	0.34	11.3	27	
26R-1, 41-43	274.91	4.90E-04	57	3.18E-04	1.09E-02	0	11.5	de : 1	
27R-1, 45-47	285.56	4.63E-04	54	3.24E-04					
28R-2, 47-49	296.61	1.13E-03	55	2.92E-04	3.16E-02	0.17	11.0	2.8	В
29R-2, 38-40	305.28	5.60E-04	50	3.21E-04		0.15	10.2	24	
30R-2, 21-23 30R-1, 106-108	314.81	5.76E-03 6.70E-04	42	2.27E-04 2.81E-04	5.64E-02	0.15	10.2	2.4	
31R-1, 107-109	323.77	4.70E-04	62	3.34E-04					
32R-1, 119-121	328.79	6.70E-04	49	3.17E-04	2.61E.02	0.22	13.1	22	
32R-2, 125-127	330.35	4.20E-04	57	3.23E-04	2.011-02	0.22	1.0.1	dia a dia	
33R-1, 96-98	333.34	4.20E-04	57	3.31E-04					
35R-2, 26-28	343.06	4.70E-04 5.10E-04	47	2.13E-04					
36R-1, 136-138	347.46	4.70E-04	51	4.35E-04					D
36R-2, 22-24 37R-1, 108-110	347.82	1.40E-03 6.30E-04	51	2.80E-04 4.00E-04					в
38R-1, 30-32	356.10	1.74E-03	00	2.92E-04	2.08E-02	0.26	11.8	2.2	
38R-2, 44-46 40R-1 67-69	357.74	1.70E-04	57	3.57E-04					
42R-1, 110-112	380.80	2.40E-04	63	3.34E-04				02.575	25.3
42R-2, 112-114	382.32	3.66E-03	32	2.92E-04	8.66E-02	0.14	11.3	2.2	В
45R-1, 18-20	394.48	1.05E-04	73	3.08E-04	4.45E-02	0.22	13.9	2.0	
46R-1, 89-91	399.89	4.00E-04	69	3.41E-04			10000		
48R-1, 10-12 48R-2, 24-26	408.80	3.60E-04 9.00E-04	65 66	3.83E-04 2.59E-04	1.63E-01	0.11	10.7	2.5	
49R-2, 92-94	416.12	1.73E-03	33	3.08E-04	8.40E-02	0.14	11.3	2.3	
50R-2, 15-17	419.95	1.92E-03	37	3.30E-04					В
52R-1, 141-143	429.21	3.14E-03	50	3.07E-04					
53R-1, 60-62	433.40	2.80E-02	0.054	2.60E-04					P
DOK-1 //-/4	440.72	1.74E-03		3.16E-04					D

Curie Temperatures and Unblocking Temperatures

The results of the composite IRM experiments (Lowrie, 1990) on Sites 855 and 857 are plotted in the left column of Figures 4 and 5. Susceptibilities measured just after each heating step were also monitored and are plotted in the right column of Figures 4 and 5. The results can be roughly classified into two types: type A for Site 855 samples and Site 857 samples from seafloor to 250 mbsf, and type B for Site 857 samples below 250 mbsf (Table 1). Soft (0–0.12T) and medium (0.12–0.4T) components of type A samples have two unblocking temperatures of about 300°C and 570°C. Soft (0–0.12T) and medium (0.12–0.4T) components of type B show single unblocking temperature of about 570°C. The susceptibility of type A samples monitored during heating shows abrupt decreases at about 300°C, and those of type B samples show increases at 400°C.

The results of thermomagnetic analysis for magnetic extracts of type A samples indicate that the Curie temperature of the magnetic mineral is about 580°C (Fig. 6). The thermomagnetic curve also shows a kink at 360°C in air and at 450°C in Ar atmosphere.

Hysteresis Parameters

We conducted IRM acquisition experiments on the samples; IRM acquisition curves reached saturation at about 0.2 T (Fig. 7).

Hysteresis parameters were measured on the powder samples of the sediments. The results of hysteresis measurement for Site 855 are plotted in Figure 8. These plots show no systematic variation with depth. Js is about 0.2 Am²/kg, Jrs/Js is about 0.1, Hc is about 10 mT, and Hcr/Hc is about 3.

The hysteresis parameters for Site 857 samples are plotted in Figure 9. Js fluctuates significantly; values are about 0.2 Am^2/kg above 250 mbsf and below this depth drop to less than 0.1 Am^2/kg . Jrs/Js values decrease gradually from 0.1 at sea bottom to 0.05 at 250 mbsf. Jrs/Js abruptly increases to 0.2 at 250 mbsf and remains constant below. Hc is about 9 mT above 200 mbsf, reaches minimum at 200–250 mbsf, and remains constant about 11 mT below 250 mbsf. Hcr/Hc is about 3.5 above 250 mbsf and below 250 mbsf decreases

to about 2.5. It is remarkable that all the hysteresis parameters for Site 857 change at 250 mbsf.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Minoru Funaki for the use of a vibrating sample magnetometer at National Institute of Polar Research in Tokyo. We extend our hearty thanks to M. Torii for reviewing the manuscript.

REFERENCES*

Channell, J.E.T., and Hawthorne, T., 1990. Progressive dissolution of titanomagnetites at ODP Site 653 (Tyrrhenian Sea). *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 96:469–480.

- Davis, E.E., Mottl, M.J., Fisher, A.T., et al., 1992. Proc. ODP, Init. Repts., 139: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).
- Karlin, R., 1990. Magnetite diagenesis in marine sediments from the Oregon continental margin. J. Geophys. Res., 95:4405–4419.
- King, J.W., and Channell, J.E.T., 1991. Sedimentary magnetism, environmental magnetism, and magnetostratigraphy. *Rev. Geophys., Suppl.*, 358–370.
- Kirschvink, J.L., 1980. The least-squares line and plane and analysis of palaeomagnetic data. *Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc.*, 62:699–718.
- Lowrie, W., 1990. Identification of ferromagnetic minerals in a rock by coercivity and unblocking temperature properties. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 17:159–162.
- Torii, M., Hayashida, A., Vigliotti, L., and Wippern, J., 1992. Rock magnetic properties of sediments from Site 797, Japan Sea. *In* Tamaki, K., Suyehiro, K., Allan, J., McWilliams, M., et al., *Proc. ODP, Sci. Results*, 127/128 (Pt. 2): College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 947–957.

* Abbreviations for names of organizations and publications in ODP reference lists follow the style given in *Chemical Abstracts Service Source Index* (published by American Chemical Society).

Date of initial receipt: 22 March 1993 Date of acceptance: 30 July 1993 Ms 139SR-244



Figure 3. Plots of stable inclination, NRM intensity, and volume magnetic susceptibility vs. depth for Site 857. Solid circles and squares represent results from Hole 857A and Hole 857C, respectively.



Figure 4. Composite IRM plots (left column) and susceptibility after each heating step (right column) for Site 855.



Figure 5. Composite IRM plots and susceptibility after each heating step for Site 857. Symbols used are as in Figure 4.



Figure 5 (continued).









Figure 7. IRM acquisition curves for samples indicated.







