# 7. PETROLOGY OF THE GABBRO-TROCTOLITE-PERIDOTITE COMPLEX FROM HESS DEEP, EQUATORIAL PACIFIC: IMPLICATIONS FOR MANTLE-MELT INTERACTION WITHIN THE OCEANIC LITHOSPHERE<sup>1</sup>

Shoji Arai<sup>2</sup> and Kyoko Matsukage<sup>2</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Peridotites and related mafic plutonic rocks from Hess Deep in the equatorial Pacific (ODP Leg 147 Site 895) were examined in order to understand deep magmatic processes prevailing beneath the East Pacific Rise, a fast-spreading ridge system. Mantle harzburgite from Hess Deep is transitional to gabbro via dunite, troctolite, and olivine gabbro, in terms of petrography. The dunite-troctolite-olivine gabbro association can be interpreted as an interaction product between injected and stagnated melt and the harzburgite wall in the shallowest mantle. Troctolite and olivine gabbro are highly heterogeneous, for both their relative mineral ratio and mineral chemistry. Olivine-rich (i.e., plagioclase-poor) troctolite has the most Ti-enriched mineralogy, whereas the plagioclase-poor dunite associated with harzburgite has low-Ti mineralogy; the mineral chemical change possibly depends on the degree of melt stagnation. Chromian spinel is concentrated in some dunite and troctolite; a small chromitite pod was discovered. Primary hydrous minerals, K- and Na-phlogopites and pargasite, are usually present as inclusions in spinel from troctolite and chromitite, as commonly found in spinels from chromitites of both alpine and layered types. This indicates that the primary hydrous minerals can form in the oceanic mantle without slab-derived fluids.

The rocks examined are similar to constituents of the transition zone of some ophiolites, especially the Oman ophiolite, except for the podiform chromitites. The podiform chromitites of the ophiolites usually have high-Cr# (Cr/[Cr + A] atomic ratio, 0.7 to 0.8) spinel, which has not been reported from ocean-floor rocks. The podiform chromitites could have formed in oceanic mantle conditions, but the typical podiform chromitites in ophiolites could not have been derived from the oceanic mantle.

Melt-mantle interaction possibly occurs in the mantle/crust boundary beneath the mid-ocean ridge axis, especially beneath a fast-spreading ridge axis with a high geothermal gradient. The interaction could form a spinel-olivine (Cr#-Fo) compositional trend similar to that for relatively primitive MORB. The interaction between the high-pressure MORB and the wall harzburgite at the shallow upper mantle may contribute to the evolution of the MORB erupted on the ocean floor.

## INTRODUCTION

Deep-sea drilling during Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Leg 147 at Hess Deep, equatorial Pacific, had a two-fold purpose: to collect deep-seated rocks of the oceanic lithosphere formed at a fast-spreading ridge axis (East Pacific Rise) and to collect those exposed at a place other than an oceanic fracture zone. Petrological models for the oceanic lithosphere have been based mainly on rocks recovered from fracture zones of the slow-spreading ridge system, that is, of the Atlantic and Indian Oceans (e.g., Bonatti and Honnorez, 1976). Drilling Hess Deep is a good way to test ophiolite models because the petrological models have been based also on ophiolites (e.g., Nicolas, 1989).

Sinton and Detrick (1992) presented petrological models of "magma chambers" beneath mid-oceanic ridges with both fast- and slow-spreading rates based mainly on seismic tomography data. More recently, Cannat (1993) proposed a petrological model for the oceanic lithosphere from observations on rocks recovered from ocean floors. These models can be examined especially for deep processes by the petrology of the mafic-ultramafic rocks drilled and recovered from Hess Deep. Girardeau and Francheteau (1993) and Hekinian et al. (1993) have discussed the dredged samples. Hebert et al. (1983) and Cannat et al. (1990) reported a similar rock association (harzburgite, plagioclase dunite, troctolite, and olivine gabbro) for dredged rock fragments from the Garret Transform Fault on the East Pacific Rise. The rocks obtained by ocean-floor drilling during Leg 147, however, have an advantage in that they represent much more continuous rock sections that provide for a much better understanding of deep processes in the oceanic lithosphere. In this chapter, the petrological characteristics of ultramafic and related plutonic rocks recovered from Site 895 of Leg 147 (Gillis, Mével, Allan, et al., 1993) are described in order to understand deep magmatic processes that form the oceanic lithosphere underneath the East Pacific Rise, a fast-spreading ridge system.

## GEOLOGICAL AND TECTONIC SETTING

Hess Deep is located close to the Galapagos triple junction between the East Pacific Rise and the Cocos-Nazca propagating rift. Detailed descriptions of the tectonic setting are available from Francheteau et al. (1990) and Gillis, Mével, Allan, et al. (1993). Hess Deep is a kind of failed rift that formed at about 1 Ma at the tip of the propagator. Serpentinite diapirism or other tectonism exposes deep-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Mével, C., Gillis, K.M., Allan, J.F., and Meyer, P.S. (Eds.), 1996. *Proc. ODP, Sci. Results*, 147: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University, Kakumamachi, Kanazawa 920-11, Japan. ultrasa@icews1.ipc.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

seated rocks formed beneath the East Pacific Rise (Francheteau et al., 1990). Dives by the submersible *Nautile* demonstrated that pillow basalt, dolerite, cumulate and noncumulate gabbros, dunite, and harzburgite are exposed there (Francheteau et al., 1990). The dolerite forms a dike complex, and a rock sequence similar to some ophiolites (e.g., Oman ophiolite) has been recognized by Francheteau et al. (1990, 1992). Drill Sites 894 and 895 were selected on an intrarift ridge; Site 894 on the summit and Site 895 on the southern flank of the ridge (Gillis, Mével, Allan, et al., 1993).

## PETROGRAPHY

Six holes, 895A to 895F, were drilled; drilling at Holes 895D and 895E was especially successful because relatively long cores of 20 and 33 m, respectively, were recovered (Gillis, Mével, Allan, et al., 1993). The two cores have contrasting lithologic characteristics; the core from Hole 895D is dominated by harzburgite whereas the core from Hole 895E is dominated by dunite (Fig. 1). The dunite tends to be associated with feldspathic rocks, gabbro, olivine gabbro, and troctolite (Fig. 1), which suggests a similarity to indigenous gabbro or pyroxenite dikes in ophiolites (e.g., Nicolas, 1989; Boudier et al., 1989). The noteworthy abundance of dunite at Hess Deep is similar to the mantle/crust transition zone of ophiolites (e.g., Nicolas and Prinzhofer, 1983; Nicolas, 1989). A similar rock assemblage was recovered from the Garret transform fault at the East Pacific Rise (Hebert et al., 1983; Cannat et al., 1990).

No igneous layered structures were observed in the cored rocks from Site 895. Each lithology is irregularly distributed in the cores (Gillis, Mével, Allan, et al., 1993). Spinel trails or seams were observed in some dunites. Feldspathic plutonic rocks, gabbro, olivine gabbro, and troctolite are highly heterogeneous (Fig. 2), and gabbro is visually transitional to troctolite via olivine gabbro. Troctolite seems to be gradational to dunite and harzburgite via plagioclase dunite (Fig. 2). Harzburgite, on the other hand, appears to be abruptly transitional to plagioclase-free dunite.

A small chromitite pod or a rodlike chromitite was found in dunite from Hole 895E (Fig. 3). According to the shipboard observation by one of the authors (S.A.), a rodlike chromitite, less than 1 cm across, penetrates diagonally the cored dunite. Although small in size, the rodlike concentration of chromian spinel is similar to podiform chromitite in ophiolites (e.g., Thayer, 1964; Cassard et al., 1981; Lago et al., 1982). Some of the dunite reveals dissemination of small euhedral to subhedral chromian spinel. Troctolite typically has abundant anhedral chromian spinel (Fig. 2 and Pl. 1).

Wehrlite was also found, although the shipboard observation could not definitely confirm its presence (Gillis, Mével, Allan, et al., 1993). The possible wehrlite (e.g., Sample 147-895C-3R-1 [Piece 22, 130–133 cm]) resembles harzburgite in hand specimen, but it has appreciable amounts of discrete grains of clinopyroxene instead of orthopyroxene (Pl. 1). This wehrlite is located just above a troctolite layer (Fig. 1A). We have not found orthopyroxene in these rocks in the laboratory.

#### Harzburgite

Harzburgite generally has a weak porphyroclastic to equigranular texture with orthopyroxene porphyroclasts (Pl. 1, Fig. 1). Olivine and orthopyroxene are usually kinked. Some of the olivine is turbid in thin section and full of inclusion trails. Clinopyroxene, which in some places is altered to form tremolitic amphibole, is anhedral and usually associated with orthopyroxene porphyroclasts. Chromian spinel is anhedral (irregularly shaped), some is vermicular, and it is also commonly associated with orthopyroxene porphyroclasts (Pl. 1, Fig. 1). The color of the chromian spinel under a microscope is con-



Figure 1. Schematic lithostratigraphic columns of drilled rocks modified from Gillis, Mével, Allan, et al. (1993). Positions of the samples examined in this study are shown by arrows. **A.** Hole 895C. **B.** Hole 895D. **C.** Hole 895E. Note the close association of dunite with feldspathic plutonic rocks (trocto-lite and gabbros).

sistently brown, which indicates an intermediate Cr# (= Cr/[Cr + Al]) atomic ratio). Petrographically, the Hess Deep harzburgite is similar to that in some ophiolites (e.g., Oman ophiolite).

## Dunite

Dunite is variable in lithology. Plagioclase-free dunite is associated with harzburgite and plagioclase-bearing dunite is transitional to troctolite (Gillis, Mével, Allan, et al., 1993). Olivine in dunites is



Figure 1 (continued).





Figure 1 (continued).



Figure 2. Sketch of a troctolite specimen (Section 147-895C-4R-2, 108–121 cm). Note the heterogeneity and the concentration of spinel near olivine-rich zones.

kinked, although less commonly than in harzburgite, and is usually turbid owing to abundant inclusion trails (Pl. 1, Fig. 2). Olivine in plagioclase-free dunite can be full of lamellar inclusions of some oxide, possibly spinel (Pl. 1, Fig. 3). The mode of occurrence of the lamellar inclusions is similar to that of chromian spinel lamellae in some alpine-type dunites (Arai, 1978). These textural characteristics are similar to those of transitional-zone dunite from some ophiolites. Chromian spinel in dunites is rounded in shape.

## Wehrlite

This rock was originally described as harzburgite in Gillis, Mével, Allan, et al. (1993). The pyroxene grains are highly altered, but all relics are clinopyroxene (Pl. 1, Fig. 4). Orthopyroxene could not be found under the microscope. Despite the abundance of clinopyroxene, the color of spinel (dark brown) does not indicate a lherzolitic (fertile) character and is similar to that of harzburgite spinel. We suspect that all the bastite-like pseudomorphs formed after clinopyroxene. The relic clinopyroxene is relatively coarse and free from deformation (Pl. 1, Fig. 4). Clinopyroxene commonly makes a symplectitic intergrowth with vermicular chromian spinel. Olivine in the wehrlite is full of inclusion trails, which is similar to olivine in dunite and troctolite and different from that in the ordinary harzburgite.

## Chromitite

The minipod of chromitite in dunite is composed of large subhedral grains of chromian spinel, up to 5 mm across (Fig. 3 and Pl. 1, Fig. 5). The chromian spinel grains are characteristically full of minute mineral inclusions (Pl. 1, Fig. 5). The included minerals detected are Na-phlogopite, pargasite (or pargasitic hornblende), orthopyroxene, diopside, jadeite, and albite. It is noteworthy that these minerals are definitely primary because they are intact only where the enclosing spinel is fresh. Where the host spinel is altered or the inclusion is opened by cracks to the outside of the spinel grain, the inclusions are altered to chlorite, serpentine, or other hydrous minerals. The same minerals have been commonly documented as inclusions in chromian spinel of chromitites of both alpine (podiform) and layered types (e.g., Irvine, 1975; Johan et al., 1983; Talkington et al., 1984; Johan, 1986; Augé, 1987; McElduff and Stumpfl, 1991). The petrographic characteristics of the minipod of chromitite from Hess Deep are similar to those in the chromitites (e.g., Talkington et al., 1986; Augé, 1987).



Figure 3. Sketch of the minipod of chromitite in dunite (Sample 147-895E-6R-2 [Piece 2E, 77–80 cm]). The cut surface is diagonal, at  $60^{\circ}$ , to the elongated rodlike pod, which is more complete in the archive half of the core.

## Troctolite

Troctolite is composed of various amounts of olivine and plagioclase with small amounts of clinopyroxene and chromian spinel. The relative proportions of these minerals are highly variable even within a specimen (Fig. 2). Olivine is coarse and rounded in shape. Olivine is commonly turbid in thin section owing to the abundance of inclusion trails. Some of the olivine shows deformation features (Pl. 1, Fig. 6), although plagioclase and clinopyroxene are not deformed. Plagioclase and clinopyroxene areanhedral, and some are interstitial to olivine. Clinopyroxene is usually diallage and poikilitically includes rounded olivine and euhedral plagioclase.

Chromian spinel is usually concentrated along the boundary between the olivine-rich (dunitic) part and the plagioclase- and clinopyroxene-rich (gabbroic) part (Fig. 2). Chromian spinel is rare within the leucocratic gabbroic part (Fig. 2). The morphology of chromian spinel varies depending on the mode of occurrence; chromian spinel is rounded in the olivine-rich part (Pl. 1, Fig. 7) and anhedral in the olivine-poor part (Pl. 1, Fig. 8). Chromian spinel usually has rounded polymineralic inclusions (Pl. 1, Fig. 9), which consist mainly of Kand Na-phlogopites, pargasite (or pargasitic hornblende), diopsidic clinopyroxene, and orthopyroxene. The mode of occurrence of hydrous mineral inclusions in chromian spinel is similar to that in chromian spinel in chromitites (e.g., Talkington et al., 1986).

Orthopyroxene, kaersutite, and rutile were found almost exclusively in plagioclase-poor troctolite or plagioclase-bearing dunite (Pl. 1, Figs. 10 and 11). Some of the orthopyroxene is rimmed by clinopyroxene, which suggests a reaction relation between orthopyroxene and a clinopyroxene-saturated liquid (Pl. 1, Fig. 10). Orthopyroxene, highly anhedral, is also present in olivine-rich clots in plagioclaserich troctolite. Kaersutite is associated with rutile (Pl. 1, Fig. 12).

## **Olivine Gabbro**

Olivine gabbro is usually highly altered, and its primary petrographical characteristics can be difficult to discern. Olivine gabbro is usually very coarse grained and is also highly heterogenous; some irregularly shaped, olivine-rich (dunitic) patches are included in the leucocratic plagioclase- and clinopyroxene-rich part. Olivine gabbro may be the olivine-poor equivalent to the troctolite. The olivine in olivine gabbro is similar in appearance to that in troctolite. Chromian spinel is disseminated in and around some of the olivine-rich part. Some of the plagioclase is euhedral and it is usually poikilitically enclosed by clinopyroxene. Clinopyroxene is coarse and anhedral, usually poikilitically enclosing rounded olivine and euhedral plagioclase (Pl. 1, Fig. 12). Small rounded chromian spinel is included in some clinopyroxene.

	147-895B-1R-1 (Piece 5, 25-28 cm)													
Olivine gabbro	oll	ol2	cpx1-2 (rim)	cpx1-4 (core)	cpx1-5 (rim)	cpx2	sp1	sp2	sp3	sp4	sp5	sp6	sp7	
SiO <sub>2</sub>	41.62	41.43	51.75	53.03	52.83	52.41	0.48	0.32	0.44	0.55	0.37	0.47	0.56	
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.12	0.03	0.16	0.28	0.44	0.49	1.15	1.65	1.88	1.43	2.57	2.84	2.38	
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.16	0.03	3.68	3.18	3.29	3.27	20.94	18.40	18.35	19.59	16.94	12.80	18.57	
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	0.13	0.11	1.53	1.58	1.50	1.38	39.40	41.96	35.17	35.89	38.72	38.99	36.27	
FeO*	11.76	11.83	3.16	3.71	3.92	3.77	27.31	29.49	37.40	35.10	33.59	38.49	36.94	
MnO	0.22	0.20	0.05	0.12	0.09	0.15	0.54	0.57	0.63	0.52	0.46	0.49	0.60	
MgO	48.71	48.04	17.42	18.08	17.01	16 37	9.01	8.21	6.52	6.38	7.61	5.72	6.38	
CaO	0.13	0.12	21 59	21.26	21 59	22 67	0.28	0.14	0.19	0.36	0.18	0.19	0.11	
Na.O	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
K.O	0.04	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.00	
P.O.	0.19	0.10	0.28	0.05	0.20	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.08	
NiO	0.24	0.30	0.02	0.15	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.34	0.08	0.19	0.25	0.33	
Total	103.32	102.24	99.77	101.44	101.07	100.8	99.31	100.88	101.03	99.93	100.7	100.32	102.22	
0	4	4	6	6	6	6	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
Si	0.995	1.002	1.886	1.903	1.904	1.901	0.015	0.010	0.014	0.018	0.012	0.016	0.01	
Ti	0.002	0.000	0.004	0.007	0.012	0.013	0.028	0.040	0.047	0.035	0.064	0.073	0.05	
Al	0.004	0.000	0.158	0.134	0.139	0.139	0.798	0.704	0.720	0.768	0.661	0.521	0.71	
Cr	0.002	0.002	0.044	0.044	0.042	0.039	1.007	1.077	0.925	0.943	1.014	1.064	0.93	
Fe*	0.235	0.239	0.096	0.111	0.118	0.114	0.738	0.801	1.041	0.976	0.931	1.112	1.01	
Mn	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.014	0.015	0.018	0.014	0.013	0.014	0.01	
Mg	1.735	1.731	0.946	0.966	0.913	0.884	0.434	0.397	0.323	0.316	0.375	0.294	0.31	
Ca	0.003	0.003	0.842	0.817	0.833	0.880	0.009	0.005	0.007	0.013	0.006	0.007	0.00	
Na	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00	
K	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.00	
P	0.004	0.002	0.008	0.001	0.008	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.00	
Ni	0.004	0.005	0.000	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.009	0.002	0.005	0.007	0.00	
Total	2.993	2.993	4.000	3.996	3.979	3.987	3.054	3.057	3.111	3.090	3.087	3.115	3.09	
Fe <sup>2*</sup>	0.235	0.239	0.096	0.111	0.118	0.114	0.540	0.569	0.649	0.662	0.576	0.654	0.64	
Fe <sup>3+</sup>							0.142	0.152	0.298	0.244	0.227	0.312	0.25	
Mg#	0.881	0.879	0.908	0.897	0.886	0.886	0.446	0.411	0.332	0.323	0.394	0.310	0.32	
Cr/(Cr + AI) Ca/(Ca + Na)							0.558	0.605	0.562	0.551	0.605	0.671	0.56	
$Fe^{3+}/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$							0.073	0.078	0.154	0.125	0119	0 164	0.13	
$\Delta I/(C_r + \Lambda I + E_0^{3+})$							0.075	0.078	0.370	0.303	0.348	0.275	0.13	
C (C + AI + Fe')							0.410	0.504	0.370	0.393	0.540	0.215	0.57	

Table 1. Selected microprobe analyses of minerals in olivine gabbro, troctolite, dunite, wehrlite, harzburgite, and the chromite pod from Site 895, Hess Deep.

			147-895	D-7R-1 (I	Piece 6, 36	-40 cm)			147-895	D-7R-1 (Pi	ece 16, 99	–101 cm)
Olivine gabbro	sp1	sp2	sp3	sp4	sp5	cpx1-1 (core)	cpx1-2 (core-rim)	cpx1-3 (rim)	cpx2-1	cpx2-4	plagl	oll
SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.76	0.54	0.45	0.41	0.47	51.51	51.40	52.72	51.26	51.86	48.10	41.93
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.63	0.61	0.80	0.89	0.74	0.30	0.34	0.40	0.34	1.15	0.02	0.07
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	26.25	24.17	22.89	20.74	18.47	4.22	4.03	3.19	4.06	3.13	32.82	0.53
$Cr_2O_3$	37.84	40.52	40.78	41.81	44.58	1.04	0.83	0.88	1.47	0.49	0.04	0.08
FeO*	21.70	20.73	23.05	25.76	26.53	3.55	4.05	4.47	3.51	3.79	0.34	10.21
MnO	0.49	0.53	0.70	0.62	0.62	0.20	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.01	0.16
MgO	11.35	12.14	11.16	8.66	8.07	17.61	17.13	16.49	16.36	16.69	0.00	48.09
CaO	0.24	0.32	0.16	0.22	0.26	20.78	20.73	21.26	22.13	22.43	16.80	0.10
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.45	0.00
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.05
$P_2O_5$	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.09	0.24	0.14	0.03	0.17	0.13	0.12	0.12
NiO	0.23	0.15	0.05	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.22	0.02	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.31
Total	99.50	99.71	100.14	99.26	99.95	99.56	99.07	99.67	99.69	100.00	99.84	101.65
0	4	4	4	4	4	6	6	6	6	6	8	4
Si	0.023	0.016	0.014	0.013	0.015	1.879	1.889	1.928	1.879	1.895	2.207	1.010
Ti	0.014	0.013	0.018	0.021	0.018	0.008	0.009	0.011	0.009	0.031	0.000	0.001
AI	0.954	0.882	0.844	0.790	0.708	0.181	0.174	0.137	0.175	0.135	1.774	0.015
Cr	0.922	0.992	1.008	1.068	1.147	0.030	0.024	0.025	0.042	0.014	0.001	0.001
Fe*	0.559	0.536	0.603	0.696	0.722	0.108	0.124	0.136	0.107	0.116	0.013	0.205
Mn	0.013	0.014	0.018	0.016	0.017	0.006	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.000	0.003
Mg	0.522	0.560	0.520	0.417	0.391	0.957	0.938	0.898	0.893	0.909	0.000	1.726
Ca	0.007	0.010	0.005	0.007	0.009	0.812	0.816	0.832	0.896	0.878	0.825	0.002
Na	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.129	0.000
K	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001
Р	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.007	0.004	0.001	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.002
Ni	0.005	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.006	0.000	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.006
Total	3.023	3.031	3.038	3.036	3.034	3.995	3.994	3.978	3.994	3.993	4.961	2.976
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.454	0.421	0.460	0.559	0.586	0.108	0.124	0.136	0.107	0.116		0.205
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.077	0.089	0.107	0.095	0.100							
Mg#	0.535	0.571	0.531	0.427	0.400	0.899	0.883	0.868	0.893	0.887		0.894
Cr/(Cr + Al)	0.491	0.529	0.544	0.575	0.618							
Ca/(Ca + Na)		10.542.05.542			0.000.000						0.865	
$Fe^{3+}/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$	0.039	0.045	0.055	0.048	0.051							
$Al/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$	0.489	0.449	0.431	0.405	0.362							
$Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3*})$	0.472	0.505	0.514	0.547	0.587							

rable r (continucu).	Tal	ble 1	(con	tinued).
----------------------	-----	-------	------	----------

	147-8951	D-7R-1 (Pi (conti	ece 16, 99 nued)	-101 cm)	147-895	5E-4R-1 (P	iece 5, 24	-26 cm)	14	7-895E-5I	R-1 (Piece	9, 45–47 c	m)
Olivine gabbro	sp1	sp2	plagl	plag2	oll	sp1	sp2	plag l	oll	spl	sp2	cpx1	plagl
SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.36	0.26	47.54	47.35	41.73	0.14	0.24	46.51	40.95	0.27	0.255	1.68	47.32
TiO <sub>2</sub>	2.05	0.45	0.05	0.09	0.11	0.87	0.40	0.14	0.01	0.73	1.42	0.39	0.07
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	20.30	32.46	32.81	33.24	0.21	22.73	28.42	33.34	0.37	26.38	17.80	4.56	33.81
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	42.77	34.59	0.05	0.14	0.00	40.22	36.90	0.02	0.08	36.79	40.84	1.43	0.15
FeO*	21.83	16.01	0.30	0.27	10.59	23.54	18.46	0.76	13.54	22.44	30.53	3.81	0.20
MnO	0.21	0.00	0.08	0.02	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.02	0.35	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.04
MgO	11.56	15.49	0.00	0.00	47.15	11.41	13.80	0.34	45.79	12.75	8.37	17.0	30.00
CaO	0.18	0.23	17.19	17.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	17.88	0.18	0.13	0.17	21.08	17.54
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.65	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	1.13
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.08	0.00	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.01	0.02
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.00	0.08	0.19	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.16	0.04
NiO	0.14	0.01	0.07	0.09	0.29	0.16	0.13	0.05	0.22	0.09	0.09	0.02	0.00
Total	99.43	99.62	99.32	100.08	100.69	99.46	98.54	100.05	101.54	99.96	99.78	100.45	100.32
0	4	4	8	8	4	4	4	8	4	4	4	6	8
Si	0.011	0.007	2.192	2.173	1.018	0.004	0.007	2.144	1.005	0.008	0.008	1.873	2.164
Ti	0.048	0.010	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.020	0.009	0.004	0.000	0.0	160.03	50.01	00.002
Al	0.757	1.120	1.783	1.797	0.006	0.846	1.019	1.811	0.010	0.95	40.69	30.19	51.822
Cr	1.069	0.800	0.001	0.005	0.000	1.004	0.887	0.000	0.001	0.892	1.066	0.0411	0.005
Fe*	0.577	0.392	0.011	0.010	0.216	0.622	0.469	0.029	0.277	0.575	0.843	0.115	0.007
Mn	0.005	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.000	0.001
Mg	0.545	0.676	0.000	0.000	1.714	0.537	0.626	0.023	1.674	0.582	0.412	0.919	0.000
Ca	0.006	0.007	0.849	0.841	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.883	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.818	0.859
Na	0.000	0.000	0.090	0.146	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.080	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.100
K	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.001
P	0.000	0.002	0.007	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.005	0.001
Ni	0.003	0.000	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000
Total	3.027	3.019	4.947	4.988	2.983	3.051	3.028	4.976	2.988	3.047	3.078	3.992	4.967
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.406	0.313			0.216	0.453	0.368		0.277	0.408	0.569	0.115	
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.075	0.059				0.129	0.083			0.135	0.204		
Mg#	0.573	0.683			0.888	0.543	0.630		0.858	0.588	0.420	0.889	
Cr/(Cr + Al)	0.585	0.417	0.904	0.852		0.543	0.465			0.483	0.606		
Ca/(Ca + Na)								0.917					0.896
Fe3+/(Cr + Al + Fe3+)	0.040	0.030				0.065	0.042	2007 C. C. C.		0.068	0.104		1947992-1920
$Al/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$	0.398	0.566				0.427	0.512			0.482	0.353		
$Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$	0.562	0.404				0.507	0.446			0.450	0.543		

### MINERAL CHEMISTRY

Minerals were analyzed by an Akashi Alpha30 SEM-EDAX system with an energy-dispersive spectrometer at Kanazawa University. The detection limit is approximately 0.1 wt% for major oxides except for Na<sub>2</sub>O, for which the detection limit is approximately 0.3 wt%. The reproducibility of the data is sufficiently good for major elements. The Mg# (= Mg/[Mg + total iron] atomic ratio) of silicates and the Cr# were determined with special care; these ratios are reproducible to about  $\pm 0.2\%$  and about  $\pm 0.8\%$ , respectively, on repeated runs. Selected analyses are listed in Tables 1–4. The mineral chemistry for the discrete minerals is described in the following sections. The mineral inclusions in chromian spinel are described separately. The cation ratios of spinel were calculated assuming spinel stoichiometry after subtracting all Ti as ulvospinel molecules (Fe<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub>).

## Olivine

The olivine chemistry varies depending on lithology from  $Fo_{91}$  in harzburgite through dunite, wehrlite, and troctolite to  $Fo_{86}$  in olivine gabbro (Fig. 4). In troctolitic rocks olivine tends to be less magnesian in plagioclase-poor rocks (or parts) than in plagioclase-rich ones (or parts). For example, olivine in one plagioclase-poor troctolite (Sample 147-895C-4R-2 [Piece 6B, 110–114 cm]), which has orthopyroxene, rutile, and Ti-rich spinel, has the lowest Fo value of 88 (Fig. 4).

## Orthopyroxene

Orthopyroxene in harzburgite is magnesian with a Mg# of about 0.91 (Table 3). Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> contents are 2 to 3 wt% and 0.8 to 1.0 wt%, respectively. Orthopyroxene in plagioclase-poor troctolite is less magnesian, with a Mg# from 0.89 to 0.91 (mostly about 0.89).

 $Al_2O_3$  and  $Cr_2O_3$  contents are 2 to 3 wt% and 0.6 to 0.7 wt%, respectively (Table 3).

## Clinopyroxene

The Mg# of clinopyroxene varies partly owing to subsolidus Mg-Fe redistribution, depending on the relative amount of clinopyroxene (e.g., Arai et al., 1988). Clinopyroxenes from harzburgite and wehrlite have the highest Mg# (Fig. 5). TiO2 contents in clinopyroxene are highly variable from almost none (harzburgite) to 2 wt% (troctolite) (Fig. 5). The TiO<sub>2</sub> content of clinopyroxene demonstrates an almost parallel variation with that in chromian spinel, as shown later. It is noteworthy that the clinopyroxene in olivine gabbro has a lower Ti content than clinopyroxene in troctolite has (Fig. 5). As for chromian spinel, clinopyroxene in the olivine-rich troctolite, which has orthopyroxene and rutile, tends to have a higher TiO<sub>2</sub> content than in the olivine-poor troctolites (Fig. 5). Clinopyroxene in troctolite also shows an intragrain chemical heterogeneity for Ti; the rim, especially the peripheral part of strongly anhedral crystals, is distinctly higher in Ti than the core (Fig. 5). The chemical zoning is, however, more complicated in the texturally heterogeneous troctolite; a compositional gradient can be detected sporadically in a large crystal, from a rim in contact with a plagioclase-rich pool (TiO<sub>2</sub> = 0.30 wt%; Mg# = (0.89) to another rim in contact with an olivine-rich clot (TiO<sub>2</sub> = 0.72wt%; Mg# = 0.91) via the core (TiO<sub>2</sub> = 0.35 wt%; Mg# = 0.90), which indicates that it crystallized under a strong chemical gradient within the melt (Fig. 5).

Ti-Cr relationships for clinopyroxenes are also noteworthy. The  $Cr_2O_3$  contents of clinopyroxene are remarkably constant, within the range 1.0 to 1.3 wt%, in spite of the wide variation of the TiO<sub>2</sub> content (Fig. 6). This contrasts with an igneous trend, a strong negative correlation between Ti and Cr contents in clinopyroxene, such as that for Bushveld cumulates (e.g., Atkins, 1969).

	147-895C-4R-2 (Piece 1B, 36-41 cm)												
Terratella	oll	ol2	spl	sp2	sp3	sp4	cpx l	cpx2	cpx3	cpx4-1 (core)	cpx4-2	cpx5	cpx6
riocionie				1.7	1.27)		-				27/2 		
SiO <sub>2</sub>	41.04	40.95	0.48	0.41	0.40	0.54	56.91	51.63	50.92	52.08	50.92	50.36	52.40
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.02	0.10	2.04	2.65	2.30	1.77	0.41	1.90	1.72	0.98	1.50	1.15	1.08
$Al_2O_3$	0.13	0.12	17.15	18.86	19.83	19.03	2.88	3.80	3.84	3.16	3.68	3.82	2.88
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.00	0.10	39.05	38.29	36.49	37.21	0.55	1.18	1.22	1.06	1.09	1.21	1.05
FeO*	11.31	12.00	32.33	29.10	30.76	30.86	3.10	3.16	2.90	2.81	3.22	3.92	3.59
MnO	0.06	0.27	0.59	0.58	0.79	0.54	0.06	0.16	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.14	0.21
MgO	47.77	47.86	8.00	10.54	9.57	8.71	22.67	16.20	15.64	16.65	16.33	19.79	16.82
CaO	0.05	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.13	13.21	22.48	22.55	22.05	22.54	19.13	22.10
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
K <sub>2</sub> Ô	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.15	0.09	0.07	0.16	0.09	0.32	0.23	0.13	0.19	0.23	0.02	0.27	0.00
NiO	0.35	0.35	0.31	0.18	0.24	0.31	0.05	0.01	0.06	0.00	0.03	0.21	0.05
Total	100.89	102.02	100.15	100.89	100.60	99.43	100.14	100.65	99.12	99.11	99.40	100.00	100.18
0	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Si	1.002	1.005	0.015	0.013	0.013	0.017	1.992	1.871	1.873	1.906	1.872	1.833	1.908
Ti	0.000	0.001	0.050	0.063	0.056	0.043	0.010	0.051	0.047	0.027	0.041	0.031	0.029
Al	0.003	0.003	0.670	0.713	0.755	0.738	0.119	0.162	0.166	0.136	0.159	0.164	0.124
Cr	0.000	0.001	1.023	0.970	0.931	0.968	0.015	0.033	0.035	0.030	0.031	0.035	0.030
Fe*	0.231	0.241	0.896	0.780	0.831	0.849	0.091	0.095	0.089	0.086	0.099	0.119	0.109
Mn	0.001	0.005	0.016	0.015	0.021	0.015	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.006
Mg	1.739	1.715	0.395	0.504	0.460	0.427	1.182	0.874	0.856	0.908	0.984	1.073	0.913
Ca	0.001	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.495	0.872	0.888	0.864	0.887	0.746	0.862
Na	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
К	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
P	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.007	0.004	0.006	0.007	0.000	0.008	0.000
Ni	0.007	0.006	0.008	0.004	0.006	0.008	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.006	0.001
Total	2.990	2.988	3.084	3.074	3.084	3.079	3.918	3.972	3.968	3.971	3.990	4.022	3.984
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.231	0.241	0.566	0.443	0.495	0.538	0.091	0.095	0.089	0.086	0.099	0.119	0.109
Fe <sup>3+</sup>			0.230	0.211	0.224	0.225							
Mg#	0.883	0.877	0.411	0.532	0.482	0.442	0.929	0.902	0.906	0.913	0.909	0.900	0.893
Cr/(Cr + AI) Ca/(Ca + Na)			0.604	0.576	0.552	0.567							
K/(K + Na)													
$Fe^{3+}/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$			0.119	0.111	0.117	0.116							
$AI/(Cr + A1 + Fe^{3+})$			0.348	0.376	0.395	0.382							
$Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$			0.532	0.512	0.487	0.501							

#### Table 2. Selected microprobe analyses of minerals in troctolite from Site 895, Hess Deep.

## **Chromian Spinel**

The Cr# of chromian spinel is rather constant, from 0.4 to 0.6 and is, as a whole, negatively correlated with the Mg# (Fig. 7). Chromian spinel from the minipod of chromitite has the highest Mg#, possibly because it also has the highest spinel amount (e.g., Jackson, 1969; Arai, 1980). The Fe<sup>3+</sup> content shows a clear positive correlation with TiO<sub>2</sub> content (Fig. 8). The wehrlite spinel has lower values of Mg# than the harzburgite spinel, although the Cr# and TiO2 content are the same in both. The TiO2 content of chromian spinel is highly variable, from almost none to 3 wt%, and has a weak positive correlation with the Cr# for spinel from troctolite and olivine gabbro (Fig. 9). It is especially variable in olivine gabbro and very low, <0.2 wt%, but constant in harzburgite and wehrlite (Fig. 9). In dunite, the TiO<sub>2</sub> content of spinel is relatively constant, from 0.5 to 1 wt% (Fig. 9). The chromian spinel with a sieve texture in the chromitite minipod has about 0.5 wt% TiO2. The TiO2 content of chromian spinel in troctolite correlates well with the mode of occurrence; it is higher in the olivine-rich parts than in the plagioclase-rich parts both within and between samples (Fig. 9). This is concordant with the exclusive occurrence of rutile in a plagioclase-poor troctolite (Pl. 1, Fig. 11). Chromian spinel in the dunite, closely associated with harzburgite, is relatively low in TiO2, at less than 1.2 wt% (Fig. 9). This is a remarkable contrast with the high TiO2 content (about 2 wt%) of spinel in the plagioclase-poor rutile-bearing troctolite, which is the closest to dunite in mode of all troctolitic rocks (Fig. 9).

The Cr# of spinel has a weak negative correlation with the Fo content of coexisting olivine (Fig. 4). Some pairs from olivine gabbro are off the general trend in the Cr#-Fo plane (Fig. 4). It is noteworthy that the overall Cr#-Fo trend for the Hess Deep rocks is almost coincident with that of mid-ocean-ridge basalt (MORB) (Fig. 10; Arai, 1994b).

#### Mineral Inclusions in Chromian Spinel

Both K- and Na-phlogopites in spinel from troctolite are highly Ti-rich, with 5 to 6 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub> (Table 1). The TiO<sub>2</sub> content and the Mg# of pargasite or pargasitic hornblende inclusions are 3 to 5 wt% and 0.87 to 0.90, respectively, in troctolite and 2 to 3 wt% and 0.92 to 0.93, respectively, in the chromitite pod. Diopsidic clinopyroxene inclusions in troctolite spinel have a high Mg#, from 0.90 to 0.91, 1.9 to 2.1 wt% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and 1.0 to 1.3 wt% Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Those in chromitite spinel are more magnesian, with Mg# values ranging from 0.91 to 0.93. They are especially high in Cr2O3, from 1.6 to 2.3 wt%, and relatively high in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, from 2.5 to 3.7 wt%. The TiO<sub>2</sub> content of the diopside inclusions is 0.54 to 1.2 wt% in troctolite and about 0.4 wt% in chromitite. Jadeite is close to an almost pure end member, with very low contents of kosmochlor and diopside molecules (Table 4). Orthopyroxene is relatively low in both Al2O3 and Cr2O3, mostly about 1 wt% and 1.2 to 1.5 wt%, respectively. The Mg# of orthopyroxene is comparable to that of diopside, from 0.92 to 0.93.

#### Plagioclase

Plagioclase in troctolite is commonly highly calcic  $(An_{89-91} \text{ in com-} position)$ , especially in the plagioclase-rich part. It is noteworthy that plagioclases in inclusions in olivine range down to  $An_{79}$  in the same specimen. Plagioclase in olivine gabbro is also highly calcic, mostly

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		147-895	C-4R-2 (Pi (conti	ece 1B, 36 nued)	-41 cm)			-	147-895C	-4R-2 (Piec	e 6B, 110-	-114 cm)			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Troctolite	cpx7	opx	rutile	kaer	ol	sp1	sp2	sp3	sp4	sp5	sp6	sp7	cpx1-1 (core)	cpx1-2 (rim)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SiO.	52.87	55.69	0.21	44 33	41.36	0.40	0.32	0.49	0.65	0.49	0.44	0.39	51.81	51.45
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TiO.	0.73	0.40	07.88	4.84	0.10	1.00	1.61	1.22	1.07	1.54	1.50	1.42	0.39	0.89
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ALO	2.26	2.09	0.07	10.75	0.17	20.40	20.27	21.07	21.35	21.70	10 50	21.97	3.72	3.46
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cr.O.	0.84	0.70	0.96	1 35	0.05	37.87	40.31	30 74	30.80	37.22	40.16	38 74	1 48	1.45
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	EeO*	2.83	6.88	0.47	5.00	10.61	28.16	24.60	23.02	24.64	27.82	26.38	25.51	3 37	3.08
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MaQ	0.09	0.00	0.47	0.05	0.12	20.10	24.09	23.92	0.14	0.04	20.38	0.22	0.15	0.22
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MaQ	16.89	21.54	0.00	16.04	17.52	10.09	11.40	0.13	11.60	10.10	10.62	10.22	16.54	16.03
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CaO	22.40	2.41	0.00	10.94	47.52	0.98	0.22	0.26	0.24	0.14	0.15	0.16	21.94	22.52
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Na O	23.40	2.41	0.04	10.89	0.14	0.20	0.23	0.20	0.24	0.14	0.15	0.10	21.04	0.00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	R <sub>2</sub> O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.04	0.09	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.00
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P205	0.20	0.29	0.20	0.21	0.13	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.11	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NIO Tatal	0.08	0.03	0.09	0.23	0.28	0.11	0.21	0.16	0.16	0.20	0.00	0.19	0.13	0.11
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total	100.18	100.16	99.99	97.60	100.53	100.38	99.32	99.93	99.95	99.42	.99.09	99.47	99.43	99.52
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0		6	2	23	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Si	1.922	1.935	0.002	6.329	1.010	0.013	0.010	0.015	0.020	0.015	0.014	0.012	1.899	1.886
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ti	0.020	0.010	0.981	0.520	0.001	0.047	0.038	0.029	0.025	0.037	0.036	0.034	0.010	0.024
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AL	0.096	0.085	0.001	1.808	0.004	0.767	0.764	0.814	0.794	0.819	0.747	0.823	0.160	0.150
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cr	0.024	0.019	0.010	0.153	0.001	0.955	1.019	0.987	0.993	0.942	1.026	0.974	0.043	0.042
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fe*	0.086	0.199	0.005	0.597	0.216	0.751	0.660	0.629	0.650	0.745	0.713	0.678	0.103	0.094
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mn	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.006	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.006
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mg	0.914	1.632	0.000	3.604	1.729	0.522	0.543	0.560	0.550	0.486	0.512	0.514	0.903	0.875
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ca	0.911	0.090	0.000	1.665	0.003	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.857	0.884
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Na	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.704	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	К	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.073	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.000
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	P	0.006	0.008	0.002	0.026	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.009
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ni	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.027	0.005	0.002	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.000	0.004	0.003	0.003
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total	3.987	3.988	1.006	15.518	2.980	3.075	3.058	3.054	3.056	3.062	3.064	3.055	3.987	3.978
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	E-2+	0.096	0.100	2002.000	0.507	0.216	0.446	0.107	0.417	0.400	0.407	0.462	0.460	0.102	0.004
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fe <sup>-</sup> Fa3t	0.080	0.199		0.597	0.216	0.445	0.427	0.417	0.429	0.487	0.403	0.460	0.105	0.094
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Per Mart	0.014	0.001		0.050	0.000	0.212	0.157	0.154	0.1/1	0.184	0.178	0.150	0.000	0.002
$\begin{array}{c} Cr/(Cr + Al) & 0.555 & 0.572 & 0.548 & 0.556 & 0.535 & 0.579 & 0.542 \\ \hline Ca/(Ca + Na) & & & & \\ K/(K + Na) & & & & \\ \hline Fe^{3+}/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & \\ Al/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & & & \\ \hline Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) & \\$	Mg#	0.914	0.891		0.858	0.889	0.540	0.560	0.573	0.562	0.500	0.525	0.528	0.898	0.903
Ca/(Ca + Na)   0.094 $Fe^{3+}/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$ 0.094 $Fe^{3+}/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$ 0.110   0.081   0.079   0.087   0.095   0.091   0.077 $Al/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$ 0.397   0.394   0.416   0.406   0.421   0.383   0.423     Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})   0.494   0.525   0.507   0.500	Cr/(Cr + AI)						0.555	0.572	0.548	0.556	0.535	0.579	0.542		
$K/(K + Na)$ 0.094 $Fe^{3+}/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$ 0.110 0.081 0.079 0.087 0.095 0.091 0.077 $Al/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$ 0.397 0.394 0.416 0.406 0.421 0.383 0.423 $Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$ 0.494 0.525 0.507 0.484 0.576 0.500	Ca/(Ca + Na)				11140-1100-001141										
$\text{Fe}^{-7}/(\text{Cr} + \text{Al} + \text{Fe}^{-7})$ 0.110 0.081 0.079 0.087 0.091 0.077 $\text{Al/(Cr} + \text{Al} + \text{Fe}^{3+})$ 0.397 0.394 0.416 0.406 0.421 0.383 0.423 $\text{Cr/(Cr} + \text{Al} + \text{Fe}^{3+})$ 0.494 0.525 0.505 0.507 0.484 0.526 0.500	K/(K + Na)				0.094										
AV(Cr + AI + Fe <sup>3+</sup> ) $0.397  0.394  0.416  0.406  0.421  0.383  0.423$ Cr/(Cr + AI + Fe <sup>3+</sup> ) $0.494  0.525  0.505  0.507  0.484  0.526  0.500$	$re^{-7}(Cr + AI + Fe^{3+})$						0.110	0.081	0.079	0.087	0.095	0.091	0.077		
$Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{-r})$ 0.494 0.525 0.505 0.507 0.484 0.526 0.500	$AI/(Cr + AI + Fe^{3+})$						0.397	0.394	0.416	0.406	0.421	0.383	0,423		
	$Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$						0.494	0.525	0.505	0.507	0.484	0.526	0.500		

#### Table 2 (continued).

about  $An_{90}$ , but could range down to  $An_{86}$ . These plagioclase crystals are as calcic as phenocrysts in some MORBs (e.g., Arai, 1981).

## **Other Minerals**

Rutile in plagioclase-poor troctolite is almost pure  $\text{TiO}_2$  in composition, with about 1 wt%  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ . Kaersutite is associated with rutile in the plagioclase-poor troctolite, which is the only primary hydrous mineral that occurs outside of spinel. Kaersutite contains up to 4.9 wt% TiO<sub>2</sub>.

#### DISCUSSION

#### **Comparison to Ophiolites**

The deep-seated rocks of the Pacific oceanic crust described in this article are similar to those of some ophiolite suites (e.g., Oman ophiolite), as previously suggested by Francheteau et al. (1990). The ultramafic rock association of the Hess Deep cores of Leg 147 indicates that they may represent the rocks around the petrologic Moho (Clague and Straley, 1977) of the Pacific lithosphere. Nicolas (1989) concluded that the Oman ophiolite was formed at a fast-spreading ridge system like the East Pacific Rise. Troctolitic rocks from Hess Deep are similar to troctolites or wehrlites from the Oman ophiolite (e.g., Benn et al., 1988). Benn et al. (1988) concluded that a crystal mush ("wehrlite magma"), rooted in the transition zone, intruded the higher-level plutonic rocks in the Oman ophiolite. As mentioned previously, the close association of dunite with feldspathic plutonic rocks (troctolites and gabbros) in the Hess Deep cores (Gillis, Mével, Allan, et al., 1993) is similar to the contact relationships of indigenous gabbroic or pyroxenitic dikes with dunitic reaction rims observed in some ophiolites (e.g., Nicolas, 1989). The relative abundance of dunite suggests that the Hess Deep rocks are derived from a zone comparable to the transition zone of ophiolites (Nicolas and Prinzhofer, 1983). Cannat et al. (1990) also suggested that dredged samples (troctolite, plagioclase dunite, and harzburgite) from the Garret Transform Fault, which are similar to the Hess Deep samples, are derived from the transition zone of the Pacific lithosphere.

Harzburgite from Hess Deep is among the most depleted of the whole range of oceanic peridotites (e.g., Shibata and Thompson, 1986) in terms of the Cr# of spinel and the spinel-olivine (Cr#-Fo) compositional relationship (e.g., Dick et al., 1984; Michael and Bonatti, 1985; Dick, 1989; Bonatti et al., 1992; Arai, 1994a). It is typical of ophiolitic harzburgites (Arai, 1994a) and is actually similar to the basal harzburgite of the Oman ophiolite (Boudier and Coleman, 1981; Pallister and Hopson, 1981).

Primary hydrous mineral inclusions in chromian spinel from the Hess Deep troctolite and chromitite are especially important. Their presence in the transition zone of the Pacific Ocean indicates that a subducting slab is not necessary as the source of the volatiles in hydrous mineral inclusions in chromian spinel. The transition-zone rocks of ophiolites such as the Oman ophiolite are similar to the Hess Deep rocks, except that some podiform chromitites in Oman have chromian spinel with a higher Cr# (0.7–0.8) than in any oceanic rocks ever documented. Note that the majority of the Oman chromitites have chromian spinel with a lower Cr# (0.4 to 0.6) (e.g., Augé, 1987; Leblanc and Ceuleneer, 1992).

				147-	895C-4R-2 (	(Piece 6B continued)	, 110–114	cm)			
								Incl	uded by sp	inel	
Troctolite	cpx2-1 (rim)	cpx2-2 (core)	cpx2-3 (rim)	cpx3	opx	plag	cpx l	opx	amph	phl	cpx2
SiO <sub>2</sub> TiO <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> FeO* MnO MgO CaO Na <sub>2</sub> O K <sub>3</sub> O P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> NiO Total O Si Ti Al Cr Fe* Mn Ca Na K P	$\begin{array}{c} 52.35\\ 0.30\\ 3.54\\ 1.38\\ 4.43\\ 0.08\\ 19.61\\ 17.32\\ 0.14\\ 0.00\\ 0.07\\ 0.12\\ 99.34\\ 6\\ 1.903\\ 0.008\\ 0.151\\ 0.039\\ 0.134\\ 0.002\\ 1.062\\ 0.674\\ 0.010\\ 0.0002 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.12\\ 0.36\\ 3.95\\ 1.41\\ 3.33\\ 0.08\\ 16.49\\ 21.83\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.22\\ 0.03\\ 99.85\\ 6\\ 1.891\\ 0.010\\ 0.170\\ 0.040\\ 0.102\\ 0.002\\ 0.900\\ 0.856\\ 0.000\\ 0.007\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.12\\ 0.73\\ 3.42\\ 1.29\\ 2.82\\ 0.14\\ 16.10\\ 22.58\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.13\\ 99.33\\ 6\\ 1.909\\ 0.020\\ 0.147\\ 0.087\\ 0.087\\ 0.087\\ 0.004\\ 0.879\\ 0.086\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 51.58\\ 1.02\\ 3.77\\ 1.41\\ 2.82\\ 0.11\\ 16.10\\ 22.37\\ 0.14\\ 0.02\\ 0.00\\ 0.21\\ 99.60\\ 6\\ 1.889\\ 0.028\\ 0.163\\ 0.040\\ 0.086\\ 0.003\\ 0.878\\ 0.877\\ 0.010\\ 0.001\\ 0.000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 55.22\\ 0.43\\ 2.72\\ 0.64\\ 6.67\\ 0.16\\ 31.03\\ 2.73\\ 0.04\\ 0.00\\ 0.10\\ 99.80\\ 6\\ 1.929\\ 0.011\\ 0.112\\ 0.004\\ 1.615\\ 0.102\\ 0.003\\ 0.000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46.14\\ 0.01\\ 33.68\\ 0.05\\ 0.33\\ 0.00\\ 0.17\\ 17.63\\ 1.00\\ 0.08\\ 0.00\\ 0.13\\ 99.22\\ 6\\ 2.140\\ 0.000\\ 1.840\\ 0.000\\ 1.840\\ 0.001\\ 0.013\\ 0.000\\ 0.011\\ 0.875\\ 0.090\\ 0.001\\ 0.000\\ \end{array}$	51.53 1.24 4.14 1.30 3.03 0.03 16.07 21.83 0.35 0.00 0.26 0.18 99.96 8 1.876 0.034 0.177 0.034 0.177 0.034 0.001 0.872 0.001 0.872 0.001 0.875 0.002	$\begin{array}{c} 57.18\\ 0.31\\ 1.70\\ 0.69\\ 6.61\\ 0.09\\ 33.45\\ 0.84\\ 0.14\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.15\\ 101.22\\ 6\\ 1.957\\ 0.008\\ 0.068\\ 0.019\\ 0.189\\ 0.002\\ 1.705\\ 0.031\\ 0.009\\ 0.000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.17\\ 4.29\\ 11.34\\ 2.41\\ 4.36\\ 0.05\\ 17.16\\ 11.86\\ 2.60\\ 0.08\\ 0.18\\ 98.61\\ 6\\ .248\\ 0.456\\ 1.890\\ 0.268\\ 0.514\\ 0.004\\ 3.615\\ 1.794\\ 0.713\\ 0.012\\ 0.008\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.86\\ 6.29\\ 15.03\\ 2.13\\ 2.89\\ 0.02\\ 23.06\\ 0.17\\ 5.75\\ 0.42\\ 0.00\\ 0.07\\ 96.73\\ 23\\ 5.507\\ 0.638\\ 2.387\\ 0.227\\ 0.326\\ 0.002\\ 4.633\\ 0.024\\ 1.500\\ 0.073\\ 0.007\\ 0.073\\ 0.007\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 52.70\\ 0.80\\ 2.68\\ 1.47\\ 2.71\\ 0.10\\ 16.76\\ 22.80\\ 0.00\\ 0.16\\ 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.16\\ 0.04\\ 100.26\\ 22\\ 1.913\\ 0.021\\ 0.115\\ 0.042\\ 0.082\\ 0.003\\ 0.906\\ 0.886\\ 0.000\\ 0.005\\ \end{array}$
Total	3.994	3.982	3.977	3.985	3.995	4.985	3.978	3.992	15.541	15.324	3.978
Fe <sup>2+</sup> Fe <sup>3+</sup> Mg#	0.134	0.102	0.087	0.086	0.195		0.092	0.189	0.514 0.876	0.326 0.934	0.082 0.917
$\begin{array}{l} Cr'(Cr+Al)\\ Ca/(Ca+Na)\\ K/(K+Na)\\ Fe^{3+}/(Cr+Al+Fe^{3+})\\ Al/(Cr+Al+Fe^{3+})\\ Cr/(Cr+Al+Fe^{3+}) \end{array}$		100 A A A A				0.907			0.017	0.046	

#### Table 2 (continued).

## Mantle-Melt Interaction Products

Mineral chemistry, as well as petrographic characteristics, may indicate that the ultramafic-mafic rock associations of Hess Deep, especially troctolitic rocks, are products of interaction between harzburgite and melt. Trends in mineral chemistry cannot be explained by simple fractional crystallization in the formation of dunite-troctolite-olivine gabbro (Figs. 5, 9). Crystal fractionation from a successively mixing melt does not produce the trends in mineral chemistry of the Hess Deep rocks. A combined process of the crystallization of melt and the dissolution of the pyroxene (orthopyroxene) component in harzburgite can explain the compositional variation of minerals in these rocks (Figs. 5, 9, 11). If a high-pressure melt ascends and then comes in contact with peridotite at a lower pressure, they should react (e.g., Quick, 1981; Fisk, 1986; Kelemen, 1990). Pyroxenes, especially orthopyroxene, should be attacked by the melt with the crystallization of olivine to release the latent heat to promote the interaction, because the high-pressure melt is undersaturated with pyroxene components and is usually oversaturated with olivine at low pressures (e.g., Kushiro, 1969). If the melt is stagnant enough and the interaction proceeds well, the melt could become enriched with some incompatible elements, such as Ti, while the Mg# would be only slightly variable because large amounts of magnesian olivine (Fo90-91) would keep the Mg# high to some extent (Kelemen, 1986) (Fig. 11). The Cr# of the melt would also remain constant because the Cr# would be counterbalanced by the crystallization of chromian spinel (i.e., Cr consumption) and dissolution of orthopyroxene, which is high in Cr# (i.e., Cr supply) (Table 3).

Orthopyroxene in the orthopyroxene-bearing troctolite, which is also very poor in plagioclase, may be a relict of harzburgite orthopyroxene. The orthopyroxene in the troctolite locally shows a reaction relation with the melt to form clinopyroxene (Pl. 1, Fig. 10). Olivine in troctolite and olivine gabbro may also be partly a xenocryst phase (cf. Boudier, 1991), although chemically modified to some extent, and partly a precipitated phase. Olivine clots in these rocks may be chemically modified peridotite xenoliths. This interpretation is suggested by the fact that some of the olivine is kinked. Plagioclase and clinopyroxene may have crystallized from the melt. The amount of plagioclase and clinopyroxene, therefore, may parallel the amount of melt involved in the formation of the rocks.

The Ti enrichment of spinel and clinopyroxene in the plagioclase-poor troctolite (i.e., in the troctolite poorest in the melt component; Figs. 5, 9) may result from the involvement of the most evolved melt in the peripheral region of the interaction (Fig. 11). The amount of the melt involved is, however, the smallest in this part (Fig. 11). The enrichment in Ti and probably other incompatible elements may be more effective if the interaction process proceeds in a closed system. The chromian spinel and the clinopyroxene with low TiO<sub>2</sub> contents in olivine gabbro (Figs. 5, 9) may have crystallized from the primitive melt (i.e., from the melt least affected by the interaction).

The dunite associated with harzburgite generally has a low-Ti mineralogy (Figs. 5, 9), in contrast with the plagioclase-poor troctolite, which could be transitional to dunite but has a high-Ti mineralogy. The low-Ti dunite could also be formed by melt-mantle interaction where the melt involved is less stagnant (Fig. 11).

				14	7-895C-4R	-2 (Piece 8	. 141–144 c	cm)							
													Included	by spinel	
Troctolite	ol1	spl	sp2	sp3	sp4	cpx1	cpx2	plag1	*cpx3	*opx1	*opx2	amph	K-phl	Na-phl	cpx
SiO <sub>2</sub>	41.50	0.10	0.48	0.28	0.27	52.45	53.15	47.46	52.05	56.89	56.37	44.44	39.05	40.23	52.30
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.07	1.86	0.90	1.16	1.40	0.62	0.39	0.06	0.83	0.35	0.30	3.46	5.77	6.01	0.59
Al <sub>2</sub> Ô <sub>3</sub>	0.42	20.05	20.94	20.57	19.92	3.54	3.59	33.10	3.42	2.39	2.44	11.70	15.33	15.32	2.96
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>1</sub>	0.06	39.00	37.86	40.81	41.28	1.24	1.33	0.18	1.21	0.61	0.76	2.13	1.99	1.95	1.53
FeO*	10.07	25.49	29.70	25.49	25 31	3 32	4 10	0.36	2.95	6.85	6.83	3.90	2.49	2.55	2.78
MnO	0.17	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.24	0.22	0.05	0.14	0.16	0.27	0.07	0.03	0.00	0.06
MeO	47.82	11.07	9.08	11 43	11.26	16.54	18 52	0.00	15.88	31.69	31 77	17.03	22.24	22.68	16.61
CaO	0.13	0.15	0.21	0.24	0.22	12.78	17.55	16.63	22.57	1 71	1.81	11.96	0.20	0.23	22 54
Na-O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.19	0.00	0.48	0.00	0.00	2.97	1.61	5.61	0.30
K.O	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.06	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.99	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.66	0.43	0.03
P.O	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.09	0.00	0.06	0.05
NGO 1205	0.31	0.12	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.11	0.10	0.15	0.04	0.14	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.20
Total	100.58	97.89	99.48	100.19	99.94	100.19	99.34	99.18	99.83	100.80	100.86	97.93	95.51	95.29	100.19
0	6	4	4	4	4	6	6	8	6	6	6	23	22	22	6
Si	1.011	0.003	0.015	0.009	0.008	1.903	1.927	2.190	1.902	1.957	1.943	6.309	5.449	5.493	1.903
Ti	0.001	0.045	0.022	0.027	0.033	0.016	0.010	0.002	0.022	0.009	0.007	0.368	0.605	0.616	0.016
Al	0.012	0.769	0.801	0.770	0.750	0.151	0.153	1.800	0.147	0.097	0.099	1.957	2.519	2.464	0.127
Cr	0.001	1.004	0.971	1.025	1.041	0.035	0.038	0.006	0.035	0.016	0.020	0.239	0.216	0.213	0.044
Fe*	0.205	0.694	0.806	0.677	0.675	0.100	0.124	0.014	0.090	0.167	0.197	0.462	0.290	0.290	0.085
Mn	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.007	0.007	0.002	0.004	0.004	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.000	0.002
Mg	1 736	0.537	0.439	0 541	0.536	0.894	1.001	0.000	0.865	1 625	1.631	3 602	4 624	4613	0.900
Ca	0.003	0.005	0.007	0.008	0.007	0.846	0.682	0.821	0.883	0.063	0.067	1 817	- 0.029	0.033	0.878
Na	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.013	0.021	0.034	0.000	0.000	0.819	0.436	1 485	0.020
K	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	1.000	0.002	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.016	1 186	0.075	0.001
P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.001
NI	0.005	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.004	0.005	0.000	0.004	0.000
Total	2.081	2.062	2.072	0.002	0.005	0.002	0.005	0.000	2.003	2.074	2.003	0.005	15 276	15 210	2.001
Total	2.981	3.003	5.072	3.000	3.062	3.972	3.900	4.943	3.993	3.974	3.982	15.610	15.370	15.510	3.991
$Fe^{2+}$	0.205	0.434	0.552	0.445	0.443	0.100	0.124		0.090	0.167	0.197	0.462	0.290	0.290	0.085
Fert	0.004	0.170	0.210	0.178	0.166	120222						0.005		0.044	0.014
Mg#	0.894	0.553	0.443	0.548	0.548	0.899	0.890		0.906	0.907	0.892	0.886	0.941	0.941	0.914
Cr/(Cr + Al)		0.566			0.581										
Ca/(Ca + Na)								0.902				1000	1000	10.000	
K/(K + Na)												0.019	0.731	0.048	
$Fe^{3+}/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$		0.087	0.106	0.090	0.085										
$Al/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$		0.396	0.404	0.390	0.383										
$Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$		0.517	0.490	0.520	0.532										

## Table 2 (continued).

## Concentration of Chromian Spinel and Implications for the Origin of Podiform Chromitites

It is noteworthy that chromian spinel can be locally concentrated in the Hess Deep rocks. Furthermore, the petrographical characteristics (e.g., enrichment of mineral inclusions in spinel) are similar to those in the podiform chromitites in ophiolites (Talkington et al., 1986; Augé, 1987). The mode of occurrence of the spinel concentration in the Hess Deep rocks is essentially the same as that of podiform chromitites in ophiolites. As mentioned previously, the rodlike concentration of chromian spinel in dunite is a small-scale chromitite pod. The mode of concentration of chromian spinel in troctolite (Fig. 2) is consistent with a recent interpretation for origin of podiform chromitite (Arai and Yurimoto, 1992, 1994; Zhou et al., 1994) in which the mixing of magmas (a primitive melt and a Si- and Cr-rich melt formed by the dissolution of orthopyroxene from the harzburgite wall rock) plays an important role in the exclusive precipitation of chromian spinel to form chromitite, as Irvine (1977) originally proposed for the origin of layered chromitite. In troctolite from Hess Deep, chromian spinel is concentrated where the melt mixing could have occurred most effectively (Figs. 2, 12). The boundary region between the olivine-rich part and the plagioclase-rich part, where chromian spinel can be concentrated, may closely correspond to the original boundary area between the harzburgite wall rock and the melt pocket during interaction, where the mixing possibly occurs between the relatively Si- and Cr-rich secondary melt supplied from the harzburgite wall and the newly supplied, more primitive melt (Fig. 12). Podiform chromitites could be formed in the oceanic upper mantle. However, the composition of chromian spinel in many podiform chromitites from some ophiolites, including Oman and Troodos, does not suggest an oceanic setting. The Cr# of the chromitite spinel, most commonly 0.7 to 0.8, is higher than that in any oceanic rocks ever documented, which are all lower than 0.7. Some aluminous chromitites (e.g., from the Tari-Misaka complex, southwestern Japan), which have chromian spinel with an intermediate Cr# of about 0.5 (Arai, 1980; Arai and Yurimoto, 1994), could have been formed in the oceanic mantle.

The presence of high-Ti primary hydrous minerals in troctolite and in chromitite may mean that  $H_2O$ , Na, K, and Ti are concentrated by a process of interaction (cf. Kelemen, 1986). The combined process of zone refining and crystallization of olivine, which is essentially similar to the "partial zone refining" of Kushiro (1968), is possibly effective in concentrating these incompatible components during interaction. The selective presence of hydrous minerals as inclusions in chromian spinel in spinel-rich rocks implies that the highly evolved melt was involved in the formation and concentration of chromian spinel (Fig. 12). The highly evolved melt enriched in incompatible components might be one of the two end members of melt mixing (Fig. 12) to precipitate chromian spinel exclusively (Irvine, 1977; Arai and Yurimoto, 1994).

### Suggestions for the Evolution of MORB

The origin of the primary MORB is controversial. Two end-member models have been proposed. O'Hara (1965) and Stolper (1980), among others, proposed that high-pressure melting of mantle peridotite produced picritic basalt, which was fractionated by olivine crystallization to form ordinary MORB. On the other hand, low-pressure

		147-89	95C-4R-3 (	Piece 3, 31	-35 cm)			147-895D	-7R-1 (Pie	ce 7, 41-4	13 cm)
Troctolite	oll	spl	sp2	sp3	cpx1	plag1	oll	spl	sp2	plagl	cpx (included by spinel)
SiO <sub>2</sub> TiO <sub>2</sub> Al <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> FeO* MnO MgO CaO Na <sub>2</sub> O Na <sub>2</sub> O K <sub>2</sub> O P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	40.91 0.07 0.24 0.02 10.13 0.19 48.37 0.10 0.08 0.00 0.00	$\begin{array}{c} 0.48 \\ 1.01 \\ 21.46 \\ 40.75 \\ 23.85 \\ 0.17 \\ 11.69 \\ 0.22 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.12 \end{array}$	0.16 1.25 20.66 40.59 25.31 0.20 11.59 0.16 0.00 0.08 0.00	0.57 1.83 19.07 40.33 26.86 0.33 10.61 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.23	52.31 0.57 3.50 1.40 3.17 0.02 16.77 21.82 0.28 0.02 0.02	$\begin{array}{c} 44.97\\ 0.05\\ 32.32\\ 0.23\\ 0.21\\ 0.13\\ 0.10\\ 19.51\\ 1.02\\ 0.36\\ 0.11 \end{array}$	41.90 0.01 0.38 0.10 10.36 0.21 46.88 0.12 0.65 0.02 0.03	$\begin{array}{c} 0.15\\ 1.29\\ 21.48\\ 41.88\\ 21.90\\ 0.00\\ 11.96\\ 0.19\\ 0.00\\ 0.04\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10\\ 0.84\\ 22.41\\ 42.35\\ 21.09\\ 0.00\\ 12.28\\ 0.17\\ 0.00\\ 0.01\\ 0.00\\ \end{array}$	49.93 0.12 30.42 0.12 0.39 0.03 0.22 14.98 0.96 2.71 0.01	53.48 0.59 2.89 1.65 3.05 0.12 15.57 21.94 0.50 0.03 0.21
NiO Total	0.31 100.42	0.07 99.90	0.00	0.17 100.10	0.06 99.94	0.10 99.11	0.26 100.98	0.10 99.04	0.15 99.46	0.09 100.05	0.17 100.2
O Si Ti Al Cr Fe* Mn Mg Ca Na K P Ni Total	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 1.000 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.007 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.207 \\ 0.003 \\ 1.762 \\ 0.002 \\ 0.003 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.000 \\ 0.006 \\ 2.996 \end{array}$	4 0.015 0.024 0.797 1.015 0.628 0.004 0.549 0.007 0.000 0.003 0.003 0.001 3.051	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 0.005\\ 0.030\\ 0.774\\ 1.021\\ 0.673\\ 0.005\\ 0.549\\ 0.005\\ 0.000\\ 0.003\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 3.068\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 0.018\\ 0.044\\ 0.720\\ 1.022\\ 0.720\\ 0.009\\ 0.507\\ 0.003\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.006\\ 0.004\\ 3.056\end{array}$	6 1.904 0.015 0.150 0.040 0.096 0.000 0.909 0.851 0.020 0.001 0.000 0.001 3.993	8 2.112 0.001 1.788 0.008 0.008 0.005 0.007 0.981 0.093 0.022 0.004 0.004 5.038	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 1.019\\ 0.000\\ 0.011\\ 0.002\\ 0.210\\ 0.004\\ 1.699\\ 0.003\\ 0.003\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.005\\ 2.988\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 0.004\\ 0.030\\ 0.801\\ 1.047\\ 0.579\\ 0.000\\ 0.564\\ 0.006\\ 0.000\\ 0.001\\ 0.000\\ 0.001\\ 0.000\\ 3.040\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 0.003\\ 0.020\\ 0.828\\ 1.049\\ 0.552\\ 0.000\\ 0.573\\ 0.006\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 0.000\\ 3.038\end{array}$	8 2.302 0.004 1.652 0.004 0.015 0.001 0.015 0.740 0.085 0.159 0.000 0.003 4.986	6 1.940 0.016 0.123 0.047 0.092 0.003 0.841 0.852 0.035 0.001 0.006 0.004 3.966
$\begin{array}{l} Fe^{2*} \\ Fe^{1*} \\ Mg\# \\ Cr/(Cr + Al) \\ Ca/(Ca + Na) \\ K/(K + Na) \\ Fe^{3+}(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) \\ Al/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) \\ Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+}) \end{array}$	0.207 0.895	0.431 0.149 0.560 0.560 0.076 0.406 0.518	0.437 0.176 0.557 0.569 0.089 0.393 0.518	0.453 0.179 0.528 0.587 0.093 0.375 0.532	0.096 0.904	0.913	0.210	0.413 0.106 0.577 0.567 0.054 0.410 0.536	0.414 0.098 0.580 0.559 0.049 0.419 0.531	0.897	0.092 0.901

Table 2 (continued).

(about 0.1 GPa) formation of primary MORB by direct partial melting of mantle peridotite was proposed by Kushiro and Thompson (1972), Fujii and Bougault (1983), and Fujii and Scarfe (1985), among others. More recently, polybaric and incremental melting of mantle peridotite was proposed by McKenzie and Bickle (1988), Johnson et al. (1990), and Kinzler and Grove (1992a, 1992b). Highly refractory MORB can be preserved only as melt inclusions in early precipitating olivine (e.g., Sobolev and Shimizu, 1993). The possible modification of primitive MORB by mantle-melt interaction is suggested here.

The proposed "interaction trend" for the Cr# of spinel and the Fo content of coexisting olivine in the Hess Deep rocks is almost coincident to that in the MORB (Fig. 10; Arai, 1994b). This suggests that the apparent "fractionation trend" observed in the primitive MORB may be, at least partly, the result of low-pressure interaction between the high-pressure MORB and harzburgite. The interaction discussed in this article is not wholly responsible for the evolution of MORB because the Ti content of chromian spinel in the Hess Deep troctolite (up to 3 wt%) is much higher than in ordinary Atlantic MORB (usually less than 1 wt%) (e.g., Dick and Bullen, 1984; Arai, 1992). This possibly indicates that not N-type MORB but E- or T-type MORB was involved in the formation of the Hess Deep rocks. But we can equally well assume that the Ti enrichment was performed in a closed-system interaction in which olivine formation was predominant from N-type MORB. Furthermore, Pacific MORB has higher Ti contents than Atlantic MORB (e.g., Flower, 1980), and it is possible that the former has initially Ti-rich spinel. The dunite associated with harzburgite has chromian spinel with less than 1 wt% TiO2 and could be in equilibrium with some primitive N-type MORB (e.g., Arai, 1992, 1994b).

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

1. Dunite was found commonly within harzburgite in some core sections from Site 895 of Leg 147. The close association of the dunite with feldspathic plutonic rocks, gabbros, and troctolites indicates that the dunite is a halo of interaction or depletion around injected melt.

2. The gabbro-troctolite-dunite complex is the interaction product between the injected melt and harzburgite wall rock in the shallowest mantle. The harzburgite changes gradually into gabbro via dunite, troctolite, and olivine gabbro. Petrographic and mineral chemical variations result from a gradation in the degree of interaction and in the proportion of melt and harzburgite.

3. The peridotites and associated mafic plutonic rocks from Hess Deep are basically similar to those of the transition zone of some typical ophiolites (e.g., Oman ophiolite). They were derived from the transitional zone of the Pacific lithosphere. The Hess Deep harzburgite, which has chromian spinel with an intermediate Cr# of about 0.5 to 0.6, belongs to the most refractory group of the oceanic peridotites and is equivalent to average ophiolitic harzburgite. The podiform chromitites of most ophiolites have, however, high-Cr# (0.7-0.8) chromian spinel with lower Cr# (<0.7).

4. Podiform chromitites can be formed in the oceanic mantle conditions. A chromitite pod was discovered and chromian spinel concentrations are common in dunite and troctolite. Chromian spinels in those rocks typically have mineral inclusions of phlogopites, pargasite, and pyroxene, as in the case of podiform chromitites from ophiolites. The mechanism of the spinel concentration can be interpreted as essentially the same as in the podiform chromitites. 5. Low-pressure interaction with the harzburgite wall may contribute to the evolution of the primitive MORB erupted on the ocean floor. The interaction is expected to be more prevalent beneath fastspreading ridges than beneath slow-spreading ridges.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.A. is greatly indebted to E. Kikawa for his encouragement and discussion during and after the cruise of Leg 147. A. Taira greatly assisted S.A. in participating on the ODP cruise. S.A. wishes to express his deep thanks to K. Gillis, C. Mével, J. Allan, the other shipboard scientists, all marine technicians and specialists, and the captain and crew of *JOIDES Resolution* for their collaboration, kindness, and friendship during Leg 147. Discussions with H. Dick, J. Allan, H. Prichard, C. Lécuyer, and F. Boudier were especially helpful for us. Comments and suggestions by P. Kelemen, J. Casey, and P. Meyer were of great help in improving the manuscript. We are grateful to E. Isobe for his collaboration with us in the laboratory. H. Okubo and N. Abe kindly helped us to prepare some of the figures.

## REFERENCES

- Arai, S., 1978. Chromian spinel lamellae in olivine from the Iwanai-dake peridotite mass, Hokkaido, Japan. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 39:267–273.
- ———, 1980. Dunite-harzburgite-chromitite complexes as refractory residue in the Sangun-Yamaguchi zone, western Japan. J. Petrol., 21:141– 165.
- —, 1981. Petrology of basalts from Site 487, Deep Sea Drilling Project Leg 66, Middle America Trench area off Mexico. *In* Watkins, J.S., Moore, J.C., et al., *Init. Repts. DSDP*, 66: Washington (U.S. Govt. Printing Office), 711–722.
- \_\_\_\_\_, 1992. Chemistry of chromian spinels in volcanic rocks as a potential guide to magma chemistry. *Mineral. Mag.*, 56:173–184.
- —, 1994a. Characterization of spinel peridotites by olivine-spinel compositional relationships: review and interpretation. *Chem. Geol.*, 113:191–204.
- —, 1994b. Compositional variation of olivine-chromian spinel in Mg-rich magmas as a guide to their residual spinel peridotites. J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res., 59:279–293.
- Arai, S., Inoue, T., and Oyama, T., 1988. Igneous petrology of the Ochiai-Hokubo ultramafic complex, the Sangun zone, western Japan: a preliminary report. *Chishitsugaku Zasshi* [J. Geol. Soc. Jpn.], 94:91–102. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Arai, S., and Yurimoto, H., 1992. Origin of podiform chromitites from Southwest Japan as a melt-mantle interaction. 29th Int. Geol. Congr., Kyoto, Abstr., 1:176.
- , 1994. Podiform chromitites from the Tari-Misaka ultramafic complex, southwestern Japan, as mantle-melt interaction products. *Econ. Geol.*, 89:1279–1288.
- Atkins, F.B., 1969. Pyroxenes of the Bushveld intrusion. J. Petrol., 10:222– 249.
- Augé, T., 1987. Chromite deposits in the northern Oman ophiolite: mineralogical constraints. *Miner. Deposita*, 22:1–10.
- Benn, K., Nicolas, A., and Reuber, I., 1988. Mantle-crust transition zone and origin of wehrlitic magmas: evidence from the Oman ophiolite. *Tectonophysics*, 151:75–85.
- Bonatti, E., and Honnorez, J., 1976. Sections of the earth's crust in equatorial Atlantic. J. Geophys. Res., 81:4104–4116.
- Bonatti, E., Peyve, A., Kepezhinskas, P., Kurentsova, N., Seyler, M., Skolotnev, S., and Udintsev, G., 1992. Upper mantle heterogeneity below the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, 0°–15°N. J. Geophys. Res., 97:4461–4476.
- Boudier, F., 1991. Olivine xenocrysts in picritic magmas: an experimental and microstructural study. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 109:114–123.
- Boudier, F., and Coleman, R.G., 1981. Cross section through the peridotite in the Samail ophiolite, southeastern Oman Mountains. J. Geophys. Res., 86:2573–2592.
- Boudier, F., Le Sueur, E., and Nicolas, A., 1989. Structure of an atypical ophiolite: the Trinity Complex, eastern Klamath Mountains, California. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 101:820–833.

- Cannat, M., 1993. Emplacement of mantle rocks in the seafloor at mid-ocean ridges. J. Geophys. Res., 98:4163–4173.
- Cannat, M., Bideau, D., and Hebert, R., 1990. Plastic deformation and magmatic impregnation in serpentinized ultramafic rocks from the Garrett transform fault (East Pacific Rise). *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 101:216–232.
- Cassard, D., Nicolas, A., Rabinovitch, M., Moutte, J., Leblanc, M., and Prinzhofer, A., 1981. Structural classification of chromite pods in southern New Caledonia. *Econ. Geol.*, 76:805–831.
- Clague, D.A., and Straley, P.F., 1977. Petrologic nature of the oceanic Moho. Geology, 5:133–136.
- Dick, H.J.B., 1989. Abyssal peridotites, very slow spreading ridges and ocean ridge magmatism. In Saunders, A.D., and Norry, M.J. (Eds.), Magmatism in the Ocean Basins. Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ. London, 42:71–105.
- Dick, H.J.B., and Bullen, T., 1984. Chromian spinel as a petrogenetic indicator in abyssal and alpine-type peridotites and spatially associated lavas. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 86:54–76.
- Dick, H.J.B., Fisher, R.L., and Bryan, W.B., 1984. Mineralogic variability of the uppermost mantle along mid-ocean ridges. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 69:88–106.
- Fisk, M.R., 1986. Basalt magma interaction with harzburgite and the formation of high-magnesian andesite. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 13:467–470.
- Flower, M.F.J., 1980. Accumulation of calcic plagioclase in ocean-ridge tholeiite: an indication of spreading rate? *Nature*, 287:530–532.
- ——, 1981. Thermal and kinematic control on ocean-ridge magma fractionation: contrasts between Atlantic and Pacific spreading axes. J. Geol. Soc. London, 138:695–712.
- Francheteau, J., Armijo, R., Cheminée, J.L., Hekinian, R., Lonsdale, P., and Blum, N., 1990. 1 Ma East Pacific Rise oceanic crust and uppermost mantle exposed by rifting in Hess Deep (equatorial Pacific Ocean). *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 101:281–295.
- ——, 1992. Dyke complex of the East Pacific Rise exposed in the walls of Hess Deep and the structure of the upper oceanic crust. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 111:109–121.
- Fujii, T., and Bougault, H., 1983. Melting relations of a magnesian abyssal tholeiite and the origin of MORBs. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 62:283–295.
- Fujii, T., and Scarfe, C.M., 1985. Composition of liquids coexisting with spinel lherzolite at 10 kbar and the genesis of MORBs. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 90:18–28.
- Gillis, K., Mével, C., Allan, J., et al., 1993. Proc. ODP, Init. Repts., 147: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).
- Girardeau, J., and Francheteau, J., 1993. Plagioclase-wehrlites and peridotites on the East Pacific Rise (Hess Deep) and the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (DSDP Site 334): evidence for magma percolation in the oceanic upper mantle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 115:137–149.
- Hebert, R., Bideau, D., and Hekinian, R., 1983. Ultramafic and mafic rocks from the Garret transform fault near 13°30'S on the East Pacific Rise: igneous petrology. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 65:107–125.
- Hekinian, R., Bideau, D., Francheteau, J., Cheminee, J.L., Armijo, R., Lonsdale, P., and Blum, N., 1993. Petrology of the East Pacific Rise crust and upper mantle exposed in the Hess Deep (eastern equatorial Pacific). J. Geophys. Res., 98:8069–8094.
- Irvine, T.N., 1975. Crystallization sequences in the Muskox Intrusion and other layered intrusions, II: origin of chromitite layers and similar deposits of other magmatic ores. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 39:991–1020.
- —, 1977. Origin of chromitite layers in the Muskox Intrusion and other stratiform intrusions: a new interpretation. *Geology*, 5:273–277.
- Jackson, E.D., 1969. Chemical variation in co-existing chromite and olivine in chromite zones of the Stillwater complex. *In Wilson*, H.D.B. (Ed.), *Magmatic Ore Deposits*. Econ. Geol. Monogr., 6:41–71.
- Johan, Z., 1986. Chromite deposits in the Massif du Sud ophiolite, New Caledonia: genetic consideration. In Petrascheck, W., et al. (Eds.), *Chromites:* Athens (Theophrastus), 311–339.
- Johan, Z., Dunlop, H., Le Bel, L., Robert, J.L., and Volfinger, M., 1983. Origin of chromite deposits in ophiolitic complexes: evidence for a volatileand sodium-rich reducing fluid phase. *Fortschr. Mineral.*, 61:105–107.
- Johnson, K.T.M., Dick, H.J.B., and Shimizu, N., 1990. Melting in the oceanic upper mantle: an ion microprobe study of diopsides in abyssal peridotites. J. Geophys. Res., 95:2661–2678.
- Kelemen, P.B., 1986. Assimilation of ultramafic rocks in subduction-related magmatic arcs. J. Geol., 94:829–843.
  - ———, 1990. Reaction between ultramafic rock and fractionating basaltic magma, I. Phase relations, the origin of calc-alkaline magma series, and the formation of discordant dunite. J. Petrol., 31:51–98.

Kinzler, R.J., and Grove, T.L., 1992a. Primary magmas of mid-ocean ridge basalts, 1: experiments and methods. J. Geophys. Res., 97:6885–6906. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 1992b. Primary magmas of mid-ocean ridge basalts, 2: applica-

tions. J. Geophys. Res., 97:6907–6926.

- Kushiro, I., 1968. Compositions of magmas formed by partial zone melting in the earth's upper mantle. J. Geophys. Res., 73:619–634.
- , 1969. The system forsterite-diopside-silica with and without water at high pressures. Am. J. Sci., The Schairer Vol., 267-A:269–294.
- Kushiro, I., and Thompson, R.N., 1972. Origin of some abyssal tholeiites from the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Yearbook—Carnegie Inst. Washington, 71:403–406.
- Lago, B.L., Rabinowicz, M., and Nicolas, A., 1982. Podiform chromite ore bodies: a genetic model. J. Petrol., 23:103–125.
- Leblanc, M., and Ceuleneer, G., 1992. Chromite crystallization in a muticellular magma flow: evidence from a chromitite dike in the Oman ophiolite. *Lithos*, 27:231–257.
- McElduff, B., and Stumpfl, E.F., 1991. The chromite deposits of the Troodos Complex, Cyprus—evidence for the role of a fluid phase accompanying chromite formation. *Mineral. Deposita*, 26:307–318.
- McKenzie, D., and Bickle, M.J., 1988. The volume and composition of melt generated by extension of the lithosphere. *Jour. Petrol.*, 29:625–679.
- Michael, P.J., and Bonatti, E., 1985. Peridotite composition from the North Atlantic: regional and tectonic variations and implications for partial melting. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 73:91–104.
- Nicolas, A., 1989. Structure of Ophiolites and Dynamics of the Oceanic Lithosphere: Dordrecht (Kluwer).
- Nicolas, A., and Prinzhofer, A., 1983. Cumulative or residual origin for the transition zone in ophiolites: structural evidence. J. Petrol., 24:188–206.
- Pallister, J.S., and Hopson, C.A., 1981. Samail ophiolite plutonic suite: field relations, phase variation, cryptic variation and layering, and a model of a spreading ridge magma chamber. J. Geophys. Res., 86:2593–2644.
- Quick, J.E., 1981. The origin and significance of large, tabular dunite bodies in the Trinity peridotite, northern California. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 78:413–422.

- Shibata, T., and Thompson, G., 1986. Peridotites from the Mid-Atlantic Ridge at 43°N and their petrogenetic relation to abyssal tholeiites. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 93:144–159.
- Sinton, J.M., and Detrick, R.S., 1992. Mid-ocean ridge magma chambers. J. Geophys. Res., 97:197–216.
- Sobolev, A.V., and Shimizu, N., 1993. Ultra-depleted primary melt included in an olivine from the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *Nature*, 363:151–154.
- Stolper, E., 1980. A phase diagram for mid-ocean ridge basalts: preliminary results and implications for petrogenesis. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 74:13–27.
- Talkington, R.W., Watkinson, D.H., Whittaker, P.J., and Jones, P.C., 1984. Platinum group minerals and other solid inclusions in chromites of ophiolitic complexes: occurrence and petrological significance. *TMPM*, *Tschermaks Mineral. Petrogr. Mitt.*, 32:285–300.
- ———, 1986. Platinum group element-bearing minerals and other solid inclusions in chromite of mafic and ultramafic complexes: chemical compositions and comparisons. In Carter, B., et al. (Eds.), Metammogeny of Basic and Ultrabasic Rocks (Regional Presentations): Athens (Theophrastus), 223–249.
- Thayer, T.P., 1964. Principal features and origin of podiform chromite deposits and some observations on the Guleman-Soridag district, Turkey. *Econ. Geol.*, 59:1497–1524.
- Zhou, M.-F., Robinson, P.T., and Bai, W.-J., 1994. Formation of podiform chromitites by melt/rock interaction in the upper mantle. *Miner. Deposita*, 29:98–101.

Date of initial receipt: 2 August 1994 Date of acceptance: 5 January 1995 Ms 147SR-008

Table 3. Selected microprobe analyses o	f minerals in wehrlite, dunite, and	d harzburgite from Site 895,	Hess Deep
---	-------------------------------------	------------------------------	-----------

		Wehrlite			Du	nite								
	147 (Piece 2	-895C-3R 22, 130–13	-1 3 cm)	C	147-895 (Piece 4, 4	6C-4R-3 41–43 cm)			147-895 (Piece 7, 4	D-4R-2 45-49 cm)		14 (Piec	47-895E-6F ce 5E, 77-8	R-2 30 cm)
	oll	sp1	cpx I	oll	sp1	sp2	cpx1	oll	spl	sp2	sp3	ol1	sp1	sp2
SiO <sub>2</sub>	41.31	0.26	52.13	40.72	0.57	0.43	52.17	41.7	0.48	0.51	0.36	41.13	0.23	0.15
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.11	0.11	0.06	0.05	0.82	1.02	0.37	0.07	0.01	0.17	0.24	0.07	0.9	0.52
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.23	24.51	3	0.17	22.18	19.97	3.17	0.01	25.23	17.54	25.03	0.39	23.76	26.43
$Cr_2O_3$	0.11	40.55	1.16	0.08	39.41	41	1.6	0.06	43.76	37.51	43.87	0.03	41.95	40.72
FeO*	9.3	21.67	2.8	9.72	26.59	27.49	3.67	9.22	18.13	34.28	17.89	9.02	19.18	17.25
MnO	0.21	0	0.12	0.14	0.48	0.75	0.17	0.2	0.56	0.39	0.42	0.17	0	0
MgO	47.94	11.14	17.91	48.61	9.43	9.04	18.98	49.69	12.43	9.44	13.35	48.95	13.58	15.25
NaO	0.14	0.13	21.77	0.06	0.06	0.17	19.28	0.09	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.12	0.21
K o	0.25	0.04	0.01	0.03	0	0	0	0.01	0.02	0.04	0	0.15	0.08	0
P-0-	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.07	0	0.25	0.01	0.02	0.17	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.12
NiO	0.44	0.22	0.16	0.10	0.2	0.09	0.18	0.54	0.1	0.25	0.05	0.47	0.25	0.1
Total	100.14	98.7	99.2	100.06	99.81	99.96	99.88	101.83	100.94	100.5	101.57	100.64	100.05	100.75
0	4	4	6	4	4	4	6	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Si	1.01	0.008	1.91	0.997	0.018	0.014	1.894	1.001	0.014	0.017	0.01	0.999	0.006	0.004
Ti	0.002	0.002	0.001	0	0.019	0.024	0.01	0.001	0	0.004	0.005	0.001	0.02	0.011
AI	0.006	0.908	0.129	0.005	0.833	0.761	0.135	0	0.901	0.685	0.887	0.011	0.86	0.931
Cr	0.002	1.007	0.033	0.001	0.993	1.047	0.046	0.001	1.048	0.983	1.042	0	1.018	0.962
Fe*	0.19	0.569	0.085	0.199	0.709	0.743	0.111	0.185	0.459	0.95	0.45	0.183	0.492	0.431
Min	0.004	0 521	0.003	0.003	0.013	0.02	0.005	0.004	0.014	0.011	0.01	0.003	0 622	0 670
Ca	0.003	0.521	0.977	1.775	0.448	0.435	1.020	1.778	0.501	0.400	0.598	0.006	0.022	0.079
Na	0.003	0.004	0.654	0.001	0.002	0.005	0.749	0.002	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.000
K	0.012	0.001	ő	0.001	ŏ	0	0	0	ő	0.002	ő	0.000	0.003	ŏ
P	ŏ	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.001	0	0.007	0.004	ŏ	0.002	0.001	0.001	0	0.003
Ni	0.008	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.005	0.01	0.002	0.006	0.003	0.009	0.006	0.002
Total	2.989	3.03	4.004	2.993	3.045	3.056	3.992	2.99	3.01	3.137	3.016	2.996	2.996	3.032
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.19	0.479	0.085	0.199	0.534	0.544	0.111	0.185	0.429	0.559	0.391	0.183	0.362	0.315
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	222022	0.086	202		0.137	0.151	1222	12/22/2	0.03	0.383	0.049	121010105	0.09	0.094
Mg#	0.902	0.521	0.92	0.899	0.456	0.444	0.902	0.906	0.567	0.454	0.605	0.906	0.632	0.683
Cr/(Cr + AI) Ca/(Ca + Na)		0.526			0.544	0.579			0.538	0.589	0.54		0.542	0.508
$Fe^{3+}/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$		0.043			0.07	0.077			0.015	0.187	0.025		0.046	0.047
$Al/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$		0.454			0.424	0.389			0.455	0.334	0.448		0.437	0.468
$Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$		0.503			0.506	0.535			0.529	0.479	0.527		0.517	0.484
		010.00				00000				2010	500-27			

	F	larzburgite	×							
	147 (Piec	7-895D-4R e 2A, 7–9	-4 cm)	147-895D-7R-3 (Piece 2, 11-13 cm)			147-895 (Piece 1,	5E-7R-4 8–10 cm)		
	oll	sp1	cpx1	ol1	spl	ol1	sp1	sp2	opx	cpx
SiO <sub>2</sub>	41.01	0.24	53.06	41.46	0.55	40.88	0.45	0.44	56.09	53.46
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.07	0.03	0.13	0	0.06	0.07	0.18	0.12	0.21	0.03
Al <sub>2</sub> Õ <sub>3</sub>	0.27	26.57	3.12	0.18	24.7	0	24.38	26.07	2.05	2.28
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.04	42.1	1.34	0.1	42.39	0.11	44.37	42.75	0.9	1.19
FeO*	8.95	15.65	2.78	8.48	17.68	9.2	16.93	17.9	5.78	2.38
MnO	0.17	0	0.15	0.17	0.05	0.13	0.61	0.68	0.17	0
MgO	49.66	14.91	18.98	49.86	13 77	49.12	12.77	12.35	31.49	17.07
CaO	0.15	0.22	21.14	0.06	0.24	0.1	0.18	0.17	3.05	23.46
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
K <sub>2</sub> Ô	0.01	ŏ	0.01	0.02	0.05	0	0.03	0.04	ŏ	ŏ
PaOr	0	õ	0	0	0.11	0.23	0.13	0.07	0.37	0.11
NiO	0.25	0.13	0.12	0.4	0.1	0.46	0.12	0.17	0.09	0.18
Total	100.77	99.85	100.83	100.73	99.7	100.3	100.15	100.76	100.2	100.16
0	4	4	6	4	4	4	4	4	6	6
Si	0.995	0.007	1.906	1.003	0.016	0.997	0.013	0.013	1.942	1.939
Ti	0.001	0	0.003	0	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.005	0.001
Al	0.007	0.941	0.132	0.005	0.888	0	0.875	0.919	0.083	0.097
Cr	0	1	0.038	0.001	1.022	0.002	1.069	1.026	0.024	0.034
Fe#	0.181	0.393	0.083	0.171	0.451	0.187	0.431	0.455	0.167	0.071
Mn	0.003	0	0.004	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.015	0.017	0.005	0
Mg	1.795	0.668	1.016	1.797	0.626	1.785	0.58	0.559	1.624	0.922
Ca	0.004	0.007	0.813	0.001	0.007	0.002	0.005	0.005	0.113	0.911
Na	0.009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
К	0	ŏ	0	0	0.001	õ	0.001	0.001	ŏ	õ
P	õ	Ő	õ	ŏ	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.001	0.011	0.003
Ni	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.007	0.002	0.009	0.002	0.004	0.002	0.005
Total	3.004	3.021	4.004	2.993	3.023	2.993	3.004	3.008	3.98	3.988
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.181	0.333	0.083	0.171	0.369	0.187	0.402	0.426	0.167	0.071
Fe <sup>3+</sup>		0.06			0.082		0.021	0.025		
Mg#	0.908	0.668	0.924	0.913	0.629	0.905	0.59	0.568	0.907	0.928
Cr/(Cr + Al) Ca/(Ca + Na)		0.515			0.535		0.55	0.528		
K/(K + Na)		0.03			0.041					
$Fe^{3+}/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$		0.47			0.446		0.011	0.013		
$Al/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$		0.5			0.513		0.445	0.466		
$Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$							0.544	0.521		

Table 3 (continued).

Chromite pod	147-895E-6R-2 (Piece 5E, 77-80 cm)						
	sp3-1 (core)	sp3-2 (rim)	cpx (included by chromite)	jadeite	amph	opx	plag
SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.15	0.08	52.78	58.11	45.59	57.64	68.33
TiO <sub>3</sub>	0.64	0.58	0.23	0.10	3.21	0.12	0.05
Al <sub>2</sub> Ô <sub>3</sub>	25.65	26.57	3.60	25.41	10.07	0.76	19.24
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	41.73	40.69	1.81	0.93	2.90	1.39	1.25
FeO*	17.31	17.43	2.64	0.39	2.68	5.12	0.49
MnO	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.02
MgO	15.02	15.16	17.40	0.00	17.88	34.81	0.79
CaO	0.13	0.15	21.91	0.34	10.34	0.61	1.19
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.00	0.00	0.24	14.71	3.97	0.00	11.00
K <sub>2</sub> Ō	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.09	0.10	0.00	0.05
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.30	0.05	0.21	0.27
NiO	0.27	0.31	0.00	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.01
Total	100.92	100.98	100.78	100.45	96.9	100.98	102.69
0	4	4	6	6	23	6	8
Si	0.004	0.002	1.902	1.952	6.498	1.968	2.932
Ti	0.014	0.013	0.006	0.002	0.343	0.003	0.001
Al	0.906	0.935	0.153	1.006	1.691	0.030	0.973
Cr	0.989	0.961	0.051	0.025	0.327	0.037	0.042
Fe*	0.434	0.435	0.079	0.011	0.317	0.145	0.017
Mn	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.000
Mg	0.671	0.675	0.934	0.000	3.797	1.761	0.050
Ca	0.004	0.004	0.846	0.013	1.578	0.022	0.054
Na	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.988	1.097	0.000	0.914
K	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.018	0.000	0.003
P	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.007	0.006	0.010
Ni	0.006	0.007	0.000	0.002	0.012	0.001	0.000
Total	3.032	3.036	3.996	4.011	15.685	3.985	4.996
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	0.320	0.318	0.079			0.145	
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.086	0.091					
Mg#	0.677	0.680	0.922			0.924	
Cr/(Cr + AI)	0.522	0.507					0.056
K/(K + Na)							0.050
$Fe^{3+}/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$	0.044	0.046					
$AI/(Cr + AI + Fe^{3+})$	0.457	0.471					
$Cr/(Cr + Al + Fe^{3+})$	0.499	0.484					

Table 4. Selected microprobe analyses of minerals in chromite pod from Site 895, Hess Deep.

Notes: Representative analysis is listed for each mineral in all samples examined. If intra- and intergrain heterogeneity was detected, more than two analyses are listed for the mineral. First and second numbers after mineral names indicate intergrain and intragrain points, respectively. Cationic ratios of spinel were calculated assuming spinel stoichiometry after subtracting all Ti as ulvospinel molecule (Fc<sub>2</sub>TiO<sub>4</sub>). Abbreviations for minerals are as follows: ol = olivine; opx = orthopyroxene; cpx = Ca-rich clinopyroxene; plag = plagioclase; sp = chromian spinel; amph = pargasite; pll = phlogopite. \*cpx3 = manle; \*opx1 = core; \*opx2 = rim of a composite grain in a troctolite (147-895C-4R-2-8, 141-144 cm). FeO\* = total iron as FeO; Fe<sup>+</sup> = total iron as Fe. Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> = number of ferrous and ferric iron cations, respectively, on O = 4 for chromian spinel. Mg# = Mg/(Mg + Fe<sup>2+</sup>) atomic ratio for chromian spinel, and Mg/(Mg + Fe<sup>+</sup>) atomic ratio for silicates.



Figure 4. Relationship between Fo of olivine and Cr/(Cr + Al) of coexisting chromian spinel in the Hess Deep rocks. The region between the broken lines is the olivine-spinel mantle array, where mantle-derived spinel peridotites plot (Arai, 1994a).

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 6. Relationship between  $TiO_2$  and  $Cr_2O_3$  of clinopyroxene in the Hess Deep rocks. Clinopyroxene from the Bushveld cumulates (Atkins, 1969) is plotted for comparison. (included by sp) = inclusion in spinel.

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 5. Relationship between  $TiO_2$  and  $Mg/(Mg + Fe^{2+})$  in clinopyroxene in the Hess Deep rocks. The melt should successively precipitate Ti-rich, Mg-poor clinopyroxenes during a simple crystal fractionation (solid arrow), whereas the melt with orthopyroxene dissolution and olivine plus spinel crystallization may successively precipitate Mg-rich, Ti-poor clinopyroxene (open arrow). (included by sp) = inclusion in spinel.

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

Figure 7. Relationship between Cr/(Cr + Al) and  $Mg/(Mg + Fe^{2+})$  of chromian spinel in the Hess Deep rocks.

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 8. Relationship between  $TiO_2$  and  $Fe^{3+}$  atomic ratio of chromian spinel in the Hess Deep rocks.

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 9. Relationship between  $TiO_2$  and Cr/(Cr + Al) of chromian spinel in the Hess Deep rocks. The solid arrow shows a possible trend resulting from simple crystal fractionation, whereas the open arrow indicates a possible trend from the dissolution of orthopyroxene and precipitation of olivine plus spinel.

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 10. Relationship between Cr/(Cr + AI) of spinel and Fo of olivine. MORB, oceanic peridotites, and the "fractionation trend" for MORB (dotted line) are compared with the Hess Deep trend (arrow labeled HD). OSMA indicates the olivine-spinel mantle array (Arai, 1994a). Densely and sparsely hatched areas indicate probable and less probable restites for MORB, respectively.

Figure 12. Model for the concentration of chromian spinel in heterogeneous troctolite (see Fig. 2).

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 11. A model for the formation of two kinds of dunite by mantle-melt interaction: plagioclase-free dunite with a low-Ti mineralogy and plagioclase-bearing dunite with high-Ti mineralogy. The former dunite forms if the involved melt is slightly stagnated, whereas the latter one forms if the melt is highly stagnated. Ti enrichment occurs in the frontal zone of interaction, where highly evolved melt is involved.

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

Plate 1. Photomicrographs and an SEM micrograph of the Hess Deep rocks from Hole 895. Plane-polarized light and scale bar = 0.1 mm, if not otherwise indicated. **1.** Harzburgite (Sample 147-895E-7R-4, [Piece 1, 8–10 cm]) with a weak porphyroclastic texture. Note that the orthopyroxene is crystallized into several grains. Chromian spinel, at center right, is highly anhedral. **2.** Inclusion trains in olivine in plagioclase-free dunite (Sample 147-895C-4R-3 [Piece 4, 41–43 cm]). Scale bar = 0.05 mm. **3.** Olivine with lamellar inclusions of spinel in plagioclase-free dunite (Sample 147-895C-4R-3 [Piece 4, 41–43 cm]). Scale bar = 0.05 mm. **4.** Clinopyroxene in a possible wehrlite (Sample 147-895C-3R-1 [Piece 22, 130–133 cm]). Note the large size of the clinopyroxene. **5.** SEM micrograph of chromian spinel with a sieve texture in the chromitite pod (Sample 147-895E-6R-2 [Piece 5E, 77–80 cm]) (Fig. 3). Scale bar = 1 mm. **6.** Kinked olivine in a heterogeneous troctolite (Sample 147-895C-4R-2 [Piece 6B, 110–114 cm]). Cross-polarized light. **7.** Subhedral chromian spinel in an olivine clot from a heterogeneous troctolite (Sample 147-895C-4R-2 [Piece 6B, 110–114 cm]). Note that the spinel is free of mineral inclusions. **8.** Anhedral chromian spinel in an olivine-poor part of a heterogeneous troctolite (Sample 147-895C-4R-2 [Piece 6B, 110–114 cm]). Note that the spinel has rounded mineral inclusions. **9.** Polymineralic inclusions in chromian spinel of a heterogeneous troctolite (Sample 147-895C-4R-2 [Piece 6B, 110–114 cm]). Note that the spinel has rounded mineral inclusions. **9.** Polymineralic inclusions in chromian spinel of a heterogeneous troctolite (Sample 147-895C-4R-2 [Piece 6B, 110–114 cm]). The boundary between the pyroxenes (O) rimmed by clinopyroxene (C) in olivine-rich dunitic troctolite (Sample 147-895C-4R-2 [Piece 8, 141–144 cm]). The boundary between the pyroxenes is highlighted by a dashed line. **11.** Rutile and kaersutite (K) in olivine-rich troctolite (Sample 147-895C-4R-2 [Piece 1B, 36–41 cm]). **12.** Clin

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Plate 1 (continued).