3. EXPLANATORY NOTES¹

Shipboard Scientific Party²

INTRODUCTION

In this chapter, we have assembled information that will help the reader understand the basis for our preliminary conclusions and also help the interested investigator select samples for further analysis. This information concerns only shipboard operations and analyses described in the site reports in the Initial Reports volume of the Leg 160 Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program. Methods used by various investigators for shore-based analyses of Leg 160 data will be detailed in the individual scientific contributions published in the Scientific Results volume.

AUTHORSHIP OF SITE CHAPTERS

The separate sections of the site chapters were written by the following shipboard scientists (authors are listed in alphabetical order, no seniority is implied):

- Principal Results: Emeis, Robertson
- Background and Objectives: Emeis, Robertson
- Geological Setting: Robertson
- Operations: Pollard, Richter
- Site Geophysics: Woodside
- Lithostratigraphy: Blanck-Valleron, Cramp, Flecker, Frankel, Kemp, Kopf, Major, Sakamoto
- Biostratigraphy and Sedimentation Rates: Di Stefano, Howell, Koizumi, Spezzaferri, Staerker
- Inorganic Geochemistry: Brumsack, De Lange
- Composite Depths: Janecek
- Organic Geochemistry: Bouloubassi, Rullkötter
- Paleomagnetism: Roberts, Stoner
- Structural Geology: Flecker, Kopf
- Downhole Measurements: Jurado-Rodriguez, Rabaute, Woodside
- Heat-flow Measurements: Pribnow
- Site Geophysics: Woodside
- Summary and Conclusions: Emeis, Robertson
- Appendix: Shipboard Scientific Party

Following the text of the site chapter are summary core descriptions ("barrel sheets") and photographs of each core.

USE OF “Ma” VS. “m.y.”

1. Ma is equivalent to and replaces m.y. B.P. (million years before present) to denote age, for example, 35–40 Ma.
2. m.y. is used to denote a number of years, such as “... for 5 m.y. in the early Miocene.”

²Shipboard Scientific Party is given in the list preceding the Table of Contents.
Some sections less than 1.5 m in length are also cut if the core liner is severely damaged.

Cores taken from a hole are numbered serially from the top of the hole downward. Core numbers and their associated cored intervals in meters below seafloor ideally are unique in a given hole; however, this may not be true if an interval is cored twice, the borehole wall caves in, or other hole problems occur. Full recovery for a single core is 9.5 m of rock or sediment contained in a plastic liner (6.6-cm internal diameter) plus about 0.2 m (without a plastic liner) in the core catcher (Fig. 2). The core catcher is a device at the bottom of the core barrel that prevents the core from sliding out when the barrel is being retrieved from the hole. In many cores recovered with the extended core barrel or the advanced hydraulic piston corer, recovery exceeds the 9.5-m theoretical maximum by as much as 0.60 m. The cause of this expansion is not fully understood. The recovered core in its liner is divided into 1.5-m sections that are numbered serially from the top (Fig. 2). When full recovery is obtained, the sections are numbered from 1 through 7, with the last section generally being shorter than 1.5 m. Rarely, a core may require more than seven sections; this is usually the result of gas expansion having caused voids within some sections. When less than full recovery is obtained, as many sections as are needed to accommodate the length of the core will be used; for example, 4 m of core would be divided into two 1.5-m sections and a 1-m section. If cores are fragmented (recovery less than 100%), sections are numbered serially and intervening sections are noted as void, whether shipboard scientists believe that the fragments were contiguous in situ or not. In rare cases, a section less than 1.5 m may be cut to preserve features of interest. Some sections less than 1.5 m in length are also cut if the core liner is severely damaged.

By convention, material recovered from the core catcher is placed immediately below the last section when the core is described and is labeled core catcher (CC); in sedimentary cores, it is treated as a separate section. The core catcher is assigned the depth of the top of the cored interval in cases where material is recovered only in the core catcher (this convention differs from that used in the early days of deep-sea drilling) although information from the driller of other sources may indicate from what depth it was actually recovered.

When the recovered core is shorter than the cored interval, the top of the core is equated with the top of the cored interval by convention, to achieve consistency when handling analytical data derived from the cores. Samples removed from the cores are designated by distance, measured in centimeters from the top of the section to the top and bottom of each sample removed from that section. A complete identification number for a sample consists of the following information: leg, site, hole, core number, core type, section number, piece number (for hard rock), and interval in centimeters, measured from the top of section. For example, a sample identification of "160-963A-15H-6, 10-12 cm" would be interpreted as representing a sample removed from the interval between 10 and 12 cm below the top of Section 6, Core 15 (H designates that this core was taken by the advanced hydraulic piston corer) of Hole 963A during Leg 160. A computer routine was available to calculate the depth in mbsf from any correctly formulated ODP sample designation; this avoids inconsistencies that frequently arise on those occasions where some sections were cut to nonstandard lengths. Although depth in mbsf is an invaluable convention, it is not ideal especially for high-resolution work; see the "Composite Depths" section (this chapter).

All ODP core and sample identifiers indicate core type. The following abbreviations are used: R = rotary core barrel (RCB); H = hydraulic piston core (HPC; also referred to as APC, or advanced hydraulic piston core); P = pressure core barrel; X = extended core barrel (XCB); B = drill-bit recovery; C = center-bit recovery; I = in
boundaries. The archive halves were then described visually and photographed with both black-and-white and color film, a whole core at a time. Close-up photographs (black-and-white) were taken of particular features, as requested by individual scientists, for illustrations in the summary of each site. The archive halves of cores from the second complete hole at each site (generally the “C” hole) were run through the cryogenic magnetometer prior to description to provide those scientists sampling physical properties guidance in choosing sample intervals so as not to disturb significant magnetic reversal boundaries. The archive halves were then described visually and finally photographed.

Core Handling

As soon as a core was retrieved on deck, a sample taken from the core catcher was given to the paleontological laboratory for an initial age assessment. Special care was taken in transferring the core from the drill floor to a long horizontal rack on a catwalk near the core laboratory so that the core did not bend or twist excessively. The core was capped immediately, and gas samples were taken by piercing the core liner and withdrawing gas into a vacuum tube. Voids within the core were sought as sites for gas sampling. Some of the gas samples were stored for shore-based study, but others were analyzed immediately as part of the shipboard safety and pollution-prevention program. Next, the core was marked into section lengths of 150 cm, each section was labeled, and the core was cut into sections. Intertidal water (IW) and whole-round physical properties (PP) samples were also taken at this time. In addition, some headspace gas samples were taken from the end of cut sections on the catwalk and sealed in glass vials for light hydrocarbon analysis. Afterward, each section was sealed at the top and bottom by gluing on color-coded plastic caps: blue to identify the top of a section and clear for its bottom. A yellow cap was placed on the section ends from which a whole-round sample had been removed. The caps were usually attached to the liner by coating the end liner and the inside rim of the cap with acetone and then attaching the caps to the liners.

The cores were then carried into the laboratory, where the sections were labeled with an engraver to mark the complete designation of the section permanently. The length of the core in each section and the core-catcher sample were measured to the nearest centimeter. This information was logged into the shipboard CORELOG database program.

Whole-round sections from APC and XCB cores normally were run through the multisensor track (MST). The MST includes the gamma-ray attenuation porosity evaluator (GRAPE), P-wave logger, natural gamma-ray emission measurement device, and volume magnetic susceptibility meter. The core-catcher sample is not run through the MST track, for which reason we avoided using it for final biostratigraphic work. In most cases, the temperature of each core was measured prior to MST analysis. After the core had equilibrated to room temperature (approximately 3 hr), soft sediments were measured for thermal conductivity prior to being split. Cores were split lengthwise into working and archive halves. Softer cores were split with a wire. Harder cores were split using a diamond saw. The wire-cut cores were split from the top to bottom so that sediment below the voids or soupy intervals that may be present at the top of Section 1 would not be drawn into the voids.

After splitting, working and archive halves of each section were designated. The archive half then was described visually. Smear slides were made from samples taken from the archive half and the reflective characteristics were measured with a hand-held spectrophotometer. This was followed by our running the archive half of the core through the cryogenic magnetometer. Finally, the cores were photographed with both black-and-white and color film, a whole core at a time. Close-up photographs (black-and-white) were taken of particular features, as requested by individual scientists, for illustrations in the summary of each site. The archive halves of cores from the second complete hole at each site (generally the “C” hole) were run through the cryogenic magnetometer prior to description to provide those scientists sampling physical properties guidance in choosing sample intervals so as not to disturb significant magnetic reversal boundaries. The archive halves were then described visually and finally photographed.

EXPLANATORY NOTES
### Description of Sediments

**Major Lithology:**
The dominant sediment in this core is **CLAYEY NANNOFOSIL Ooze** gradationally color banded at meter intervals through browns and grays (10YR 6/3 to 5Y 6/3).

**Minor Lithologies:**
Six Sapropeals, which are less than 10 cm thick, significantly burrowed, and with diffuse boundaries, occur throughout the core. Several thin ASH horizons are scattered through the core.

**General Description:**
The sediments in this core are heavily bioturbated throughout.

---

**Figure 3.** Example of a Visual Core Description form produced for sediments and sedimentary rocks on Leg 160.

**Figure 4.** Key to symbols used in the “Graphic Lithology” column of the core description form.
thickness. These may be indicated by the banding symbol in the “Structure” column and in the lithologic description text on the VCD form.

**Chronostratigraphy**

The chronostratigraphic unit, as recognized on the basis of paleontological criteria, is shown in the “Age” column. The biostratigraphic zonations used are presented in the “Biostratigraphy” section of this chapter.

**Sedimentary Structures**

In sediment cores, natural structures and structures created by the coring process can be difficult to distinguish. Natural sedimentary structures observed are indicated in the “Structure” column of the VCD form. The column is divided into three vertical areas. The intensity of bioturbation is shown in the central portion of the “Structure” column of the VCD in the conventional manner (slight, moderate, heavy). The symbols on the two side portions of the “Structure” column indicate the location of individual bedding features, thin color banding, and any other sedimentary features, such as nodules, disseminated pyrite, and discrete trace fossils such as Zoophycos. An example of the layout of the “Structure” column from the VCD form is provided in Figure 5. The symbols used to describe each of these primary and secondary biogenic and physical sedimentary structures are presented in Figure 6.

**Sedimentary Disturbance**

Sediment disturbance resulting from the coring process is shown in the “Disturbance” column of the core description form. Blank regions indicate an absence of drilling disturbance. The degree of drilling disturbance is described for soft and firm sediments using the following categories:

1. Slightly disturbed: bedding contacts are slightly deformed;
2. Moderately disturbed: bedding contacts have undergone extreme bowing;
3. Highly disturbed: bedding is completely deformed as flow-in, coring/drilling slough, and other soft-sediment stretching and/or compressional shearing structures attributed to the coring/drilling process; and
4. Soupy: intervals are water saturated and have lost all traces of original bedding.

The degree of fracturing in indurated/lithified sediments is described using the following categories:

1. Slightly fractured: core pieces are in place and broken;
2. Moderately fractured: core pieces are in place or partly displaced, and the original orientation is preserved or recognizable (drilling slurry may surround fragments, i.e., drilling/coring ‘biscuits’ are evident);
3. Highly fractured: core pieces are from the interval cored and probably in the correct stratigraphic sequence (although they may not represent the entire section), but the original orientation is completely lost; and

![Figure 5. Layout of the sedimentary “Structure” column on the VCD form shown in Figure 3.](image-url)

![Figure 6. A. Symbols used for drilling disturbance in the “Disturbance” column of the visual core description form. B. Symbols used to indicate color banding, bioturbation, and isolated sedimentary structures/trace fossils/macrosfossils in the “Structure” column of the VCD form.](image-url)
4. Drilling breccia: core pieces have lost their original orientation and stratigraphic position and may be mixed with drilling slurry.

Color

The hue and chroma attributes of color (Munsell) were determined using the hand-held Minolta CM-2002 spectrophotometer as soon as possible after the cores were split. The color scanner was also used to measure reflected visible light in the range between 400 and 700 nm. These reflectance measurements were routinely taken at 2- to 5-cm intervals on all cores and were augmented with additional readings across distinct lithologic boundaries.

Samples

The position of samples taken from each core for shipboard analysis is indicated in the “Sample” column on the core description form. The symbol “S” indicates the location of smear-slide samples, the symbol “T” indicates the location of thin-section samples, and the symbol “M” indicates the location of paleontology samples. The notations “I” and “P” designate the location of samples for whole-round interstitial water geochemistry and physical property analyses, respectively.

Smear-slide Summary

A table summarizing data from smear-slide and thin-section analyses appears in Section 6 of this Initial Reports volume. This table includes information about the sample location, whether the sample represents a dominant (D) or a minor (M) lithology in the core, and the estimated percentages of sand, silt, and clay, together with all identified components.

Lithologic Description

The lithologic description that appears on each VCD consists of three components:

1. A heading that lists the major sediment lithologies observed in the core;
2. A general description of these sediments, including location, occurrence, and frequency of significant features such as color banding; and
3. The description and location of minor lithologies such as individual beds/layers and trace fossils.

Reference is also made in the description to sediment disturbance produced by natural processes and/or by the coring/drilling process. A description of structural features is given in the “Structural Geology” section (this chapter).

Classification

Leg 160 sedimentologists adopted the standard ODP sediment classification of Mazzullo et al. (1987). The classification identifies a granular sediment by designating a principal name (sediment class) together with major and minor modifiers (texture, composition, and fabric) (Fig. 7).

Principal Names

For pelagic sediment, the principal name describes the composition and degree of consolidation using the following terms:

1. Ooze: unconsolidated calcareous and/or siliceous biogenic sediments;
2. A general description of these sediments, including location, occurrence, and frequency of significant features such as color banding; and
3. The description and location of minor lithologies such as individual beds/layers and trace fossils.

Figure 7. Sediment classification scheme adopted for Leg 160.

1. Ooze: unconsolidated calcareous and/or siliceous biogenic sediments;
2. Chalk: firm biogenic sediment composed predominantly of calcareous biogenic grains with shear strengths >200 kPa; and
3. Limestone: hard pelagic sediment composed predominantly of calcareous pelagic grains.

For siliciclastic sediment, the principal name describes the texture and is assigned according to the following guidelines:

1. The Udden-Wentworth grain-size scale (Wentworth, 1922) defines the grain-size ranges and the names of the textural groups (gravel, sand, silt, and clay) and subgroups (fine sand, coarse silt, etc.) that are used as the principal names of siliciclastic sediment;
2. If two or more textural groups or subgroups are present in a siliciclastic sediment, they are listed as principal names in order of increasing abundance; and
3. The suffix “-stone” is affixed to the principal names sand, silt, and clay if the sediment is lithified.

Major and Minor Modifiers

The principal name of a granular sediment class is preceded by major modifiers and is followed by minor modifiers (preceded by the term “with”) that describe the lithology of the granular sediment in greater detail. The most common use of major and minor modifiers is to describe the composition and textures of grain types that are present in major (>25%) and minor (10% to 25%) proportions. Note that modifiers are always listed in order of increasing abundance. For example, an unconsolidated pelagic sediment containing 30% clay, 15% foraminifers, and 55% nannofossils would be called a clayey nannofossil ooze with foraminifers.

Sapropel Nomenclature and Sapropel Description Sheets

Leg 160 decided not to adopt the much cited nomenclature proposed by Kidd et al. (1978) because this quantitative definition produces an arbitrary division between organic-rich sediments based on organic carbon content, which may not accurately reflect the depositional process. The definition proposed by Hilgen (1991b) was adopted to describe all organic-rich sediments recovered. As such, the term “sapropel” is used to define all organic-rich sediments.

As one of the main objectives of Leg 160 was to investigate the depositional history of organic-rich sediment (sapropel) layers in the Mediterranean, a standardized sapropel description sheet was pro-
duced (Fig. 8). These description sheets were completed for most sapropels using the standard ODP nomenclature as outlined above.

**BIOSRTAGRAPHY**

**Chronological Framework**

Four microfossil groups were examined in Leg 160's paleontological study: calcareous nannofossils, planktonic and benthic foraminifers, and the protophytae incertae sedis Bolboforma (where present). Age assignments were initially determined from core-catcher samples. Additional samples (1–3 samples per section for nannofossils and 1–2 samples for planktonic foraminifers) were then studied in order to obtain a higher resolution biostratigraphy.

Correlations between biostratigraphic zonations and the magnetic polarity reversal record, where available, are based on Hilgen (1991b), Shackleton et al. (1990), and Cande and Kent (1995) for the Pliocene-Pleistocene interval. For older sediments, Cande and Kent (1995) and Gradstein et al. (1994) were adopted. A summary of the zonal schemes used in this study and the absolute time scales are presented in Figures 9 through 12. Dates for the magnetic polarity boundaries shown in Figure 9 are given in Table 1, following Cande and Kent (1995). Some minor changes in the form of additional datums are discussed in the individual sections for particular fossil groups.

Following the recent proposal on the chronostratigraphic subdivision of the Pliocene (Cita et al., in press), the boundary between the early Pliocene (Zanclean) and the middle Pliocene (Piacenzian) is recognized by the last occurrence (LO) of Globorotalia puncticulata. No bioevents are present in coincidence with the boundary between the middle Pliocene (Piacenzian) and the late Pliocene (Gelasian). The best approximation for its recognition is the LO of Discoaster pentaradiatus. In the stratotype boundary (Monte San Nicola section, Sicily) this event is about 0.089 Ma younger than the boundary stratotype. The boundary between the late Pliocene (Gelasian) and the Pleistocene, with reference to the Vrica stratotype boundary section (Calabria, Italy), is best approximated by the first increase of left-coiling Neogloborobiadrina pachyderma and by the first occurrence (FO) of Gephyrocapsa oceanica s.l. The definition of the early/middle Pleistocene boundary is still under discussion (Cita and Castradini, 1995). One of the proposed options is the recognition of this boundary at the FO of Gephyrocapsa sp. 3, which we followed for Leg 160.

Sample positions, fossil abundance, preservation, and age or zone designations for each fossil group were recorded for each core using the computer program FossilList.

**Calcareous Nannofossils**

Nannofossil assemblages were identified from smear slides prepared from each sample using 3 × 1 in. slides and 22 × 30 × 0.15 mm cover slips mounted with Norland Optical adhesive #61. Additional smear slides were prepared from intervals within the core where lithologic changes and clasts were observed. The taxa identification was performed on board using a Zeiss Axioscope under plane-polarized, phase-contrast, and cross-polarized light at magnifications of approximately 400× and 1000×.

Abundance estimates of nannofossils were made on optimum density areas of the smear slide, that is, areas where most of the field was covered with sample material without appreciable piling of specimens or other material. The estimates of nannofossils in each slide were made using a magnification of 1000×. The relative abundance of nannofossil species in a given sample was estimated using the following categories:

A = abundant: usually more than 10 specimens per field of view,
C = common: 1–10 specimens per field of view,
F = few: 1 specimen per 1–100 fields of view, and

The global markers commonly used for nannofossil biostratigraphy are those of Martini (1971) and Okada and Bukry (1980). Because most of these markers are either absent or rare in most Mediterranean geologic sequences from the Miocene to the Holocene, the zonation schemes proposed by Rio et al. (1990), Theodo-ridis (1984), Fornaciari and Rio (in press), and Fornaciari et al. (in press) are used in this study (Fig. 9). The zonation proposed by Rio...
et al. (1990) was used to subdivide the Pliocene and Pleistocene sediments, but the top of the MNN1fa Zone is recognized by the last common occurrence (LCO) of Discoaster taminis as proposed by Sprovieri et al. (in press) and reported by Sprovieri (1993). This event predates the D. taminis LO by approximately 0.04 Ma. The zonal scheme of Theodoridis (1984) was adopted for upper Miocene sediments, and the zonation schemes proposed by Fornaciari and Rio (in press) and Fornaciari et al. (in press) were applied for middle and lower Miocene biostratigraphic interpretations (Fig. 10). The standard zonation of Martini (1971) was used for the Middle Eocene (Fig. 11), and the zonation of Erba et al. (in press) was used for the Upper Cretaceous nannofossil assemblages (Fig. 12).

### Planktonic Foraminifers

Age determinations for the Pliocene and Pleistocene sequences identified in this study are based on planktonic foraminiferal zonal schemes proposed by Cita (1975), as emended by Sprovieri (1992, 1993) (Fig. 9). Reworked assemblages were classified according to the zonation of Iaccarino (1985) for the middle and upper Miocene (Fig. 10) and the zonation of Spezzaferri (1994); the latter zonation is used only for the base of the Miocene. 1 = Globorotalia siakensis/Globigerinoides obliquus obliquus Zone; 2 = Globigerinoides subquadratus Zone; 3 = Globorotalia praequadrata/Globorotalia peripheronella Zone; 4 = Orbulina universa Zone; and 5 = Orbulina suturalis Zone. The ages reported on the left side are from Cande and Kent (1992). Because Miocene bioevents in the Mediterranean Sea are not yet calibrated to the magnetic polarity time scale, the ages are only tentative at this stage. FCO = first common occurrence; LCO = last common occurrence; PE = paracene end; PB = paracene beginning; AE = age event.

Approximately 10 cm³ of sediment was disaggregated in distilled water (and sometimes hydrogen peroxide) and washed under running water through a 63-µm-mesh sieve. Dried residues were then processed through 63–150-, 150–250-, and >250-µm sieves. The dried samples were examined under a binocular microscope.

The following letters were used to indicate abundance:

- **A** = abundant: >10 specimens/field of view
- **C** = common: 1–10 specimens/field of view
- **F** = few: 1 specimen/2–10 fields of view
- **R** = rare: 1 specimen/10 fields of view, and
- **B** = barren: no planktonic foraminifers

The state of preservation of planktonic foraminifers was described as follows:

- **G** = good: little or no fragmentation, overgrowth, and/or dissolution
- **M** = moderate: some signs of fragmentation, overgrowth, and/or dissolution
- **D** = dissolution; and
Bolboforma

Bolboforma is a protophyte incertae sedis, probably a calcareous alga, typical of high to middle latitudes. Bolboforma is an important marker for Eocene, lower Oligocene, and middle Miocene to lower Pliocene sediments, especially where all other calcareous microfossils are absent or removed by dissolution. In the Mediterranean Sea this microfossil is poorly known; however, its presence is documented in several DSDP holes and outcrops in the central Paratethys (Spiegl and Rögl, 1992).

The samples analyzed for planktonic foraminiferal content are also suitable for Bolboforma studies.
Molspin spinner magnetometer. The sensing coils in the cryogenic magnetometer measure the magnetization over an interval of approximately 15 cm, with the coils for each axis having slightly different response curves. The large volume of material sensed (about 200 to 300 cm³) gives the cryogenic system excellent sensitivity despite the relatively high background noise aboard the ship. An alternating field (AF) demagnetizer, capable of peak AFs of 35 mT (2-G model 2G600) is aligned with the pass-through system. Peak AFs of 20–25 mT were used routinely during Leg 160 because constant use at higher field settings can cause excessive heating of the demagnetizing coils.

The magnetic susceptibility of unsplit core sections was measured using a Bartington Instruments model MS1 susceptibility meter attached to a MS1/CX 80-mm whole-core sensor loop that operates at 0.465 kHz. The full width of the impulse response peak (at one-half maximum) is less than 5 cm. The susceptibility loop is mounted in line with the other physical properties sensors (GRAPE, natural gamma, and P-wave) on the multisensor track. Stepwise demagnetization of single samples was normally achieved with a Schonstedt model GSD-1 AF demagnetizer, which is capable of demagnetizing discrete samples to 100 nT. Thermal demagnetization was not conducted owing to the time constraints imposed by the high rate of core recovery during Leg 160.

Ancillary laboratory equipment available during Leg 160 included a Kappabridge KLY-2 susceptibility bridge, which was used for most discrete sample measurements of bulk susceptibility and for determining the anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility. A DTECH magnetizer was used to impart anhysteretic remanent magnetizations with a peak AF of 100 mT and a bias field of 0.05 mT, and an ASC impulse magnetizer was used to produce isothermal remanent magnetizations.

**Paleomagnetic Measurements**

Virtually all of the paleomagnetic measurements were made using the cryogenic magnetometer. The bulk of these were made on archive-half sections. Pass-through paleomagnetic measurements were made on the archive halves of the 1.5-m core sections for all APC cores for every hole at each site. We routinely measured the natural remanent magnetization (NRM) after AF demagnetization at either 20 or 25 mT at 10-cm measurement intervals. Because of the limitations of the long-core measurement technique, difficulties were encountered in obtaining reliable paleomagnetic results from the sediments recovered during Leg 160 (see Roberts et al., this volume, for a more complete description). It was therefore necessary to undertake full stepwise demagnetization of discrete samples to elucidate the magnetic polarity stratigraphy at most sites.

The ODP core orientation convention designates the X-axis as the horizontal (in situ) axis radiating from the center of the core through the space between a double line inscribed lengthwise on the working half of each core liner. All declinations reported are relative declinations measured with respect to this double line.

**Core Orientation**

Orientation of the APC cores was conducted using the Tensor orientation tool. This tool consists of a three-component fluxgate magnetometer and a three-component accelerometer that are rigidly attached to the core barrel and record the drift of the hole and orientation of the double fiducial line on the plastic core liner. The Tensor tool was set to record at 30 s intervals. The data were downloaded from the tool after several APC runs. All of the internal memory of the tool (1023 shots) was written to a file, which was named to describe the hole as well as the first and last cores recorded in the file (e.g., file "963B1924" contains data from Tensor tool shots taken for Cores 160-963B-19H through 24H). Core orientation was not attempted for the first two APC cores at each site, before the mud line was established. This precluded the possibility of damage to the orientation tool owing to the shock of a corer stroking out above the mud line. Otherwise, core orientations were taken for most APC cores. Notes on orientation tool runs (start time, hold-off time, tool number, and bottom time) were included in a file titled "SHOTS.TXT."

In cases where no orientation data were available from the Tensor tool, the measured declinations from the discrete-sample measurements, averaged to 0° for normal polarity and averaged to 180° for reversed polarity, were used to orient the core. Where sufficient data were available, these orientations were supplied to the structural geologists to assist in structural analyses of core material.

**Low-field Susceptibility**

Whole-core volume magnetic susceptibility was measured using the automated MST in conjunction with the GRAPE, natural gamma, and P-wave sensors. The magnetic susceptibilities were sufficiently strong to enable measurement on whole-round sections with the Bartington MS1 magnetic susceptibility meter using the low-sensitivity (1.0) range. All measurements were taken in the egS mode. Susceptibility data were archived in raw instrument units, which require a single multiplicative factor of 7.7 x 10⁶ to convert to volume-normalized SI units. This calibration factor was checked by comparing MST susceptibility values over several selected intervals against measurements of discrete samples done with the Kappabridge susceptimeter.

**Magnetostratigraphy**

Where demagnetization successfully isolated the primary component of remanence, paleomagnetic inclinations were used to assign a magnetic polarity to the stratigraphic column. Interpretations of the magnetic polarity stratigraphy, with constraints from the biorstratigraphic data, are presented in the site chapters. During Leg 160, the chron nomenclature from the magnetic polarity time scale of Cande and Kent (1992) was used. However, Cande and Kent (1995) have modified their time scale to incorporate the astronomically calibrated ages of the Pliocene-Pleistocene polarity boundaries given by Hilgen (1991a, 1991b) and Shackleton et al. (1990). The time scale of Cande and Kent (1995) has therefore been adopted for all polarity boundaries identified in the sediments recovered during Leg 160.

**STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY**

The purpose of structural core descriptions is to systematically and quantitatively identify and describe structural features (including bedding) present in the core. Apart from the whole-round samples taken immediately after core recovery, material from both the working and archive halves was examined. The responsibilities of shipboard structural geologists include:

1. Documenting all structures in the core and information concerning the relative timing of the various structures and diagenetic events.
2. Recording the orientation of all structures on the core face and wherever possible, orienting these in three dimensions in the core reference frame.
3. Reorienting structural features from the core reference frame into a geographic framework by applying Tensor orientation tool data and Formation MicroScanner (FMS) data. It was not possible to use primary remanent magnetization orientations to reorient structural information because of the complicated nature of the paleomagnetic signal measured (see "Paleomagnetism" section, this chapter).
4. Obtaining evidence from the style, geometry, and microstructure of individual structures that may have a bearing on the processes and conditions of deformation and on finite strain.
5. Constructing plausible interpretations of tectonic environments and deformation history within the limitations of the shipboard data set.

Limitations

Several problems are inherent in this type of study: incomplete core recovery, drilling-induced deformation, and rotation. Incomplete core recovery may lead to a sampling bias that is particularly acute for structural purposes. For example, material from fault zones is typically not recovered. When faulted rock is recovered, original deformation patterns may be disturbed. It can be difficult to distinguish between drilling-induced disturbance and real tectonic features. Planar structures that traverse a core and are associated with preserved fault rock may be regarded as original tectonic features rather than drilling-induced artifacts. In soft sediments, the degree of disruption of the bedding and other sedimentary structures and the orientation of the structures relative to the side of the core liner can be used as an indication of core-induced ductile disturbance. However, the identification of drilling-related brittle features in layered sediments where the lithologic contrast from layer to layer is high has proven problematic. It is hoped that post-cruise statistical analysis of large fault populations and experimental work will help resolve this issue. Cemented and/or mineral-lined fractures are geological phenomena. Gently plunging striations or polishing marks may be drilling induced, but these can usually be distinguished from pre-existing slickensides owing to their orientation. Features should be considered drilling induced if their origin is in doubt.

Features are initially oriented relative to local reference coordinates (i.e., the core liner reference frame) and subsequently corrected to geographic north and vertical. Potentially, this can be done with data from the Tensor orientation tool (with the APC system only), paleomagnetic study, and the FMS.

Formation MicroScanner

The FMS downhole logging tool is described in the “Downhole Measurements” section of this chapter. FMS logging can be used most successfully for orientation purposes where, for example, regularly inclined bedding or a single, regular joint pattern can be recognized on downhole images and correlated with the core-derived data (e.g., MacLeod et al., 1992, 1994). These images are oriented with respect to geographical coordinates using built-in triaxial magnetometers. Comparison of the features in the cores and on the logs therefore allows the former to be reoriented from the core reference frame to geographical coordinates. Low recovery and the absence of distinctive markers in the borehole may inhibit correlation and reorientation. Detailed comparison of the FMS data with the logs can be made only post-cruise.

The FMS tool was used only in the longer holes at the tectonic sites (e.g., Eratosthenes Seamount and the mud volcano sites).

Tensor Orientation Tool

The Tensor orientation tool provides frequent measurements of the direction and degree of deviation of the hole from the vertical (see “Downhole Measurements” section, this chapter). This tool allows orientation of the APC cores with respect to magnetic north and, hence, positions the arbitrary core reference frame. For XCB and RCB coring, however, rotation of individual rock pieces within the core barrel is common and prohibits use of the Tensor orientation tool. Because of the nature of the top of holes it was generally not possible to use the Tensor tool until Core 3. After obtaining measurements only for one or two of the four to six holes drilled at each of the first two sites, the Tensor tool was run in all APC holes greater than three cores deep.

Core Descriptions

The shipboard structural geologist makes a sketch of the core on the Structural Core Description form (Fig. 13). Features such as bedding, folds, and faults are assigned a sequential reference number. If a core length is relatively devoid of features, the structural geologist may opt not to draw this interval, but merely mark features on the data table (Fig. 14). The feature number is used to link data in the data table to drawings of the feature on the VCD form. In the data table (Fig. 14), the feature is identified using the codes defined in the accompanying list of structural terms (Table 2). The core drawings are scanned and stored as Macintosh PICT files and are found on the CD-ROM in the back pocket of this volume. The working terminology for macroscopic features is shown in Table 2. Gradation and overlap between different features are identified by adding modifiers, descriptive comments, and sketches. Symbols for structures entered in the barrel sheets (see “Lithostratigraphy” section, this chapter) are listed in Figure 6.

Fractures and faults are distinguished from each other on the basis of reasonable evidence for displacement, including truncation or offset of passive markers and the presence of slickensides and striations, fault gouge, or breccias. Veins are characterized by their fill, width, and presence or absence of an alteration halo. The orientation of structural features is noted relative to the core coordinates (Fig. 15).

Measurement of Structures

The orientation of structures is measured using a protractor-like graduated scale, with a pivoting, transparent measuring arm. During measurement, one-half of the arm is aligned with the structure and the other half points to the value of the dip angle on the graduated scale. The apparent dip of features can be determined, both parallel to the split core face and at right angles to it (i.e., along surfaces where the core has broken or material has been artificially removed; cf., Fig. 15). The measurement of two different apparent dips on a structure allows calculation of the “true” dip and dip direction. The RUNFORT computer program can be used to generate the true dip and dip direction by calculating the cross product of two apparent dips. During Leg 160, wherever multiple holes were drilled at a single site and sapropels recovered, the sampling policy excluded the measurement of structural features in anything other than the plane of the core face in at least two of the holes. For these holes, although apparent dip measurements in the core face plane were recorded on the table and the features drawn on the VCD forms, it was not possible to calculate the true dip and dip direction. Similarly, in order to keep the sapropels intact as possible both for shipboard and post-cruise sampling, cutting the core within the sapropels to measure a second apparent dip on bedding surfaces or fault planes was heavily discouraged. In many cases, it was possible to measure the orientation of a geochemical front above or below a sapropel as a proxy for bedding orientation, although because of sampling restrictions it was not possible to ascertain the error of the inherent assumption that the geochemical front is parallel to the bedding. Sharp color changes were therefore used only where they could be seen to be parallel on the core face to lithologic boundaries that could not be measured in three dimensions, as noted in the “Comments” column of the data table.

The plane normal to the axis of the borehole is referred to as the apparent horizontal plane. On this plane, a 360° net is used with a “pseudo-north” (000°) at the bottom line of the working half of the core. The face of the split core, therefore, lies in a plane striking 90°-270° and dips vertically (see Fig. 15).

Thin-section Descriptions

Thin sections were examined (1) to confirm macroscopic descriptions of ductile and brittle structures, (2) to provide kinematic infor-
mation, (3) to determine time relationships between different deformational features, and (4) to provide coverage of both major structural zones and representative downhole variations.

Sections of rock or indurated sediment were generally oriented with respect to the core coordinates and cut parallel to the core axis (and the direction of plate convergence at the tectonic sites). However, at the mud volcano sites, lithified clasts within the matrix are apparently randomly oriented and these clasts were therefore cut in the direction though most suitable for displaying the structure within them. The information obtained from microscopic studies of thin sections was entered on the standardized structural forms and into the SLIDES database and is on the CD-ROM in the back pocket of this volume.

COMPOSITE DEPTHS

Composite Section Development

The recovery of continuous sections over APC-cored intervals of the sedimentary sequence was crucial to the paleoceanographic objectives of Leg 160. Drilling multiple APC holes offset in depth at each site has traditionally helped to ensure that intervals of no recovery in a single APC hole were recovered in an adjacent hole. During Leg 160, as with previous ODP legs, the continuity of recovery was confirmed by the development of composite depth sections at the multiple-cored sites. The methodology used for composite section development during Leg 160 is similar to that used to construct composite depth sections during Leg 154 (Curry, Shackelton, Richter, et al., in press) and is briefly summarized below.

At each site, high-resolution (2- to 10-cm interval) measurements of magnetic susceptibility, GRAPE wet-bulk density, natural gamma, and P-wave velocity were made on the multisensor track soon after the cores were retrieved. Additionally, measurements of visible percent color reflectance were made at 2-cm resolution on the split cores (see "Lithostratigraphy" section, this chapter). Using color reflectance and magnetic susceptibility as the primary lithologic parameters, the measurements from each hole were visually and quantitatively compared to determine if coring offsets were maintained between holes. The correlation of events present in multiple holes provided verification of the extent of recovery of the sedimentary sequence. Integration of at least two different physical properties allowed hole-to-hole correlations to be made with greater confidence than would be possible with only a single parameter.

Hole-to-hole correlations were made using interactive software developed specifically for this task. We used a prototype software package that was developed at Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory and patterned after the Leg 138 core-correlation software (Hagelberg et al., 1992). Corresponding features in the data from cores in adja-
The composite depth section for each site is presented in tabular format. A portion of the composite depth table for Site 964 is given as an example in Table 3. For each core, the last two columns in Table 3 give the depth offset applied to the ODP sub-bottom depth and the composite depth (mcd). The offset column facilitates conversion of samples that are recorded in ODP sub-bottom depths to composite section depths. By adding the amount of offset listed to the ODP sub-bottom depths, the resulting composite depth scale so that features common to cores in all holes are aligned indicates coring gaps.

The correlation process was iterative. Records of a single physical parameter were moved along a depth scale core by core as correlations between the two holes were made. Although core distortion within a given core was in some cases significant, the core depths were adjusted only by a single constant for each core. The amount of adjustment necessary to optimize the correlation among multiple holes was retained for each core in each hole. The same process was then repeated for the other lithologic parameters to check the core adjustments. Where the amount of offset necessary to align features was ambiguous or uncertain for both lithologic parameters, or where multiple-hole data were unavailable, no depth adjustment for that particular core was made. In these cases, the total amount of offset between mbsf depth and mcd is equal to the cumulative offsets from the overlying cores. Confirmation of the completed composite depth section was provided by comparison with biostratigraphic data from multiple holes.

Figure 16 illustrates the need for hole-to-hole correlation and the mcd scale. In Figure 16A, color reflectance records from two holes at Site 964 are given on the mbsf depth scale. In Figure 16B, the same records are given after depth scale adjustment. Adjusting the depth scale so that features common to cores in all holes are aligned indicates coring gaps.

Table 2. List of structural features identified in cores and their abbreviations for use on the structural data table and VCD form.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural feature</th>
<th>Identifier abbreviation</th>
<th>Planar or linear orientation measured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drilling induced</td>
<td>DI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>Joint surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral vein</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Vein margin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fault</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Fault plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slickenside</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>Slickenside plunge and plunge direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fold</td>
<td>Fo</td>
<td>Fold plane and hinge line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stump fold</td>
<td>SFO</td>
<td>Fold plane and hinge line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear zone</td>
<td>SZ</td>
<td>Shear zone margin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradational boundary</td>
<td>GB</td>
<td>Approximate planar boundary orientation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentary bedding</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>Bedding plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color change</td>
<td>SMC</td>
<td>Planar color change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stump</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Stump bounding surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture</td>
<td>FR</td>
<td>Fracture plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuity</td>
<td>DIS</td>
<td>Discontinuity plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant fabric</td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>Fabric plane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numerical subscripts to the abbreviations refer to scanned sketches on the VCD forms on the CD-ROM (back pocket).
Two apparent dips recorded on the core:

- 40° - 270°
- 35° - 000°

Apparent dip on side of core:

- 270°
- 90° ±
- 0° - 300°

Figure 15. Diagram showing the conventions used in measuring azimuths and dips of structural features in the working and archive halves of cores and the techniques adopted for measuring structural planes in three dimensions in the core reference frame. The east-west (core reference frame) apparent dip of the feature is measured first, generally on the face of the archive half (B). The data are recorded as an apparent dip toward either 90° or 270°. In this case, the apparent dip is toward 270°. A second apparent dip is measured by making a cut parallel to the core axis but perpendicular to the core face in the working half of the core (A). Note that these cuts are normally considerably smaller than that shown in the diagram. The feature is identified on the new surface and the apparent dip in the north-south direction (core reference frame) marked with a toothpick. The apparent dip is measured with the modified protractor (C) and quoted as a value toward either 000° or 180°. In this case, the apparent dip is toward 180° (in the working half). Another possible approach for estimating the second apparent dip requires a cut in the horizontal direction with respect to the core axis (D). In this case, the azimuth represents the direction of a 0°-dip plane. If possible, measurements of both the apparent dip on the core face and two apparent dips are perpendicular to it are used to calculate the true dip and dip direction of the surface in the core reference frame.

Bottom depth (mbsf) of a measurement taken in a particular core, the equivalent in mcd is obtained. Thus, the depth conversion table can serve as a sampling strategy guide.

After composite depth construction, a single spliced record representative of the multiple cored sedimentary sequence was assembled. Because the missing intervals of the sedimentary sequence from a single hole could be identified, it was possible to patch missing intervals with data from adjacent holes. The prototype software developed specifically for this application was used to identify tie points for each splice. By identifying the intervals where features present in the multiple holes were most highly correlated, it was possible to construct a spliced record without the danger of mistakenly duplicating any individual features or cycles. Because there is considerable stretching and/or compression of many sections of core relative to the same core in adjacent holes, the precise length of the splice depends on which intervals of core are selected to build it. Tables listing the tie points for construction of the spliced record are given in each site chapter.

INORGANIC GEOCHEMISTRY

Interstial-water Sampling and Chemistry

Shipboard interstitial-water analyses were performed on 5- to 10-cm-long whole-round sections that were cut immediately after core retrieval on deck. Samples were usually taken from the bottom of Section 5 of the second and third cores and from each third core thereafter. In addition, three samples were obtained from Sections 1, 3, and 5 of a mud-line core. Interstitial waters were collected using a titanium squeezer (modified after Manheim and Sayles, 1974) and an aluminum squeezer with a nylon liner (Brumsack et al., 1992). Before squeezing, the surface of each whole-round sample was carefully scraped with a spatula to remove potential contamination. After loading the squeezer, pore waters were extruded by applying pressures up to 40,000 lb (approximately 4150 psi) with a hydraulic press. Interstitial-water samples were collected into 50-mL plastic syringes and subsequently filtered through 0.45-µm Gelman polysulfone disposable filters. Samples were stored in plastic vials prior to analysis. Aliquots for shore-based analyses were placed in heat-sealed acid-washed plastic tubes and glass ampoules.

Interstitial-water samples were routinely analyzed for salinity as total dissolved solids (g/kg) with a Goldberg optical hand-held refractometer (Reichert) and for pH and alkalinity by Gran titration with a Brinkmann pH electrode and a Metrohm autotitrator. Chloride was analyzed by titration with visual and potentiometric end-point determination; calcium and magnesium concentrations were ana-
Lithium, potassium, sodium, and strontium concentrations were quantified using flame atomic emission and absorption spectrometry (AES) (Varian SpectraAA-20). Lithium and strontium were determined on 1:10 diluted samples and potassium and sodium were determined on 1:200 diluted samples using an air-acetylene (Li, K, Na) or a nitrous oxide-acetylene (Sr) flame. Standards for all flame AES techniques were matched in matrix composition to the samples. The standard errors were better than 1%-2% for lithium, and better than 2%-3% for potassium, sodium, and strontium.

**ORGANIC GEOCHEMISTRY**

The following shipboard procedures were applied to measure volatile hydrocarbons, high-molecular-weight hydrocarbons, and long-chain alkenones and to determine the amount of inorganic (carbonate) carbon and the amount and origin of organic matter in the sediments. These procedures were conducted as part of the routine shipboard safety and pollution-prevention requirements and to provide preliminary information for shore-based research.

**Volatile Hydrocarbons**

Low-molecular-weight hydrocarbon samples were obtained by two different methods. A standard headspace procedure (Kvenvolden and McDonald, 1986) involved taking about 5 cm$^3$ of sediment from each core and putting it into a 21.5-cm$^3$ glass serum vial. The vial was sealed with a septum and a metal crimp cap and heated at 60°C for 30 min. A 5-cm$^3$ volume of gas from the headspace in the vial was extracted with a glass syringe for analysis by gas chromatography.

A vacuum method of gas collection (Kvenvolden and McDonald, 1986) was used where gas pockets or expansion voids were visible in the core while it was still in the core liner. A special tool attached to a gas syringe needle and vacuum was used to penetrate the core liner and collect the gas.

Headspace and vacutainer gas were both routinely analyzed using a Hewlett-Packard 5890 II plus gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a 2.4 m × 0.32 cm stainless-steel column, packed with HaySep S (80–100 mesh), and a flame ionization detector (FID). This instrument measures accurately and rapidly the concentrations of methane, ethane, ethylene, propane, and propylene. Either the vacuum or the headspace syringe was directly connected to the gas chromatograph via a 1.0-cm$^3$ sample loop. Helium was used as the carrier gas and the GC oven was kept at 90°C. A Hewlett-Packard 3365 Chemstation was used for data collection and evaluation. Calibration was made by using Scotty IV analyzed gases. Concentrations were measured in ppm.

When the presence of higher concentrations of C$_3_+$ hydrocarbons was suspected, gas samples collected as described before were injected to the Natural Gas Analyzer (NGA), which measures hydrocarbons from methane to hexane. It consists of a Hewlett-Packard 5890 II plus GC equipped with a 60 m × 0.32 mm DB-1 capillary column and an FID. Nonhydrocarbon gases could be analyzed at the same time via a packed column and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). For hydrocarbon analysis, the GC oven was heated from 80°C to 100°C at 8°C/min and then to 200°C at 30°C/min. Carrier gas and computer control were as described before.

**High-molecular-weight Hydrocarbons and Long-chain Alkenones**

Bitumen was analyzed in order to obtain indications of the provenance of the sedimentary organic matter. For an estimate of paleo-sea-surface temperature, measurement of the relative abundances of the long-chain di- and trunsaturated alkenones was attempted. Sol-
vent-soluble organic material (bitumen) was obtained from 1–2 g of
dried organic-matter-rich sediment (sapropels) by ultrasonic extrac-
tion with dichloromethane (+1% methanol) for 30 min. The mixture
was filtered through a paper filter and the solvent removed under a
stream of nitrogen on a hot plate at 40°C. The total extract was dis-
solved in a small amount of hexane and filtered over a disposable
Pasteur pipette filled with aluminum oxide to remove highly polar
components. The solvent was removed from the eluate as described
before. The “nonpolar” extractable material still showed a faintly yel-
low to golden color and, thus, contained compounds commonly con-
sidered polar. It was redissolved in 100 µL of hexane and then
analyzed by gas chromatography on a Hewlett-Packard Model 5890
II plus GC, equipped with a 50 m × 0.2 mm HP Ultra 1 (cross-linked
methyl silicon gum) capillary column (0.11-µm film thickness). Op-
erating conditions were as follows: injector, 250°C; detector, 300°C;
temperature program, 30°C (3 min), 10°C/min to 220°C, 4°C/min to
300°C (15 min). Usually, 2–3 µL were injected in the splitless mode.
Identification of n-alkanes was based on comparison of retention
times with those of authentic standards, whereas alkenones and al-
kenoates were compared with chromatograms in the published litera-
ture (e.g., Brassell et al., 1986; Prahl and Wakeham, 1987; Prell,

Elemental Analysis

One 5-cm³ sediment sample taken randomly from each core was
analyzed for total carbon (TC), carbonate carbon, total organic car-on (TOC), nitrogen, and sulfur. Additional samples were taken from
obviously organic-matter-rich sediments (sapropels) and analyzed
for the same parameters.

The carbonate carbon content of the samples was determined us-
ing a Coulometrics 3011 carbonate carbon analyzer. A sample of
about 10 mg of freeze-dried ground material was reacted with 2N
HCl in a glass ampoule. The liberated CO₂ is swept into a reaction
vessel by a He stream and forms a titratable acid with a blue mono-
ethanolamine indicator solution causing the color to fade. The change
in color is measured by a photodetector cell that automates a platinum
anode to produce base electrically, which in turn returns the indicator
to its original color. The amount of current needed is proportional to
the amount of CO₂ produced. Carbonate contents are expressed as
weight percent CaCO₃, assuming all the carbonate was present as cal-
cite.

Total carbon, nitrogen, and sulfur were determined using a Carlo
Erba 1500 CNS Analyzer. About 5 mg of freeze-dried ground sedi-
ment with vanadium pentoxide catalyst added was combusted at
1000°C in a stream of oxygen. Nitrogen oxides were reduced to N₂
and the mixture of SO₂, CO₂, and N₂ was separated by gas chromato-
graphy and quantified with a TCD. The TOC contents of the sedi-
ment samples were determined by calculating the difference between
carbonate carbon and the total carbon value.

Organic Matter Type

The type of organic matter was evaluated by pyrolysis using the
Delsi-Nermag Rock-Eval II system. This uses a whole-rock pyrolysis
 technique to identify the type and maturity of organic matter and to
detect the petroleum potential of the sediments (Espitalié et al.,
1986). The Rock-Eval system involves a temperature program that
first releases volatile hydrocarbons (S₁) at 300°C for 3 min, and then
releases hydrocarbons from the thermal cracking of kerogen (S₂) as
the temperature increases from 300° to 600°C at 25°C/min. S₁ and S₂
hydrocarbons are measured by an FID and reported in milligrams per
gram of sediment. The temperature at which the kerogen yields the
maximum amount of hydrocarbons during the S₁ program provides
Tₘₐₓ (°C), a parameter that assesses the maturity of the organic mat-
ter. Between 300° and 390°C of the pyrolysis program, CO₂ released
from the thermal degradation of organic matter (S₁) is trapped and
measured by a TCD in milligrams per gram of sediment. Rock-Eval II
parameters characterize organic matter by allowing the following
indexes to be calculated: hydrogen index (HI), (100 x S₁)/TOC ex-
pressed as mg hydrocarbons/TOC; oxygen index (OI), (100 x S₂)/
TOC expressed as mg CO₂/µg TOC; S₁/S₂ ratio; production index (PI),
S₁/(S₁ + S₂); and petroleum potential (PC; pyrolyzable carbon),
0.083(S₁ + S₂). Interpretation of Rock-Eval data is considered unreli-
able for samples containing less than 0.5% TOC (Peters, 1986). A
Hewlett-Packard 3365 Chemstation computer data analysis system
was used to integrate and store the results obtained from the Rock-
Eval II instrument.

In addition, pyrolysis-gas chromatography was performed using a
Geofina Hydrocarbon Meter (GHM). This instrument employs a
Varian 3400 GC that has been modified to include a programmable
pyrolysis injector. The system has three FIDs and two capillary col-
umns (25 m, GC2 fused silica). Like the Rock-Eval II, this tool deter-
mines S₁, the free hydrocarbons (and similarly volatile compounds)
that are released up to 300°C, and S₂, the pyrolysis products that are
generated from the sample kerogen. The effluent of the furnace is
split 20:1 so that the hydrocarbon distributions making up S₁ and S₂
can be examined in detail by capillary gas chromatography.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

The principal objectives for the physical properties measurements
are (1) to provide high-resolution physical data of the sediments, (2)
to determine the effects of sedimentological and textural changes on
the physical properties, (3) to calibrate downhole logs, and (4) to con-
strain the interpretation of seismic reflection data.

Nondestructive high-resolution measurements of whole-round core
sections were obtained from the multisensor track, including a
gamma-ray attenuation porosity evaluator for estimating wet-bulk
density, compressional-wave (P-wave) core logger (PWL), magnetic
susceptibility meter, and multichannel natural gamma-ray spectrom-
eter.

In un lithified sediment, measurements on the working half of the
core included vane shear strength and P-wave velocity. In the more
lithified sediments, thermal conductivity was measured using a half-
space needle probe method, and P-wave velocities were determined
from cut pieces of the sediment. Throughout the core, index prop-
erties (water content, wet- and dry-bulk densities, grain density, poros-
ity, and void ratio) were determined from discrete samples. Some of
the dried samples were also used for organic and inorganic geochem-
ical analyses (see “Organic Geochemistry” and “Inorganic Geochem-
istry” sections, this chapter).

Multisensor Track

The MST incorporates the magnetic susceptibility meter (MSM),
GRAPE, P-wave logger, and natural gamma-ray device (NGR). The
sampling rate was typically one measurement every 0.5 to 2.5 cm for
the GRAPE, 3 to 5 cm for magnetic susceptibility using either the 0.1
or the 1.0 range on the Bartington meter, 30 to 50 cm for natural gam-
ma-ray radiation, and 1.5 to 3.0 cm for P-wave velocity.

The GRAPE makes measurements of bulk density at discrete in-
tervals by comparing the attenuation of gamma rays through the cores
with attenuation through an aluminum standard (Boyce, 1976). Gen-
erally, the GRAPE data are most reliable in APC and nonbiscuited
XCB and RCB cores.

The PWL transmits 500-kHz compressional wave pulses through the
core at a rate of 1 kHz. The transmitting and receiving transducers
are aligned perpendicular to the core axis. A pair of displacement
transducers monitors the separation between the compressional wave
transducers. Variations in the outside diameter of the liner do not de-
grade the accuracy of the velocities; but the PWL does not provide accurate measurements on cores thinner than the inner diameter of the core liner.

Magnetic susceptibility was measured on all sections at 5-cm intervals using the 0.1 range on the Bartington meter with an 8-cm-diameter loop. The close sampling interval for magnetic susceptibility was chosen to provide another measure for hole-to-hole correlation.

**Thermal Conductivity**

The thermal conductivity of whole-core sections was measured using the needle probe method in full-space configuration for soft sediments (von Herzen and Maxwell, 1959). All measurements were made after the cores had equilibrated to the laboratory temperature. Data are reported in units of W/(m · K), and have an estimated error of ±5%–10%.

Needle probes containing a heating wire and a calibrated thermometer were inserted into the sediment through small holes drilled in the core liners before the sections were split. This system allowed up to four probes to be connected and operated simultaneously.

At the beginning of each test, temperatures in the samples were monitored without applying a heater current until the background thermal drift was determined to be less than 0.04°C/min. Once the samples were equilibrated, the heater circuit was closed and the temperature rise in the probes was recorded. Thermal conductivities were calculated from the rate of temperature rise while the heater current was flowing.

After the heater has been on for about 60 s, the needle probe response is close to that of a line source with constant heat generation per unit length. Temperatures recorded during a time interval of 60–240 s were fit using a least-squares technique to the appropriate equation:

\[
T(t) = (q/4\pi k) \cdot \ln(t) + L(t),
\]

where \(k\) is the apparent thermal conductivity, \(T\) is temperature, \(t\) is time, and \(q\) is the heat input per unit length of wire per unit time. The term \(L(t)\) describes a linear change in temperature with time, and includes the background temperature drift and any linearity that results from instrumental errors and the geometrical inadequacies of the experiment. These inadequacies include the finite length of the probe and sample.

All full-space measurements were corrected for a linear offset between measured and true thermal conductivities, determined from a series of tests with standards of known conductivities.

**Shear Strength Measurements**

The undrained shear strength \(S_u\) of soft cohesive sediments was measured with the Wykelham-Farrance motorized vane shear device following the procedures of Boyce (1976). Measurements were performed at a rate of one per core section to two per whole core. The instrument measures the torque and strain at the vane shaft using a torque transducer and potentiometer, respectively. The shear strength reported is the peak strength determined from the torque vs. strain plot. In more lithified sediments, a Soil Test CL-700 hand-held penetrometer was used.

**P-wave Velocity**

In addition to the MST measurements, \(P\)-wave velocity \(V_p\) was determined on discrete samples using three different measurement systems, depending on the degree of sediment lithification. Travel-times were measured in poorly consolidated sediment using a Dalhousie University/Bedford Institute of Oceanography digital sound velocimeter (DSV) (Mayer et al., 1988; Courtney and Mayer, 1993). The velocity calculation is based on the measurement of the travel-time of an impulsive acoustic signal traveling between a pair of piezoelectric transducers inserted in the split sediment cores. One transducer pair, mounted with a fixed separation (approximately 7.0 cm), is positioned parallel to the core axis (DSV 1); another pair is perpendicular to the core axis (DSV 2). The signal used is a 0.1-µs square wave with a period of 0.2 ms. The received signal was stacked on the rise of the source impulse, resulting in decreased noise and improved detection of the first arrival. The first arrivals were hand picked and the DSV software calculated sediment velocity. The temperature of the un lithified sediments was measured with a digital thermometer probe so that corrections for in situ temperature can be made.

When the material became too consolidated to insert the DSV transducers, measurements were made through the core-barrel liner with the Hamilton Frame system (DSV 3). Typically, there is a measurement gap of about 50 m where the sediment is too consolidated to accommodate the insertion transducers without splitting, but not sufficiently stiff to utilize the Hamilton Frame. The velocity estimate is based on the traveltime between two contact transducers. One is placed on the cut surface of the core. The other is directly beneath, in contact with the core-barrel liner. Sample thickness is usually measured by a vertical offset gauge. Occasional failure of this device makes the use of a hand-held micrometer necessary. The measurements were made along the axis of the core. Fresh water was used to improve the acoustic contact between the sample and the transducers. The source signal for the Hamilton Frame, stacking, and identification of the first arrival are identical as for the insertion transducers described above.

**Index Properties**

Index properties (water content, wet- and dry-bulk densities, grain density, porosity, and void ratio) were typically determined from one sample (about 10–15 cm³) from Sections 2 and 5 of the “A” hole at each site. The samples were placed in precalibrated aluminum containers prior to weight and volume measurements. Sample weights were determined to a precision of ±0.005 g using a Sitech electronic balance and a specially designed statistical routine using LabView software. The individual weights were determined with a confidence level of 99.5%; weight was determined from discrete weighings to the point where an additional weight measurement did not change the mean by more than 5%. The mean value calculated from the two determinations was used. Volumes were determined using a helium-displacement Quantachrome Penta-Pycnometer. The pycnometer measures the volume of each sample to a precision of ±0.04 cm³. Dry weight and volume measurements were performed after the samples were oven-dried at 110°C for 24 hr and allowed to cool in a desiccator.

Index properties were calculated from measurements of the wet and dry masses of the sample and from the volume using two different methods described in the ASTM designation (D) 2216 (ASTM, 1989). Method B uses the volume of the wet sample, whereas in method C the volume of the dry sample is used. The dry volume is corrected for salt, assuming an interstitial pore-water salinity of 35 (Boyece, 1976). This correction was applied to grain density and porosity computations, as per Hamilton (1971) and Boyce (1976), but not to bulk density.

**SITE GEOPHYSICS**

During Leg 160, the Geometrics G801 proton precession magnetometer was not used because the data it provided were so noisy as to be completely unusable. Consequently, the data are not considered significant because the magnetic field of the Mediterranean is generally of little importance with respect to the objectives of Leg 160. Magnetic anomalies in the Eastern Mediterranean are very small and
unconnected to the features studied except for Eratosthenes Seamount, which has a large and rather well-known magnetic anomaly (see "Tectonic Introduction" chapter, this volume).

The seismic system used comprises 80-in. SSI water guns, 200- and 400-in. Amco water guns, and a single-channel, oil-filled Teledyne streamer. The source is towed at a set depth of approximately 10 m and the receiver is towed at a similar depth, although it may be changed slightly. The source is not permitted to be towed closer to the ship for safety reasons. The center of the 100-m active section of the streamer is located about 500 m astern of the ship; the common depth point (CDP) offsets are therefore 300 and 311 m, respectively, for the 80- and 200-in. water guns. On Leg 160, the 80-in. gun was used because there were no deep targets and the higher resolution was considered more important. The data are recorded separately on two analog graphic recorders and by the a2d data-acquisition program. The data digitized by the a2d software are recorded on 4-mm DAT and 8-mm Exabyte tape cartridges and graphically displayed on a Sun workstation. The SioSeis seismic processing package, which was developed at Scripps Oceanographic Institution, is used for processing the data and plotting the results on a Versatec plotter. The data may also be imported by software used for creating synthetic seismograms from downhole logging or physical properties measurements, for the purpose of comparison of actual and synthetic profiles at the site.

The seismic data are not of outstanding quality because of the level of noise on the ship and because the source and receiver are old and cannot be deployed in a more flexible manner. Because of the depth of the source, the source pulse is long (about 100 ms) and reverberant with predominantly low frequencies. At ship speeds greater than 6 kt the records become very noisy. The main purpose of the seismic profiling is restricted to confirming the location of the site as specified following the original site survey.

**DOWNHOLE MEASUREMENTS**

During Leg 160, the Formation MicroScanner, geological high-sensitivity magnetic tool (GHMT), and geochemical logging tool (GLT) were used by ODP for the first time in the Mediterranean together with standard logging tools. The downhole continuous record of high-resolution microresistivity (FMS), magnetostratigraphy (GHMT), and specific geochemical data (GLT) allowed a precise recognition of structural and sedimentary features.

The Schlumberger MAXIS 500 logging system made the high-resolution logging techniques available to ODP. The Lamont-Doherty Borehole Research Group (LDEO-BRG) in conjunction with Schlumberger Well-Logging Services provided the geophysical logging. All the logging tools are adapted to meet ODP requirements and hole conditions.

During each logging run, incoming data were acquired, archived, and monitored in real time on the MAXIS 500 logging computer. The Cyber Service Unit (CSU) computer was used for the geophysical logging string. After logging, data were transferred to a Sun workstation and a Macintosh computer for preliminary shipboard processing and interpretation.

**Logging Tool Strings**

Individual logging tools were combined in five different strings (Fig. 17): (1) seismic-stratigraphic tool comprising the natural gamma-ray spectrometry tool (NGT), long-spaced sonic tool (LSS/SDT), phase dual induction—spherically focused resistivity tool (DIT-SFL), and Lamont-Doherty temperature logging tool (TLT); (2) litho-porosity tool comprising the NGT, compensated neutron porosity tool (CNT), high-temperature lithodensity tool and caliper (HLDT/CALI), and TLT; (3) FMS tool; (4) GLT; and (5) GHMT. The NGT is run on all tool strings to provide a common basis for log correlations. The seismic-stratigraphic and litho-porosity strings can be combined into a single string termed the Quad combination (total length = 31 m).

A brief description of the logging tools employed during Leg 160 is given below. The detailed principles of operation of the various logging sensors can be found in Ellis (1987), Schlumberger (1989), Serra (1984), and Timur and Toksöz (1985).

**Natural Gamma-ray Spectrometry Tool**

The NGT measures the natural radioactivity of the formation as both the number of gamma rays and the energy level, which allows us to determine the concentrations of radioactive potassium, thorium, and uranium in the formation (Lock and Hoy, 1971). The NGT also provides a measure of the total gamma-ray signature (SGR, or K + U + Th) and a uranium-free measurement (CGT, or K + Th). Values are recorded every 0.15 m and have a vertical resolution in the order of 0.46 m. The measurements can be used to estimate the clay content and composition. U/Th ratios from NGT data are used also as organic carbon content indicators and to distinguish changes in the oxidation state of diagenetic minerals.

**Phaser Dual Induction—Spherically Focused Resistivity Tool**

The DIT-SFL provides three different measurements of electrical resistivity. Each of these has a different penetration depth into the formation: 1.5 m for the deep induction tool (IDPH), 0.75 m for the medium induction tool (IMPH), and 0.38 m for the shallow spherically focused resistivity signals (SFL). The vertical resolution for the resistivity values are 2 m (IDPH), 1.5 m (IMPH), and 0.75 m (SFL). Values are recorded every 0.15 m.

Resistivity is controlled not only by lithology but also by water content and salinity and is therefore primarily related to the inverse square root of porosity (Archie, 1942). The main factors influencing the resistivity of a formation include the concentration of hydrous and metallic minerals, hydrocarbons and gas hydrates, and pore structure geometry, distribution, and interconnection.
Long-spaced Sonic Tool and Digital Sonic Tool

The LSS and SDT measure the time required for acoustic waves to travel through the formation between an array of transmitters and receivers separated by vertical distances ranging from 0.91 to 3.66 m. The vertical resolution is in the range of 0.61 m. They provide a direct measurement of acoustic velocity through sediments from the interval transit time measured, and are likely to yield measurements free from the effects of formation damage and enlarged borehole from drilling processes. Sound velocity is related to sediment porosity and lithification and/or compaction. In conjunction with density logs or core physical property measurements, sonic logs are used to compute synthetic seismograms and time depths to seismic reflectors.

High-temperature Lithodensity Tool

The HLDT provides values of bulk formation density (RHOB), which are dependent on the formation lithology and porosity. The measurements are obtained by means of a radioactive source and two detectors that record an energy spectrum, which is directly related to the number of neutrons in the formation. A caliper measurement is made of the borehole diameter to correct the measurements for poor contacts of the detector pads with the borehole wall. The vertical resolution of the measurements is in the order of 0.45 m.

Compensated Neutron Porosity Tool

Neutron logs are sensitive to lithology and/or porosity. The CNT uses a source that bombards the formation and borehole with fast neutrons at 4.5 MeV and two pairs of sensors that detect the number of neutrons in the epithermal (0.1-100 eV) and thermal (<0.025 eV) energy ranges. The tool’s resolution is approximately 0.45 m.

Geochemical Logging Tool String

The geochemical logging tool is used to determine the concentrations of some major and trace elements, from which lithologic or mineralogical variations can be inferred by comparison with measurements made by X-ray diffraction and fluorescence and core descriptions. It consists of an NGT, CNT (used here as a carrier for a \(^{252}\text{Cf}\) source of neutrons for the aluminum activation clay tool, or AACT; Hertzog et al., 1989), and gamma-ray spectroscopy tool (GST) (Fig. 18).

The GST is located at the base of the string and consists of a high-energy pulsed neutron accelerometer (14 MeV) and a NaI scintillation crystal detector. The gamma rays emitted by the nuclei in the formation after neutron capture are detected by the tool sensor. Each element has a characteristic contribution of each of the major elements that dominate the spectrum: Ca, Si, Fe, Cl, H, and S. Calculation of absolute concentrations in weight percent of elemental oxides and rare-earth elements (Gd, Sm, and Ti) requires additional shore-based processing, but relative values may be computed on the ship as concentration ratios. Concentration of Mg and Na may also be obtained by combining the GST data with the logs from the HLDT (photoelectric index).

Formation MicroScanner Tool String

The FMS string provides high-resolution microresistivity curves from which borehole wall images are produced. FMS data allow continuous high-resolution observation and description of sedimentological and structural features. The FMS tool consists of four orthogonal pads with 16 button electrodes on each pad. Each pad measures about 8 cm², and one pass of the tool covers approximately 30% of a 25.72-cm-diameter borehole, providing a vertical resolution of approximately 0.5 cm at a sampling interval of 0.25 cm (Serra, 1989). The FMS tool string contains a general purpose inclinometry tool (GPIT) that orients the resistivity measurements through the use of an accelerometer and magnetometer that respond to the declination and inclination of the Earth’s magnetic field so that the orientation of the interpreted sedimentary or structural features with respect to geographical coordinates can be easily determined. It is also used to determine the orientation of cores and core-derived data.

Geological High-sensitivity Magnetic Tool String

The geological high-sensitivity magnetic tool string comprises a high-sensitivity total magnetic field sensor (NMRS) coupled with a magnetic susceptibility sensor (susceptibility magnetic sonde, or SUMS), which are used to detect borehole magnetic polarity transitions and susceptibility variations, respectively. The NMRS measures the frequency of proton precession between a calibrated applied polarizing field and the Earth’s magnetic field that is proportional to the total field intensity of the earth. An average precision of 0.5 nT is based on duplicate runs. Its sensitivity is about \(10^{-2}\) nT. The SUMS measures mutual inductance caused by the surrounding borehole lithology using a transmitter coil and a receiver coil separated as a two-coil induction sonde. The precision between duplicate runs is generally better than \(3 \times 10^{-6}\) SI and the sensitivity of the sonde is almost \(10^{-6}\) units. Data are recorded every 5 cm.

Specifications of the probes, such as impulse response, calibration ratio, and geomagnetic location of the hole, are used to calculate the susceptibility effect on the scalar total-field magnetometer. From these data the scalar remanent magnetization can be calculated.

Lamont-Doherty Temperature Logging Tool

The LDEO TLT is a high-precision, low-temperature logging tool. It is self-contained and provides a series of accurate temperature logs while keeping total logging time the same. Data from two thermistors and a pressure transducer are collected every 1 s and are re-
corded internally. Once the in situ measurement is completed, the data are transferred to a shipboard computer for analysis. The TLT measures the borehole water temperature rather than the true formation temperature; it is common to observe gradual borehole warming (thermal rebound) as logging proceeds.

**Shore-based Log Processing**

Processing, quality control, and display of the logging data were performed for each of the seven logged sites by LDEO-BRG, the Leicester University Borehole Research Group (LUBR), and the Institute of Geology, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, United Kingdom.

**Geochemical Tool String**

The geochemical logging tool string consists of four separate logging tools: the NGT, CNT, AACT, and GST. A schematic drawing of the GLT, which was run in Hole 967E on Leg 160, is shown in Figure 18. These four tools use three separate modes of gamma-ray spectrometry for a comprehensive elemental analysis of the formation. The NGT is located at the top of the tool string so that it can measure the naturally occurring radionuclides thorium, uranium, and potassium before the formation is irradiated by the nuclear sources contained in the lower tools (Fig. 18). The CNT, located below the NGT, carries a californium (252Cf) neutron source to activate the Al atoms in the formation. The AACT, a modified NGT, is located below the 252Cf source and measures the activated gamma rays in the formation. By combining the AACT measurement with the previous NGT measurement, the background radiation is subtracted out and a reading of formation Al is obtained (Scott and Smith, 1973). The gamma-ray spectrometry tool, at the base of the string, carries a pulsed neutron generator to induce prompt-capture gamma-ray reactions in the borehole and formation, and an NaI(Tl) scintillation detector to measure the energy spectrum of gamma rays generated by the prompt neutron-capture reactions. As each of the elements in the formation is characterized by a unique spectral signature, it is possible to derive the contribution (or yield) of each of the major elements silicon, iron, calcium, titanium, sulfur, gadolinium, and potassium from the measured spectrum and, in turn, to estimate the relative abundance of each in the formation when combined with the elemental concentrations from the NGT and AACT (Hertzog et al., 1989). The GST also measures the hydrogen and chlorine in the borehole and formation, although these elements are not directly used for determining the rock geochemistry.

The only major rock-forming elements not measured by the geochemical tool string are magnesium and sodium; the neutron-capture cross sections of these elements are too small relative to their typical abundances for the GLT to detect. A rough estimate of Mg + Na can be made in some instances by using the photoelectric factor (PEF), measured by the lithodensity tool (Hertzog et al., 1989). This calculation was not implemented on the geochemical data from Hole 967E as the (Mg + Na) component was generally below the detection resolution of this technique (Pratson et al., 1993).

**Data Reduction**

The well-log data from the Schlumberger geochemical tools are transmitted digitally up a wireline and are recorded and processed on JOHDES Resolution in the Schlumberger CSU. The results from the CSU are made available as “field logs” for initial, shipboard interpretation. Subsequent reprocessing is necessary to correct the data for the effects of fluids added to the well, logging speed, and drill-pipe interference. Processing of the spectrometry data is required to transform the relative elemental yields into oxide weight fractions.

The processing is performed with a set of log-interpretation programs written by Schlumberger that have been slightly modified to account for the lithologies and hole conditions in the ODP holes. The processing steps for the Hole 967E logs are summarized below.

---

James F. Bristow, Lee Ewert, and Peter K. Harvey, Borehole Research, Department of Geology, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, United Kingdom.
Step 1: Reconstruction of Relative Elemental Yields from Recorded Spectral Data

This first processing step compares the measured spectra from the gamma-ray spectrometry tool with a series of "standard" spectra to determine the relative contribution (or yield) of each element. These "standards" approximate the spectrum of each element. Using a weighted, least-squares inversion method, the relative elemental yields are calculated at each depth level.

Six elemental standards (Si, Fe, Ca, S, Cl, and H) are used to produce the shipboard yields, but three additional standards (Ti, Gd, and K) can be included in the post-cruise processing to improve the fit of the spectral standards to the measured spectra (Grau and Schweitzer, 1989). The ability to detect an element depends principally on the size of its capture cross section and its abundance in the formation. Although Ti, Gd, and K commonly appear in the formation in very low concentrations, they can make a significant contribution to the measured spectra because they have large neutron-capture cross sections. Gd, for example, has a capture cross section of 49,000 barns, whereas that of Si is 0.16 barns (Hertzog et al., 1989). Therefore, including Gd is necessary when calculating the best fit of the standard spectra to the measured spectrum, even though its typical concentration is only a few ppm.

The elemental standards (Si, Fe, Ca, S, Cl, and H) and the spectral standard for K was not used in the final analysis because its inclusion in the spectral inversion was found to increase the noise level in the other elemental yields. This was due primarily to the fact that the carbonate sequence in the logged sequence of this hole had a low K concentration. A linear 10-point (5 ft, 1.52 m) moving average was applied to the output elemental yields to increase the signal-to-noise ratio.

Step 2: Depth Shifting

Geochemical processing involves the integration of data from the different tool strings; consequently, it is important that all the data are depth-correlated to one reference logging run. The NGT, run on each of the logging tool strings, provides a spectral gamma-ray curve with which to correlate each of the logging runs. A reference run is chosen on the bases of constant and low cable tension and high cable speed (tools run at faster speeds are less likely to stick and are less susceptible to data degradation caused by ship heave). The depth-shifting procedure involves picking a number of reference points based on similar log character and then invoking a program that expands and compresses the matching logging run to fit the reference logging run. The main run of the Quad combination tool string was chosen as the reference run for Hole 967E.

Step 3: Calculation of Total Radioactivity and Th, U, and K Concentrations

The third processing routine calculates the total natural gamma-ray radiation in the formation, as well as concentrations of Th, U, and K, using the counts in five spectral windows from the NGT (Lock and Hoyer, 1971). This routine resembles shipboard processing; however, the results are improved during post-cruise processing by including corrections for hole-size changes and temperature variations. A Kalman filter (Ruckebusch, 1983) is used in the CSU processing at sea to minimize the statistical uncertainties in the logs, which can otherwise cause erroneous negative values and anticorrelations (especially between Th and U). An alpha filter has been introduced more recently and is now recommended by Schlumberger for shore-based processing. This filter strongly smooths the raw spectral counts but keeps the total gamma-ray curve unsmoothed before calculating out the Th, U, and K. The outputs of this program are K (wet wt%), U (ppm), and Th (ppm), as well as total gamma-ray and computed gamma-ray (total gamma ray minus U contribution). They are displayed as a function of depth in the log summary figures at the end of the relevant site chapter.

Step 4: Calculation of Al Concentration

The fourth processing routine (PREACT) calculates the concentration of Al in the formation using recorded gamma-ray data from four energy windows on the AACT. During this step, corrections are made for natural radioactivity, borehole-fluid neutron-capture cross section, formation neutron-capture cross section, formation slowing-down length, and borehole size.

Porosity and density logs are needed as inputs into this routine to convert the wet-weight percentages of K and Al curves to dry-weight percentages. To derive the best porosity log, shipboard core porosity measurements were compared with porosity logs calculated from the resistivity (using the relationship of Archie, 1942) and bulk density logs, and taken from the neutron porosity tool. The best correlation with core was found with the neutron porosity log, and this was smoothed by a 5-ft (1.52 m) running average and used in the PREACT routine. The bulk density log used as input into PREACT was edited to remove extremely low values caused by borehole washouts over the interval 70–134 mbsf and at 200, 243, and 276 mbsf.

A correction is also made for Si interference with Al; the 252Cf source activates Si, producing the aluminum isotope 26Al (Hertzog et al., 1989). The program uses the Si yield from the GST to determine the Si background correction. The program outputs dry-weight percentages of Al and K, which are combined in the next processing step with the GST-derived elemental yields in the oxide closure model.

Step 5: Normalization of Elemental Yields from the GST to Calculate the Elemental Weight Fractions

Relative concentrations of the GST-derived elemental yields can be determined by dividing each elemental yield by a relative spectral sensitivity factor (S). This factor is related principally to the thermal neutron-capture cross sections and also to its gamma-ray production and detection probability of each element (Hertzog et al., 1989). The relative elemental concentrations are related to the desired absolute concentrations by a depth-dependent normalization factor (F), as defined by the relationship:

\[ W_i = FY/S_i \]

where \( W_i \) = absolute elemental concentration and \( Y_i \) = relative elemental yield.

The normalization factor is calculated on the basis that the sum of all the elemental weight fractions is unity (100%). The closure model handles the absence of carbon and oxygen, which are not measured by this tool string, with the approximation that each of the measurable elements combines with a known oxide or carbonate. The dry-weight percentages of Al and K are normalized with the reconstructed elemental yields to determine the normalization factor at each depth interval from the following equation:

\[ F(\sum X_i Y_i/S_i) + X_i W_i + Y_i W_{K,i} = 100. \]

where \( X_i \) = oxide factor (atomic weight of the associated oxide or carbonate of element \( i \) divided by atomic weight of element \( i \)), \( X_i = \) oxide factor (atomic weight \( K_2O \) divided by atomic weight of \( K \)), \( W_i = \) dry wt% of \( K \) as determined from the NGT, \( X_{\text{Al}_2O_3} = \) oxide factor (atomic weight of \( Al_2O_3 \) divided by atomic weight of \( Al \)), and \( W_{\text{K}2O} = \) dry wt% of \( K \), as determined from the AACT.

The value of \( X_i \) accounts for the C and O associated with each element. Table 4 lists the oxide factors used in this calculation for Hole 967E.

Step 6: Calculation of Oxide Percentages

This routine converts the elemental weight percentages into oxide percentages by multiplying each by its associated oxide factor, as shown in Table 4. The results are displayed as a function of depth in the log summary figures at the end of the relevant site chapter.
Table 4. Oxide factors used in normalizing elements to 100% and converting elements to oxides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Oxide/carbonate</th>
<th>Conversion factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>2.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Ca₃O₆</td>
<td>2.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Fe₃O₄</td>
<td>1.358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>1.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>1.889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Total iron as FeO.*

Step 7: Calculation of Error Logs

The statistical uncertainty of each element is calculated for each of the elements measured with the GST and NGT (Grau et al., 1990; Schweitzer et al., 1988; Bristow et al., 1994). This error is strongly related to the normalization factor, which is calculated at each depth level (equation 3). The normalization factor is displayed to the right of the logs in the log summary figures at the end of the relevant site chapter. A lower normalization factor represents better counting statistics and therefore higher quality data.

IN SITU TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS

In situ temperature measurements are of importance to the objectives of ODP Leg 160 because they provide information on the present-day and recent tectonics. Temperature gradients and heat flow were determined from measurements with the ADARA temperature tool used with the APC. Additionally, downhole measurements with the Lamont-Doherty temperature logging tool (see "Downhole Measurements" section, this chapter) were included in heat-flow analyses as the only available data after the refusal of APC coring.

ADARA Temperature Tool Measurements

The ADARA coring shoe was used to obtain in situ sediment temperatures during regular piston-coring operations. The instrument contains an electronics section, composed of three circuit boards and two battery packs, built into a cylindrical frame. These components of the thermal tool fit inside an annular cavity of a special APC coring shoe. Two steel prongs extend from the base of the frame and anchor the electronics in place inside the shoe. Inside one of the two prongs is a platinum resistance-temperature device (RTD), which is calibrated over a range of -20°C to 100°C, with resolution of 0.01°C. The platinum sensor records temperatures during coring. The tool is programmed after it has been inserted into the coring shoe, and repeated deployments can be run without removing the tool or batteries. After programming and starting the test sequence, a cross-over subassembly with O-rings seals the cavity containing the electronics.

In operation, the coring shoe is mounted on a core barrel and lowered down the pipe by wireline. The tool is typically held for 5-10 min at the mud line to equilibrate with bottom temperatures, and then is lowered to the end of the drill string. Standard APC coring techniques are used, with the core barrel fired out through the drill bit using hydraulic pressure. The tool is then left in place for 10 min instead of being retrieved immediately, so that the tool can begin thermal equilibration with the formation. On Leg 160, the ADARA tool was used to collect temperature measurements at 5-s intervals over a 15-min period. This provided a sufficiently long transient record for reliable extrapolation of the steady-state temperature. Processing of the temperature measurements is described below. The nominal accuracy of the unreduced temperature measurements is estimated to be 0.1°C.

Data Reduction

The data reduction method estimates the steady-state bottom-hole temperature by forward modeling the recorded transient temperature curve as a function of time. The shape of the transient temperature curve is determined by the response function of the tool and the thermal properties of the bottom-hole sediments (Bullard, 1954; Horai and Von Herzen, 1985). Synthetic curves are constructed, based on tool geometry, sampling interval, and the thermal properties of the tools and surrounding sediments. Thermal time constants are several minutes under normal conditions, requiring that the probe be kept on bottom for at least 10-15 min in order to allow extrapolation of the temperature curves with confidence. In general, the temperature increases immediately following emplacement of the probe, as a result of frictional heating of the probe tip during insertion. The temperature peaks after a short period of time and decreases thereafter, approaching the steady-state temperature of the sediments at a rate inversely proportional to time.

The theoretical decay curves simulate the instantaneous heating (or cooling) of the sediment following probe penetration, but in practice, a finite time is required for the sensors to reach a maximum temperature. As a result, the effective origin time of the thermal pulse is delayed as a function of the tool and sediment properties. In addition, the recorders sample temperatures at fixed intervals, leaving the exact penetration time uncertain. An effective penetration time and an extrapolated temperature are estimated by shifting the time axis of the theoretical thermal decay curves to fit the actual data. Temperatures from the first 5-10 measurements (20-50 s) following penetration commonly do not follow the theoretical curves, but later parts of the records usually provide a very good match (for more details, see "Explanatory Notes" chapter, Leg 155 Initial Reports volume; Flood, Piper, Klaus, et al., 1995). The choice of which data should be included in the matching, and which time shift should be used, is partly subjective; it is best to use as much of the actual decay curve as possible. The variations in extrapolated temperatures that result from choosing different time intervals and time shifts can be used to estimate errors associated with the temperatures finally assigned to represent in situ conditions.

Data reduction software developed by ODP was used on Leg 160 to model the transient temperature curve interactively and extrapolate for the equilibrium temperature. Variables in the modeling method are the thermal conductivity of the sediments (based on physical property measurements), tool insertion time, delay time between tool insertion and peak temperature, and the length of the portion of the curve to be fitted. In practice, relatively few iterations of the modeling procedure were required to obtain reasonable fits to the temperature data. The thermal conductivity measurements obtained as part of the routine physical properties analysis were consistent with the modeling and produced reliable equilibrium temperatures. If laboratory thermal conductivity measurements were not available at a site, regional approximations were used.
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