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ABSTRACT

The Pleistocene Fanglomerate Group of the southern part of Cyprus exemplifies coarse alluvial clastic deposition within a zone of focused tectonic uplift, related to collision of African and Eurasian plates, as documented by Ocean Drilling Program Leg 160. During the Pleistocene, the Troodos ophiolite was progressively unroofed, resulting in a near-radial pattern of coarse clastic sedimentation. The Pleistocene Fanglomerate Group depositionally overlies Pliocene marine sediments. Along the southern margin of the Troodos Massif the contact is erosional, whereas along its northern margin a regressive fan-delta (Kakkaristra Fm.) intervenes.

The Pleistocene Fanglomerate Group is subdivided into four units (termed F1–F4), each of which were formed at progressively lower topographic levels. A wide variety of alluvial units are recognized within these, representing mainly high-energy coarse alluvial fans, channel fans, braided stream, and floodplain environments. A near-radial sediment dispersal pattern away from Mt. Olympos is indicated by paleocurrent studies, based on clast imbrication.

Provenance studies indicate relatively early unroofing of the ophiolite, but with only minor localized erosion of ultramafic rocks from the Mt. Olympos area. Clasts of erosionally resistant lithologies, notably ophiolitic diabase and Miocene reef-related limestone, are volumetrically over-represented, relative to friable basalt and early Tertiary pelagic carbonate sediments.

The younger Fanglomerate Group units (F3 and F4) can be correlated with littoral marine terraces previously dated radio metrically at about 185–219 ka and 116–134 ka, respectively. However, the earlier (F1 and F2) Fanglomerate Group units can, at present, be dated only as early to middle Pleistocene.

The principle variables that affected deposition of the Pleistocene Fanglomerate Group as a whole are tectonic uplift iso-static effects, glacio-eustatic sea-level change, short- and long-term climatic change, and lithology. However, the dominant control was tectonic uplift that apparently peaked during early and middle Pleistocene time. Aggradation of fluvial sediments (F1 and F2) took place at times of relative sea-level high with associated terrace formation. This was followed by downcutting during periods of relative sea-level fall when surface uplift continued.

The dominant control on the younger (F3 and F4) units was glacio-eustatic sea-level change, during a time when littoral marine sediments accumulated, and rates of surface uplift may have decreased. Finally, the Holocene alluvial deposition was also affected by anthropogenic effects, notably deforestation.

INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the Pleistocene clastic sedimentation in Cyprus, located to the north of Sites 965–968 drilled during Ocean Drilling Project Leg 160 (Emeis, Robertson, Richter, et al., 1996, Fig. 1). Coarse-grained, non-marine sediments dominate the Pleistocene successions of the southern part of Cyprus. These sediments are exposed around the periphery of the Troodos ophiolitic massif, and are also associated with a small neotectonic graben structure in western Cyprus. Recent studies of coarse alluvial deposition have particularly focused on extensional faulting and graben development (e.g., Leed er, 1993; Postma and Drinia, 1993; Collier et al., 1993), but here we focus on conglomerates shed radially from a rising point source. Previous studies of the Pleistocene of southern Cyprus, including evidence from shallow-marine and continental sediments and geomorphic erosion surfaces, have indicated that strong surface uplift of the Troodos ophiolite took place, beginning in the upper Pleocene (Robertson, 1977; McCallum and Robertson, 1990; Houghton et al., 1990; Poole and Robertson, 1991). The results of Leg 160, following geophysical surveys (Limonov et al., 1994; Robertson et al., 1995a), suggest that the overall driving force of the uplift of the Troodos ophiolite was the collision of a continental fragment, the Eratosthenes Sea-mount, with the active boundary of the Eurasian and African plates (i.e., the Cyprus active margin) located directly south of Cyprus (Robertson et al., 1995a, b; Emeis, Robertson, Richter, et al., 1996, Fig. 1). Related to this collision, the ultramafic core of the Troodos ophiolite underwent diapiric protrusion, causing strong surface uplift focused on Mt. Olympos. The uplift resulted in shedding of large volumes of coarse clastic sediments that accumulated as relatively thin, alluvial deposits onshore, and also as much thicker turbidite deposits offshore in a trench located between southern Cyprus and the Eratosthenes Seamount. Clastic deposition continued into the late Holocene when anthropogenic influences came into play.

In this paper, we will describe and interpret the Pleistocene–Holocene coarse fluvial sediments and evaluate the relative roles of tectonic uplift vs. glacio-eustatic sea-level change and climatic effects in their formation.

REGIONAL SETTING

The coarse Pleistocene–Holocene sediments of southern Cyprus, here termed the Fanglomerate Group (Poole, 1992), form the highest stratigraphic levels of the sedimentary cover of the Upper Cretaceous Troodos ophiolite (Fig. 2). The ophiolite comprises a complete suite of intrusive (ultramafics gabbro, diabase) and extrusive (basalt) lithologies. It is overlain by a Late Cretaceous to Miocene, predominantly deep-water, pelagic carbonate succession (Lefkara Formation), deep to shallow-marine sequences (Pakhna Formation; Robertson, 1976; Eaton and Robertson, 1993). Pliocene deposition was ini-
Figure 1. Outline map of the Eastern Mediterranean showing the location of Cyprus and nearby sites drilled during Leg 160. Inset: plate boundaries of Mediterranean region.

Figure 2. Outline map of Cyprus showing the distribution of the Pleistocene units discussed in this paper. Inset is detailed in Figure 5.
tially fine-grained marine (Nicosia Formation), then shallow-marine (Kakkaristra Formation), to fluviatile (Apalos Formation) in the upper Pliocene (McCallum, 1989; McCallum and Robertson, 1990, 1995a; Table 1). Shallow-marine carbonates of late Pliocene to early Pleistocene age (Athalassa Formation) are present in some areas (McCallum and Robertson, 1995b). A shallowing-upward succession of late Pliocene age records an early stage of surface uplift of the Troodos ophiolite. However, the Pleistocene Fanglomerate Group, discussed here, is much more widespread than the underlying Pliocene conglomerates and is related to greater surface uplift, which is focused on Mt. Olympos in the center of the Troodos ophiolite during Pleistocene time (Robertson, 1990; Poole and Robertson, 1991). Mt. Olympos dominates the present-day drainage pattern as shown in Figure 3.

PREVIOUS WORK AND NOMENCLATURE

The coarse-grained clastic sediment unit of Pleistocene age was previously known as the Fanglomerate Series. Bellamy and Jukes-Brown (1905) and Cowper-Reed (1930) were the first to describe alluvial sediments on the Mesaoria Plain. Henson et al. (1949) outlined the distribution of these sediments in the foothills of the Troodos Massif, in the Kyrenia Range and on the Mesaoria Plain. The term Troodos Massif is used here to include both the uplifted Troodos ophiolite and its overlying sedimentary cover. The Cyprus Geological Survey Department mapped the Fanglomerate sediments on the northern flanks of the Troodos Massif and on the Mesaoria Plain (Bear, 1960; Gass, 1960; Carr and Bear, 1960). Ducloz (1965) correlated “Fanglomerate age” terraces with the Pleistocene glacial chronology and Mediterranean marine stages, that were then recognized. McCallum (1989) subsequently proposed the first detailed model for deposition of the Fanglomerate Group to the north of the Troodos Massif. Valleys were incised and subsequently infilled with conglomerates newly derived from the Troodos massif, and thin sheets of sediment spread out onto the Mesaoria Plain. Subsequent incision resulted in the formation of terraces.

Studies of the Fanglomerate Group on the southern margin of the Troodos Massif were limited to brief descriptions in the memoirs of the Geological Survey Department (e.g., Bear and Morel, 1960). Bagnall (1960) recognized three river terraces in the Larnaca area and correlated these with coastal marine units. Terraces were recognized at 80–100 feet, 25–35 feet, and 10–20 feet above the valley floor. Pantazis (1967) identified a “Higher River Terrace” and “Alluvium.” Correlations between the lower Vasilikos Valley and other areas of Cyprus were established by Gomez (1987), who identified four phases of Fanglomerate Group deposition. In addition, Stevens and Wedel (1992) recently studied local aspects of Quaternary alluvial fans in southeastern Cyprus with the aim of identifying cyclic tendencies that could relate to modern geomorphological features and processes.

Local Setting

Based on an integrated study of the sedimentology, geomorphology, and tectonic setting of the Pleistocene of southern Cyprus, it was established that major erosional horizons could be correlated throughout southern Cyprus (Poole, 1992; Poole and Robertson, 1991). In places, these erosion surfaces are overlain by coarse conglomerates and other sediments (caliche, paleosols) of the Fanglomerate Group. In both southeastern and northwestern Cyprus, alluvial clastic sediments can be traced into shallow-marine deltaic facies and the correlated with littoral carbonates. These carbonates contain cor-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Stratigraphy</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Pleistocene</td>
<td>Fanglomerate Group</td>
<td>Conglomerates and sandstones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Pliocene</td>
<td>Kakkaristra Formation, Athalassa Formation, Nicosia Formation</td>
<td>Calcarenites, sandstones, and conglomerates, Marls, silts, muds, sandstones, and conglomerates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Stratigraphy of the Fanglomerate Group in relation to underlying units.

Figure 3. Present-day drainage of southern Cyprus.
Cladochora caespitosa) that have been dated radiometrically using the Uranium-series technique (Poole et al., 1990). The main littoral deposits associated with individual erosion surfaces are broadly contemporaneous throughout southern Cyprus. Four main erosion surfaces are recognized and named F1 (oldest) to F4 (youngest), as shown in Figure 4. The F1 terrace locally overlies shallow-marine to alluvial sediments of upper Pliocene to early Pleistocene age on the Mesaoria Plain and in southern and southwestern Cyprus (McCallum, 1989; McCallum and Robertson, 1995a, b). The successive (F1 to F4) erosion surfaces are associated with individual coarse clastic units of the Fanglomerate Group discussed here. The F1 Unit is dated as early to mid-Pleistocene; the F2 Fanglomerate Unit is also of early to middle Pleistocene age; the F3 and F4 units are of late Pleistocene age (i.e., 185–219 ka and 116–141 ka, respectively). The ages of the F1 and F2 Fanglomerates are not well constrained, as correlative marine terraces do not contain coral or other dateable material.

Earlier studies have indicated that the Troodos Massif experienced strong focused uplift in late Pliocene–mid-Pleistocene, with less intense uplift continuing into late Pleistocene time (116–141 ka) (McCallum and Robertson, 1990; Houghton et al., 1990). Marine terraces were deposited during times of relative sea-level high allowing accumulation of littoral carbonate that is locally rich in coral. Terrestrial terraces inland developed by fluvial processes, mainly during times of relative sea-level high, associated with sediment aggradation. After about 116 ka, emergence of coastal areas was restricted to a maximum of about 6 m. During the upper Pleistocene the dominant control was glacio-eustatic sea-level change, especially the highstand (at about 116–141 ka) that correlates with oxygen isotope Substage 5e (Poole and Robertson, 1991).

The surface outcrop of the Fanglomerate Group gives little indication of its true thickness. In southeast Cyprus it is only a few meters thick, and on the north Troodos margin it is ~90 m thick (Zomenis, 1977), or <12 m thick (McCallum, 1989). Borehole data show that the thickness is up to 86 m, but this varies greatly around the Troodos margin. Exposures and borehole data in southeastern Cyprus indicate that the Fanglomerate Group consists of a thin capping unit north of Xylophagou, whereas thicker, more extensive, exposures occur in coastal areas in the east (Akrotiri Peninsula) and south (Dhekelia area). In the southwest, the Fanglomerate Group is patchy, and sequences are mainly <5 m thick. Further north in the Polis Graben, extensive exposures of the Fanglomerate Group rarely exceed an exposed thickness of 10–15 m (Payne, 1996).

**SEDIMENTARY FACIES AND DEPOSITION PROCESSES**

Differences in facies occur in various geographical areas of southern Cyprus that will be discussed in the following order: (1) North margin of the Troodos Massif; (2) Southeastern Cyprus; (3) Southern Cyprus; (4) Southwest Cyprus; and (5) Polis Bay area. Most emphasis is placed on the north Troodos margin as the exposures are more extensive there. Locations are listed in Table 2.

**Northern Troodos Margin**

The Fanglomerate Group (Fig. 5) oversteps progressively older units of the Troodos Massif down to the Troodos ophiolite at the southern and western extent of the exposure. Thicknesses of the Fanglomerate Group, based on borehole data, are shown in Figure 6. Four units are distinguished, based on height above sea level, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location number</th>
<th>Grid reference</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-7</td>
<td>(162,775) Sh.11</td>
<td>Malounda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-19</td>
<td>(017,810) Sh.11</td>
<td>Vyekia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-58</td>
<td>(013,800) Sh.11</td>
<td>Astromeritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-68</td>
<td>(995,859) Sh.10</td>
<td>Astromeritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-72</td>
<td>(973,842) Sh.10</td>
<td>Kato Koutraphas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-73</td>
<td>(985,842) Sh.10</td>
<td>Kato Koutraphas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-79</td>
<td>(167,797) Sh.11</td>
<td>Aytos Ioannis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-83</td>
<td>(167,797) Sh.11</td>
<td>Aredhio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-84</td>
<td>(077,843) Sh.11</td>
<td>Orounda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-86</td>
<td>(074,797) Sh.11</td>
<td>Kato Moni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-89</td>
<td>(078,844) Sh.11</td>
<td>Orounda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-93</td>
<td>(202,786) Sh.11</td>
<td>Peratis Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-94</td>
<td>(195,762) Sh.11</td>
<td>Politiko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-96</td>
<td>(193,770) Sh.11</td>
<td>Peratis Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-109</td>
<td>(015,816) Sh.11</td>
<td>Potami</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-156</td>
<td>(39,55) Sh.20</td>
<td>Menoyia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-160</td>
<td>(354,979) Sh.20</td>
<td>Aytos Theodores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-165</td>
<td>(316,476) Sh.21</td>
<td>Maroni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-41</td>
<td>(520,441) Sh.22</td>
<td>Akthia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-45</td>
<td>(531,452) Sh.22</td>
<td>Akthia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-54</td>
<td>(620,415) Sh.22</td>
<td>Kouklia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-83</td>
<td>(650,750) Sh.21</td>
<td>Dhekelia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-10</td>
<td>(480,503) Sh.21</td>
<td>Petounda Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-19</td>
<td>(313,432) Sh.24</td>
<td>Zyri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-30</td>
<td>(755,352) Sh.22</td>
<td>Pissouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>(325,451) Sh.20</td>
<td>Maroni</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Grid references and 1:50,000 ordnance survey sheet number of locations documented in the text. Details of locations from Poole (1990).
F1 and F2 Fanglomerate Units (Lower–Middle Pleistocene).

The F1 and F2 Fanglomerate Group units, equivalent to the Kanta

tara and Kambia Gravels of Ducloz (1965), are described together 

here, in view of their similar sedimentary facies (Fig. 7). The F1 Fan

glomerate unit is heavily dissected throughout the Mesaoria Plain. 

The F2 Fanglomerate unit is widely exposed in the western Mesaoria 

Plain and, like the F1 Fanglomerate unit, is strongly eroded in the 

eastern part of the plain. Both Fanglomerate units are overlain by red 

terra rossa–type paleosols and caliche horizons. Photographs are 

shown in Figures 8 and 9.

Proximal Areas

Proximal facies have been largely eroded, but remnants crop out 

up to 1 km from the margin of the Troodos Massif. Proximal con-

glomerates of the F2 Fanglomerate unit (<15 m thick) unconformably 

overlie extrusives of the Troodos ophiolite in the center of the area 

e.g., Vyzakia, Kato Moni, and Malounda). To the east and west they 

overlie Neogene sediments (e.g., Kato Koutraphas; see Fig. 6). Troo-

dos lavas beneath the F2 Fanglomerate unit are weathered and com-

monly capped by a thin caliche (e.g., at Kato Moni and Malounda). 

Proximal units (i.e., at Vyzakia) are composed of red, unconsolidat-

ed, massive structureless and poorly sorted conglomerates. The basal 

contact with the lavas is uneven, with a relief of 3−4 m. Clasts within 

the proximal conglomerates are mainly <80 cm in size, with occa-

sional angular, “outsized” clasts.

The proximal sequences are dominated by coarse, massive, struc-

tureless, unconsolidated, matrix- to grain-supported conglomerates, 

with a dominantly sand and silt matrix. The matrix is locally fine con-

glomerate, whereas clasts are generally angular to subangular (Fig. 7, 

logs 1−3, Figs. 8, 9).

There are two units within the coarse conglomerate facies. Both 

are coarse-grained and poorly sorted with clasts up to 2 m in diame-

ter. A scoured contact is seen between the two conglomeratic units, 

with the development of caliche and sands such as that at Kato Moni 

and Vyzakia. The basal conglomerates are locally coarser grained 

than the upper units south of Kato Moni; elsewhere, coarser con-

glomerates are present in the upper conglomeratic units west of Kato 

Moni and at Malounda. The basal units have a greater proportion of 

locally derived lava clasts and fewer diabase and gabbro clasts than 

the upper unit; also fewer reworked clasts are present.

Intermediate Areas

Intermediate areas, 1−5 km from the northern margin of the Troo-

dos Massif, are dominated by coarse conglomerates (e.g., west of 

Akaki around Orounda and north of Malounda, Fig. 10) and contain 

igneous and minor sedimentary clasts such as gypsum and chalk that 

are 10−50 cm in size. The clasts are commonly polished, with a local 

caliche crust. Conglomerates are roughly bedded and locally graded, 

with fine conglomerates toward the top of some units (Fig. 7, logs 4− 

9). This intermediate unit is distinguished from proximal equivalents 

based on lateral variation, including thin lenses of fine conglomerate 

and sand.

Distal Areas

The F1 Fanglomerate unit is not found in distal locations on the 

northern Troodos margin. However, the F2 Fanglomerate unit crops 

out in distal localities >5 km from the north Troodos margin (e.g., on 

the main Troodos road between Akaki and Astromeritis; Fig. 11).

In distal locations, the F2 Fanglomerate forms well-bedded, poor-

ly cemented channelized gravels and sands, with cross-stratification, 

small fining-up sequences, silt, and caliche horizons. Sections 10 

km north of the proximal F2 Fanglomerate unit exposures reveal cy-

clic, prograding foresets overlying massive, structureless conglomer-

tate; caliche and silt cap individual cycles (Fig. 7, log 10).

Channels are cut down into locally preserved F1 and F2 Fanglom-

erate unit sequences. Where best seen, sediments of the late Pliocene 

Apalos Formation are cut by the F1 Fanglomerate unit (i.e., at Kato 

Koutraphas; Fig. 5). Distal sequences of the F1 Fanglomerate unit 

were later incised by F2 Fanglomerate channels that were then filled 

with a coarse, immature, grain-supported lag overlain by finer 

grained matrix-supported conglomerates. A second coarse sediment 

unit, above an uneven, scoured contact comprises immature, mas-

sive, poorly bedded, coarse conglomerates, which cap many of the 

exposed F2 sedimentary sequences on the northern Troodos margin.

Figure 5. Simplified geological map of the northern margin of the Troodos Massif showing location of logs in Figure 7 and of places mentioned in the text. Detail 

of Figure 2 inset.
Figure 6. Borehole data showing the approximate thickness of the Fanglomerate Group along the northern Troodos margin. Vertical line marks probable extent of Pleistocene succession (note the distinction between Pleistocene and upper Pliocene conglomerate estimated based on available borehole records). Data derived from records provided by the Geological Survey Department, Cyprus.

F3 Fanglomerate Unit (Early Late Pleistocene)

In proximal areas close to the Troodos Massif, the F3 Fanglomerate unit comprises coarse conglomerates, similar to those at proximal locations in the F2 Fanglomerate unit. Sequences crop out at topographically lower levels than the F2 Fanglomerate unit and range in thickness from <5 m (e.g., at Vyzakia), to about 10 m (e.g., north of Vyzakia; Fig. 5). The unit is dominated by massive, unsorted, ungraded, matrix- to grain-supported conglomerates, with pockets of well-sorted, grain-supported, medium-grade conglomerates and associated discontinuous pebble clusters. Toward the base, the sequence contains occasional large blocks of lava <1 m in size.

The F3 proximal facies and associated erosion surfaces in the western Mesaoria Plain are commonly capped by caliche, together with clastic sediments eroded from the F2 Fanglomerate unit. These sediments form an alluvial deposit that commonly caps the coarse conglomerates of the F3 Fanglomerate unit (e.g., at Vyzakia; Fig. 5). These alluvial sediments are composed of mixed sands, fine-to-medium grain-supported gravels and discontinuous massive silty bands. Some of the silts were possibly derived from Pliocene silts beneath the F2 Fanglomerate unit.

F4 Fanglomerate Units (Late Late Pleistocene)

The F4 Fanglomerate crops out on the western Mesaoria Plain and forms distinctive units up to 3 m above present-day river channels. The sediments of the F4 Fanglomerate unit on the western and central Mesaoria Plain areas are not as variable as those associated with the F2 and F3 Fanglomerate units. These sediments are predominantly coarse, poorly sorted, grain-supported conglomerates, similar to the coarse conglomerates of the F2 and F3 Fanglomerate units, although the F4 units have a greater proportion of grain-to-grain contacts. The clasts are predominantly rounded to subangular, locally up to 1 m in size (near Ayios Ioannis, Kato Moni, Kato Koutraphas area, and Potami; Fig. 5).

Paleocurrent Data and Clast Analysis

Paleocurrent data (i.e., clast imbrication and foreset orientation) were collected from the combined F1 and F2 units along the north Troodos margin (Fig. 12). They reveal a general swing in paleocurrents from northwest to northeast, moving from west to east across the Mesaoria Plain. This reflects radial drainage from Mt. Olympus as seen today (Fig. 3). However, in detail, adjacent coarse-grained units commonly show contrasting paleocurrent directions, reflecting local variations in channel orientations, for example where a channel has dissected a bar surface.

Clast analysis in the western and central Mesaoria Plain (Fig. 13) reveals the presence of a greater proportion of diabase and gabbro clasts in the Fanglomerate Group conglomerates than in the underlying Pliocene units that contain relatively more extrusive rocks (McCallum, 1989). By contrast, in the eastern portion of the Mesaoria Plain, the provenance of the Fanglomerate Group is similar to that of the underlying late Pliocene–early Pleistocene units in all areas of the north Troodos margin (i.e., dominated by extrusives and diabase).
Variation in clast type between the F1 and F2 Fanglomerate unit conglomerates is negligible. Ultramafic clasts are generally absent from the Fanglomerate Group, except very locally (i.e., close to the Karyotis River valley; Fig. 2). Ultramafic clasts were also reported from exposures of the Fanglomerate Group in the Morphou area in the northwest (Wilson, 1957), and Moore (1960) also noted gabbro and diabase clasts in this area.

The F4 Fanglomerate unit and also Holocene sediments in river courses of the western Mesaoria Plain include limestone sedimentary clasts of Miocene age. Igneous clasts are generally more mature than in the F1−F3 Fanglomerate units. Proportions of different igneous clasts vary locally. The presence of Miocene sedimentary clasts suggests that earlier Fanglomerate Group units must have been eroded to expose the underlying sedimentary sequences; thus, reworking of clasts has probably taken place from earlier Fanglomerate units into F4 and Holocene to present-day deposits.

The clast provenance data for the north Troodos margin indicate that major river channels drained the ultramafic core of the Troodos Massif during the late Pliocene and early Pleistocene. The fans were fed by rivers draining the Troodos Massif (i.e., F1 and F2 times). The fans were fed by rivers draining the Troodos Massif. The F1 and F2 Fanglomerate units unconformably overlie ophiolitic lithologies on the eastern Mesaoria Plain reflect transport by rivers that did not cut down into plutonic units of the Troodos ophiolite. These areas were further from the uplifted Troodos core and experienced less surface uplift during the Pleistocene, and thus less downcutting took place. An exception to this general pattern is the existence of such local topographic highs Kreatos Hill, near Mitsero, that continued to provide such sedimentary clasts as limestone to the Fanglomerate Group throughout the Pleistocene. Downcutting into the Pliocene and underlying sedimentary sequences took place later in the Pleistocene and resulted in an increased proportion of Neogene and Paleogene sedimentary clasts within the later F3 and F4 units of the Fanglomerate Group.

The Kyrenia Range to the north did not influence the Fanglomerate Group deposition on the south of the Mesaoria Plain, as clasts derived from the Kyrenia Range are absent from the Fanglomerate Group.

Discussion
Large-scale alluvial fan development took place on the northern Troodos margin during the early and middle Pleistocene (i.e., F1 and F2 times). The fans were fed by rivers draining the Troodos Massif. The F1 and F2 Fanglomerate units unconformably overlie ophiolitic lithologies on the western and central parts of the Mesaoria Plain, but are conformable on upper Pliocene sediments in the east. The simplest explanation is that contemporary uplift was more intense in the west, with erosion of the Troodos sedimentary cover during deposition of the Fanglomerate Group. Alternatively, the domal topography and drainage system of the Troodos Massif was developed in the upper Pliocene and then continued to control fluvial deposition during the Pleistocene in the eastern Mesaoria Plain. In the first interpretation, progressive unroofing of the Troodos Massif would be documented during the Pleistocene, whereas in the second, the radial dispersal pattern was established in upper Pliocene and need not have changed greatly during the Pleistocene even if uplift continued.

In an interpretation involving progressive surface uplift during the Pleistocene, increased proportions of clasts derived from generally lower stratigraphic levels, such as gabbro and ultramafics, would be
Figure 8. Field photographs. A. View toward Kreatos Hill, north Troodos margin, showing dissection of the F1 and F2 erosional surfaces. B. Erosion surface at Koraka Hill, north Troodos margin, elevated above F2 erosion surface that forms the plain in the foreground. C. F1 age conglomerate cut by F2 age conglomerate channel, Kato Koutraphas, north Troodos margin (loc 1–72). D. Very coarse imbricated basal conglomerate of F2 age, near Vyzakia, north Troodos margin (loc 1–19). E. View east-northeast of small channels and bars in distal F2 channel sequences at Yermasoyia (loc 3–24). F. Large channel of F1 age cutting Miocene chalk at Kouklia, southwestern Cyprus (loc 2–54; loc = location; see Table 2 for details of locations).
expected. A difference is indeed seen between the late Pliocene (Kakkaristra and Apolos Formations) and the Pleistocene as a whole. However, no significant difference was observed with the Pleistocene units. There are several possible explanations for this. First, the uplift was domal, so that areas further from the core, such as the eastern Mesaoria plain, would not have received ultramafic clasts, as confirmed by paleocurrent data. Second, ultramafic rocks were probably not restricted to deep levels in the ophiolite when strong uplift began in the upper Pliocene. The uplift of Mt. Olympos is focused within an Upper Cretaceous ocean floor graben system where intrusive ophiolitic rocks were initially exhumed to high structural level in the Upper Cretaceous (Varga and Moores, 1985). Third, some erosion already took place during the late Miocene–mid-Pliocene when relatively minor uplift took place (McCallum and Robertson, 1990). Thus, rapid uplift in the upper Pliocene soon unroofed ultramafic rocks that were channeled northward by the paleo-Karyotis River, without wider dispersal. However, continuing uplift in the upper Pliocene–mid-Pleistocene unroofed large areas of gabbro and diabase, represented by widely dispersed clasts in the Fanglomerate Group.

The north Troodos margin conglomerates probably formed rapidly by streamflood, or sheetflood, processes, similar to early Tertiary conglomerates in Wyoming (Kraus, 1984) and certain Pleistocene sequences in Spain (Harvey, 1984; Harvey and Wells, 1987). However, the absence of matrix-supported conglomerates in proximal areas of the north Troodos margin probably reflects availability of fine-
grained sediment and the paucity of soil cover developed in a semi-arid climate (e.g., Harvey, 1984). Variable clast fabric within such sheetflood units also reflects the distance of transport and relative viscosity. Short-distance travelled units are generally disorganized. Sheared laminar flow, typical of long-distance travelled units, however, leads to clast interaction and more clast orientation (Lewis et al., 1980). Sheetfloods form extensive thin sheets of massively bedded conglomerate, while streamfloods occur when the channels are too deep for sheetflood development (Blissenbach, 1954). Deposition by sheetflood, rather than streamflood, processes resulted in the deposition of an extensive conglomerate fan sequence on the north Troodos margin.

In the intermediate locations, shallow channels clearly existed, typical of intermediate locations on semi-arid alluvial fans (e.g., Nemec and Steel, 1984, 1988). The presence, or absence, of cross-bedding within conglomerate sequences is one indicator of channel depth, with cross-bedding being indicative of deeper channels (Kraus, 1984). Cross-bedding within the intermediate location units is thought to reflect rapid fall in fluid and sediment discharge rates across a bar (e.g., Hein and Walker, 1977), supporting an argument for flashy, ephemeral flow across fans.
We now go on to summarize information concerning other Pleistocene clastic sediments in the southern part of Cyprus. The Fanglomerate Group in southeastern Cyprus, around Dhekelia, represents a southeasterly extension of the Mesaoria Plain deposits that in some areas unconformably overlie Pliocene shallow-marine carbonates (i.e., Athalassa Formation; Poole and Robertson, 1991). The succession resembles that at distal locations on the north Troodos margin, with channelized, poorly sorted, massive, immature, coarse conglomerates, interbedded with mature sands and conglomerates (Fig. 14, log 11). As in distal areas of the Mesaoria plain F1 and F2 conglomerates have merged and are not easily distinguished. Paleocurrents are mainly toward the southeast (Fig. 15). Clasts present within the unit include basalt, diabase, gabbro, chalk, and chert. Imbrication data indicate variable paleocurrents (Poole, 1992). The fanglomerate unit is capped by caliche and red terra rossa–type soils that form a thin cap over much of the area. The presence of interbedded Pleistocene shallow-marine sediments near the present coast indicates proximity to a shoreline during deposition.

One feature of note is the presence of a local bone bed southwest of Xylophagou that consists of well-cemented, mature, green sandstone, overlain by coarse, poorly sorted, unfossiliferous, red conglomerates. The presence of igneous and sedimentary clasts of grainstones, limestones, diabase, gabbro, and basalt indicates derivation mainly from the Troodos ophiolite and its local sedimentary cover. These conglomerates are overlain by calcarenites, silts, sands and poorly sorted, grain-supported conglomerates. The bone bed unit may be correlatable with the F3 Fanglomerate Unit of the northern Troodos margin. The matrix encasing the mammal bones is well-sorted, submature, fine- to medium-grained sand. Thin-section analysis reveals ophiolite-derived grains and minerals (lava, diabase, feldspar, and pyroxene), sedimentary clasts and <2-mm-long reworked fossils, such as benthic and planktonic foraminifers and abraded mollusc fragments, cemented by sparry calcite. Delicate ostracode shells are locally concentrated within the matrix and are probably in situ, consistent with a lacustrine setting.

In southeastern Cyprus the sedimentary clasts are dominated by Paleogene and Neogene chalks and limestones. An unusually high proportion of lava clasts locally at Dhekelia reflects additional input from a nearby ophiolite inlier, the Troulli Inlier. By contrast, gabbro clasts were either derived from isolated gabbroic outcrops in the eastern Troodos ophiolite, or from the main gabbro outcrop in the core of the...
the Troodos Massif. Derivation from the eastern Troodos Massif is likely, as present-day rivers in this area, such as the Yialias, flow generally north then east, in contrast to rivers draining the intrusive core area that eventually flow westward into Morphou Bay. The gabbro clasts thus imply the former existence of a drainage system flowing generally southeastward through the Dhekelia area into Larnaca Bay.

Southern Coastal Area

Development of the Fanglomerate Group inland along the southern coast, between Larnaca and the west side of the Akrotiri Peninsula. However, greater thicknesses are recorded in boreholes from the Akrotiri Peninsula (Fig. 15). Correlation of the Fanglomerate Group westward from southeastern Cyprus is possible using relative altimetry and correlation with depositional terraces and erosion surfaces. Correlation at coastal sites was achieved by an association with marine terraces (Poole and Robertson, 1991). Four Fanglomerate units are identified in this area, based on topographic height and lateral correlations.

F1 and F2 Fanglomerate Units: Lower–Middle Pleistocene

The combined F1 and F2 Fanglomerate units in coastal southern Cyprus are restricted to small, thin, patchy outcrops. These are ac-
companied by the development of terraces within 80 m of basement units in the lower Vasilikos Valley (Gomez, 1987). F1 commonly consists of clasts derived from the Troodos ophiolite and its sedimentary cover (e.g., northwest of Tersephanou). The F1 unit locally overlies chalks of the Lefkara Formation. Gomez (1987) also distinguished between our F1 and F2 Fanglomerate units in the lower Vasilikos Valley (i.e., this Phalakros and Mitsinjites terraces).

The F1 and F2 Fanglomerate units of the southern margin of the Troodos Massif form isolated remnants capping hills (e.g., near Limassol). Successions are commonly 3–4 m thick and resemble those exposed on the north Troodos margin. Coarse immature, poorly sorted, matrix-supported conglomerates dominate, with subordinate amounts of finer-grained clastic sediments, red terra rossa-type paleosols and caliche horizons. Two distinct units of coarse conglomerate separated by finer sediments, caliche and paleosols, were observed at a number of localities, for instance, northeast of Zyyi and south of Mari (Figs. 2, 16). Subangular clasts of lava, diabase, and chalk predominate, together with locally abundant chert, limestone, gabbro, and ultramafics. Troodos igneous-derived clasts are commonly more mature than those derived from the sedimentary cover.

FANGLOMERATE DEPOSITION AND TROODOS OPHIOLITE UPLIFT

The proximal F1 Fanglomerate, equivalent to the Vasilikos Formation of McCallum (1989), can be correlated with conglomerates in southwestern Cyprus (see below). The more distal F1 and F2 Fanglomerate units in southern Cyprus resemble the fanglomerates of southeastern Cyprus and distal outcrops of the north Troodos margin. These include coarse conglomerates, well-bedded, fine and medium conglomerates, sands, paleosols, and caliche horizons. The coarse conglomerates are poorly sorted, immature and massive, although some crude bedding is locally present (e.g., in the Limassol area; Fig. 8E). Clasts are generally 30–40 cm long, subangular and relatively immature. “Outsize” clasts up to 70 cm long are locally present. In addition to coarse conglomerates, there are also mixed sediments north of Limassol, locally composed of relatively mature, bedded conglomerate with a relatively high proportion of sand and silt.

F3 Fanglomerate Unit: Early–Late Pleistocene

The F3 Fanglomerate unit along the southern coast of Cyprus crops out in many of the large river valleys (e.g., Kouris and Pendaskinos Rivers; Fig. 3). The F3 sections generally occur up to 15 m above the present-day channels incised into the F1 and F2 deposits. Massive, structureless, grain- to matrix-supported coarse conglomerates are overlain by more mature, generally well-bedded and well-sorted conglomerates, sands, and silts (Fig. 16, logs 12–14). The well-bedded units are locally graded and are commonly interbedded with paleosols and caliche horizons. The tops of the well-bedded units are often scoured and overlain by an additional unit composed of coarse, structureless conglomerate. Exceptions to the typical sequence include the following: (1) conglomerates within well-bedded units (e.g., at Khrokisita and north of Maroni); (2) extensive areas of fine sand and silt capped by coarse, immature, conglomerate, 50–60 cm thick; (3) well-developed paleosols and caliche horizons between basal, massive conglomerate and overlying well-bedded units (e.g., south of Maroni).

F4 Fanglomerate Unit and Younger Fluvial Sediments: Late Late Pleistocene–Holocene

The F4 Fanglomerate unit is best exposed in major river valleys issuing from the Troodos Massif and in coastal areas (Fig. 17, logs 15–17). Exposures in the valleys are incised into the F3 Fanglomerate unit. For example, excavation pits in the lower Vasilikos Valley (Gomez, 1987) show 3- to 5-cm-thick rhythmic cycles. Sands and silts cover much of the valley floor, but massive, poorly sorted, immature conglomerates are also present. The conglomerates are generally grain-supported and incorporate clasts up to 60 cm in size. Clasts of lava and diabase predominate, together with immature chalk clasts. Local caliche forms crusts on many clasts.

The F4 unit has locally cut down into the underlying Troodos sedimentary cover in the Maroni River valley, showing that the F4 unit was not purely aggradational. Valleys of the southern Troodos margin, such as the Pendaskinos River valley, are presently about 300–400 m wide and commonly covered in alluvium; many today contain misfit streams.

The F4 Fanglomerate units are locally well exposed along the coast at Zyyi and Petounda Point, where sections are undergoing marine erosion. Successions are generally less than 2 m thick and dominated by massive, poorly consolidated, coarse conglomerates, well-bedded sandstones, fine gravels, and paleosol horizons (Fig. 17, logs, 15–17). The dominant clasts within the conglomerate units are chalk, basalt, and diabase.

Offshore shallow seismic profiles reveal channels of inferred F4 age that can be correlated with fluvial channels onshore (e.g., at Zyyi; McCallum et al., 1993). Onshore, shallow conglomerate-filled channels are incised into floodplain conglomerates, similar to those associated with the F4 Fanglomerate unit along the southern margin of the Troodos Massif.

There is also local evidence of Holocene to present-day fluvial processes. The floor of the Vasilikos Valley shows evidence of addi-

Figure 16. Logs of the F3 Fanglomerate unit (i.e., early late Pleistocene) from the south coast of Cyprus. See text for explanation. Locations are shown as numbers 12–15 on Figure 2 and in Table 2.
tional downcutting by 6 m. This was followed by a period of aggradation and alluviation between 5540 to 5010 B.C. (Gomez, 1987). The aggradation was succeeded by fine-grained overbank sedimentation and subsequent downcutting to form an alluvial terrace within 2 m of the present floodplain, as seen in the Tremithios Valley. Incision into this terrace has occurred since Byzantine (i.e., 330−1190 A.D.)
times (Gifford, 1978). The conglomerate units cap silty and sandy alluvium in many places. Muddy, poorly sorted alluvium was also deposited in the Tremithios River in the Larnaca lowlands during the latest Pleistocene and early Holocene.

**Paleocurrent and Clast Data**

Paleocurrents are directed southward in south-coastal Cyprus, reflecting a regional radial drainage pattern centered on Mt. Olympos (Fig. 18). Within individual areas there was little change in flow direction during the Pleistocene. Minor changes include a trend from dominantly east-southeast to south-southeast during deposition of the F2 and F3 Fanglomerate units. Such local deviations are observed in fluvial sequences deposited away from restricted valley fan systems, within more distal areas of lower river gradients. The drop in gradient and removal of any lateral constraints on fluvial flow in these areas allowed braided plains with variable paleocurrents to develop in low coastal plains.

Clasts within the F3 and F4 Fanglomerate units are similar throughout the southern area (Fig. 19). In general, ophiolitic clasts are more mature than more locally derived sedimentary rock in the F3 Fanglomerate. The proportion of chalk clasts is generally higher in the F3 Fanglomerate unit than in the F4 Fanglomerate unit. Local gabbro clasts were probably derived from small outcrops of plutonic rocks in the upper reaches of the Maroni River.

The clast data from the southern area show a progressive increase in the proportion of ultramafic clasts through time (e.g., in the Kouris River), matched by a decrease in the relative proportion of diabase and lava clasts. Ultramafic clasts are absent from the F1 Fanglomerate unit, but are then present in the F2, F3, and F4 Fanglomerate units as far east as Vasilikos. The proportion of mafic clasts varies locally in units to the north and east of Limassol (as far as Vasilikos), while the proportion of sedimentary clasts remained relatively constant (Fig. 19).

The Limassol Forest differs from the Troodos, further north, in that it was deformed by south-vergent thrusting during the early Miocene, so that intrusive ophiolite rocks such as gabbro and ultramafics were exposed at high structural levels (Eaton, 1987; Eaton and Robertson, 1993), and later transgressed by late Miocene shallow-water carbonates (Follows, 1990; Follows et al., 1996). These cover sediments were finally removed in the early to middle Pleistocene. There was thus a source of plutonic clasts within the Limassol Forest area that does not document uplift of the Troodos core, other than the Kouris River that drains Mt. Olympos.

**Discussion**

F1 and F2 Fanglomerate deposition in coastal southern Cyprus was controlled by a series of large channels, some of which date back to the upper Pliocene and possibly to the Miocene (Eaton, 1987). Local channel incision (e.g., at Khirokitra) marks the onset of rapid uplift in this area during the Late Pliocene (Houghton et al., 1990). Huge volumes of sediment were supplied by the paleo-Kouris River which probably fed a large channel fan, explaining the abundance of fanglomerate present in boreholes in the Akrotiri Peninsula. Channel fans also formed elsewhere along the southern coast, with much sediment by-passing and deposition in the sea south of Cyprus. Turbidites, interbedded with deep-sea muds were cored at Site 968 at the foot of the slope of the Cyprus margin. These contain abundant terrigenous material derived from the Troodos ophiolite and its sedimentary cover (Emeis, Robertson, Richter, et al., 1996; Robertson, Chap. 36, this volume).

An overall proximal to distal change in clastic sedimentation is seen along the southern margin of the Troodos Massif during F1–F4 times. This probably reflects repeated progradation of a fluvial system from proximal channelized fans (e.g., Muto, 1987; Heward, 1978) to form an unconstrained alluvial plain. Channels widened with the development of bars and channels in distal areas, while sheetflood-streamflood processes were prevalent in confined chan-

---

**Figure 17.** Logs of the F4 Fanglomerate unit (i.e., late late Pleistocene—Holocene) cropping out in southern Cyprus. See text for explanation. Locations are shown in Figure 2 as locations 16, 17 and in Table 2.
Figure 18. Clast analysis data from the southern coastal area. “F” number refers to the fanglomerate unit; number in parentheses is number of clasts counted; clast categories: (a) ultramafics; (b) mafics (i.e., gabbro); (c) diabase; (d) basic extrusives (i.e., basalt); (e) sedimentary rocks (e.g., limestone).

Figure 19. Paleocurrent data from the Fanglomerate Group along the southern coastal area of Cyprus. Number of measurements is given in parentheses. The “F” number refers to the fanglomerate unit (e.g., F1).
nels closer to the margins of the Troodos Massif. The development of braidplain sequences accounts for increased sorting of sediments away from confining channels, with the presence of grain-rather than matrix-supported conglomerates. Periodic flood events carried an immature, poorly sorted sediment load onto the alluvial plain, where it was deposited beyond the confines of restricted channels. In addition, gravelly sediments, interpreted as sheetflood and streamflow deposits, comprise a minor proportion of the exposed Fanglomerate Group in coastal southern Cyprus, where channelized braid sequences dominate.

The F4-Holocene Fanglomerate units reflect deposition of coarse conglomerate, with an increasing proportion of fine alluvium. The coarse conglomerates are attributed to high-energy, bedload deposition, passing up into stratified bar deposits. The fine-grained alluvium is interpreted as overbank deposits. Gomez (1987) relates the sediments in the lower Vasilikos Valley with the “Older Fill” and “Younger Fill” of Vita-Finzi (1969). The presence of two distinct phases of sedimentation in the Vasilikos Valley, unstratified conglomerate, with an increasing proportion of fine alluvium. The conglomerates range from clast-supported units in channels, to matrix-supported units, interbedded with the fine sands and silts. The clasts are generally <10 cm long, but locally up to 25 cm long. Fining-up sequences are individually structureless, although bedding-parallel lamination are locally present. Beds are laterally persistent over 30–100 m. Impersistent cross-cutting channels are up to 3 m wide and 50–60 cm deep.

**Southwestern Area**

Successions are as follows, working clockwise round the coastal areas from Pissouri to Paphos (Figs. 3, 20, logs 18–21).

In the southern part of this area the Fanglomerate Group is mainly represented by the F1 Fanglomerate unit that is locally exposed in cliffs, about 100 m above sea level (i.e., in the Pissouri area). The Fanglomerate unit there unconformably overlies Pliocene conglomeratic fan-delta and marl sequences. The succession consists of about 5 m of laterally variable, poorly cemented, broadly fining-up, channelized conglomerates, overlain by red terra rossa-type paleosols and thick caliche, and then by a mature erosion surface. Clasts of gabbro, diabase, and basalt in the conglomerate units were derived from the Troodos ophiolite, together with chalk and marl that were more locally derived from the sedimentary cover (i.e., Lefkara and Paphkha Formations).

To the northwest, near Paphos, small remnants of pre-Fanglomerate Group conglomerates and paleosols of probable late Pliocene age, were followed by deposition of channelized conglomerates (from 80 m lower). Fanglomerate Group channels, 40–50 m wide and up to 3.5 m deep, are cut down into underlying marls of the Miocene Paphkha Formation (Fig. 8F). In one such channel a basalt unit of coarse conglomerate fines up into fine gravels, followed by a second coarse conglomerate that was eroded into finer-grained sediments. Another channel further west is similar but lacks a distinctive basal lag. Clast compositions in both channels are similar, although the second channel contains a higher proportion of locally derived sedimentary clasts. The channelized sequence is correlated with the F1 deposition elsewhere, and is overlain by a well-developed erosion surface. The F2 erosion surface to the south clearly lies topographically beneath (e.g., near Kouklia).

Channelled, fluvial sediments of both the F1 and F2 units, exposed between Yeroskips and Kouklia, and near Akhelia (Fig. 9D), comprise alternations of conglomerate, fine silt, and sand. These units are generally poorly sorted and immature, with caliche and soil formation. Channels range from 1.3 m wide and 20–30 cm deep, to 1 m wide and 50–60 cm deep.

F3 and F4 Fanglomerate units are also well exposed in coastal and river sections (e.g., east of Paphos). These units topographically lie beneath the F1 and F2 deposits and form part of a contemporaneous coastal plain. Coastal successions are generally <2 m thick and comprise alternating coarse, grain-supported, massive conglomerates and fine sands and silts. River sections consist of well-bedded, alternating conglomerates, sands and silts, in cyclic, laterally impersistent beds. Fluvial sequences further inland (e.g., near Kissonerga) include extensive fine-grained sediments composed of white to buff, locally red, medium-grained sands and silts interbedded with minor conglomerates. Clasts are locally imbricated, poorly sorted, immature, angular to rounded, and are mainly chalk, chert, and marl, with some gabbro and serpentinite. The conglomerates range from clast-supported units in channels, to matrix-supported units, interbedded with the fine sands and silts. The clasts are generally <10 cm long, but locally up to 25 cm long. Fining-up sequences are individually structureless, although bedding-parallel lamination are locally present. Beds are laterally persistent over 30–100 m. Impersistent cross-cutting channels are up to 3 m wide and 50–60 cm deep.

**Provenance of Clasts and Paleocurrents**

A wide variety of sedimentary clasts—chalk, chert, quartzite, marl, limestones, sandstone, siltstone, and mudstone—are found in the F1–F4 Fanglomerate units of the southwestern area. These clasts were derived from the Troodos ophiolitic rocks, from their overlying sedimentary cover, and from the Mesozoic Mamonia Complex (Fig. 21). Clasts locally derived from the Mamonia Complex are widely present north and east of Paphos (e.g., in the Kissonerga area). Ultramafic clasts commonly reflect a Mamonia, rather than Troodos-derived, source. However, where major rivers such as the Ezousa, Yerapotomos, and Dhiarizos drain the Troodos Massif, far-travelled diabase clasts are common. The proportion of clast types in southwestern Cyprus remained generally constant throughout the Pleistocene, reflecting the persistence of diverse sources, represented by the Mamonia Complex, the Troodos ophiolite, and the sedimentary cover sequence of both these units.

Paleocurrent data (Fig. 22) indicate a continuation of a broadly radial pattern of sediment dispersal observed in other areas, but with some local variation. Notably, southerly paleocurrents in the north reflect derivation from the Akamas Peninsula, a topographic high in northwestern Cyprus.

**Discussion**

The channelized sequences in coastal southwestern Cyprus were laid down in a fluvial braidplain environment. Channels are small and conglomerates within them generally grade up into the finer sediments, suggesting an initial high-energy pulse followed by waning flow. The mainly clast-supported nature of the conglomerates and their scoured bases suggest that they were deposited as bedload, rather than as mass-flow, deposits. Periodic high-energy events resulted in the deposition of immature conglomerates, while finer-grained, more mature sediments probably relate to waning flow. The paleosols and caliche horizons, as elsewhere, reflect relatively stable periods of local nondeposition.

The extensive, dominantly fine-grained sediments north of Kissonerga resemble floodplain sequences described from present-day semi-arid alluvial sequences in the southwestern U.S.A. (Blissenbach, 1954), and from the Devonian and New Red Sandstone of Scotland (Steel, 1974; Wilson, 1980). The presence of shallow but wide conglomerate channels suggest the presence of low-sinuosity, ephemeral streams (Leopold and Miller, 1956). The deposition of extensive silts, with conglomerate stringers, is suggestive of deposition as overbank sediments. The roots and caliche horizons suggest periods free of substantial flooding. The presence of thick floodplain sequences indicate periodic flood activity and regional channel stability during times of semi-arid conditions (Wilson, 1980).
In general, in northwestern Cyprus, the F2−F4 Fanglomerate units crop out at successively lower topographical levels, as elsewhere in southern Cyprus. The F1 and F2 Fanglomerate units resemble exposures elsewhere (e.g., east of Paphos). The F3 Fanglomerate unit is generally restricted to areas close to present-day river courses, while the F4 Fanglomerate unit crops out within several meters of present-day channels. The F1−F3 Fanglomerate units consist of coarse, massive, unsorted, channelized conglomerates, passing up into sands and silts, capped by caliche and paleosol horizons. The sequences are generally red or gray. Channels are commonly cut into earlier conglomerates and paleosols and are generally 3−5 m wide and 70 cm deep.

In addition, the Fanglomerate Group was deposited within the Polis Graben. However, most of these deposits were eroded in Holocene to present-day time, and for this reason will not be discussed here (Payne and Robertson, 1995). However, toward the north of the graben, Fanglomerate Group sediments unconformably overlie late Pliocene? marine deltaic sediments in the Limni area. The Fanglomerate Group is also well exposed in an isolated area near Kato Pyrgos on the northwest flank of the Troodos ophiolite. Borehole data reveal about 60 m of conglomerates, sands and silts, unconformably overlying lava and diabase of the Troodos ophiolite. Exposed sections can be correlated using local geomorphologic features (e.g., paleo-cliff lines). Exposed sequences of the F2 and F3 Fanglomerate units are similar to those seen on the north Troodos margin. The matrix within the Fanglomerate units consists of fine brown silts and sands. The clasts of the conglomeratic units are solely Troodos-derived (i.e., sand and diabase). Diabase clasts tend to be more mature than lava clasts, which were derived from more local sources. Limited clast imbrication data from Kato Pyrgos show a south-to-north paleocurrent pattern, consistent with the overall dispersal away from the core of the Troodos Massif.

**Fanglomerate Units of the Kyrenia Range**

Sediments of the Fanglomerate Group crop out both to the north and south of the Kyrenia Range in northern Cyprus (Moore, 1960; Ducloz, 1968, 1972; Baroz, 1979). Coarse gravels, boulder beds and
immature conglomerates dominate, made up of angular to subangular, poorly sorted clasts. Local well-bedded chalky silts of lacustrine origin are capped by white soils differing from those seen on the north Troodos margin. Clasts in the Fanglomerate Group on the flanks of the Kyrenia Range mainly consist of limestone, chalk, rhyolite sandstone, graywacke, and conglomerate (Moore, 1960), lithologies that are restricted to the Kyrenia Range and do not crop out elsewhere on the island.

REGIONAL COMPARISONS

Comparison with Crete is pertinent as the regional setting above a northward-dipping subduction zone is similar to that of Cyprus (Fig. 1, inset). Also, the history of on-land Pleistocene sedimentation in Crete is relevant to the position of the deep-sea sediments drilled on the Mediterranean Ridge south of Crete at Sites 969, 970, 971 (Emeis, Robertson, Richter, et al., 1996) and can also be compared with other Mediterranean areas (Fairbridge, 1972).

In the Cretan area, northward subduction probably began around late Oligocene time (Kastens et al., 1992). Strong uplift of southern Crete then took place mainly in the late Miocene–Pliocene, coeval with crustal extension and opening of the Sea of Crete to the north (Meulenkamp et al., 1988; Postma et al., 1993; Postma and Drinia, 1993). Following the Messinian salinity crisis, marine transgression took place in Crete early in the Pliocene as in Cyprus, coupled with continued crustal extension. Pliocene marine terraces in eastern Crete are up to 450 m above sea level (Peters et al., 1985). Nemec and Postma (1993) relate these terraces to a Pliocene sea level highstand (to around 450 m). An early Pleistocene coastal paleoclip is about 15 m above present-day sea level and later Pleistocene coastal fan-delta deposits are about 10 m above present-day sea level. Holocene paleo-beaches and radiometrically dated wave-cut notches indicate continuing uplift and tilting, although the actual character and magnitude of relative sea-level change remains poorly constrained.

Early Pleistocene alluvial fans on Crete form relatively small coalescent cones mainly composed of unsorted angular debris derived by seaward erosion of the mountain front. Later fans are larger and are mainly stream-flow deposits dominated by better sorted gravels. High stream flow is interpreted to relate to melting of mountain ice. During the Holocene, earlier fans were dissected, associated with deposition of small gravel lobes (Postma and Nemec, 1990). The Pleistocene conglomerates in both Cyprus and Crete are similar in that both related to erosion of rapidly rising islands. However, in detail, the extreme local changes in relief, fault control, and strong influences of glaciation in Crete, all differ from the Fanglomerate Group in Cyprus.

Coarse alluvial deposition is also seen in many other mountainous Mediterranean areas, for example, the north coast of Euboea (Evvia), where resistant rocks, mainly Mesozoic carbonates, rise steeply to >1500 m within several kilometers of the coast. High-energy fluvial deposits there have formed a series of steep-sided valleys, influenced by the effects of continued surface uplift (Lewin et al., 1992).

In the Antalya area of southwestern Turkey, compression and thrusting in the Late Miocene (Aksu phase) was followed by a switch to crustal extension and marine basin formation in the latest Miocene-
early Pliocene (Glover, 1995). This basin was later infilled by marine silts and muds, followed by overall regression after the middle Pliocene. Progressive infilling by a prograding fluvial-deltaic complex from the Late Pliocene onward took place within an actively rifting basin, bounded by uplifting areas of the adjacent Tauride Mountains. Pleistocene and Holocene fan delta sequences were also documented from the Burdur basin further north (Kazanc, 1990). Again, this situation differs markedly from the deposition of the Fanglomerate Group in Cyprus.

The above comparisons emphasize that the high-relief type alluvial fans of southern Crete, Evvia, and Antalya (Nemenç, 1990) are dissimilar to the Pleistocene Fanglomerate Group of southern Cyprus, although similarities exist with the coeval fans bordering the steep-sided Kyrenia Range. The alluvial fans of the coastal Southern Antalya area reflect the existence of a huge uplifted hinterland. By contrast, the southern Cyprus Fanglomerate Group deposition relates to the unusual situation of radial dispersal from a broad rising dome-shaped area of only moderate relief (as today) and without local fault control, except locally in the Polis Graben.

**CONTROLS OF PLEISTOCENE CLASTIC DEPOSITION**

Deposition of the Pleistocene Fanglomerate Group was controlled by a number of variables including absolute tectonic uplift, isostasy, glacio-eustatic sea-level change, climatic change, nature of source rocks and autocyclic (naturally occurring) processes such as river capture or switches in fan-delta distributaries.

Tectonically controlled surface uplift, focused on Mt. Olympos, was clearly the dominant control on Fanglomerate Group deposition. Regional tectonic uplift during the latest Pliocene–Pleistocene, including the Kyrenia Range, is attributed to incipient continental collision of the African and Eurasian plates, specifically the underthrusting of the Eratosthenes Seamount beneath southern Cyprus. However, surface uplift was focused on Mt. Olympos by the effects of diapiric intrusion of serpentinite to form the dome-shaped Troodos Massif (Gass and Masson-Smith, 1963; Moors and Vine, 1971). Upward movement of serpentinite is seen as having been triggered by collision of the Eratosthenes Seamount with the Cyprus active margin (Roberton et al., 1995a, b).

Glacio-eustatic sea-level change is known to cause a change in gradients and in incision rates notably the Lissassah Massif, and the terraced floodplain (Leeder, 1993). Low-sinusosity channels, as inferred for the Fanglomerate Group in southern Cyprus, are particularly susceptible to the effects of gradient change (Schumm et al., 1988).

Short-term climatic change, especially the amount and distribution of rainfall, influences erosion and sediment supply, as inferred for the development of alluvial fans in the Dead Sea area (Frostick and Reid, 1989; Bowman, 1990) and in Oman (Maizels, 1987). The presence of late Pliocene-early Pleistocene lacustrine deposits and travertine in the Kyrenia Range suggests that the climate was then dominantly more humid than at present (Ducloz, 1972; Dregborn, 1978). The existence of a climatic change between the Pliocene and Pleistocene is supported by evidence from the study of the sapropels (thin organic-rich layers) cored in the eastern Mediterranean Sea, including those on the Eratosthenes Seamount, south of Cyprus during ODP Leg 160. Sapropels are abundant in the Pliocene but diminish in the Pleistocene (Emeis, Robertson, Richter, et al., 1996). This decrease could, in part, be a result of a switch to a dominantly less humid climate after the Pliocene.

The sapropels appear to correspond to interglacial periods of high freshwater runoff creating a cap of low-salinity surface waters, leading to stratification of the water column and anoxia at depth (Emeis and Sakomoto, Chap. 3, this volume; Kroon et al., Chap. 14, this volume). This suggests that accumulation of the Fanglomerate Group was accompanied by marked changes in humidity and with periods significantly wetter than today. Erosion was also influenced by downcutting into lower units of the Troodos Massif. For example, relatively friable pillow lavas were eroded more readily than underlying compact, tough sheeted dykes. Also, the pelagic carbonates of the lower Tertiary sedimentary cover were more easily eroded than the late Miocene limestones, which are much more resistant. Diabase and limestone are thus significantly over-represented as clasts in the Fanglomerate Group, and extrusives under represented.

**Model for Pleistocene Clastic Deposition**

Any interpretation is unconstrained by dating of the F1 and F2 (early-middle Pleistocene) Fanglomerate units, while the F3 and F4 units are relatively well constrained by association with dated marine terraces (Poole et al., 1990; Poole and Robertson, 1992).

The Troodos Massif underwent partial uplift and emergence prior to the late Pliocene (Robertson, 1977; McCaull and Robertson, 1990; Robertson et al., 1991). However, intense tectonic uplift began in the late Pliocene, in southern Cyprus, by a sudden switch from quiet open-marine Pliocene muds and silts, to alluvial conglomerates and sands of the F1 unit (McCallum, 1989; Poole, 1992), with localized incision and channel downcutting (Houghton et al., 1990). Coeval tectonic uplift along the north Troodos margin gave rise to a fan-delta system, the Kakkaristra Formation (McCullum, 1989; McCullum and Robertson, 1995a) and final regression of the Mesoaeria Sea between the Troodos Massif and the Kyrenia Range (McCallum and Robertson, 1995b). Uplift of southern Cyprus in the late Pliocene–Pleistocene is mirrored by subsidence and collapse of the Eratosthenes Seamount, as documented by ODP Leg 160 (Emeis, Robertson, Richter, et al., 1996; Robertson et al., 1995b; Fig. 23).

During the early–middle Pleistocene, the F1 Fanglomerate unit was shed from the rapidly rising Troodos Massif, centered on Mt. Olympos. To the north of Troodos steep-sided valleys were cut into the rising Mt. Olympos area by such agents as the Karyotis River, and alluvial conglomerate, gravel, and sand were deposited on overlying mainly late Pliocene sedimentary units; the Kakkaristra and Athalassa Formations. Alluvium was transported downslope through steep-sided valleys cut into the Troodos Massif to form a laterally extensive low-relief braidplain (Fig. 24), that merged northward with distal alluvial material shed from the Kyrenia Range to the north. Troodos-derived alluvium was transported both northwestern into Morphou Bay, and southeastward into Larnaca Bay. In southern and southwestern Cyprus respectively, fluvial architecture was influenced by local structural Forest Block, and the development of ephemeral streams and the Polis Graben. Much of the alluvial material was shed south of Troodos and was transported offshore through a small number of pre-existing large channels (Kouris river valley, south Cyprus; McCallum et al., 1993). Outbuilding of the F1 Fanglomerate probably took place during a sea-level high and was perhaps aided by erosion in a more humid climate than at present, judging by paleoclimatic evidence such as lacustrine deposits and travertine from the Kyrenia Range (Ducloz, 1968; Dregborn, 1978).

The most probable cause of later development of the F2 Fanglomerate unit at a lower topographic level than the F1 unit is glacio-eustatically controlled incision that took place during a time of continuing surface tectonic uplift. This caused initial downcutting and was followed by deposition during a subsequent sea-level rise. An alternative is that topographic gradients, and thus erosion and downcutting, were increased solely in response to a pulse of accelerated tectonic uplift. Another is that increased humidity accentuated run-off. In our favored glacio-eustatically controlled interpretation, sea level fell resulting in downcutting and was followed by a sea-level rise when the F2 unit formed, together with correlative littoral marine terraces in coastal areas (e.g., in southwestern Cyprus; Poole and Robertson, 1991). Terraces were created by subsequent downcutting.

The dominant control of the F3 and F4 Fanglomerates is inferred to be glacio-eustatic sea-level change during a time of continuing surface tectonic uplift. The F3 Fanglomerate Group unit is correlated with littoral marine terraces in southwestern, southern, and southeast-
CONCLUSIONS

The coarse alluvial clastic sediments of the Fanglomerate Group of Cyprus record tectonic uplift and unroofing of the Troodos ophiolitic massif and its sedimentary cover during the Pleistocene–Holocene time. The Fanglomerate Group represents an unusual case of coarse sediment dispersal away from a focused point of uplift (i.e., Mt. Olympos), in contrast to the more usual and better known dispersal from elongate mountain fronts, or within half-grabens.

The pattern of sedimentation preserved around the periphery of the Troodos Massif reveals an overall radial, proximal to distal, relationship, with evidence for the development of alluvial fans, channel fans, braidedplain and floodplain settings. The dominant source of clastic sediment was the Troodos ophiolite, particularly the erosionally resistant sheeted diabase dykes of the Troodos ophiolite. The Tertiary, mainly pelagic carbonate, succession overlying the Troodos Massif is less represented, as it was more easily disaggregated to form fine-grained particles. An exception is Miocene reef-related limestone, which is locally over-represented in the Fanglomerate Group. Clast provenance studies document unroofing of the Troodos Massif, and show that ultramafic rocks were already exposed in the Troodos core in early Pleistocene time. Borehole and outcrop evidence indicate that there was no major change in provenance during the Pleistocene. Paleocurrent data also indicate that sediment supply was focused in the same area near Mt. Olympos throughout the Pleistocene. The paleocurrent pattern is broadly radial, although with local variations, for example, related to the Polis Graben in western Cyprus and the Limassol Forest area of southern Cyprus. Paleocurrents were also influenced by channelization and the development of coastal alluvial plains (i.e., in southern Cyprus).

We infer that the dominant factor in the early- to middle Pleistocene Fanglomerate (i.e., F1 unit) was a high rate of surface tectonic uplift, in turn controlled by the effect of regional tectonic uplift, serpentinite diapirism and isostasy. The early–middle Pleistocene Fanglomerate F2 unit probably relates to deposition during a glacio-eustatic sea-level high, followed by continuing of surface uplift. The late Pleistocene (F3 and F4 units) are correlated with littoral marine terraces, dated at about 184–219 ka and 116–141 ka, respectively, and support deposition during marine highstands, followed by further uplift and downcutting. Additional controls on deposition include the probability that climate was periodically more humid during interglacials, with increased erosion and sediment supply. Anthropogenic effects appear in the Holocene, notably deforestation. Overall, the greatly decreased volume of clastic sedimentation after the early-middle Pleistocene suggests that tectonic uplift climaxed, then waned during the late Pleistocene to the present day.
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