Tiedemann, R., Mix, A.C., Richter, C., and Ruddiman, W.F. (Eds.) *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results* Volume 202

5. DATA REPORT: TROPICAL AND EQUATORIAL CALCAREOUS NANNOFOSSIL PLEISTOCENE BIOSTRATIGRAPHY, ODP LEG 202¹

José-Abel Flores,² Wuchang Wei,³ Gatsby E. López-Otálvaro,² Carmen Alvarez,² and Francisco J. Sierro²

INTRODUCTION

During Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Leg 202, Pleistocene calcareous nannofossils were recovered from several sites situated between 16°S and 8°N latitude. These sites are under the influence of coastal or equatorial upwelling and offer the opportunity to refine biostratigraphic patterns using alternative events from those used in "standard" zonations (Martini, 1971; Okada and Bukry, 1980).

Differences in the positions of the studied sites (Fig. F1) determine changes in sedimentation rates, which range from ~0.8 to 6 cm/k.y. (Shipboard Scientific Party, 2003). These differences are due to the proximity to the continent and to organic production.

The analyzed sites for this study are Sites 1237, 1238, 1240, 1241, and 1242; geographical situation and water depths are listed in Table T1.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

One to two samples per section were systematically analyzed. For the last 500 k.y., a finer sampling resolution of 10–30 cm was used.

Smear slides were made directly from unprocessed samples and examined with a cross-polarized light microscope at 1000× resolution.

The total abundance of calcareous nannofossils for each sample was estimated as follows:

F1. Geographical situation of Leg 202 drill sites, p. 6.



T1. Situation and water depths of studied sites, p. 7.

¹Flores, J.-A., Wei, W., López-Otálvaro, G.E., Alvarez, C., and Sierro, F.J., 2006. Data report: tropical and equatorial calcareous nannofossil Pleistocene biostratigraphy, ODP Leg 202. In Tiedemann, R., Mix, A.C., Richter, C., and Ruddiman, W.F. (Eds.), Proc. ODP, Sci. Results, 202: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 1–10. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.sr.202.214.2006 ²Departamento de Geología, Universidad de Salamanca, 37008 Salamanca, Spain. Correspondence author: flores@usal.es ³Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego,

University of California, San Diego, Geoscience Research Division, La Jolla CA 92093-0244, USA.

Initial receipt: 29 September 2005 Acceptance: 22 May 2006 Web publication: 4 December 2006 Ms 202SR-214

- V = very abundant, >100 nannoliths per field of view.
- A = abundant, 10-100 nannoliths per field of view.
- C = common, 1-10 nannoliths per field of view.
- F = few, <1 nannoliths per field of view.

Additionally, the abundance of calcareous nannofossil species in each sample was estimated as follows:

- D = dominant, >50% of the total assemblage.
- A = abundant, >10%-50% of the total assemblage.
- C = common, >1%–10% of the total assemblage.
- F = few, 0.1%-1% of the total assemblage.
- R = rare, <0.1% of the total assemblage.

In regard to nannofossil preservation, etching and overgrowth are the most important features. In order to establish a ranking of preservation, we followed previous coding systems such as that used by Shipboard Scientific Party Leg 177 (1999), considering both effects (etching and overgrowth):

- G = good (little or no evidence of dissolution and/or secondary overgrowth of calcite; diagnostic characters fully preserved).
- M = moderate (dissolution and/or secondary overgrowth; partially altered primary morphological characteristics; however, nearly all specimens can be identified at species level).

Table **T2** shows the semiquantitative results of this study. Only samples close to biostratigraphic events are displayed.

RESULTS

Calcareous Nannofossil Taxonomy

The species considered in this study are mainly included within the family Noelaerhabdaceae (placoliths including the genera *Emiliania, Pseudoemiliania, Gephyrocapsa,* and *Reticulofenestra*) (Thierstein et al., 1977; Pujos-Lamy, 1977; Wei, 1993; Raffi et al., 1993; Weaver and Thomson, 1993). The taxonomy of this group is complex and confusing, mainly because of a proliferation of species names and morphotypes. Here, we adopted the ideas of Raffi et al. (1993) and Flores et al. (2000) for the morphological features of gephyrocapsids, using readily identifiable features under cross-polarized light such as coccolith diameter, bridge angle, and so on.

Calcareous Nannofossil Events

First Occurrence of Emiliania huxleyi

The first occurrence (FO) of *E. huxleyi* was dated by Thierstein et al. (1977) at 268 ka (late in marine isotope Stage [MIS] 8). At Sites 1237, 1238, 1240, and 1241, this species is very scarce and sometimes difficult to identify. Dissolution may also make identification difficult, especially at Site 1242.

T2. Pleistocene calcareous nannofossil abundance, p. 8.

Last Common Occurrence of Gephyrocapsa caribbeanica

The last common occurrence of *G. caribbeanica* is close to the FO of *E. huxleyi*, proposed as an alternative event for midresolution biostratigraphic studies when *E. huxleyi* is scarce or absent (Flores and Marino, 2002). A clear reduction in the abundance of *G. caribbeanica* occurs in all the studied sites just after the FO of *E. huxleyi*, although it is possible to find some specimens of the morphotype *G. caribbeanica* until the most recent samples, especially in samples from Sites 1240, 1241, and 1242.

Last Occurrence of Pseudoemiliania lacunosa

Thierstein et al. (1977) identified the globally synchronous last occurrence (LO) of *P. lacunosa* within MIS 12. This event is clearly identified in all the studied sites. To be consistent, we considered the LO when the record of *P. lacunosa* is <1% in abundance. It is common to find specimens of this species close to the FO of *E. huxleyi*, interpreted here as reworked.

First Common Occurrence of G. caribbeanica

A clear increase in the abundance of *G. caribbeanica* can be identified within MIS 13 (Matsuoka and Okada, 1990; Pujos and Giraudeau, 1993; Bollmann et al., 1998; Flores et al., 1999), although prior to this dominance, this species increased progressively from MIS 15. This event is easy to identify at Sites 1237 and 1238, recorded just before the LO of *P. lacunosa.* It is difficult to see the signal of this species at Sites 1240, 1241, and 1242; the low sampling resolution contributed to this fact.

Last Occurrence of Reticulofenestra asanoi

The LO of *R. asanoi* is known to be a synchronous event in low and relatively high latitudes and occurred late in MIS 22 (Sato and Takayama, 1992; Wei, 1993). In this study, we considered under the denomination *R. asanoi* specimens larger than 6 µm, and the signal is very well identified in all the studied sites.

Reentry of Medium-Sized Gephyrocapsa

Raffi et al. (1993) reported the reentry of so-called medium *Gephyrocapsa* between MIS 29 and 16, with a marked degree of diachronism. This event was identified at all the studied cores, although it is difficult to identify at Sites 1241 and 1242.

First Occurrence of R. asanoi

The FO of *R. asanoi* is not easy to identify owing to the occurrence of intermediate forms between this species and small reticulofenestrids. Wei (1993) observed the FO of the species between MIS 35 and 29. Sato and Takayama (1992) dated the event at 1.06 Ma (~MIS 30) in the northeast Atlantic (ODP Leg 94) and the Boso Peninsula (west Pacific). Flores and Marino (2002) identified this event in the Atlantic Southern Ocean at the top of Subchron C1r.2r. We clearly observed this event at all the studied cores, considering specimens with maximum diameters >6 µm, following the original description of Sato and Takayama (1992).

Last Occurrence of Gephyrocapsa (>5.5 µm)

The LO of the large *Gephyrocapsa* morphotype (large *Gephyrocapsa* sp. B-Matsuoka and Okada, 1990; LG, Raffi et al., 1993) is a globally synchronous event that has been recorded in MIS 37 (Raffi et al., 1993; Wei, 1993). In our material, this event is identified at all sites, although the abundance is sometimes <1%.

First Occurrence of Gephyrocapsa (>5.5 µm)

The FO of large *Gephyrocapsa* is a diachronous event. Raffi et al. (1993) placed this event between MIS 46 and 49, and Wei (1993) observed the same event from MIS 47 to 51. As in the mentioned FO of this morphotype, their identification in our material is easy, although maximum abundance is habitually <1%.

Last Occurrence of Calcidiscus macintyrei

Raffi et al. (1993) and Wei (1993) dated this event between 1.59 and 1.64 Ma (MIS 55–57), although it is sometimes absent because of ecological restrictions (Flores and Marino, 2002). In our material this species is always scarce but present enough to identify the event, which occurs always immediately after the LO of *Discoaster broweri*, close to the Olduvai/Matuyama boundary (Wei, 1993).

COMMENTS

In this study we identified a total of 11 calcareous nannofossil events at five ODP sites in the tropical and equatorial Pacific (Table T3). Although we discussed assigned ages for these events, as well as the degree of diachronity at different latitudes and oceans, we do not provide a final calibration of these events in the region. This paper constitutes a first attempt; in the future, when paleomagnetic and isotope stratigraphies become refined, they will be compared against these results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research used samples and/or data provided by the Ocean Drilling Program (ODP). ODP is sponsored by the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) and participating countries under management of Joint Oceanographic Institutions (JOI), Inc. The authors wish to express their thanks to the ODP Leg 202 Shipboard Scientific Party. Two anonymous referees are also acknowledged for their valuable comments and suggestions. Research grants REN 2003-08642-CO₂/CLI (MEC) and Junta de Castilla y León (SA088/04) supported this study. W. Wei acknowledges receipt of samples from ODP and research funds from the U.S. Science Support Program (USSSP). **T3.** Location of calcareous nanno-fossil events, p. 10.

REFERENCES

- Bollmann, J., Baumann, K.-H., and Thierstein, H.R., 1998. Global dominance of *Gephyrocapsa* coccoliths in the late Pleistocene: selective dissolution, evolution, or global environmental change? *Paleoceanography*, 13(5):517–529.
- Flores, J.-A., Gersonde, R., and Sierro, F.J., 1999. Pleistocene fluctuations in the Aguhas current retroflection based on the calcareous plankton record. *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 37(1):1–22. doi:10.1016/S0377-8398(99)00012-2
- Flores, J.-A. Gersonde, R., Sierro, F.J., and Niebler, H.-S., 2000. Southern Ocean Pleistocene calcareous nannofossil events: calibration with the isotope and geomagnetic stratigraphies. *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 40(4):377–402. doi:10.1016/S0377-8398(00)00047-5
- Flores, J.A., and Marino, M., 2002. Pleistocene calcareous nannofossil stratigraphy for ODP Leg 177 (Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean). *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 45(3–4):191–224. doi:10.1016/S0377-8398(02)00030-0
- Martini, E., 1971. Standard Tertiary and Quaternary calcareous nannoplankton zonation. *In* Farinacci, A. (Ed.), *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Planktonic Microfossils Roma:* Rome (Ed. Tecnosci.), 2:739–785.
- Matsuoka, H., and Okada, H., 1990. Time-progressive morphometric changes of the genus *Gephyrocapsa* in the Quaternary sequence of the tropical Indian Ocean, Site 709. *In* Duncan, R.A., Backman, J., Peterson, L.C., et al., *Proc. ODP, Sci. Results*, 115: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 255–270. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.sr.115.155.1990
- Okada, H., and Bukry, D., 1980. Supplementary modification and introduction of code numbers to the low-latitude coccolith biostratigraphic zonation (Bukry, 1973; 1975). *Mar. Micropaleontol.*, 5:321–325. doi:10.1016/0377-8398(80)90016-X
- Pujos, A., and Giraudeau, J., 1993. Repartition des *Noelaerhabdaceae* (nannofossiles calcaires) dans le Quaternaire moyen et superieur des Oceans Atlantique et Pacifique. *Oceanol. Acta*, 16:349–362.
- Pujos-Lamy, A., 1977. *Emiliania* et *Gephyrocapsa* (Nannoplancton calcaire): biometrie et interet biostratigraphique dans le Pleistocene superieur marin des Acores. *Rev. Esp. Micropaleontol.*, 9:69–84.
- Raffi, I., Backman, J., Rio, D., and Shackleton, N.J., 1993. Plio–Pleistocene nannofossil biostratigraphy and calibration to oxygen isotopes stratigraphies from Deep Sea Drilling Project Site 607 and Ocean Drilling Program Site 677. *Paleoceanography*, 8:387–408.
- Sato, T., and Takayama, T., 1992. A stratigraphically significant new species of the calcareous nannofossil *Reticulofenestra asanoi*. *In* Ishizaki, K., and Saito, T. (Eds.), *Centenary of Japanese Micropaleontology:* Tokyo (Terra Sci. Publ.), 457–460.
- Shipboard Scientific Party, 1999. Leg 177 summary: Southern Ocean paleoceanography. *In* Gersonde, R., Hodell, D.A., Blum, P., et al., *Proc. ODP, Init. Repts.*, 177: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 1–67. doi:10.2973/ odp.proc.ir.177.101.1999
- Shipboard Scientific Party, 2003. Leg 202 summary. *In* Mix, A.C., Tiedemann, R., Blum, P., et al., *Proc. ODP, Init. Repts.*, 202: College Station TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 1–145. doi:10.2973/odp.proc.ir.202.101.2003
- Thierstein, H.R., Geitzenauer, K., Molfino, B., and Shackleton, N.J., 1977. Global synchroneity of late Quaternary coccolith datum levels: validation by oxygen isotopes. *Geology*, 5:400–404.
- Weaver, P.P.E., and Thomson, J., 1993. Calculating erosion by deep-sea turbidity currents during initiation and flow. *Nature (London, U. K.)*, 364(6433):136–138. doi:10.1038/364136a0
- Wei, W., 1993. Calibration of upper Pliocene–lower Pleistocene nannofossil events with oxygen isotope stratigraphy. *Paleoceanography*, 8:85–99.



Figure F1. Geographical situation of Leg 202 drill sites. Stars = sites used in this study.

Average sedimentation Water depth rate Site Longitude Latitude (cm/k.y.) (m) 202-1237 76°22.69′W 16°0.42′S 3212 1.5 1238 82°46.93′W 1°52.31′S 2203 4.5 1240 86°27.76′W 0°01.31′N 2921 10.0 1241 86°26.68'W 5°50.57′N 2027 2.0 7°51.35′N 14.0 1242 83°36.42′W 1364

 Table T1. Situation and water depth of studied sites.

Table T2. Pleistocene calcareous nannofossil abundance of selected Pleistocene species and morphotypes. (See table notes. Continued on next page.)

Hole, core, section, interval (cm)	Depth (mcd)	Abundance	Preservation	Emiliania huxleyi	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Gephyrocapsa caribbeanica	Medium G <i>ephyrocapsa</i>	Reticulofenestra asanoi	Gephyrocapsa >5.5 µm	Calcidiscus macintyrei	Discoaster broweri	Hole, core, section, interval (cm)	Depth (mcd)	Abundance	Preservation	Emiliania huxleyi	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Gephyrocapsa caribbeanica	Medium G <i>ephyrocapsa</i>	Reticulofenestra asanoi	Gephyrocapsa >5.5 µm	Calcidiscus macintyrei	Discoaster broweri
202- 1237D-1H-2, 108 1237D-1H-2, 117 1237D-1H-2, 126 1237D-1H-2, 135 1237D-1H-2, 134 1237D-1H-3, 1 1237D-1H-3, 9 1237C-2H-4, 63 1237C-2H-4, 72 1237C-2H-4, 81 1237C-2H-4, 81 1237C-2H-5, 54 1237C-2H-5, 54 1237C-2H-5, 63 1237C-2H-5, 72 1237D-2H-2, 1 1237B-3H-4, 60 1237B-3H-5, 60 1237B-3H-5, 60 1237B-3H-5, 60 1237B-3H-5, 60 1237B-3H-5, 60 1237B-3H-5, 72 1237B-3H-2, 75 1237B-4H-1, 75 1237B-3H-3, 75 1237B-5H-5, 75 1237B-5H-5, 75 1237B-5H-5, 75 1237B-5H-5, 75 1237B-5H-5, 75 1237B-3H-5, 75 1237B-	4.89 4.98 5.07 5.16 5.25 5.31 13.44 13.43 13.52 13.61 14.75 14.84 14.93 15.00 21.23 22.74 26.10 29.55 31.06 32.57 35.60 37.71	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ΜΜΜΜΜΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟ ΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟΟ	FFFF	F R F C C F F F F F F F F F	C	F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	C C	F	E		1240B-5H-3, 98 1240C-5H-2, 50 1240A-7H-CC, 22 1240A-9H-1, 75 1240A-9H-4, 75 1240A-9H-6, 75 1240A-10H-CC, 9 1240A-10H-CC, 9 1240A-11H-1, 75 1240A-11H-7, 40 1240A-11H-7, 40 1240A-11H-2, 75 1240A-13H-2, 75 1240A-13H-2, 75 1240A-14H-3, 75 1240A-14H-5, 75 1240A-14H-5, 75 1240A-14H-5, 75 1240A-14H-2, 18 1240A-15H-CC, 21 1241B-1H-3, 110 1241B-1H-3, 125 1241B-1H-4, 80 1241B-1H-4, 80	44.14 48.06 68.40 79.90 84.42 87.44 88.59 99.63 99.92 108.50 108.59 121.60 123.10 134.41 135.91 137.42 140.60 151.94 3.65 4.10 4.25 5.15 5.30 5.45	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	$\begin{array}{c} M \ $	F F F F R	F C F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	C C F F F F F	F F F F F F F F F F F F F	C F F F F	FFF	R R R	R
1237B-4H-6, 73 1237B-5H-1, 75 1237B-5H-2, 75 1238A-2H-3, 100 1238A-2H-4, 1 1238A-2H-4, 1 1238A-2H-4, 25 1238A-2H-4, 50 1238A-2H-4, 100 1238A-2H-4, 100 1238B-3H-5, 100 1238B-3H-6, 5 1238B-3H-6, 25 1238B-3H-6, 25 1238C-4H-4, 75 1238C-4H-4, 75	37.71 38.20 39.54 9.62 9.87 10.13 10.38 10.63 10.88 11.13 11.38 27.04 27.29 27.59 27.79 29.77 30.02	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		FFFF	F F F R R F F	C C C C C C C A A A D	F F F F C C C C C F F F F			FFF	RF	12418-1H-4, 93 12418-1H-4, 125 1241A-2H-2, 95 1241A-2H-3, 110 1241A-2H-3, 125 1241A-2H-4, 10 1241A-2H-4, 110 1241A-3H-3, 75 1241A-3H-4, 75 1241A-3H-6, 75 1241A-3H-6, 75 1241A-3H-6, 75 1241A-3H-7, 40 1241A-3H-7, 40 1241A-3H-7, 40 1241A-3H-7, 5 1241A-4H-1, 75 1241A-4H-2, 75 1241A-6H-1, 75	5.43 5.75 7.30 9.85 9.10 9.85 10.45 18.91 20.41 21.91 23.42 24.58 24.76 27.59 29.09 36.51 37.87 48.82	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	M G		R F F F F F F F F F F F F		F F F F F F F F F F	R F F	F	R	
1238C-4H-4, 125 1238A-5H-CC, 19 1238A-6H-1, 75 1238A-7H-6, 75 1238A-7H-CC, 0 1238A-8H-1, 75 1238A-8H-5, 75 1238A-8H-6, 75 1238A-9H-4, 75 1238A-9H-4, 75 1238A-9H-4, 75 1238A-11H-1, 75 1240C-2H-3, 122 1240C-2H-4, 122 1240D-2H-4, 122 1240B-3H-1, 82 1240B-3H-1, 122 1240B-3H-1, 98 1240D-3H-2, 98 1240C-3H-2, 98	30.52 48.24 50.60 68.09 68.95 70.75 76.79 78.30 81.68 83.19 98.20 99.65 16.27 17.37 20.04 20.37 20.77 26.55 28.49 41.60	A V V V V V V V V V V V A A A A A A A A	С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С С	F F C F	F F F F F F F F F	R R F F C C	F C F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	F	C F	F R R	R	1241A-6H-2, 75 1242A-3H-2, 61 1242A-3H-6, 61 1242C-4H-2, 61 1242C-4H-3, 61 1242C-4H-4, 61 1242C-4H-6, 61 1242A-6H-2, 61 1242A-6H-3, 61 1242C-6H-5, 61 1242C-6H-5, 61 1242C-6H-5, 61 1242A-11H-3, 75 1242A-11H-4, 75 1242A-13H-4, 75 1242A-13H-4, 75 1242A-13H-5, 75 1242A-13H-5, 75 1242A-13H-C, 26 1242A-13H-C, 26	50.33 21.02 27.07 32.22 33.73 35.24 38.25 51.62 53.13 57.02 58.52 65.51 103.62 105.12 125.69 127.19 130.39 131.93 174.77 186.81	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	$ {\tt G} \ {\tt M} \ {\tt $	F F R	F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	F C C C C C C A	F F F F F F F F F R R	R R	R	R	F

Table T2 (continued).

Hole, core, section, interval (cm)	Depth (mcd)	Abundance	Preservation	Emiliania huxleyi	Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	Gephyrocapsa caribbeanica	Medium G <i>ephyrocapsa</i>	Reticulofenestra asanoi	Gephyrocapsa >5.5 µm	Calcidiscus macintyrei	Discoaster broweri
1242A-19H-3, 40	191.99	А	м		F				R		
1242A-19H-4, 40	192.64	А	М		F						
1242A-20X-CC, 24	204.34	С	М		F						
1242A-21X-CC, 28	215.23	С	М		F					R	
1242A-25X-5, 75	257.48	С	М		F					R	
1242A-25X-6, 75	258.98	F	М		F					R	F

Notes: V = very abundant, A = abundant. G = good, M = moderate. D = dominant, A = abundant, C = common, F = few, R = rare.

					Ev	ent depth	(mcd)				
Site	LCO Gephyrocapsa caribbeanica	FO Emiliania huxleyi	LO Pseudoemiliania lacunosa	FCO Gephyrocapsa caribbeanica	LO Reticulofenestra asanoi	RE Medium <i>Gephyrocapsa</i>	FO Reticulofenestra asanoi	LO Gephyrocapsa >5.5 µm	FO Gephyrocapsa >5.5 µm	LO Calcidiscus macintyrei	LO Discoaster broweri
202-											
1237	5.03	5.35	13.38	14.88	21.98	27.82	27.82	30.30	31.81	36.61	37.90
1238	10.00	11.00	27.44	30.15	49.42	49.42	68.52	68.52	77.54	82.43	98.92
1240	16.80	20.52	42.87	74.15*	85.93	88.01	99.27	108.74	122.35	136.65	145.77
1241	3.85	5.37	8.12	14.68*	21.16	22.66	24.00	26.17	28.34	37.19	49.57
1242	29.64	34.48	52.37	62.01*	126.44	128.79	131.16	180.79	192.31	209.78	258.23

 Table T3. Location of calcareous nannofossil events.

Notes: * = depth is approximate. LCO = last common occurrence, FO = first occurrence, LO = last occurrence, FCO = first common occurrence, RE = reentrance.