# OCEAN DRILLING PROGRAM

# LEG 205 SCIENTIFIC PROSPECTUS

# FLUID FLOW AND SUBDUCTION FLUXES ACROSS THE COSTA RICA CONVERGENT MARGIN: IMPLICATIONS FOR THE SEISMOGENIC ZONE AND SUBDUCTION FACTORY

Dr. Julie Morris Washington University One Brookings Drive St. Louis MO 63130-4899 USA Prof. Dr. Heinrich Villinger Fachbereich Geowissenschaften Universitaet Bremen Postfach 330 440 D-28334 Bremen Germany

Dr. Jack Baldauf
Deputy Director of Science Operations
Ocean Drilling Program
Texas A&M University
1000 Discovery Drive
College Station TX 77845-9547
USA

Dr. Adam Klaus
Leg Project Manager and Staff Scientist
Ocean Drilling Program
Texas A&M University
1000 Discovery Drive
College Station TX 77845-9547
USA

#### **PUBLISHER'S NOTES**

Material in this publication may be copied without restraint for library, abstract service, educational, or personal research purposes; however, this source should be appropriately acknowledged.

Ocean Drilling Program Scientific Prospectus No. 105 (January 2002)

Distribution: Electronic copies of this publication may be obtained from the ODP Publications homepage on the World Wide Web at: http://www-odp.tamu.edu/publications

This publication was prepared by the Ocean Drilling Program, Texas A&M University, as an account of work performed under the international Ocean Drilling Program, which is managed by Joint Oceanographic Institutions, Inc., under contract with the National Science Foundation. Funding for the program is provided by the following agencies:

Australia/Canada/Chinese Taipei/Korea Consortium for Ocean Drilling

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Federal Republic of Germany)

Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers-Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (INSU-CNRS; France)

Ocean Research Institute of the University of Tokyo (Japan)

National Science Foundation (United States)

Natural Environment Research Council (United Kingdom)

European Science Foundation Consortium for Ocean Drilling (Belgium, Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, and Switzerland) Marine High-Technology Bureau of the State Science and Technology Commission of the People's Republic of China

#### **DISCLAIMER**

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation, the participating agencies, Joint Oceanographic Institutions, Inc., Texas A&M University, or Texas A&M Research Foundation.

This Scientific Prospectus is based on precruise JOIDES panel discussions and scientific input from the designated Co-chief Scientists on behalf of the drilling proponents. The operational plans within reflect JOIDES Planning Committee and thematic panel priorities. During the course of the cruise, actual site operations may indicate to the Co-chief Scientists and the Operations Manager that it would be scientifically or operationally advantageous to amend the plan detailed in this prospectus. It should be understood that any proposed changes to the plan presented here are contingent upon approval of the Director of the Ocean Drilling Program in consultation with the Science and Operations Committees (successors to the Planning Committee) and the Pollution Prevention and Safety Panel.

Technical Editors: Karen K. Graber and Lorri L. Peters

#### **ABSTRACT**

The character of the incoming plate subducting at convergent margins and the processes affecting it as it passes below the shallow forearc may play a major role in the nature and extent of hazardous interplate seismicity, as well as the magnitude of volcanism and chemistry of lavas produced in the overlying volcanic arc. The fate of incoming sediments and ocean crust and of their associated volatiles as they pass through the shallow levels of a subduction zone (0-50 km depth) has profound effects on the behavior of the seismogenic zone, which produces most of the world's destructive earthquakes and tsunamis. Fluid pressure and sediment porosity influence fault localization, deformation style and strength, and may control the updip limit of the seismogenic zone. Fluids within both fault zones and sediments underthrust at the trench affect early structural development and are a key agent in transport of chemical species. The mineralogy and chemistry of any subducted sediments and their dehydration reactions during subduction may control the physical properties of the deeper subduction interface and, hence, the updip and downdip limits of the seismogenic zone wherein interplate earthquakes are generated.

Science objectives for Leg 205 have two primary foci. The first is the igneous and alteration history of the basement at reference Site 1039R-A on the incoming plate. The second is characterizing and monitoring the three hydrological systems: in basement at Site 1039R-A, in the uppermost section of the subducting sediment section at Site 1040R-A, and along the décollement and upper conduit at Sites 1040R-B and -C. These goals will be accomplished by (1) limited coring of selected intervals, (2) downhole temperature measurements, (3) logging at Site 1039R-A, and (4) installation of long-term observatories (CORKs) to monitor temperature and pressure and to sample fluids and gases in each of the hydrologic systems. Time series of fluid composition in the sealed-off intervals will be obtained by using osmotic fluid samplers. These samplers will be recovered for analysis of the water samples 1 to 2 yr postinstallation.

Science objectives for Site 1039R-A are: (1) quantifying the amount of carbonate in the subducting sediment and uppermost altered basaltic crust to evaluate carbon recycling through the arc; (2) determining the distribution of metalliferous carbonates above the sill and above basement to construct element fluxes into the trench and to constrain their flux out of the basement; (3) determining the extent of sill emplacement and their contribution to the bulk composition of the

subducting igneous crust; (4) determining the igneous and alteration mineralogy, petrology, and geochemistry in the uppermost 100 m of the upper crust and determining subduction fluxes therefrom; (5) determining physical properties in the core and borehole, relevant to fluid flow and deformation such as porosity, density, permeability, fracture distribution, orientation, and strength; and (6) installing a modified CORK within the cored upper basement section to sample fluids and to monitor temperature and pressure.

Science objectives for Sites 1040R-A, -B, and -C are: (1) determining physical properties of the décollement horizon from structural experiments on whole-round samples to constrain hydrological modeling and permit integration of fluid flow and deformation models; (2) determining chemistry of pore fluid profiles from décollement whole rounds to compare with profiles measured during Leg 170 and to evaluate possible heterogeneity; (3) determining pressure, temperature, and composition of fluids and gases along the décollement and evaluating any possible changes through time for hydrologic modeling; (4) using selected elements, element ratios, and isotopic compositions in the fluids from the décollement in an attempt to constrain dehydration reactions at the updip and, perhaps, downdip limits of the seismogenic zone; (5) installing three modified CORKs: one to sample fluids and monitor temperature and pressure in the uppermost underthrust sediments and two in the décollement; (6) determining pressure, temperature, and fluid composition in the zone of compaction dewatering beneath the décollement to constrain pathways of fluid return to the surface and to evaluate the effects of this flow system on element fluxes; and (7) collecting whole-round samples from the décollement under appropriate conditions for postcruise microbiological investigations to determine the resident microbial ecology of the zone for comparison with eventual microbial experiments on fluids collected from the décollement.

# INTRODUCTION

The character of the incoming plate subducting at convergent margins and the processes affecting it as it passes below the shallow forearc may play a major role in the nature and extent of hazardous interplate seismicity as well as the magnitude of volcanism and chemistry of lavas produced in the overlying volcanic arc. The fate of incoming sediments and ocean crust, and of their associated volatiles, as they pass through the shallow levels of a subduction zone (0-50 km depth) has profound effects on the behavior of the seismogenic zone, which produces most of the world's

destructive earthquakes and tsunamis. Fluid pressure and sediment porosity influence fault localization, deformation style and strength, and may control the updip limit of the seismogenic zone (e.g., Scholz, 1998; Moore and Saffer, 2001). Fluids within both fault zones and sediments underthrust at the trench affect early structural development and are a key agent in transport of chemical species. The mineralogy and chemistry of any subducted sediments and their dehydration reactions during subduction may control the physical properties of the deeper subduction interface and, hence, downdip limits of the seismogenic zone.

The escape of fluids to the surface from depth (return flow) supports a deep biosphere, contributes methane for gas hydrate formation, affects seawater chemistry for selected elements, and is intimately linked to deformation, faulting, and the evolution of the décollement. The distillation and loss of some volatiles and fluid-soluble elements from the shallow slab not only record reactions and processes within the seismogenic zone, but they also play a central role in the supply of residual volatiles to the deeper Earth and change the composition of the slab delivered to the depths of magmatism beneath volcanic arcs. Processes operating in the shallow subduction zone thus affect the way the slab contributes to continent-building magmatism, explosive volcanism, ore formation and, ultimately, the evolution of the mantle through time (collectively known as the subduction factory in many geoscience planning efforts). The subduction signature recorded in the chemistry of arc volcanics constrains the nature and sometimes the volume of the sediments transported through the seismogenic zone to the depths of magmatism. The arc thus acts as a flow monitor for the transport of sediments to depths greater than those that can be drilled or imaged seismically.

The Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) has identified deformation at convergent margins, fluid flow in the lithosphere, and subduction zone geochemical fluxes as important aspects of the JOIDES Long Range Plan (1996). The Initial Science Plan for the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) includes an initiative focused on the seismogenic zone. The Central American convergent margin (see Fig. 1) has been a focus area for a number of national and international programs studying the seismogenic zone and subduction factory for several reasons. First, it is one of the few modern subduction zones that is subducting a significant carbonate section and thus provides an opportunity to investigate  $CO_2$  cycling through convergent margins. Second, along strike from Nicaragua to Costa Rica, the style and extent of seismicity and plate coupling changes. Third, along the same section, the style of arc volcanism changes as do volumes and the chemistry of the arc

lavas. Changes in both the seismicity and volcanic chemistry have been proposed to result from changes in the balance between sediment underplating, erosion, and subduction (collectively referred to here as sediment dynamics), perhaps related to changing bathymetry, thermal structure, and hydrological behavior along the margin.

Leg 205, building on Leg 170 coring and logging while drilling at the same sites, is designed to investigate the thermal structure and hydrological activity of the Costa Rica segment through a combination of downhole measurements and long-term sampling of fluids as well as monitoring of fluid pressure and temperature at critical horizons. First observations of temporal variations of fluid and gas chemistry will be available once the fluid samples has been recovered about 1 yr postcruise. During the leg, we will also drill and core a minimum of 100 m into the subducting altered oceanic crust to characterize those basement fluxes to the volcanic arc. Once completed, Costa Rica will be the first convergent margin for which drilling-based studies of subducting sediment and basaltic crust, sediment dynamics, forearc structure, and prism hydrology and deformation can be linked to both an active volcanic arc as well as a hazardous seismogenic zone.

#### BACKGROUND

A large body of work shows that there are differences in seismicity and arc magmatism along the length of the Central American margin, with sharp contrasts seen between Nicaragua and immediately adjacent parts of Costa Rica. The Nicoya section of the Costa Rica margin appears to have  $M_w = 7$  or greater earthquakes at a 40- to 50-yr recurrence interval, with the last such event in 1950 (Guendel, 1986). Coupling between the downgoing and overriding plates is estimated from Global Positioning System (GPS) data to be 40%-60% (T. Dixon, pers. comm., 2001) and appears to start ~15 km arcward of the trench. Nicaragua is characterized by a greater frequency of magnitude 7 or larger earthquakes, including the 1992 tsunamogenic earthquake. Geodetic and seismic studies are currently underway.

In the arc volcanics, <sup>10</sup>Be data, radiogenic isotopes, and trace element studies of Nicaragua lavas (Tera et al., 1986; Carr et al. 1990; Reagan et al., 1994; Patino et al., 2000) suggest that the entire sediment section is subducting to the depths of magma generation, producing in the lavas a strong signature from the hemipelagic sediments at the top of the incoming sediment section. In contrast,

the Costa Rican lavas have a much weaker sediment signature, little contribution from the uppermost hemipelagic sediments of the incoming plate, and a proportionally larger contribution from the basal carbonate section. Such a difference between the two regions could be explained by sediment accretion or greatly enhanced subduction erosion off northwest Costa Rica.

Various workers have suggested that the changing nature of seismicity and arc volcanism may be due to variations in the incoming plate, the fate of incoming sediments as they traverse the forearc of the overriding plate, or a combination of the two. The bathymetry and thermal structure of the incoming plate and the active fluid flow both outboard and inboard of the trench may play a key role in deformation and sediment dynamics across the margin. In addition, differences in origin and chemistry of the subducting oceanic crust may also contribute to changing chemistry of the arc lavas. The nature of the incoming oceanic crust off Nicoya and the active hydrology of the margin are the primary foci of Leg 205.

# The Incoming Plate

There are significant variations in the origin, morphology, and thermal structure of the incoming plate through the Nicaragua-Costa Rica segment of the Central American convergent margin (Fig. 1). Offshore Costa Rica, a tectonic boundary separating lithosphere formed at the East Pacific Rise (EPR) from that formed at the Cocos-Nazca spreading center (CNS) was identified using magnetic anomalies (Barckhausen et al., 2001) and confirmed by seismic reflection data. The boundary, called the fracture zone trace, enters the Middle America Trench (MAT) ~20 km south of the ODP Leg 170 drilling area. This means that the drill holes are underlain by crust that formed at the EPR. The crustal age in the Legs 170 and 205 area is ~24 Ma. The lithosphere to the southwest of the fracture zone trace formed at the CNS, and its crustal ages decrease to the southwest. The oldest crust directly at the fracture zone trace is 22.7 Ma, which corresponds to the break-up age of the Farallon plate.

The part of the Cocos plate that is presently being subducted offshore Costa Rica was overprinted by hotspot-related volcanism between 14 and 12 Ma. This is most evident in the area of the Cocos Ridge off southern Costa Rica, but rock samples dredged from seamounts have proven that the overprinting extended at least as far north as the southern tip of the Nicoya Peninsula (Fisher Seamount). It seems possible that the sills encountered at the base of some of the ODP Leg 170 holes, and also observed in nearby seismic reflection profiles, may be related to this volcanic event.

The topography of the incoming plate also changes along the length of the margin (Fig. 2) (Ranero et al, 2000b). The crust subducting beneath Nicaragua, formed at the East Pacific Rise, is pervasively faulted with offsets of up to 500 m (Kelly and Driscoll, 1998). Off central and southern Costa Rica the ocean plate formed at the Galapagos spreading center has thicker crust and is covered 40% with large seamounts (von Huene et al., 2000; Barckhausen et al., 2001). In the area of ODP Legs 170 and 205, the subducting plate is the smoother segment of ocean crust formed at the EPR, with shallower grabens than those off Nicaragua.

Heat flow studies and coring on the Cocos plate reveal that heat flow offshore the Nicoya Peninsula and to the north averages 30 mW/m², significantly lower than values of ~108 mW/m² expected for 20-25 Ma crust. The regionally depressed heat flow has been interpreted as evidence for vigorous fluid flow within the upper oceanic crust, which effectively refrigerates the incoming plate by advection (e.g., Langseth and Silver, 1996). Recent heat flow surveys (E. Silver and A. Fisher, pers. comm., 2001) reveal a more complex pattern of vigorous fluid flow in shallow portions of the incoming crust, with local heat flow highs as well as anomalously low values.

There are some parameters that vary little along the entire section shown in Figure 1. The convergence direction is constant with the subducting plate dipping to the northeast. The convergence rate changes only slightly along strike, with a rate of 82 mm/yr off southernmost Nicaragua and 88 mm/yr off southernmost Costa Rica (Kimura, Silver, Blum, et al., 1997). Figure 3 shows that the lithology and thickness of sediment entering the trench is similar at Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) Site 495 (off Guatemala) and ODP Site 1039 (off Costa Rica) and that there is, thus, likely to be little variability in the sediment supply to the trench along the length of the margin.

Leg 170 originally planned basement penetration at Site 1039. This objective was not achieved because of the presence of sills above basement (Kimura, Silver, Blum, et. al., 1997) and the pressure of time needed to satisfy other high-priority Leg 170 objectives. The sills were drillable, but at a slow rate of penetration on a worn rotary core barrel (RCB) bit. For Leg 205, drilling and coring the sills plus a minimum of 100 m penetration into basement remains a highest-priority goal. The extent to which hotspot-related volcanism may have overprinted the EPR signature of the plate subducting beneath northern Costa Rica is an important issue. Some chemical changes in arc lavas

between Nicaragua and Costa Rica have been attributed to the presence of more enriched mantle because of Galapagos hotspot volcanism beneath Costa Rica (Carr et al., 1990). If a hotspot chemical signature resides in the subducting oceanic crust, the role of a heterogeneous mantle wedge along the length of the Middle America arc (and geodynamic inferences that follow therefrom) may need closer scrutiny.

Moreover, little is known about the contributions from the altered oceanic crust to arc magmatism and long-term evolution of the mantle, although much work has focused on the role of sediment recycling (e.g., Kay, 1980; Tera et al., 1986; Morris et al., 1990; Plank and Langmuir, 1998). For many key tracer elements in volcanic arc lavas (e.g., K, Sr, U, Pb, B, and Li), alteration processes in the oceanic crust contribute a significant part of the budget subducted to the arc. A large fraction of the water and CO<sub>2</sub> that is deeply subducted will reside in veins and disseminated alteration minerals, much within the zone of oxidative alteration in the upper part of the oceanic basement. Constraining the igneous petrology and chemistry of the upper basement, as well as the style, magnitude, distribution, mineralogy, and chemistry of basement alteration is essential for constructing a complete mass balance for the subduction factory, and for the conclusions drawn therefrom.

# **The Overriding Plate**

Extensive work has imaged the structure of the forearc. Limited Leg 170 coring, drilling, and seismic data show that the bulk of the Pacific margin is a wedge-shaped high-velocity body probably made of rocks similar to the igneous oceanic rocks cropping out along the coast (Shipley et al., 1992; Kimura, Silver, Blum, et al., 1997; Ranero and von Huene, 2000), which precludes the existence of any significantly large sediment mass being recently accreted. Only a small sediment prism (<10 km wide) is located at the front of the margin wedge. Initially, multichannel seismic (MCS) images were interpreted in terms of sediment accretion to the Costa Rica margin (Shipley et al., 1992). More recently, however, and in the wake of Leg 170 drilling, seismic images have been interpreted to show that basically the entire sediment cover of the ocean plate is currently underthrust beneath the margin and that the frontal sediment prism can store very little, if any, of the incoming material (Kimura, Silver, Blum, et al., 1997; Christeson et al., 1999, 2000; McIntosh and Sen, 2000; Moritz et al., 2000; Silver et al., 2000; Ranero et al., 2000a; von Huene et al., 2000).

Prior to Leg 170, the Nicaragua margin was believed to be a nonaccretionary margin while Costa Rica was believed to be a site of sediment accretion (von Huene and Scholl, 1991; Shipley and

Moore, 1986; Shipley et al., 1992). Leg 170 drilling and subsequent research show no current or recent frontal sediment accretion off Costa Rica. The sediment section beneath the décollement at Site 1040 repeats the complete lithology and sequence of the incoming section cored at Site 1039 (Fig. 3), allowing little sediment accretion to the front of the prism at present (Kimura, Silver, Blum, et al., 1997). The thinning of the underthrust section seen between Sites 1039 and 1040 (Fig. 4) must be because of compaction and dewatering. Cosmogenic <sup>10</sup>Be, which decays with a 1.5-Ma half life, also shows that there has been little, if any, frontal accretion at this site over the last several million years (Fig. 5) (Morris et al., in press). Surface sediments have very high <sup>10</sup>Be concentrations, which decay exponentially with increasing depth and age in the sediment column. The very high <sup>10</sup>Be concentrations in the incoming sediment section beneath the décollement are typical of young marine sediments. Were these incoming sediments to be frontally accreted, the prism sediments above the décollement would have measureable <sup>10</sup>Be enrichments. The very low concentrations in the sediments above the décollement indicate that they are older than several million years and preclude construction of the prism from accretion of imbricate thrust packets over the last several million years. The prism is thus either a paleoaccretionary prism or is composed largely of slumped slope sediments rather than accreted marine sediments. In the absence of recent frontal accretion, the thinning of the underthrust hemipelagic sediments observed between Sites 1039 and 1040 must be because of dewatering and compaction.

The sediment signature in the arc lavas suggests complete sediment subduction to the depths of magma generation beneath Nicaragua, with only a limited sediment signature in the Costa Rica lavas. The seismic and lithologic observations indicate complete sediment subduction past the prism front in both regions. The arc and prism observations can be reconciled if sediments are underplated to the base of the prism beneath Costa Rica or if greatly enhanced subduction erosion occurs beneath the Nicoya segment.

There is evidence for underplating to the base of the prism landward of the Legs 170 and 205 coring area. Christeson et al. (1999) use seismic reflection and refraction data to show stacked velocity duplicates, interpreted as repeated stratigraphic sections because of underplating. The low, but real, <sup>10</sup>Be enrichments in the Costa Rican lavas could be explained if the upper 80-100 m of the incoming sediment section were underplated (Valentine et al., 1997).

In addition to evidence for sediment subduction and underplating beneath the Nicoya segment, the seismic stratigraphy of the slope off Nicaragua and the tectonic structure off Costa Rica indicate extension and subsidence of the margin during much of the Miocene (Ranero et al., 2000a; Ranero and von Huene, 2000; Walther et al., 2000). Multibeam bathymetry along the continental slope displays structures that indicate significant mass wasting off Nicaragua and a rugged morphology off Costa Rica (Ranero et al., 2000a, von Huene et al., 2000), which is consistent with tectonic erosion and thinning of the overriding plate. These results are further substantiated by Leg 170 coring and postcruse science. Coring at Site 1042, 7 km landward of the Middle America Trench, encountered a ~30-m-thick sequence of fossiliferous well-lithified calcarenite breccia at a depth of~4000 meters below sea level (mbsl) (Kimura, Silver, Blum, et al., 1997). Fossil, textural, cement paragenesis, and sedimentological observations document that the calcarenite was formed, brecciated, and cemented in a nearshore setting (Vannucchi et al., 2001). Sr isotope ratios place the depositional age at 16-17 Ma—latest early Miocene—and establish that the breccia section is stratigraphically upright. It is overlain by ~320 m of unconsolidated slope mud showing the complete Pleistocene to Miocene sequence where benthic foraminifers indicate the subsidence of the margin from the upper bathyal to abyssal depths (Meschede, 1999; Vannucchi et al., 2001). Unfortunately, erosion rates over the last several million years are not well constrained. Speculation is that tectonic erosion has been controlled by the roughness of the subducting plate. The thinning of the overriding plate and the continental margin morphology suggests that subduction erosion increases in intensity from Nicaragua to southern Costa Rica (Ranero and von Huene, 2000).

For the purposes of Leg 205, an important result is that the margin off Nicoya is not currently accreting sediments and has not done so over the last several million years. The conclusion that all sediments fed to the trench over this time frame have been subducted past Site 1040 greatly simplifies estimating the mass and element fluxes. Specifically, changes in underthrust sediment thickness between Site 1039 and 1040 are due to compaction. To calculate the flux of elements out of the compacting sediment section and updip from the deeper décollement, steady-state conditions may be assumed.

# **Margin Hydrology**

At the Costa Rican subduction zone, coring during ODP Leg 170 and subsequent postcruise studies have identified three fluid-flow systems: active flow of modified seawater within the upper

oceanic crust, updip fluid flow within underthrust sediments, and deeply sourced fluid expulsion along the décollement and through the margin wedge (e.g., Silver et al., 2000; Kastner et al., 2000).

The existence of active fluid flow in the oceanic basement of the incoming plate is evidenced by both the heat flow anomalies discussed earlier and the chemistry of pore fluids sampled during Leg 170. Postcruise studies of pore fluid chemistry (Fig. 6A) show chemical characteristics (e.g., \$^7\$Sr/\^86\$Sr) typical of modern seawater in the uppermost sediments. Below this, values depart increasingly from seawater at increasing depth as a result of diagenesis. The deepest sediments, however, have pore fluid chemistry that trends back toward modern seawater values, particularly evident in the Sr isotope profile. The heat flow anomaly and pore fluid profiles have been modeled in terms of active fluid flow at rates of ~1-5 m/yr. While certainly model dependent, these results do indicate extensive contemporary flow of seawater to basement and require high permeability horizons, thought to be within the uppermost basement based on results from off-axis drilling along the Juan de Fuca and Mid Atlantic Ridges (Davis and Becker, 1994; Becker et al., 1997, 1998; Fisher, 1998; Davis et al., 2000; Kopf et al., 2000). In addition to cooling the uppermost part of the plate, the flow may further alter the basaltic crust. Subducted high-permeability horizons may provide conduits for fluids leaving the deeper subduction zone.

The décollement and faults cutting through the margin wedge of the upper plate serve as pathways for updip flow of deeply sourced fluids. Structural observations across the décollement indicate a zoned fault, with heavily fractured fault rocks above a ductile, plastic zone that may act as an aquitard to segregate the flow systems above and below the plate boundary (Vannucchi and Tobin, 2000; Tobin et al., 2001). Pore fluid chemistry studies since Leg 170 show that fluids sampled along the décollement zone at Sites 1040 (320-360 meters below seafloor [mbsf]) and 1043 (~130-150 mbsf) have distinct chemical signatures indicative of focused fluid advection. Similar chemical anomalies are also seen in an upper conduit at Site 1040 (~180-200 mbsf), which may occur along a thrust fault. Extremely high Li concentrations are observed along the thrust and décollement at Site 1040 (Fig. 6B). Enrichments in Ca and Sr, changing Sr and Li isotopic compositions, as well as higher concentrations of thermogenic heavy hydrocarbons C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>) are also observed. Collectively, these data indicate that some fraction of the fluids sampled along these localized horizons are derived from depths great enough that temperatures are ~150°C. This temperature corresponds to the updip limit of the seismogenic zone (e.g., Hyndman and Wang, 1993; Hyndman et al., 1997). The sharpness of the pore fluid anomalies at these horizons indicates updip advective flow and

permits virtually no diffusion or vertical advection away from these intervals. The composition of deeply sourced fluids derived from the updip limit of the seismogenic zone may be useful in constraining the mineralogy of sediments at depth and the dehydration reactions that are thought to be important in governing the rheological properties of the subduction interface in the region of seismogenesis. Given that most seismogenic zones will be forever beyond the reach of even a riser drill ship, development of chemical proxies for "remote sensing" of processes occurring in the seismogenic zone is an important adjunct of Leg 205.

Structural and chemical studies indicate a third hydrologic system, which drains fluids from the underthrust sediment section. Results from Leg 170 documented the complete underthrusting of the incoming sedimentary section at the trench, with the important implication that observed changes in sediment thickness and porosity directly reflect the evolution of effective stress. Laboratory consolidation tests, combined with logging-while-drilling (LWD) data from Leg 170, show that the subducting sediments are effectively undrained at Site 1043 (Saffer et al., 2000; D. Saffer, pers. comm., 2001). At Site 1040, the lower carbonate section (Unit III) remains essentially undrained, whereas the upper hemipelagic units (Units I and II) are partially drained. Pore fluid profiles from sediments immediately below the décollement at Sites 1040 and 1043 show a distinct chemistry from that of the décollement, indicating little, if any, communication between the two hydrologic systems. A Ba spike immediately below the décollement (Fig. 6C) results from sulfate reduction in the uppermost underthusting section that mobilizes Ba out of barite. The very low Ba values in the décollement zone itself indicate that these fluids are not draining into the décollement. Other broad anomalies below the décollement also imply updip advective flow of locally derived fluids (Kastner et al., 2000).

The differences in pore pressure development downsection reflect nonuniform fluid escape. More rapid drainage of the uppermost ~100 m (Units I and II) than of Unit III may result from (1) more abundant coarse-grained high-permeability ash layers that focus flow, (2) higher permeability within the hemipelagic sediments, or (3) significant permeability anisotropy within the hemipelagic sediments. The nonuniform dewatering also has important mechanical implications. An inferred minimum in effective stress developed near the top of Unit III between Sites 1043 and 1040 results in a mechanically weak horizon and suggests that detachments may form below the décollement there. This is consistent with the down-stepping of the décollement at 2-3 km from the trench observed in this region (McIntosh and Sen, 2000) and illustrates the role of fluid pressure in

mediating structural development. Based on observed changes in porosity, volumetric fluid sources (in  $[V_{fluid}/V_{sediment}]s^{-1}$ ) range from ~ $10^{-12}$  s<sup>-1</sup> at the top of the section to ~ $10^{-13}$  s<sup>-1</sup> at the base. These values are one to two orders of magnitude larger than those calculated for underthrust sediments at the Nankai and Barbados subduction zones (e.g., Zhao et al., 1998; Screaton et al., in press). This dramatic difference likely reflects higher sediment permeabilities at Costa Rica, resulting in a more active fluid flow system.

Figure 7 shows schematically the three separate hydrologic systems, their locations in the Leg 205 coring area, and summarizes their physical and chemical characteristics. Hydrological and geological modeling (e.g., Saffer et al., 2000; Silver et al., 2000) suggests relatively high permeabilities in the oceanic basement, décollement, and underthusting section.

# SCIENTIFIC OBJECTIVES

During Leg 205, we will return to near the Leg 170 drill sites. The planned Leg 205 sites are 1039R-A, 1040R-A, 1040R-B, and 1040R-C. Science objectives for Leg 205 have two primary foci. The first is the igneous and alteration history of the basement at reference Site 1039R-A on the incoming plate. The second is on the three hydrological systems: in basement at Site 1039R-A; in the uppermost section of the subducting sediment section at Site 1040R-A; and along the décollement and upper conduit at Sites 1040R-B and 1040R-C. These goals will be accomplished as described in detail below by limited coring of selected intervals, downhole temperature measurements, logging at Site 1039R-A, the installation of long-term observatories to monitor temperature and pressure, and sampling fluids and gases at key hydrological intervals.

# Site 1039R-A Science Objectives

During Leg 205, coring and sampling will begin at Site 1039R-A within the carbonates above the sill encountered during Leg 170, will continue through the sill and the previously undrilled sediments beneath the sill, and ~100 m into basement. The scientific objectives to be addressed through coring, sample analysis, and logging at Site 1039R-A are as follows.

- 1. Quantify the amount of carbonate in the subducting sediment and uppermost altered basaltic crust for comparison with CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes out of the volcanoes to evaluate carbon recycling through the arc.
- 2. Determine the distribution of metalliferous carbonates above the sill and above basement and determine the concentrations of elements such as Cu, Cr, Ni, V, and Pb to construct element fluxes into the trench and to constrain their flux out of the basement.
- 3. Determine the extent of sill emplacement and their contribution to the bulk composition of the subducting igneous crust.
- 4. Determine the igneous and alteration mineralogy, petrology, and geochemistry in the uppermost 100 m of the oxidative alteration zone and characterize the original volcanic structure within the basement. Use the geochemical data to calculate subduction fluxes. Attention will be paid to low-temperature alteration features that may result from near trench fluid flow as well as that deriving from ridge-crest and near off-axis hydrothermal circulation.
- 5. Determine physical properties in the core and borehole that may affect estimations of basement composition and lithologic variation or that relate to fluid flow and deformation such as porosity, density, permeability, fracture distribution and orientation, and strength.

A long-term borehole observatory (i.e., a modified CORK) will also be emplaced at Site 1039R-A to sample fluids and to monitor temperature and pressure within the uppermost permeable basement. The science objectives for this are to

- 1. Use pressure, temperature, fluid, and gas compositions and fluid flow rates together with downhole measurements to characterize the fluid and heat fluxes responsible for the abnormally low heat flow in the vicinity of this site because of seawater incursion to basement.
- Evaluate the thermal, hydrological, and chemical implications of this extensive fluid circulation for the thermal structure of the uppermost part of the subducting plate, the hydrological pathways available in the shallow subduction zone and overlying prism, and global element fluxes.

# Sites 1040R-A, 1040R-B, and 1040R-C Science Objectives

Limited coring of the décollement and installation of modified CORKs to monitor and sample

within the area of maximum flow of deeply sourced fluids in the décollement and in the underthrust sediment section address the following scientific objectives.

- Determine physical properties of the décollement horizon from further structural experiments on whole-round samples to constrain hydrological modeling and permit integration of fluid flow and deformation models.
- 2. Determine chemistry of pore fluid profiles from décollement whole rounds to compare with profiles measured during Leg 170 and to evaluate possible heterogeneity.
- 3. Determine pressure, temperature, and composition of fluids and gases along the décollement and evaluate any possible changes through time for hydrologic modeling. This same data set will constrain the flux of elements out of the downgoing sediment section along the décollement to evaluate the role of fluid egress on element fluxes to the ocean and its corollary, changing composition of the residual slab because of fluid loss.
- 4. Use selected elements, element ratios, and isotopic compositions in the fluids from the décollement in an attempt to constrain dehydration reactions at the updip and, perhaps, downdip limits of the seismogenic zone.
- 5. Determine pressure, temperature, and fluid composition in the zone of compaction dewatering beneath the décollement to constrain pathways of fluid return to the surface and to evaluate the effects of this flow system on element fluxes.
- 6. Collect whole-round samples from the décollement under appropriate conditions for postcruise microbiological investigations to determine the resident microbial ecology of the zone for comparison with eventual microbial experiments on fluids collected from the décollement.

A lower-priority target at these sites is the fluid conduit (perhaps a thrust) encountered at 180-220 mbsf during Leg 170 coring at Site 1040. Pore fluid chemistry profiles across this horizon show advective flow of deeply sourced fluids, similar to those along the décollement. If necessary, the objectives above for the décollement could also be addressed at this horizon.

# **DRILLING STRATEGY**

The complete Leg 205 sequence of operations and time estimate is shown in Tables 1 and 2.

#### **Site 1039R-A**

The first site occupied during Leg 205 will be Site 1039R-A. This site has been located 1.3 km closer to the trench axis than Site 1039 drilled during Leg 170 to minimize the amount of gabbro sill that will need to be penetrated to reach oceanic crust. One hole is planned, which will be partially cored and into which we will install the long-term hydrologic borehole observatory. Our first step will be to wash a reentry cone and 16-1/2-in casing into the seafloor. We will then reenter this hole with the RCB and drill without coring to ~370 mbsf. RCB coring will commence from there through the sill, basal sediments, and ~100 m into basement. This basement coring is intended to obtain the samples required to characterize basement but also to penetrate the hydrologic system within the upper basement for the installation of the borehole observatory. While drilling/coring, a number of Davis-Villinger temperature probe (DVTP) temperature measurements will be made, as formation conditions permit, to complement existing data. If hole conditions permit, we will log the entire hole with the triple combination tool and the Formation MicroScanner (FMS)/sonic velocity tools. The next step will be to reenter the hole with a larger bit and open the hole up to 14-3/4-in in preparation to install the 10-3/4-in casing. The 10-3/4-in casing will then be emplaced into the hole (with a mud motor and underreamer if necessary) to just above the zone that the observertory will monitor. The casing will be cemented in place to inhibit communciation between the casing and the formation. Next, we will drill out the cement shoe and clean the rat hole with the RCB bit. Then, we will assemble a 4-1/2-in casing screen, casing packer, and casing to the instrument hanger (Fig. 8). Then the entire assembly will be lowered into the hole and latched in to seal the borehole outside of the 4-1/2-in casing. The casing packer will then be inflated to seal off the zone at the bottom of the hole that is targeted for monitoring. Finally, the osmo-sampler with integral temperature sensors will be lowered through the center of, and latched into the bottom of, the 4-1/2-in casing; this will completely seal the zone to be monitored. The final installed configuration for this modified CORK geochemical and hydrologic borehole observatory is shown in Figure 8A. Two absolute pressure gauges including data loggers will be installed within the instrument hanger head: one sensor will sense pressure within the sealed-off fluid sampling zone at the bottom of the hole, the other one will record pressure variations present within the borehole above the sealed-off section. One additional

sampling line, running from the instrument hanger head all the way down to the sealed-off zone, will be installed for future pressure/fluid sampling purposes.

# Sites 1040R-A, 1040R-B, and 1040R-C

Each of these sites will be completed with a modified CORK identical to that described for Site 1039R-A above. Each installation will be designed to monitor a single zone. The first two, 1040R-B and 1040R-C, will both monitor the décollement (Figure 8B). The ~500 m separation between these two sites minimizes the chances that seal failure or other difficulties at one site will affect the other installation. They are close enough, however, that any seismically-induced pressure transients may be expected to propagate from the down-dip to the up dip location. At each of these sites, we will install a reentry cone with 16-1/2-in casing and then drill to total depth. Although the uppermost section will be drilled without coring, the rest of the section will be continuously cored with the RCB (300-365 mbsf at Site 1040R-B; 220-279 mbsf at Site 1040R-C) to determine the exact location of the décollement as well as the best location to set the 10-3/4-in casing shoe and the 4-1/2-in casing packer. While drilling/coring at Site 1040R-B only, a number of Davis-Villinger temperature probe (DVTP) temperature measurements will be made, as formation conditions permit, to complement existing data. Following the coring, the next step will be to reenter the hole with a larger bit and open the hole up to 14-3/4-in in preparation to install the 10-3/4-in casing. Finally, the 10-3/4-in casing will be cemented in place (with the casing shoe just above the décollement) and the osmosampler will be emplaced with the packer seated below the 10-3/4-in casing shoe in the uppermost décollement sequence.

Site 1040R-A, the last site planned for Leg 205, will monitor the uppermost underthrust sediments (Figure 8C). After installing the reentry cone and 16-1/2-in casing, the hole will be opened to 14-3/4-in and the 10-3/4-in casing installed with the casing shoe below the decollement. The osmosampler will be emplaced with the packer seated below the 10-3/4-in casing shoe in the uppermost underthrust sequence. Currently there is no time available to core any of the section penetrated at Site 1040R-A. If time permits, limited coring would include the decollement and the upper underthrust sequence (~320-410 mbsf).

No logging is planned for any of these sites (Sites 1040R-B, 1040R-C, or 1040R-A) based on the experience with LWD and drilling at Site 1040 during Leg 170.

#### **Alternate Sites**

Alternate sites for each of the primary sites are presented in Table 2 and in the "Site Summaries" section.

#### LOGGING PLAN

Because Leg 170 conducted LWD operations where Leg 205 will return, we will have a minimal logging program on this leg. At Site 1039R-A, we will run the triple combination and FMS/sonic strings to determine physical properties and structures of the lowermost sediments and basement rocks. The triple combination string includes the hostile-environment natural gamma ray sonde (HNGS), accelerator porosity sonde (APS), hostile-environment lithodensity tool (HLDT), and dual induction tool (DIT) and will be deployed with Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory temperature/acceleration/pressure (LDEO-TAP) tool. The FMS/sonic string includes the FMS, dipole shear sonic imager (DSI) or long-space sonic sonde (LSS). We plan to log the entire section including the shallow portion previously logged with LWD during Leg 170. This will allow comparison to the Leg 170 LWD data as well as some data (e.g., sonic velocity and FMS) types that are not collected by LWD. No logging is planned at Sites 1040R-A, 1040R-B, or 1040R-C because of the difficult borehole environment encountered during Leg 170.

# SAMPLING STRATEGY

Sampling guidelines and policy are available at the following Web site URL: http://www odp.tamu.edu/publications/policy.html. The Sample Allocation Committee (SAC; composed of cochiefs, staff scientist, and ODP curator on shore and curatorial representative on board ship) will work with the entire scientific party to formulate a formal leg-specific sampling plan for shipboard and postcruise sampling. Modification of the strategy during the leg must be approved by the cochiefs, staff scientist, and curatorial representative on board ship.

The minimum permanent archive will be the standard archive half of each core. All sample frequencies and sizes must be justified on a scientific basis and will depend on core recovery, the full spectrum of other requests, and the cruise objectives. Some redundancy of measurement is

unavoidable, but minimizing the duplication of measurements among the shipboard party and identified shore-based collaborators will be a factor in evaluating sample requests.

As Leg 205 will reoccupy sites cored during Leg 170, only minimal coring will be conducted. At Site 1039R-A, we will initiate RCB coring from above the gabbro sill to provide overlap between Leg 170 and Leg 205 coring results as well as to document pore fluid profiles. RCB cores will be continuously taken through the gabbro sill, the basal sediment section below it, and a minimum of 100 m into basaltic basement. At Sites 1040R-B and 1040R-C cores will be taken to obtain samples from just above and into the décollment. No coring is planned for Site 1040R-A.

We anticipate extensive whole-round sampling to document pore fluid chemistry profiles, sediment strength, stress and consolidation, and permeability, as well as, potentially, microbiological experiments. In the Site 1039R-A basement cores, we anticipate developing a coordinated sampling strategy, possibly including the creation of large composite samples, to ensure that multiple analyses can be performed on splits of the same sample.

For parts of the section cored at Site 1039R-A, there will only be one copy of the section available for core description and sampling. In some critical intervals there may be considerable demand for samples from a limited amount of recovered core material. These intervals may require special handling, a higher sampling density, or reduced sample size. A coordinated sampling plan may be required before critical intervals are sampled.

#### REFERENCES

- Barckhausen, U., Ranero, C.R., von Huene, R., Cande, S.C., and Roeser, H.A., 2001. Revised tectonic boundaries in the Cocos plate off Costa Rica: implications for the segmentation of the convergent margin and for plate tectonic models. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106(B9):19207-19220.
- Becker, K., Fisher, A.T., and Davis, E.E., 1997. The CORK experiment in Hole 949C: long-term observations of pressure and temperature in the Barbados accretionary prism. *In* Shipley, T.H., Ogawa, Y., Blum, P., and Bahr, J.M. (Eds.), *Proc. ODP. Sci. Results*, 156: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 247-252.
- Becker, K., the Leg 174B Scientific Party, and Davis, E.E., 1998. Leg 174B revisits Hole 395A: logging and long-term monitoring of off-axis hydrothermal processes in young oceanic crust. *JOIDES J.*, 24:1-3, 13.
- Carr, M.J., Feigenson, M.D., and Bennet, E.A., 1990. Incompatible element and isotopic evidence for tectonic control of source mixing and melt extraction along the Central America arc. *Contib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 105:369-380.
- Christeson, G.L., McIntosh, K.D., and Shipley, T.H., 2000. Seismic attenuation in the Costa Rica margin wedge: amplitude modeling of ocean bottom hydrophone data. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 179:391-405.
- Christeson, G.L., McIntosh, K.D., Shipley, T.H., Flueh, E.R., and Goedde, H., 1999. Structure of the Costa Rica convergent margin, offshore Nicoya Peninsula. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104(B11):25442-25468.
- Davis, E.E., and Becker, K., 1994. Formation temperatures and pressures in a sedimented rift hydrothermal system: ten months of CORK observations, Holes 857D and 858G. *In* Mottl, M.J., Davis, E.E., Fisher, A.T., and Slack, J.F. (Eds.), *Proc. ODP. Sci. Results*, 139: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program), 649-666.
- Davis, E.E., Wang, K., Becker, K., and Thomson, R.E., 2000. Formation-scale hydraulic and mechanical properties of oceanic crust inferred from pore pressure response to periodic seafloor loading. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105:13423-13435.
- Fisher, A.T., 1998. Permeability within basaltic oceanic crust. Rev. Geophys., 36:143-182.
- Guendel, F., 1986. Seismotectonics of Costa Rica: an analytical view of the southern terminus of the Middle American Trench [Ph.D. thesis] Univ. of California, Santa Cruz.
- Hyndman, R.D., and Wang, K., 1993. Thermal constraints on the zone of major thrust earthquake failure: the Cascadia Subduction Zone. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98:2039-2060.

- Hyndman, R.D., Yomano, M., and Oleskevich, D.A., 1997. The seismogenic zone of subduction thrust faults. *Isl. Arc*, 6:244-260.
- JOIDES Long Range Plan, 1996. Understanding our dynamic earth through ocean drilling.
- Kastner, M., Morris, J., Chan, L.H., Saether, O., and Luckge, A., 2000. Three distinct fluid systems at the Costa Rica Subduction Zone: chemistry, hydrology, and fluxes. *Goldschmidt* 2000, *J. Conf. Abstr.*, 5:572.
- Kay, R.W., 1980. Volcanic arc magmas: implications of a melting-mixing model for element recycling in the crust-upper mantle system. *J. Geol.*, 88:497-522.
- Kelly, R.K., and Driscoll, N.W., 1998. Structural controls on <sup>10</sup>Be occurrences in arc lavas. *Eos*, 79:45.
- Kimura, G., Silver, E.A., Blum, P., et al., 1997. *Proc. ODP, Init. Repts.*, 170: College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program).
- Kopf, A., Deyhle, A., and Zuleger, E., 2000. Evidence for deep fluid circulation and gas hydrate dissociation using boron and boron isotopes of pore fluids in forearc sediments from Costa Rica (ODP Leg 170). *Mar. Geol.*, 167:1-28.
- Langseth, M.G., and Silver, E.A., 1996. The Nicoya convergent margin: a region of exceptionally low heat flow. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23:891-894.
- McIntosh, K.D., and Sen, M.K., 2000. Geophysical evidence for dewatering and deformation processes in the ODP Leg 170 area offshore Costa Rica. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 178:125-138.
- Meschede, M., Zweigal, P., and Kiefer, E., 1999. Subsidence and extension at a convergent plate margin: evidence for subduction erosion off Costa Rica. *Terra Nova*, 11:112-117.
- Moore, J.C., and Saffer, D.M., 2001. Updip limit of the seismogenic zone beneath the accretionary prism of southwest Japan: an effect of diagenetic to low-grade metamorphic processes and increasing effective stress. *Geology*, 29:183–186.
- Moritz, E., Bornholdt, S., Westphal, H., and Meschede, M., 2000. Neural network interpretation of LWD data (ODP Leg 170) confirms complete sediment subduction at the Costa Rica convergent margin. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 174:301-312.
- Morris, J.D., Leeman, W.P., and Tera, F., 1990. The subducted component in island arc lavas: constraints from Be isotopes and B-Be systematics. *Nature*, 344:1-36.
- Morris, J.D., Valentine, R., and Harrison, T., in press. <sup>10</sup>Be imaging of sediment accretion, subduction and erosion, NE Japan and Costa Rica., *Geology*.

- Patino, L.C., Carr, M.J., and Feigenson, M.D., 2000. Local and regional variations in Central American arc lavas controlled by variations in subducted sediment input. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.*, 138:265-283.
- Plank, T., and Langmuir, C.H., 1998. The chemical composition of subducting sediment and its consequences for the crust and mantle. *Chem. Geol.*, 145:325-394.
- Ranero, C.R., and von Huene, R., 2000. Subduction erosion along the Middle America convergent margin. *Nature*, 404:748-752.
- Ranero, C.R., von Huene, R., Flueh, E., Duarte, M., Baca, D., and McIntosh, K.D., 2000a. A cross section of the convergent Pacific margin of Nicaragua. *Tectonics*, 19:335-357.
- Ranero, C.R., von Huene, R., Weinrebe, W., McIntosh, K.D., and Reichert, C., 2000b. Mass transfer and fluid flow paths related to subduction erosion at the Middle America convergent margin. *Eos*, Am. Geophys. Union, 81:F81.
- Reagan, M., Morris, J., Herrstrom, E., and Murrell, M., 1994. Uranium series and beryllium isotopic evidence for an extended history of subduction modification of the mantle below Nicaragua. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 58:4199-4212.
- Saffer, D.M., Silver, E.A., Fisher, A.T., Tobin, H., and Moran, K., 2000. Inferred pore pressures at the Costa Rica subduction zone: implications for dewatering processes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 177:193-207.
- Scholz, C.H., 1998. Earthquakes and friction laws. *Nature*, 391:37-42.
- Screaton, E.J., Saffer, D.M., Henry, P., Hunze, S., and the Leg 190 Shipboard Scientific Party, in press. Porosity loss within underthrust sediments of the Nankai accretionary complex: implications for overpressures, *Geology*.
- Shipley, T.H., McIntosh, K.D., Silver, E.A., and Stoffa, P.L., 1992. Three-dimensional seismic imaging of the Costa Rica accretionary prism: structural diversity in a large volume of the low slope. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 97:4439-4459.
- Shipley, T.H., and Moore, G.F, 1986. Sediment accretion, subduction and dewatering at the base of the trench slope off Costa Rica: a seismic reflection view of the décollement. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 91:2019-2028.
- Silver, E.A., Kastner, M., Fisher, A.T., Morris, J.D., McIntosh, K.D., and Saffer, D.M., 2000. Fluid flow paths in the Middle America Trench and Costa Rica margin. *Geology*, 28:679-682.
- Stoffa, P.L., Shipley, T.H., Kessinger, W., Dean, D.F., Elde, R., Silver, E., Reed, D. and Aguilar, A., 1991. Three dimensional seismic imaging of the Costa Rica accretionary prism: field program and migration examples. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96(B13):21693-21712.

- Tera, F., Brown, L., Morris, J., Sacks, I.S., Klein, J., and Middleton, R., 1986. Sediment incorporation in island-arc magmas: inferences from <sup>10</sup>Be. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 50:535-550.
- Tobin, H., Vannuchi, P., and Meschede, M., 2001. Structure, inferred mechanics, and implications for fluid transport in the décollement zone, Costa Rica convergent margin. *Geology*, 29:907-910.
- Valentine, R., Morris, J.D., and Duncan, D., 1997. Sediment subduction, accretion, underplating, and arc volcanism along the margin of Costa Rica: constraints from Ba, Zn, Ni and <sup>10</sup>Be concentrations: *Eos*, 78:F673.
- Vannucchi, P., Scholl, D.W., Meschede, M., and McDougall-Reid, K., 2001. Tectonic erosion and consequent collapse of the Pacific margin of Costa Rica: combined implications from ODP Leg 170, seismic offshore data, and regional geology of the Nicoya Peninsula. *Tectonics*, 20:649-668.
- Vannucchi, P., and Tobin., H., 2000. Deformation structures and implications for fluid flow at the Costa Rica convergent margin, ODP Sites 1040 and 1043, Leg 170. *J. Structural Geol.*, 22:1087-1103.
- von Huene, R., Ranero, C.R., Weinrebe, W., and Hinz, K., 2000. Quaternary convergent margin tectonics of Costa Rica, segmentation of the Cocos plate, and Central American volcanism. *Tectonics*, 19:314-334.
- Von Huene, R., and Scholl, D.W., 1991. Observations at convergent margins concerning sediment subduction, subduction erosion and the growth of continental crust. *Rev. Geophys.*, 29:279-316.
- Walther, C.H., Flueh, E., Ranero, C.R., von Huene, R., and Strauch, W., 2000. An unusual crustal structure across the Pacific Margin of Nicaragua. *Geophys. J. International*, 14:759-777.
- Zhao, Z., Moore, G.F., and Shipley, T.H., 1998. Deformation and dewatering of the subducting plate beneath the lower slope of the northern Barbados accretionary prism. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103:30432-30449.


#### FIGURE CAPTIONS

- **Figure 1.** ODP Leg 205 Costa Rica drilling area including isochrons derived from mapped seafloor magnetic anomalies (from Barckhausen et al., 2001). Numbers indicate crustal ages in million years. Tectonic boundaries, locations of DSDP Leg 84 and ODP Leg 170 drill sites, as well as arc volcanoes (triangles) are shown. FS = Fisher Seamount, QSC = Quesada Sharp Contortion, MAT = Middle America Trench.
- **Figure 2.** Bathymetry of the ODP Leg 205 Costa Rica drilling area (Ranero et al., 2000b), showing the Leg 170 and the proposed Leg 205 drill sites. Line marks two coinciding multichannel seismic lines (line CR-20, Shipley et al., 1992; line BGR-99-44, C. Reichert and C. Ranero, pers. comm., 2001).
- **Figure 3.** Summary plots of recovered lithology during Leg 170 at Sites 1039, 1040, and 1043, with lithology of DSDP Site 495, on the incoming plate outboard of Guatemala, for comparison. Note the similarity of incoming sediment sections at Sites 1039 and 495, as well as the repetition of Site 1039 sequence and lithology below the décollement at Sites 1040 and 1043. Lithologic columns modified from Kimura et al., 1997.
- **Figure 4. A.** Post-stack time-migrated multichannel seismic line BGR-99-44 (C. Ranero and C. Reichert, pers. comm., 2001) across planned Leg 205 Sites 1039R-A, 1040R-A, 1040R-B, and 1040R-C (bold text and lines). Sites 1039, 1040, and 1043 drilled during Leg 170 are located near Leg 205 Sites 1039R (alternate site), 1040R-B, and 1043R-A, respectively. For maps showing locations of seismic profiles and sites see "Site Summaries." **B.** Depth-migrated multichannel seismic line CR-20 showing Leg 170 drill sites (Stoffa et al., 1991; Shipley et al., 1992). Positions of proposed Leg 205 drill sites are shown in Figure 4A. CDP = common depth point.
- **Figure 5.** Plot of cosmogenic <sup>10</sup>Be vs. depth in sediment column at Site 1040 (Leg 170). Made by cosmic rays in the atmosphere and decaying with a 1.5-m.y. half-life, measurable <sup>10</sup>Be enrichments are seen in sediments younger than 7-10 Ma. The underthrust sediments beneath the décollement have high values typical of the incoming sediment section. Throughout the prism sediments of the upper plate, <sup>10</sup>Be concentrations are very low, typical of sediments that are older than 3-5 Ma.

**Figure 6. A.** Strontium isotope ratios from pore water samples from Site 1039 (Leg 170) are indicated by the solid line, with the dashed line showing the Sr seawater curve appropriate to the sediment age. Note the basal pore water trend toward modern seawater composition, with values greater than Miocene seawater, indicating a strong seawater component in basement fluids. **B.** High lithium concentration in pore water samples from the décollement and fluid conduit at Site 1040 (Leg 170); see text for discussion of correlative indicators of higher-temperature (>110°-150°C) deeply sourced fluids advecting from depth along the décollement and upper conduit. **C.** Barium concentration in pore water samples in the uppermost part of the underthrust section from Site 1040; high pore water Ba concentrations from sulfate reduction have not diffused or advected into the décollement, indicating a separate fluid flow regime in the underthrust sediments.

**Figure 7.** Schematic illustration of physical and chemical characteristics of the three distinct hydrological systems in the Legs 170 and 205 area. Figure courtesy of D. Saffer and based on Saffer et al., 2000 and Silver et al., 2000.

**Figure 8. A.** Schematic of the proposed modified CORK for Site 1039R-A. **B.** Schematic of the proposed modified CORK for Sites 1040R-B and 1040R-C décollement system (target subbottom depths are those for Site 1040R-B). **C.** Schematic of the proposed modified CORK for Site 1040R-A underthrust sequence. ROV = remotely operated vehicle.



Leg 205 Scientific Prospectus Page 27




Figure 2





Figure 3






Figure 4B




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7

# Leg 205 Primary Site 1039R-A Basement Osmo-sampler Installation Configuration




Figure 8A

# Leg 205 Primary Site 1040R-B and 1040R-C Decollement Osmo-sampler Installation Configuration




Figure 8B

## Leg 205 Primary Site 1040R-A Underthrust Osmo-sampler Installation Configuration




Figure 8C

**Table 1.** Operations plan and time estimate for Leg 205 primary sites.

Site	Location	Water		Transit	On-Site
No.	Lat./Long.	Depth	Operations Description	(days)	(days)
	32° 27' N			I	
San Diego	117° 6' W	1	Transit 2336 nmi San Diego to Site 1039R-A	8.8	
	117 0 11			<u> </u>	
_1039R-A	9° 38.9' N	4375	Set reentry cone w/ 60 m 16" casing		
Basement	86° 11.4' W	m	Drill 60 - 370 mbsf, RCB core 370 - 620 mbsf (100 m basement)		
			Log: Triple Combo, FMS-Sonic, 370 - 620 mbsf	1	
			Open hole to 14-3/4" 60 - 535 mbsf for 10-3/4" casing		
			Set 10-3/4" casing to 530 mbsf		19.2
			Drill out casing shoe, clean rat hole to 620 mbsf		
			Set Osmo screen @ 550 mbsf on 4-1/2" csg w/ pkr @ 540 mbsf		
			Deploy OsmoSampler(s) on wireline		
			Deploy ROV / Submersible platform		
Transit			Transit 1.1 nmi Site 1039R-A to Site 1040R-B	0.1	
1040R-B	9° 39.7' N	4125	Set reentry cone w/ 60 m 16" casing	1	
Decollement	86° 10.7' W	m	Drill 60 - 300 mbsf, RCB core 300 - 365 mbsf	†	
			Open hole to 14-3/4" 60 - 337 mbsf for 10-3/4" casing	1	
			Set 10-3/4" casing to 332 mbsf	†	
			Drill out casing shoe, clean rat hole to 365 mbsf	†	9.4
			Set Osmo screen @ 357 mbsf on 4-1/2" csg w/ pkr @ 347 mbsf	†	
			Deploy OsmoSampler on wireline	†	
			Deploy ROV / Submersible platform	†	
			· · ·		
Transit			Transit 0.3 nmi Site 1040R-B to Site 1040R-C	0.1	
1040R-C	9° 39.5' N	4250	Set reentry cone w/ 60 m 16" casing		
Decollement	86° 10.9' W	m	Drill 60 - 220 mbsf, RCB core 220 - 279 mbsf		
			Open hole to 14-3/4" 60 - 255 mbsf for 10-3/4" casing		
			Set 10-3/4" casing to 250 mbsf		8.8
			Drill out casing shoe, clean rat hole to 279 mbsf		0.0
			Set Osmo screen @ 270 mbsf on 4-1/2" csg w/ pkr @ 260 mbsf		
			Deploy OsmoSampler on wireline		
			Deploy ROV / Submersible platform		
Transit			Transit 0.4 nmi Site 1040R-C to Site 1040R-A	0.1	
1040R-A	9° 39.8′ N	4100	Set reentry cone w/ 60 m 16" casing		
Under Thrust	86° 10.7' W	m	Drill 14-3/4" 60 - 385 mbsf for 10-3/4" casing	]	
			Set 10-3/4" casing to 380 mbsf	]	
			Drill out casing shoe, drill 9-7/8" 385 to 410 mbsf	]	7.4
			Set Osmo screen @ 400 mbsf on 4-1/2" csg w/ pkr @ 390 mbsf	1	7.4
			Deploy OsmoSampler on wireline	1	
			Deploy ROV / Submersible platform	1	
			Secure for sea voyage	1	
Balboa	8° 34.2' N 79° 19.8' W		Transit 510 nmi Site 1040R-A to Balboa, Panama	1.9	
			Primary Site Transit and On-Site Time Sub Totals:	11.2	44.8
			Trimary one Transit and Ontole Time out Totals.	11.2	44.0

**LEG 205 PRIMARY SITE OPERATIONAL DAYS TOTAL:** 

56.0

Table 2. Leg 205 Operational Plan and Time Estimates for Alternate Sites

Site No.	Location Lat./Long.	Water Depth	Operations Description	On-Site	
				(days)	
Alt. 1039R Basement	9.64° N	4352	Set reentry cone w/ 60 m 16" casing		
	86.20° W	m	Drill 60 - 350 mbsf, RCB core 350 - 600 mbsf (80 m basement)		
	I	'	Log - Triple Combo, FMS-Sonic 350 - 600 mbsf		
			Set 10-3/4" casing to 530 mbsf	16.6	
			Install osmosampler screen @ 550 mbsf w/ packer @ 540 mbsf		
			Install osmosampler(s) on wireline		
			Deploy ROV/submersible platform		
Alt. 1040R	9.66° N	4177	Set reentry cone w/ 60 m 16" casing	11.1	
Under Thrust	86.18° W	m	Drill 60 - 160 mbsf, RCB core 160 - 435 mbsf		
		'	Set 10-3/4" casing to 380 mbsf		
			Install osmosampler screen @ 400 mbsf w/ packer @ 390 mbsf		
			Install osmosampler on wireline		
			Deploy ROV/submersible platform		
Alt. 1040R	9.66° N	4177	Set reentry cone w/ 60 m 16" casing		
Decollement	86.18° W	m	Set 10-3/4" casing to 310 mbsf		
		•	RCB core 315 - 359 mbsf	7.0	
			Install osmosampler screen @ 349 mbsf w/ packer @ 320 mbsf	7.0	
			Install osmosampler on wireline		
			Deploy ROV/submersible platform		
Alt. 1040R-D	9.67° N	4125	Set reentry cone w/ 60 m 16" casing		
Underthrust	86.18° W	m	Set 10-3/4" casing to 390 mbsf		
		•	Install osmosampler screen @ 410 mbsf w/ packer @ 400 mbsf	7.0	
			Install osmosampler on wireline		
			Deploy ROV/submersible platform		
Alt. 1043R-A	9.65° N	4313	Set reentry cone w/ 60 m 16" casing		
Osmo Install	86.19° W	m	Set 10-3/4" casing to 170 mbsf		
	•		Install osmosampler screen @ 190 mbsf w/ packer @ 180 mbsf	6.6	
			Install osmosampler on wireline		
			Deploy ROV/submersible platform		

blank

## SITE SUMMARIES

**Site:** 1039R-A

**Priority:** 1

**Position:** 9°38.8574′N; 86°11.4338′W

Water Depth: 4375 m

**Sediment Thickness:** 420 mbsf is the depth to top of sill; sill thickness is not reliably resolvable from seismic records but is estimated to be ~30 m thick; sediment thickness below sill and above basement is estimated to be ~70 m; top of basaltic basement is estimated at ~520 mbsf, depending on thickness of sills.

**Target Drilling Depth:** 620 mbsf

**Approved Maximum Penetration:** 900 mbsf; approved to drill without coring to 445 mbsf **Seismic Coverage:** Position of site at shotpoint 3210 on seismic line BGR-99-44 (C. Ranero, pers. comm., GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany and C. Reichert, pers. comm., BGR, Hannover, Germany, 2001).


**Objectives:** The objectives of Site 1039R-A are to:


- 1. Investigate the petrology and alteration state of upper oceanic basement.
- 2. Determine fluid flow pattern in upper oceanic basement.
- 3. Install a modified CORK to monitor pressure and to sample basement fluids.

**Drilling Program:** Core with the RCB from ~370 mbsf to total depth (TD); install modified CORK for monitoring fluid flow, pressure, and temperature in upper oceanic basement.


**Logging and Downhole:** Formation temperature measurements (~5 DVTP), downhole logging with triple combo and FMS/sonic tool strings.

Nature of Rock Anticipated: Hemipelagic and pelagic sediments, gabbro sill, and basalt





Leg 205 Scientific Prospectus Page 43



Site: 1040R-A

**Priority:** 1

**Position:** 9°39.7838'N, 86°10.6778'W

Water Depth: 4100 mbsf Sediment Thickness: 730 m Target Drilling Depth: 410 mbsf


**Approved Maximum Penetration:** 920 mbsf; approved to drill without coring to 660 mbsf **Seismic Coverage:** Position of site at shotpoint 3122 on seismic line BGR-99-44 (C. Ranero, pers. comm., GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany and C. Reichert, pers. comm., BGR, Hannover, Germany, 2001).


**Objectives:** The objectives of Site 1040R-A are to:

- 1. Install modified CORKs to monitor pressure and temperature and to sample fluids within the uppermost underthrust sediments.
- 2. Investigate the décollement.
- 3. Investigate fluid flow within the décollement and underthrust sediments.

**Drilling Program:** Install a modified CORK for monitoring fluid flow, pressure, and temperature in the uppermost underthrust sediments (~400 mbsf). No coring planned; if time permits will core 320-410 mbsf.

**Logging and Downhole:** No downhole logging is planned at this site.





**Site:** 1040R-B

**Priority:** 1

**Position:** 9°39.6980'N, 86°10.7438'W

Water Depth: 4125 mbsf Sediment Thickness: 660 m Target Drilling Depth: 365 mbsf

**Approved Maximum Penetration:** 920 mbsf; approved to drill without coring to 660 mbsf **Seismic Coverage:** Position of site at shotpoint 3130 on seismic line BGR-99-44 (C. Ranero, pers. comm., GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany and C. Reichert, pers. comm., BGR, Hannover, Germany, 2001).

**Objectives:** The objectives of Site 1040R-B are to:

- 1. Investigate the décollement.
- 2. Investigate fluid flow within the décollement and underthrust sediments.
- 3. Install modified CORKs to monitor pressure and temperature and to sample fluids within the décollement sediments.

**Drilling Program:** Drill without coring to ~300 mbsf then RCB core to ~365 mbsf. Install modified CORK in decollement (~360 mbsf).

**Logging and Downhole:** Formation temperature measurements (~4 DVTP); no downhole logging is planned at this site.

**Site:** 1040R-C

**Priority:** 1

**Position:** 9°39.4796'N, 86°10.9058'W

Water Depth: 4250 mbsf Sediment Thickness: 530 m Target Drilling Depth: 279 mbsf

**Approved Maximum Penetration:** 920 mbsf; approved to drill without coring to 530 mbsf **Seismic Coverage:** Position of site at shotpoint 3150 on seismic line BGR-99-44 (C. Ranero, pers. comm., GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany and C. Reichert, pers. comm., BGR, Hannover, Germany,

2001).

**Objectives:** The objectives of Site 1040R-C are to:

- 1. Investigate the décollement.
- 2. Investigate fluid flow within the décollement and underthrust sediments.
- 3. Install modified CORKs to monitor pressure and temperature and to sample fluids within the décollement sediments.

**Drilling Program:** Drill without coring to ~220 mbsf then RCB core to ~279 mbsf. Install modified CORK in decollement.

Logging and Downhole: No downhole logging is planned at this site.

**Site:** 1040R-D

**Priority: 2** 

**Position:** 9°39.85′N, 86°10.95′W

Water Depth: 4125 mbsf Sediment Thickness: 660 m Target Drilling Depth: 410 mbsf

Approved Maximum Penetration: NOT YET APPROVED BY PPSP. WOULD REQUIRE SEISMIC SURVEY AND PPSP REVIEW AND APPROVAL BEFORE OCCUPYING. Seismic Coverage: Position of site ~500 m NW of Site 1040 projected onto shotpoint 3130 on seismic line BGR-99-44 (C. Ranero, pers. comm., GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany and C. Reichert, pers. comm., BGR, Hannover, Germany, 2001).

**Objectives:** The objectives of Site 1040R are to:

- 1. Investigate the décollement.
- 2. Investigate fluid flow within the décollement and underthrust sediments.
- 3. Install modified CORKs to monitor pressure and temperature and to sample fluids within the underthrust sediments.

**Drilling Program:** Core with RCB from ~180 to 410 mbsf. Install modified CORK for monitoring fluid flow, pressure, and temperature in the uppermost underthrust sediments.

**Logging and Downhole:** Formation temperature measurements (~5 DVTP); no downhole logging is planned at this site.

Site: 1043R-A

**Priority: 2** 

**Position:** 9°39.2246′N, 86°11.1098′W

Water Depth: 4325 m

**Sediment Thickness:** 420 mbsf **Target Drilling Depth:** 190 mbsf


**Approved Maximum Penetration:** 470 mbsf; permission to drill without coring to 283 mbsf **Seismic Coverage:** Position of site at shotpoint 3174 on seismic line BGR-99-44 (C. Ranero, pers. comm., GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany and C. Reichert, pers. comm., BGR, Hannover, Germany, 2001).


**Objectives:** The objectives of Site 1043R are to:

- 1. Investigate the décollement.
- 2. Investigate fluid flow within the décollement and underthrust sediments.
- 3. Install modified CORK to monitor pressure and temperature and to sample fluids within the décollement.

**Drilling Program:** Same decollement and underthrust objectives as at Sites 1040R-A, -B, -C

Logging and Downhole: Same as at Sites 1040R-B and -C





**Site:** 1039R

**Priority: 2** 

**Position:** 9°38.4'N; 86°12'W **Water Depth:** 4350 m

**Sediment Thickness:** 390 mbsf is the depth to top of sill; sill thickness is not reliably resolvable from seismic records; sediment thickness between first sill and second sill/basement is estimated to be ~100 m; top of basaltic basement is estimated at ~550 to 600 mbsf, depending on thickness of sills.

**Target Drilling Depth:** 700 mbsf

**Approved Maximum Penetration:** 780 mbsf; approved to drill without coring to 340 mbsf **Seismic Coverage:** Position of site at shotpoint 2938 on seismic line CR-20 ( K. McIntosh, pers. comm., UTIG, Austin, TX, USA, 2001) and at shotpoint 3259 on seismic line BGR-99-44 (C. Ranero, pers. comm., GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany and C. Reichert, pers. comm., BGR, Hannover, Germany, 2001).


**Objectives:** The objectives of Site 1039R are to:


- 1. Investigate the petrology and alteration state of upper oceanic basement.
- 2. Determine fluid flow pattern in upper oceanic basement.
- 3. Install a modified CORK to monitor pressure and to sample basement fluids.

**Drilling Program:** Core with the RCB from 340 mbsf to total depth (TD); install modified CORK for monitoring fluid flow, pressure, and temperature in upper oceanic basement.

**Logging and Downhole:** Formation temperature measurements (~5 DVTP), downhole logging with triple combo and FMS/sonic tool strings.

Nature of Rock Anticipated: Hemipelagic and pelagic sediments, gabbro sill, and basalt





Leg 205 Scientific Prospectus Page 57

**Site:** 1040R

**Priority: 2** 

**Position:** 9°39.7'N, 86°10.7'W **Water Depth:** 4177 mbsf **Sediment Thickness:** 670 m **Target Drilling Depth:** 435 mbsf

**Approved Maximum Penetration:** 920 mbsf; approved to drill without coring to 620 mbsf **Seismic Coverage:** Position of site at shotpoint 2736 on seismic line CR-20 (K. McIntosh, pers. comm., UTIG, Austin, TX, USA, 2001) and at shotpoint 3125 on seismic line BGR-99-44 (C. Ranero, pers. comm., GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany and C. Reichert, pers. comm., BGR, Hannover, Germany, 2001).


3,


**Objectives:** The objectives of Site 1040R are to:

- 1. Investigate the décollement.
- 2. Investigate fluid flow within the décollement and underthrust sediments.
- 3. Install modified CORKs to monitor pressure and temperature and to sample fluids within the décollement and underthrust sediments.

**Drilling Program:** Three adjacent holes will be drilled at Site 1040R. The first will be cored with the RCB from 180 to 410 mbsf and then a modified CORK will be installed for monitoring fluid flow, pressure, and temperature in the uppermost underthrust sediments (~425 mbsf). The next two holes will be drilled (likely without coring) and a modified CORK will be installed in each hole for monitoring fluid flow, pressure, and temperature in the décollement.

**Logging and Downhole:** Formation temperature measurements (~5 DVTP); no downhole logging is planned at this site.





**Site:** 1043R

**Priority: 2** 

**Position:** 9°39.3′N, 86°11.2′W

Water Depth: 4310 m

**Sediment Thickness:** 490 mbsf **Target Drilling Depth:** 180 mbsf


**Approved Maximum Penetration:** 200 mbsf; permission to drill without coring to 200 mbsf **Seismic Coverage:** Position of site at shotpoint 2806 on seismic line CR-20 (K. McIntosh, pers. com., UTIG, Austin, TX, USA, 2001) and at shotpoint 3171 on seismic line BGR-99-44 (C. Ranero, pers. comm., GEOMAR, Kiel, Germany and C. Reichert, pers. comm., BGR, Hannover, Germany, 2001).


**Objectives:** The objectives of Site 1043R are to:


- 1. Investigate the décollement.
- 2. Investigate fluid flow within the décollement and underthrust sediments.
- 3. Install modified CORK to monitor pressure and temperature and to sample fluids within the décollement.

**Drilling Program:** Same as Site 1040R

**Logging and Downhole:** Same as Site 1040R







blank

## **SCIENTIFIC PARTICIPANTS\***

Co-Chief
Julie D. Morris
Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences
Washington University
One Brookings Drive
CB 1169
St. Louis, MO 63130-4899
USA
Internet: jmorris@levee.wustl.edu

Internet: jmorris@1eVee.wustl.e/ Work: (314) 935-6926

Fax: (314) 935-6926

Co-Chief Heinrich W. Villinger Fachbereich Geowissenschaften Universität Bremen Postfach 330 440 Bremen 28334 Germany Internet: vill@uni-bremen.de Work: (49) 421-218-4509

Staff Scientist
Adam Klaus
Ocean Drilling Program
Texas A&M University
1000 Discovery Drive
College Station, TX 77845-9547
USA

Internet: aklaus@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-3055 Fax: (979) 845-0876

Fax: (49) 421-218-7163

Inorganic Geochemist Miriam Kastner Scripps Institution of Oceanography University of California, San Diego Geoscience Research Division 9500 Gilman Drive La Jolla, CA 92093-0212 USA

<sup>\*</sup>Staffing is incomplete and subject to change.

Internet: mkastner@ucsd.edu

Work: (858) 534-2065 Fax: (858) 534-0784

Physical Properties Specialist Marion Pfender Geowissenschaften 5 Universität Bremen Postfach 33 04 40

Bremen 28334

Germany

Internet: pfender@geophys2.uni-bremen.de

Work: (49) 421- 2187165 Fax: (49) 421-2187163

Physical Properties Specialist Demian M. Saffer Department of Geology and Geophysics University of Wyoming 16th and Gibbon St. Laramie, WY 82071-3006 **USA** 

Internet: dsaffer@uwyo.edu Work: (307) 766-2981

Fax: (307) 766-6679

Logging Staff Scientist Masanori Ienaga Ocean Research Institute University of Tokyo 1-15-1 Minamidai Nakano, Tokyo 164-8639

Japan

Internet: ienaga@ori.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Work: (81) 3-5351-6538 Fax: (81) 3-5351-6438

Schlumberger Engineer Kerry Swain Schlumberger Offshore Services 369 Tristar Drive Webster, TX 77598 **USA** 

internet: swaink@webster.oilfield.slb.com

Work: (281) 480-2000 Fax: (281) 480-9550

<sup>\*</sup>Staffing is incomplete and subject to change.

Operations Manager Thomas L. Pettigrew Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845-9547 USA

Internet: pettigrew@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-2329 Fax: (979) 845-2308

Laboratory Officer
William G. Mills
Ocean Drilling Program
Texas A&M University
1000 Discovery Drive
College Station, TX 77845-9547
USA

Internet: mills@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-2478 Fax: (979) 845-0876

Assistant Laboratory Officer Chieh Peng Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845-9547 USA

Internet: peng@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-3602 Fax: (979) 845-0876

Marine Lab Specialist: Yeoperson Michiko Hitchcox Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845-9547 USA

Internet: hitchcox@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-2483 Fax: (979) 845-0876

Marine Lab Specialist: Chemistry Dennis Graham Ocean Drilling Program

<sup>\*</sup>Staffing is incomplete and subject to change.

Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845-9547 **USA** 

Internet: graham@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-3602 Fax: (979) 845-0876

Marine Lab Specialist: Curator

Jessica Huckemeyer Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845

**USA** 

Internet: huckemeyer@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-4822 Fax: (979) 845-1303

Marine Lab Specialist: Downhole Tools, Thin Sections

Ted Gustafson

Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845-9547 **USA** 

Internet: gustafson@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-3602 Fax: (979) 845-0876

Marine Lab Specialist: Paleomagnetics

Charles A. Endris Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845 **USA** 

Internet: endris@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-3602 Fax: (979) 845-0876

Marine Lab Specialist: Photographer

Cyndi J. Prince Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845

<sup>\*</sup>Staffing is incomplete and subject to change.

**USA** 

Internet: prince@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-2480 Fax: (979) 845-0876

Marine Lab Specialist: Underway Geophysics

Paula Weiss

Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845 **USA** 

Internet: pweiss@qwest.net Work: (979) 845-3602 Fax: (979) 845-0876

Marine Electronics Specialist Michael Meiring Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845

USA

Internet: meiring@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-3602 Fax: (979) 845-0876

Marine Computer Specialist Randy W. Gjesvold Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845-9547

Internet: gjesvold@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-3602 Fax: (979) 845-0876

Marine Computer Specialist John Davis Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845 USA

<sup>\*</sup>Staffing is incomplete and subject to change.

Internet: jdavis@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 862-4849 Fax: (979) 458-1617

Marine Computer Specialist Michael J. Hodge Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77845-9547 USA

Internet: hodge@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 862-4845 Fax: (979) 458-1617

ODP/TAMU Programmer Weining Chen Ocean Drilling Program Texas A&M University 1000 Discovery Drive College Station, TX 77840 USA

Internet: chen@odpemail.tamu.edu

Work: (979) 845-1918 Fax: (979) 458-1617

<sup>\*</sup>Staffing is incomplete and subject to change.